Vol. 253 No.23



Thursday, <u>25th March, 2021</u> 4 Chaitra, 1943 (Saka)

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

RAJYA SABHA

OFFICIAL REPORT (FLOOR VERSION) (PART-II)

CONTENTS

Papers Laid on the Table (pages 1-5)

Statements by Ministers- Laid on the Table

Status of implementation of recommendations contained in the Two Hundred and Seventy-eighth Report of the Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Transport, Tourism and Culture (pages 5-6)

Status of implementation of recommendations contained in the Fortieth Report of the Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Defence (page 6)

Status of implementation of recommendations/observations contained in the Two Hundred and Twenty-seventh Report of the Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Home Affairs (page 6)

[P.T.O

© RAJYA SABHA SECRETARIAT NEW DELHI

PRICE: ₹ 100.00

Leave of Absence - Granted (page 7)

Announcement by the Chair (page 7)

Government Bill- Passed

The National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development Bill, 2021 (pages 7-38)

Special Mentions- Laid on the Table

- Demand to enhance budgetary allocation to meet environmental targets under the Paris agreement (page 39)
- Concern over non-inclusion of eligible persons under the Ayushman Bharat Scheme (page 40)
- Demand to remove VT code from Indian Aeroplanes (pages 40-41)
- Demand for inclusion of sub-castes 'Majhwar' of Uttar Pradesh in the Scheduled Caste list (pages 41-42)
- Concern over air pollution in Patna and Muzaffarpur and demand for intervention of Central agencies to deal with the situation (page 42)
- Demand for release of compensation under GST to Maharashtra (pages 42-43)
- Demand for payment of pending GST dues to Madhya Pradesh (page 43)
- Demand to review the decision to merge Malaria Research Centre, Rourkela with the Centre at Ranchi (pages 43-44)
- Demand for a law to increase the participation of women in electoral politics (page 44)
- Demand to restore Samta Express train and Mangala Lakshadweep Express train with their old timings (pages 44-45)
- Demand to include pending 13.95 lakh households of Odisha in the Permanent Waitlist under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Grameen) (pages 45-46)
- Demand to make a comprehensive policy for providing basic amenities to the homeless poor (page 46)
- Demand for action against persons responsible for the attack on nuns at Jhansi railway station in Uttar Pradesh (pages 46-47)
- Demand to include a text on Raja Marthanda Varma of Kerala in history text books (pages 47-48)

Farewell to the Retiring Members (pages 48-51)

Valedictory Remarks (pages 52-57)

National Song (page 57)

[Answers to Starred and Unstarred Questions (Both in English and Hindi) are available as Part-I to this Debate, published electronically on the Rajya Sabha website under the link <u>https://rajyasabha.nic.in/Debates/OfficialDebatesDateWise</u>]

RAJYA SABHA

Thursday, the 25th March, 2021/4 Chaitra, 1943(Saka)

The House met at eleven of the clock, MR. CHAIRMAN in the Chair

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री रामदास अठावले): सभापति महोदय, मैंने राष्ट्रपति जी को भी लिखा है।...(व्यवधान)...

श्री सभापति : यहां उपराष्ट्रपति हैं, राष्ट्रपति जी तो वहां हैं। ...(Interruptions)... Please, please. Papers to be laid on the Table. Shri V. Muraleedharan. Ramdas *ji*, you are a senior...(Interruptions)... We all have respect for you. But this is not the way. Please. आप बैठ जाइए।

Notification of the Ministry of Defence

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS; AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI V. MURALEEDHARAN): Sir, on behalf of Shri Shripad Yesso Naik, I lay on the Table, under sub-section (4) of Section 347 of the Cantonments Act, 2006, a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Defence Notification No. S.R.O. 2 (E), dated the 3rd March, 2020, publishing the Cantonment Board Account Rules, 2020, along with Delay Statement.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-4192/17/21]

Notification of the Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions

SHRI V. MURALEEDHARAN: Sir, on behalf of Dr. Jitendra Singh, I lay on the Table, under clause (5) of Article 320 of the Constitution of India, a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions (Department of Personnel and Training) Notification No. G.S.R. 618 (E), dated the 7th October, 2020, publishing the Union Public Service Commission (Exemption from Consultation) Second Amendment Regulations, 2020.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-3165/17/21]

Report and Accounts $\left(2019\text{-}20\right)$ of NAFED, New Delhi and related papers

SHRI V. MURALEEDHARAN: Sir, on behalf of Shri Parshottam Rupala, I lay on the Table, a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:—

(a) Annual Report and Accounts of the National Agricultural Cooperative Marketing Federation of India Limited (NAFED), New Delhi, for the year 2019-20, together with the Auditor's Report on the Accounts.

(b) Review by Government on the working of the above Federation.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-4171/17/21]

Report and Accounts (2019-20) of National Dairy Development Board, Anand, Gujarat and related papers

SHRI V. MURALEEDHARAN: Sir, on behalf of Shri Sanjeev Kumar Balyan, I lay on the Table, under Section 29 of the National Dairy Development Board Act, 1987, a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:—

- (a) Annual Report and Accounts of the National Dairy Development Board, Anand, Gujarat, for the year 2019-20, together with the Auditor's Report on the Accounts.
- (b) Review by Government on the working of the above Board.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-4335/17/21]

I. Notification of the Ministry of Communications

- II. Notifications of the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology
- III. Notifications of the Ministry of Education

IV. Reports (2018-19) of Samagra Shiksha of various states and related papers

SHRI V. MURALEEDHARAN: Sir, on behalf of Shri Dhotre Sanjay Shamrao, I lay on the Table —

I. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Communications (Department of Telecommunications) Notification No. 324-5/2018-CA, dated the 16th January, 2020, publishing the Telecommunication Consumers Education and Protection Fund (Fifth Amendment) Regulations, 2020, under Section 37 of the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India Act, 1997 along with Delay Statement.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-4336/17/21]

II. (i) A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, under Section 55 of the Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery of Financial and Other Subsidies, Benefits and Services) Act, 2016:-

- (1) G.S.R. 490 (E) dated 5th August, 2020, publishing the Aadhaar Authentication for Good Governance (Social Welfare, Innovation, Knowledge) Rules, 2020.
- (2) No.A-12013/13/RR/2016-UIDAI (No.1 of 2020), dated the 22nd January, 2020, publishing the Unique Identification Authority of India (Appointment of Officers and Employees) Regulations, 2020.
- (3) No. A-12013/13/RR/2016-UIDAI (No.2 of 2020), dated the 22nd January, 2020, publishing the Unique Identification Authority of India (Salary, Allowances and Other Terms and Conditions of Service of Employees) Regulations, 2020.
- (4) No. 13012/79/2017/Legal-UIDAI(13) /Vol. II (No.3 of 2020), dated the 2nd July, 2020, publishing the Aadhaar (Enrolment and Update) (Eighth Amendment) Regulations, 2020 (No. 3 of 2020).

[Placed in Library. For (1) to (4) See No. LT-2960/17/21]

(ii) A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, under sub-section (3) of Section 87 of the Information Technology Act, 2000:-

- S.O. 3472 (E), dated the 6th October, 2020, amending the Second Schedule to the said Act to insert certain entries as mentioned therein.
- (2) G.S.R. 139 (E), dated the 25th February, 2021, publishing the Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021.

[Placed in Library. For (1) and (2) See No. LT-4337/17/21]

III. (i) A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Education (Department of Higher Education), under Section 24 of the All India Council for Technical Education Act, 1987:-

- F. No. 3-24/Admn/Estt./Amend/RRs/2011/Vol. I, dated the 5th February, 2019, publishing the All India Council for Technical Education (Group 'A', 'B' and 'C' Post) (4th Amendment) Recruitment Regulations, 2018 along with Delay Statement.
- (2) F. No. 3-24/Admn/Estt./Amend/RRs/2011/Vol.I, dated the 5th February,

2019, publishing the All India Council for Technical Education (Group 'A', 'B' and 'C' Post) (5th Amendment) Recruitment Regulations, 2018 along with Delay Statement.

- (3) F. No. 3-24/Admn/Estt./Amend/RRs/2011/Vol.I, dated the 12th March, 2020, publishing Corrigendum to Notification of even number, dated the 17th January, 2019 along with Delay Statement.
- (4) F. No. 3-24/Admn/Estt./Amend/RRs/2011/Vol.I, dated the 12th March, 2020, publishing Corrigendum to Notification of even number, dated the 17th January, 2019 along with Delay Statement.
- [Placed in Library. For (1) to (4) See No. LT-3033/17/21] (5) F. No. AB/AICTE/REG/2020 (1st Amendment, 2021) dated the 24th February, 2021, publishing the All India Council for Technical Education (Grant of Approvals for Technical Institutions) (1st Amendment) Regulations, 2021.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-4135/17/21]

(ii) A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Education (Department of Higher Education) Notification No. F. 1-1/2020(DEB-I), dated the 4th September, 2020, publishing the University Grants Commission (Open and Distance Learning Programmes and Online Programmes) Regulations, 2020, under Section 28 of the University Grant Commission Act, 1956.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-4131/17/21]

(iii) A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Education (Department of Higher Education) Notification No. IG/ACD/7th CPC/CAS/2019-20/06, dated January 30 – February 5, 2021 (Weekly Gazette), publishing the (i) Ordinance on Career Advancement Scheme of Teachers, and (ii) Ordinance on Career Advancement Scheme of Academics including Librarian in the IGNOU, under subsection (2) of Section 40 of the Indira Gandhi Open University Act, 1985.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-4132/17/21]

- IV. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers-
- (1)(i) (a) Annual Report of the Paschim Banga Samagra Shiksha Mission, West Bengal, for the year 2018-19.
 - (b) Review by Government on the working of the above Mission.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-4338/17/21]

(ii) (a) Annual Report of the Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan, Madhya Pradesh, for the year 2018-19. (b) Review by Government on the working of the above Abhiyan.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-3018/17/21]

- (iii) (a) Annual Report of the Odisha School Education Programme Authority, Odisha implementing the Samagra Shiksha, Odisha, for the year 2018-19.
 - (b) Review by Government on the working of the above Authority.
- (2) Statements giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (1)
 (i) (a) to (iii) (a) above.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-3016/17/21]

Report and Accounts $\left(2019\text{-}20\right)$ of OIDC, Daman and related papers

SHRI V. MURALEEDHARAN: Sir, on behalf of Shri Pratap Chandra Sarangi, I lay on the Table –

(1) A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers, under subsection (1) (b) of Section 394 of the Companies Act, 2013:—

(a) Twenty-eighth Annual Report and Accounts of the Omnibus Industrial Development Corporation of Daman & Diu and Dadra & Nagar Haveli Limited (OIDC), Daman, for the year 2019-20, together with the Auditor's Report on the Accounts and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India thereon.

(b) Statement by Government accepting the above Report.

(2) Statement (in English and Hindi) giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (1) (a) above.

[Placed in Library. See No. LT-4339/17/21]

STATEMENTS BY MINISTERS

Status of implementation of recommendations contained in the Two Hundred and Seventy-eighth Report of the Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Transport, Tourism and Culture

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS; AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI V. MURALEEDHARAN): Sir, on behalf of Shri Nitin Jairam Gadkari, I lay a Statement regarding Status of implementation of recommendations contained in the Two Hundred and Seventy-eighth Report of the Department-related Parliamentary

Standing Committee on Transport, Tourism and Culture on the Demands for Grants (2020-21) pertaining to the Ministry of Road, Transport and Tourism.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Shri Shripad Yesso Naik met with a very serious and life-threatening accident and he has come back. All the best to you, Naik *ji*.

Status of implementation of recommendations contained in the Fortieth Report of the Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Defence

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF AYURVEDA, YOGA AND NATUROPATHY, UNANI, SIDDHA AND HOMOEOPATHY (AYUSH); AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI SHRIPAD YESSO NAIK): Sir, I lay a Statement regarding Status of implementation of recommendations contained in the Fortieth Report of the Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Defence on the Demands for Grants (2018-19) on 'General Defence Budget, BRO, ICG, MES, DGDE, DPSUs, Welfare of Ex-servicemen, Defence Pension and ECHS (Demand No. 19 and 22)'.

Status of implementation of recommendations/observations in the Two Hundred and Twenty-Seventh Report of the Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Home Affairs

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF DEVELOPMENT OF NORTH EASTERN REGION; THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE PRIME MINISTER'S OFFICE; THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS; THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ATOMIC ENERGY; AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF SPACE (DR. JITENDRA SINGH): Sir, I lay a Statement regarding Status of implementation of recommendations/observations contained in the Two Hundred and Twenty-seventh Report of the Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Home Affairs on the Action taken by the Government on the recommendations/observations contained in the Two Hundred and Twenty-fifth Report on the Demands for Grants (2020-21) pertaining to the Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

MR. CHAIRMAN: I have to inform Members that letters have been received from Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tasa and Shri Ajit Kumar Bhuyan for grant of leave of absence from the sittings of the House due to unforeseen contingent reasons and unavoidable circumstances respectively from 8th March to 8th April 2021, during the second part of the current 253rd Session of the Rajya Sabha. Do they have the permission of the House to remain absent from 8th March to 8th April 2021 during the second part of the Session? ...(Interruptions)... मेरे ख्याल से उनको मालूम नहीं है।

(No Hon. Member dissented)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Permission to remain absent is granted.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY CHAIR

MR. CHAIRMAN: Hon. Members, as agreed in the House yesterday, there will be no Zero Hour and no Question Hour today. We will take up the National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development Bill, as passed by the Lok Sabha. However, answers to the questions listed today will be deemed to have been laid on the Table.

Secondly, I have admitted certain Special Mentions which will be laid on the Table after the discussion on the Bill. This has to be taken note of by those Members whose Special Mentions have been admitted.

GOVERNMENT BILL

The National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development Bill, 2021

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, Shrimati Nirmala Sitharaman to move a motion for consideration of the National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development Bill, 2021. After the Bill, there will be farewell to three of our Members who are retiring from the House. They would be given some time to express themselves as also the Leader of the House and the Leader of the Opposition. Only two out of three are

present. So, on behalf of all of you, I will compliment them. I think that will be better. Now, Shrimati Nirmala Sitharaman.

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE; AND THE MINISTER OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI NIRMALA SITHARAMAN): Sir, I move:

"That the Bill to establish the National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development to support the development of long term non-recourse infrastructure financing in India including development of the bonds and derivatives markets necessary for infrastructure financing and to carry on the business of financing infrastructure and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto, as passed by Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The question was proposed.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, Members who have given their names can speak; afterwards, the Minister will reply. Now, Shri Jairam Ramesh to initiate the debate.

SHRI JAIRAM RAMESH (Karnataka): Sir, I will be very brief since I know that hon. Members want to leave as early as possible. I rise to speak on the NBFID Bill, 2021. But before I get into the Bill, I want to give a brief history because the idea of DFI is not new in India. It has a long history in India. In fact, the very first Development Finance Institution, DFI, was set up in March of 1948 after the then Parliament, the Constituent Assembly, passed the IFCI Act of 1948 when Mr. R.K. Shanmukham Chetty was the Finance Minister. In 1955, ICICI was established not by an Act of Parliament but by an executive decision and ICICI was meant to be in the private sector. In July, 1964, when Mr. T.T. Krishnamachari was the Finance Minister, Parliament passed the IDBI Act, and the Industrial Development Bank of India came into being. Sir, when the history of industrialization in India is written, the role of IFCI, the role of ICICI and the role of IDBI is going to be crucial. They have been responsible for the development of Indian business and for the development of Indian corporate. And I think as we launch a new chapter in DFIs, we should recognize the historic role played by IFCI, ICICI and IDBI.

Then, Sir, in January, 1981, Parliament passed the NABARD Act -- National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development. That was also a Development Finance Institution for agriculture and rural development. Mr. R. Venkataraman was the Finance Minister at that point of time. So, NABARD Act was passed. Then, in

November, 1989, when Mr. S.B. Chavan was the Finance Minister, Parliament passed the SIDBI Act -- Small Industries Development Bank of India, which was headquartered in Lucknow. This was also a Development Finance Institution and this was established by an Act of Parliament. All the DFIs I have mentioned -- IFCI, IDBI, NABARD and SIDBI -- were created by Acts of Parliament after extensive debate and scrutiny in Parliament. And ICICI was entirely in the private sector.

Sir, when Dr. Manmohan Singh presented his Budget in July of 1991, he set up what is known as the Narasimham Committee on financial sector. Mr. Narasimham was a distinguished banker and a distinguished economist. They submitted a report and that report was tabled in both the Houses of Parliament on 17th of December, 1991. The Narasimham Committee came to the conclusion that the era of DFIs is over; DFIs have played an important role in India's industrialization; but we need to move away from the concept of DFIs for a variety of reasons. And, hence, in 1996, when Mr. Chidambaram was the Finance Minister, the IDFC was created, the Infrastructure Development Finance Company, headquartered in Bombay. In 2006, another company, the India Investment Infrastructure Finance Company, IIFCL, was created; this also done when Mr. Chidambaram was the Finance Minister. So, the story is that up to 1990, India created DFIs through Acts of Parliament but after 1990, we did not create organizations through statutes. We did not create statutory organizations. We created companies under the Companies Act. This was the model that we adopted. Thirty years after Dr. Manmohan Singh's Budget, the clock has turned back and we are going back to the DFI era. My first question to the hon. Finance Minister is: What has prompted this reversal of path, of going from the idea of a company under the Companies Act to a company sanctioned by an Act of Parliament? This is the first important question that the House must address. Why a statutory corporation and not a company under the Companies Act?

Second, Sir, this Bill is a very ambitious Bill. The Finance Minister in her speech has already outlined what this organization will do. She has provided Rs.20,000 crores in this Budget and she is hoping that in five years from now the loan portfolio will be Rs.5 lakh crores. The initial paid-up-capital of this company is going to be Rs.1 lakh crores and the Government shall hold -- not 'may' -- a minimum of 26 per cent. My question to the hon. Finance Minister is this. This is a Government company. Huge resources are going to be mobilized. No oversight whatsoever, no CBI, no CVC, no CAG. I can understand CBI and CVC but why no CAG? In the last five years, the number of CAG reports has come down dramatically. In 2014, CAG submitted 55 reports. In 2020, the CAG submitted 14 reports. The CAG is a constitutional body. The Public Accounts Committee is the most important

Committee of Parliament. This organization, that we are creating, where paid-upcapital is of Rs.1 lakh crores, Government equity is 26 per cent, with loan portfolio of Rs.5 lakh crores. No external oversight, no external surveillance, no external monitoring. Madam Finance Minister, I submit to you that this is the most undesirable state of affairs.

Not only that, Section 17 of this Act empowers the Government to extend sovereign guarantee in the case of a default. No external oversight, no surveillance, no CAG, no monitoring but Government will extend sovereign guarantee. Sir, I fail to understand the logic behind this. Today, it is your Government, tomorrow it could be any other Government. You are creating an Act in perpetuity. I think, this idea of creating an Act of Parliament without parliamentary scrutiny before the Bill is passed and no CAG scrutiny after the Bill is passed and the facility to extend a sovereign guarantee, is, in my view, a highly undesirable state of affairs.

Sir, there is one phrase in the Bill which justifies the lack of external oversight act of good faith. The management of this company will be protected for decisions taken as an act of good faith. What is act of good faith? Who will determine act of good faith? What criteria will be used? One person's good faith is another person's malicious intent. Who will determine this? What is the transparent set of prior agreed criteria to determine what good faith is?

So, I think, this is a very ambiguous phrase that is used in the Act. It needs to be defined in the rules in a much more elaborate manner so that this 'Act of good faith' cannot be a catch-all umbrella phrase to justify any decision taken by the management in the knowledge that the Government will provide a sovereign guarantee as a last resort.

The next question I have of the hon. Minister is: What happens to the other organizations. What happens to LIC which is doing infrastructure financing? What happens to IIFCL which is doing infrastructure financing? Are we creating a gigantic organization under which all these other organizations will be part? Are we creating like the Singapore company Temasek? Are we creating a giant Temasek? The political economy of Singapore is different from the political economy of India. You cannot have a Temasek type of organization in a democratic political set-up like ours. What is this creature that we are embarking on today without any scrutiny, without going to the Standing Committee, without going to the Select Committee and having just about two hours of discussion on such an important Bill?

Finally, Sir, I wish to say that there is a belief in this Government that infrastructure can be built with foreign capital. Economic history shows that foreign capital has never built infrastructure in any other country. Indian infrastructure will be

built with Indian capital. Foreign capital will have an important role to play. We must mobilize global liquidity. But, this notion of giving to foreign capital the prime place in the creation of infrastructure, in my view, is completely misplaced. First of all, it is coming from a political party that has always been deeply suspicious of the foreign capital. But, there is a transformation of ideas and that is inevitable. However, I think, the most important contribution that we can make to the availability of funds for infrastructure is to stimulate domestic savings. Domestic savings translate to domestic investment. I would draw the hon. Finance Minister's attention and she is perfectly well aware of it that India's gross domestic savings rates have been coming down steadily. They were at a peak of 38 per cent of GDP ten year ago, twelve years ago and, now, they are hovering at about 30 to 31 per cent of GDP. Rate, I am not talking of the volume, I am talking of the rate. The rate has come down. I think, the biggest challenge for us for enhancing the pool of infrastructure is how do you stimulate domestic savings. You have to stimulate domestic savings and not have this dangerous dependence on foreign funds. All expert committee reports in the last 30 years on infrastructure financing have pointed out to one fact which has limited the mobilization of funds for infrastructure. It is because infrastructure requires long-term funds, 30 year funds, 40 year funds and 50 year funds. India's biggest problem is not the lack of DFI, India's biggest problem is lack of deep and liquid corporate bond market. Sir, 98 per cent of all the corporate bonds are placed privately, and unless we develop a broad-base bond market, unless we make it liquid, we are not going to be able to get the pool of domestic savings into infrastructure. The hon. Finance Minister has a paragraph in her Budget Speech this year on developing an institutional framework for the corporate bond market. I would like her to elaborate on us what does institutional framework mean. Will it be another institution? Will it be another Act of Parliament? Will it be another company? What does the word 'Institutional Framework' mean for the corporate bond market? So, Sir, I close here once again deeply, deeply regretting that such an important, far-reaching and, perhaps, even desirable Bill has not undergone any scrutiny by any Standing Committee and by any Select Committee. There has been no discussion. And there will be discussion here for less than about two hours when everybody wants to leave as early as possible. I wish it had gone through a greater process of consultations. Most importantly, I request the hon. Finance Minister to revisit the fundamental assumption of this Bill which has no external oversight, no investigating agency, no audit, no external surveillance and we believe in act of good faith. Who will determine good faith is completely left unanswered. Thank you, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Hon. Members, I have been receiving slips just now saying that they want to participate in the discussion. Time allocated and the time allotted to parties is already there. So keep that in mind and everybody has to adhere to the time that is given. Now, Shri Syed Zafar Islam.

SHRI SYED ZAFAR ISLAM (Uttar Pradesh): Thank you hon. Chairman, Sir. आज इस मौके पर मैं आपका आभारी हूं और अपनी पार्टी की लीडरशिप का आभारी हूं, जिन्होंने मुझे इस महत्वपूर्ण बिल पर चंद अल्फ़ाज़ बोलने का मौका दिया। Today, I very fondly remember, there was a famous Spanish philosopher and his name was George Santayana. What did he say centuries ago? He said, "Those who do not learn from the history are doomed to fail". Jairam Ramesh*ji* was talking about the various development financial institutions which have been set up in the country since 1948 starting with IFCI, IDBI, ICICI and many more institutions, but we do not discount the utilities of those They have been instrumental in developing industrialisation in the institutions. country. But they had outlived the utility and there were some inherent problems or issues with those institutions and that is why they did not last long. Take the case of IDBI. It was set up in 1964 with so much fanfare. But it did not last long. It was the Narasimham Committee which had recommended making it universal bank. Why? It was because there was an issue, and I will come back to that issue. But, today, first of all, I would like to thank the hon. Prime Minister and the hon. Finance Minister for having acceded to the request made by the financial market. It was a longoutstanding demand after IDBI as institution under Companies Act, Section 4A, was turned into a universal bank. Since then the long-outstanding demand which was pending for several successive Governments and, particularly, the UPA Government never paid heed to those requests of the financial market that a separate and dedicated financial institution is required to propel the economy. And today, I am sure, the financial market will be extremely happy, especially, when they will see the Bill which embodies all relevant aspects of development financial institutions which it should be having, to ensure that it plays a very important and pivotal role in developing and financing the infrastructure space. Sir, our colleague from the opposition party was talking about those institutions. He referred about IDBI, IFCI and other institutions, but they had a limited role of infrastructure financing and industrialisation. But they never had the development responsibility and this particular development financial institution has dual role of financing and development as well of structure and framework in the system which actually ensures that the financial market has the ability to fund those projects and infrastructure which have not been funded.

(MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair)

I would also like to remind the Members that since 2014, from day one the hon. Prime Minister has stated that we want to build a new India. What does that new India suggest? That it has to have modern infrastructure facilities. Do we have the modern infrastructure facilities? I will ask the Opposition Benches and particularly, the principle Opposition party which had been in power. Did they really look into these matters seriously as to what percentage of GDP we were investing in fixed assets? Sir, I will tell you one number. It is statistics which is important and relevant from that perspective. When you wear those spectacles to analyse what is the role which has been played by the successive Governments, then, you will see that fixed asset investment in case of India, it is 30 per cent or less than 30 per cent of GDP. While if you see the case of various other countries in the world, particularly, I am talking about developing countries, 45 to 50 per cent of GDP, is their fixed asset investment. When I say 'fixed asset investment', I do not mean the infrastructure investment. I mean it is percentage of GDP, what is invested in industries; what is invested in infrastructure; what is invested in other industrial initiatives. In India, it is less than 30 per cent compared to China. I think it is a number which everyone should see. China invests 50 per cent of their percentage of GDP in fixed asset investment. And, how much it translates into? Sir, it is 8 trillion dollar. I think everyone must remember this number, the 8 trillion dollar. And, out of this 8 trillion dollar, 28 per cent alone is invested in infrastructure space which is 2.6 trillion dollar. Take the number in 2020. China has invested 2.6 trillion dollar, which is 12 per cent of GDP, alone in infrastructure space. I think it is important for all of us to see what the hon. Prime Minister wants. He wants to develop a modern infrastructure. How can it happen? It can happen when we have a target of 5 trillion dollar economy. Yesterday I heard one of the Opposition Members; I think he was talking about industrial investment growth. I think it is important. I must mention those things. सर, 100 मीटर स्प्रिंट रेस होती है और 400 meter जो होती है, वह रिले रेस होती है। वे सब कुछ एवरेज बताते हैं, average of UPA-I, average of industrial investment growth, average of UPA-II. I think, Sir, it is important for all the Members to know these important facts that जब रिले रेस होती है, तो एक आदमी दौड़कर दूसरे आदमी को baton दे देता है। उसी तरह 2004 में, I will request everyone to see what was the industrial investment growth. Yesterday, Hoodaji was saying that industrial investment growth and credit growth had come off during Modi regime, and, I will remind them and tell them that you must go and check the number. It is important for you to know the numbers; it is important for you to analyse your own performance. In 2004, when we handed over the baton to UPA-I,

the industrial investment growth was 24 per cent. And, I must tell you how it has come off. It has come off every year. I can give you the data of every year. I will request Opposition benches to must go and analyse every year how it has come off. Eventually, it has come from speed of 100 आपकी स्पीड हो गई 5-7 परसेंट, मतलब कि आप 100 किलोमीटर की रफ्तार से चल रहे थे, लेकिन आप 10 किलोमीटर की रफ्तार से भी कम हो गए, means the industrial investment growth when they handed over to us in 2014, it was 1.6 per cent. Where did we start? Where it was when we handed over the Government in 2004? It was 24 per cent. Likewise, when they speak about credit growth rate, it is important and the hon. Members must know, and not see the numbers or the average, you must see the statistics. When you see the statistics, when you analyse it, you see every single numbers, collate it and then make the average. I think it is important for us to know that in 2004 when we handed over the baton -- again, I am reminding you -- the credit growth rate at that point of time was 20.7 per cent. What does that indicate? It indicates that there was a huge momentum in the economy. With industrial investment growth, 24 per cent; credit growth of 20 per cent, there was momentum; there were a lot of economic activities. Everything was working in the right direction. And, then, what happened since 2006? Somebody was mentioning and I must tell you the numbers. The banks which, since 1947, since our Independence, had the total outstanding assets or book size of bank worth Rs. 18 lakh crore, in four-five years, ballooned to 54 lakh crore which was three times. How? अगर किसी आदमी की बोझ ढोने की क्षमता 50 किलोग्राम है, लेकिन हम उस पर 500 किलोग्राम का बोझ रख दें तो ज़ाहिर है कि वह चल नहीं पाएगा। Likewise, it happened in the case of Nationalized Banks. They made it possible, the hon. Minister of State in the Ministry of Finance, Shri Anurag Singh Thakur, day before yesterday, said about phone banking. Exactly, Sir, phone banking was the *mantra*, where without proper credit evaluation process, without doing any homework, loan was being sanctioned. Sir, hon. former Prime Minister is here, he is an Economist, I am sure he will understand what I am speaking. Most of the projects which had been funded at that time; if you discount with the discounting rate, you will have negative EBITDA. I had spoken about it during the discussion on Union Budget also, but, I could not speak in detail at that time. But, I must tell you, that at that time; most of the projects were making loss at inception. That means, it was forced to lend, or, there was an arrangement which had facilitated this lending. Eventually, what happened, Sir? Credit off take will have to come off. In our case, because all this has turned to NPA and all the corporates which had been lending were busy in deleveraging. When you are in deleveraging mode, how will you take loan from the bank? The Bank is not in a position because they have huge NPA. Then, you have corporate, actually their loan has turned NPA because they had taken loan in anticipation that they will siphon money or do whatever would be required. But, it was not a profitable project which will never kickstart, which never take off, still it had been funded. I think it is important. The Government played a very important role at that time. If it would have been a white paper at that time, the economy would have collapsed because I remember, at that point of time, people were talking across the globe, what is the status of economy in India? Why is there a policy paralysis? Everything was spoken by the global players because nothing was moving here. The people were only talking about how to hand over this economy which is in terrible shape, to another Government who has the ability to run it because we have seen in the past, from 1992 to 2004, they had the ability, they had the capacity, they had the honesty, they had the transparency, they had demonstrated everything to run a good governance model. So, they should run it. For this, they, and the whole world was waiting and eventually in happened in the year 2014. When our Government came, we did not go for white paper because it would have been against the interest of our nation and we never work against the interest of the people of our nation. We take steps not in the interest of the party, but, in the interest of the nation. This is the priority of our Government and that is why we did not say anything about it. Had we spoken about this at that time and taken everything out in open then probably, they would have never even had a chance to speak about the loan that they had sanctioned or facilitated at that point of time. Sir, you must understand our Government's initiative. Our Government's initiative is what? We want to develop modern infrastructure. What are the problems that these institutions were facing, all these institutions that were engaged in financing infrastructure project? You have to understand that, Sir. There were inherent issues. There were some issues which were adversely affecting these institutions, that is why, they did not last long. They did not have the long-dated resources. If you do not have long-dated resources, how will you fund long-dated loan or infrastructure project, because all these infrastructure projects are longdated? They are not meant for two or three years where you can fund out of banking book. So, we have a problem. The IDBI has been turned into a universal Bank. There was no institution which can potentially have long-term resources. Earlier, Sir, under Section 4A, it was defined in the Companies Act, an Institution which is defined as Development Financial Institution, including ICICI, I am not saying only public sector, including ICICI, which also became bank, was in a position to raise long-term money. But, long-term money means it has to come with retiring benefit plan, which is being run by pension fund or provident funds. So, all those retiring benefit funds can invest there. Insurance companies can invest there. All these institutions which have long [RAJYA SABHA]

term liability, they can invest in long-term assets. So, to some extent, they were able to raise resources on loan and fund it. But, Sir, when they turned into banks, they were not able to fund it because all these infrastructure projects are long-term projects. You have a bank where it primarily works on a model of CASA, current accounts and saving accounts and then you have time deposit, which is again running for one to three years. If you take a weighted average, you will have a maturity of one year not more than that. So, you have a liability on the bank which has the maturity of one year and you are funding an asset which has maturity of 14 years, 15 years, 20 years or 25 years. How can it happen, Sir? It means you are running a big mismatch. If you are running a big asset liability mismatch you are bound to fail. There is one more issue, Sir. The Government never emphasize. I will come to that point also that these are the issues which have been identified by this Bill, which have been addressed also. Like, for instance, Sir, if you force a bank to give a loan to an infrastructure project, which has a door-to-door maturity of 18 years or 20 years, but they have only one year liability, every time they have to raise resources from the overnight market to fund those projects which is not a sustainable model and especially as the hon. Finance Minister just stated that many of the projects are greenfield projects and it has no recourse. So, it is not collateralized based lending, it is primarily based on cash flows; and if you just do a back testing of all the years for funding all the infrastructure projects, there were two types of projects, Sir. One, I must say when the credit evaluation was done and to assess the cash flow modeling of all those projects, then many of the cases, yes, I agreed that it has been validated, revalidated and ascertained in a manner that, yes, it has enough headroom to sustain even if the cash flow varies to that sort to some extent. If there is volatility in the cash flows, in future cash flows, then, of course, it can sustain because we have to keep in certain cushion to ensure those kinds of kneejerk reaction. But, Sir, for most of the projects at that point in time, what was the approach of the bank? Bank's approach was to have as low as possible their exposure, means in terms of door to door tenure. So, if you have funding of 25 years projects, and if you want to fund it only for ten years, eventually tail risk will be there and either they will have to refinance or they will have to find a way out. Secondly, Sir, to ensure that because they knew that it cannot happen, they are actually projecting a cash flow which is not sustainable, which is not factually correct and that is why they suggest, yes, DSRA, that is the way it is evaluated, debt servicing cover ratio, it is something which is giving more than one per cent, means, there is a potential that this company can service those debts which have been outstanding on the book. So, those are the approaches which have actually been adopted by the various banks and eventually what happened? We have seen that many of the projects which could not take off for various reasons, which had problem because of the structure, they could not or the cash flow modeling which was done at that point in time was not factually correct or not taken the actual cash flow which will be generated by this company, so eventually, they had all become NPAs. They started defaulting because the bank was only interested that you have to have a monetization schedule or approach which actually safeguards their interest. So, it did work and that is why it was important, Sir, it was important, I am repeating, to set up a development financial institution which will ensure not only financing also developing the framework of infrastructure space. Sir, there is a famous book, "Thirikkural", I think, many hon. Members would know about this book. It is a pious book in South India, in that book, it has been suggested that where there is a good time and where there is good intent, it binds and it becomes a rope and binds the wealth. I think there cannot be better time and better opportunity for setting up a development financial institution now than ever in the past because we have a lot of things going for us. India has become a prominent global market. Yes, there are certain things which India needs to have, like the hon. Finance Minister just now mentioned about the bond market. If you see globally, you will find that unlike India where projects are funded by banks--there is no financial institution and in the absence of financial institution, it is funded by banks--if you take the western world, banks don't fund those projects. I must tell you; everyone must know that globally, banks don't fund those projects, but the other model is followed by us. Banks only fund for retail. Long-term projects are being funded by the capital market. We don't have a capital market. In the absence of liquid capital market, banks fund those projects here. So, the Government has realised this problem and that is why the Finance Minister has spoken that we need to develop that. One hon. Member spoke as to in what way it can be developed, though they failed to develop. It is not today's demand. Long-term bond market needs to be developed which has the liquidity where though you go down the rating curve, still a small corporate can access the market and raise resources. It is something which has been envisaged by our Government. I am sure, going forward with the hon. Finance Minister, under the leadership of the hon. Prime Minister, we will be able to develop it and demonstrate that we have the capability and capacity to do that. I don't want to go into detail though I can speak for hours on the bond market alone because this is something which plays to my strength. But, I can only remind that when the Government Securities Market opened for the market, then it is our Government in NDA-I which set up the primary dealership. Today, the kind of liquidity we have is something which everyone knows. Primary dealership plays a two way court; it creates liquidity. What

[RAJYA SABHA]

is important is that you have the market which actually has both, buyer and seller; that is something which can be done and which will be done by our Government. I am sure, we will have a liquid market and we will be able to demonstrate that the liquid bond market is something which is like what the western world has and we will be able to develop it here because all the infrastructure projects are being funded by the capital market. Today, the foreign resources which the hon. Member was talking about, that we shall not access the private capital, I think, it is important to realise that there is a cheap capital available across the globe. If you just access the global market, especially when you have a company like the New Development Finance Institution, which is backed by the Government, it will have a lot of resources available. We can channelize those resources for our infrastructure development. There are several sovereign funds which want to invest in our country. They know that the infrastructure is the one which has the right place in India wherever you look at-right, left or wherever-there is an opportunity--whether road, port and everything. There is an opportunity in the infrastructure space and they are looking for such opportunities. I think, you need to have a vehicle, to have a platform. Today, with this Development Finance Institution, we will have a perfect platform to channelize that private capital into the country, which will be actually used for developing a sound infrastructure financing in the country.

Sir, I will take few more minutes.

श्री उपसभापति : नहीं, माननीय ज़फर इस्लाम जी, पार्टी ने आपको जो टाइम दिया है, उसी के अनुसार चलिए, प्लीज़।

SHRI SYED ZAFAR ISLAM: Okay, Sir, as always, I will comply but today I will take liberty to take two more minutes.

While concluding, I would want to tell you, Sir, that this is the best time, the most opportune time. We have the demographic dividend. This will bring a lot of opportunity, prosperity and benefits to the country because we have a dream of a five trillion dollar economy. I am sure, the hon. Finance Minister would know at what CAGR rate, we will be able to achieve by 2025 the five trillion dollar economy. If I do calculation at the back of the envelope, I see that if we continue to grow at 11 per cent, we will be able to achieve the five trillion dollar economy. I must tell you that if we have the momentum in the infrastructure and the kind of investment we have envisaged, it would be easy to achieve. Jairamji said that the paid up capital is Rs. 1 lakh crore. I want to correct him; maybe, by mistake he has said it; the paid up capital is only Rs.20,000 crore. The authorized capital is Rs. 1 lakh crore. And on top of that,

the Government is also giving grant of Rs. 5000 crores. And why is Government giving grant? It is because the tax saving which we have, they have a debt coverage ratio. They have calculated and assumed that Rs. 5000 crores grant should be given to this organization. I don't know how it will be treated in the balance sheet. I don't know whether it will pass through P&L or it will sit on Government's asset side and the interest will be earned. All these modalities are there, but I just want to tell you one thing. It is this Government, which has envisaged five trillion dollar economy, modern assets, which will be able to deliver because we need, both in terms of the dream and in terms of the leadership quality, to take these important inputs together. Important legislation has been done and we will be doing to ensure that this is done. Thank you very much for giving me this opportunity.

SHRI SUJEET KUMAR (Odisha): Sir, this is a very important Bill. We all recognize and appreciate the need for world-class infrastructure in our country to meet the aspirations of five trillion dollar economy, to meet the dreams of our youth, to leverage the potential of demographic dividend that my previous speaker, hon. Member, Shri Zafar Saheb was talking about and to build this world-class infrastructure we definitely need financing. Many developed economies, be it UK, USA, Canada have legislations which have benefited those countries to meet their need for infrastructure financing. DFI is not new in India, as hon. Member Shri Jairam Ramesh has eloquently outlined the history of DFI in our country starting from 1940, be it IDFC, ICICI, IFCI, NABARD, SIDBI. So DFI as a concept is certainly not new in India. We all know that traditionally banks and financial institutions in our country finance infrastructural projects, but banks rely heavily on short term liabilities whereas infrastructure projects because of their long gestation of twenty years, thirty years or even fifty years are dependent on long term financing. So, exposure to short-term bank finance also distorts the asset liability equation of the banks. The other way of long term financing infrastructure is through the issuance of bonds and as hon. Member, Jairam Rameshji has also pointed out, the bond market in India is not deep. It is not liquid. It is pretty shallow. That is a separate argument as to why it is not deep and what can be done to deepen the bond market, but as on date the bond market in India is certainly not in a position to finance heavy infrastructural projects, be it roads, be it railways, be it port, be it Railways, be it energy or urban infrastructure. Hence, the need for a Bill like this which will pay the way for setting up of NaBFID (National Bank for Financing of Infrastructure and Development). This will be the principal development financial institution in the country which in turn is expected to fill the gaps in infrastructure financing which I just spoke about. Sir,

[RAJYA SABHA]

NaBFID will initially be wholly owned by the Government of India, but its stake will be gradually reduced to 26 per cent. So while diluting the equity, it is okay, I hope that the Government will ensure adequate checks, balances, and accountability. This DFI, the NaBFID, is expected to create a learning portfolio of at least Rs. five trillion in about three years. This is a huge sum which will potentially revolutionise Indian infrastructure, generate jobs, boost employment and lead to a virtuous cycle of economic growth in our country. In recent years, there were concerns about over a lakh of infrastructure financing. The previous DFIs, ICICI and IDBI have since been evolved into commercial banks. So, there was a need for a professionally managed DFI to act as an enabler, provider and catalyst for infrastructure financing. Against this backdrop, the DFI model has been revived to fund long gestation infrastructure projects, because there has been a spike in bank loans in recent years. In any case, banks liability profile is not suited for long-term funding as they are largely tailored for working capital.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude now.

SHRI SUJEET KUMAR: I will take one more minute. I wish to mention the National Infrastructure Pipeline of over Rs. 100 lakh crores. So, hopefully, this NaBFID will augment the aims and objectives of the National Infrastructure Pipeline.

Sir, to conclude, while setting up of new DFI in India through legislative and budgetary process is not a big challenge. Once it is established, the real challenge will be to adequately capitalize, manage and ensure that it is committed to its goals and objectives as articulated under the Statement of Objects and Reasons. ...(*Time-Bell rings*)...

Sir, the hon. Finance Minister promised a professional establishment. It remains to be seen how the Government micro manage the DFI. Thank you.

DR. BANDA PRAKASH (Telangana): Sir, I rise, on behalf of TRS, to support the Bill.

The Bill seeks to establish the National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development as the principal development financial institution for infrastructure financing. DFIs are set up for providing long-term finance for such segments of the economy where the risks involved are beyond the acceptable limits of commercial banks and other ordinary financial institutions. Unlike banks, DFIs do not accept deposits from people. They source funds from market, Government as well as multilateral institutions and are often supported through Government guarantees. Sir, initially, the Central Government will own 100 per cent share of the institution which may subsequently be reduced to 26 per cent and the remaining 74 per cent might be filled through FDI.

Financial objectives will be to directly or indirectly lend, invest, or attract investments for infrastructure projects located entire or partly in India. The Central Government will prescribe the sectors to be covered under the infrastructure domain. Sir, the Central Government will provide grants worth Rs. 5,000 crores to the proposed bank by the end of the first financial year. The Government will also provide guarantee at a concessional rate of up to 0.1 per cent for borrowings from the multilateral institutions, sovereign wealth funds and other foreign funds.

The Bill also provides for any person to set up a DFI by applying to RBI. The RBI may grant a license for DFI in consultation with the Government of India.

Sir, setting up a new DFI in India through budgetary and legislative measures is not a difficult task, but big challenges will emerge once established to ensure that it is well-capitalized, well-managed and remain committed to its distinct goals and core values. As Shri Jairam Ramesh mentioned, there should be some controls on such institutions. So, I request the hon. Finance Minister in this regard. The Bill can be amended to include controls on the DFI. If one feels that it has to run only on faith, it is very difficult under the present Indian conditions.

So, once again, I request the hon. Finance Minister, though it is a good initiative, that this is the high-time to mobilize resources, because the Government of India now taking up almost 900 infrastructure projects in the country. Therefore, it should be effectively maintained and whatever controls for this are needed need to be taken. Thank you.

SHRI SUBHAS CHANDRA BOSE PILLI (Andhra Pradesh): Sir, I thank you for having given me the opportunity. On behalf of the YSR Congress Party and myself, I support this Bill. This is the first major Budget announcement after yesterday's Finance Bill that the hon. Finance Minister is implementing and it clearly indicates the speed with which she wants to move. The objective is laudable since the DFI aims at pushing in long-term infrastructure funding which has become a big problem now.

The hon. Finance Minister has on record said that 6,000 brownfield and greenfield projects are pending for funding as of January, 2020.

Secondly, national infrastructure pipeline expanded to cover 7,400 projects with an investment of more than 100 lakh crores between 2020 and 2025. So, to achieve this, the need of the hour is the DFI. Hence, I support the Bill.

The hon. Finance Minister is aware that the DFI is not a new phenomenon and the UK's Commonwealth Development Corporation, which is also evincing interest to invest in DFI, is the mother of all the DFIs, as its objective is to work as a catalyst, fill the existing gaps and enhance lending in infrastructure sector.

My first point is, if you look at the Enacting Formula of the Bill, it clearly says that it supports development of long-term infrastructure financing and if you look at the definition of 'infrastructure' under sub-Clause 2(k), it says that it covers the list of infrastructure sectors notified by the Central Government from time to time. So, I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether DFI covers (i) social infrastructure such as education, healthcare, water supply, urban infrastructure, green infrastructure and (ii) digital infrastructure such as automation technologies, artificial intelligence, 5G, block-chain technologies, etc. or, does it focus only on conventional sectors like power, roads, telecom, etc.? This may kindly be clarified.

It is easy to find an investor for a project of Rs.400 crores or Rs.500 crores. But, if the project cost is Rs.2,000 crores or Rs.3,000 crores, it is not easy to get loan since appraisal, feasibility analysis and preparation of a DPR needs thorough intervention, analysis and it also needs money for this.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude.

SHRI SUBHAS CHANDRA BOSE PILLI: So, I wish to seek clarification from the hon. Minister whether DFI also has a mandate to provide finances for project feasibility studies.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Subhas Chandra Boseji, please conclude.

SHRI SUBHAS CHANDRA BOSE PILLI: Taking advantage of this debate, I wish to reiterate that Schedule 13 of the A.P. Reorganisation Act gives a mandate to the Government of India to take up and complete various infrastructure projects, be it Vizag-Chennai Industrial Corridor or metro or major port in Andhra Pradesh or steel plant at Kadappa, etc. So, I wish to seek clarification from the hon. Minister whether the proposed DFI will fund infrastructure projects as mandated under 13th Schedule to A.P. Reorganisation Act.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude now.

SHRI SUBHAS CHANDRA BOSE PILLI: With these observations, I support the Bill. Thank you.

श्री विशम्भर प्रसाद निषाद (उत्तर प्रदेश) : माननीय उपसभापति महोदय, मैं समाजवादी पार्टी की तरफ से 'राष्ट्रीय अवसंरचना वित्तपोषण और विकास बैंक विधेयक, 2021' पर बोलने के लिए खड़ा हुआ हूँ। माननीय जयराम रमेश जी ने इसके बारे में विस्तार से सारी बातें रख दी हैं। यह एक महत्वपूर्ण बिल है और इसमें जो महत्वपूर्ण बात कही गई है, वह यह है कि इसकी न तो सीएजी जाँच करेगी, न ईडी जाँच करेगी, न पार्लियामेंट की पीएसी जाँच करेगी, इसलिए इसको सेलेक्ट कमेटी में भेजने की आवश्यकता है। चूँकि यह महत्वपूर्ण बिल है और हमारे पास समय है, इसलिए इसको सेलेक्ट कमेटी में भेजने की आवश्यकता है। सरकार ने कहा है कि हमने इसमें 20 हज़ार करोड़ रुपए के पूँजी आधार के साथ तीन साल में पाँच लाख करोड़ रुपए का ऋण देने का लक्ष्य रखा है। यहाँ पर पहले भी DFI थे, जैसे IFCI, ICICI और IDBI.

12.00 Noon

अब इस बिल के माध्यम से यह जो डीएफआई स्थापित हो रहा है, इस संस्थान में निवेशकों से धन जुटाने के संबंध में छूट देने का प्रावधान है। इस विधेयक में बुनियादी विकास के ढांचे को मजबूत करने की बात कही गई है, लेकिन देखने को मिलता है कि बैंकों के निजीकरण पर जनता का विश्वास नहीं है। महोदय, बैंकिंग व्यवस्था किसी भी देश की अर्थव्यवस्था की नींव होती है। यदि बैंकों को नुकसान होता है, तो प्रत्येक व्यक्ति पर असर पड़ता है और हमने देखा है कि देश में ऐसे तमाम मामले आए - जैसे नीरव मोदी, मेहल चौकसी ने पीएनबी बैंक को 14 हज़ार करोड़ रुपए का नुकसान पहुंचाया, विजय माल्या ने हज़ारों करोड़ रुपए का नुकसान पहुंचाया, इसी तरह से और भी तमाम बैंकों में घोटाले हुए। आज पूरे देश में 130-135 करोड़ से ज्यादा लोग हैं, जिनमें से करीब 15 से 20 करोड लोग बेरोजगार हैं। हमें उनके बारे में भी सोचना चाहिए। इन्होंने देश का विकास करने की बात कही है, मैं कहना चाहता हूँ कि इसकी भी जरूरत है, लेकिन हमें देश के नौजवान बेरोजगारों की तरफ भी देखना पडेगा। आज बेरोजगारी चरम सीमा पर बढ रही है और देखने को मिल रहा है कि एक तरफ विकास तो हो रहा है, लेकिन जो बैंक हैं, सरकारी सेक्टर्स हैं, चाहे रेलवे हो या अन्य संस्थान हों, उनमें जो निजीकरण हो रहा है, उसकी वजह से देश के लोगों को बडी निराशा और हताशा हो रही है। उनसे केवल यही कहा जा रहा है कि जहाँ प्राइवेटाइज़ेशन होगा, वहाँ आरक्षण खत्म हो जाएगा और लोगों से कहा जाएगा कि आप 'मनरेगा' में काम करिए और पकौडे तलिए, तो देश के नौजवान लोग, जो देश की बडी सेना है, वे कहाँ जाएंगे? ज्यादातर शेयर प्राइवेट सेक्टर को दिए जा रहे हैं, यहाँ तक कि ग्रामीण बैंकों के संबंध में भी।

महोदय, कोई भी व्यक्ति, जो उद्योगपति होता है या जो बड़ी कम्पनियाँ होती हैं, वे कभी घाटे के लिए काम नहीं करती हैं, वे नफे के लिए काम करती हैं। इस देश में बड़ी कम्पनियाँ आनी चाहिए, इस देश का विकास होना चाहिए, लेकिन देश में जो बेरोजगार लोग हैं, उनका सरकारी सेक्टर पर ज्यादा विश्वास है, इसलिए इस पर ध्यान देने की आवश्यकता है। इस बिल में सबसे

बड़ी बात यह कही है कि इसे सब जाँचों से बाहर रखा गया है, इसलिए इसे सेलेक्ट कमिटी में भेजने की आवश्यकता है, धन्यवाद।

SHRIMATI JHARNA DAS BAIDYA (Tripura): Sir, I thank you for allowing me to speak on the National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development Bill, 2021.

For any developing country, including India, financing of infrastructure project is a challenge. Generally, these projects are not commercially viable and do not provide high returns. At the same time, they carry multiple risks, the risk of execution, low tariff collection, and escalation in financing cost. Therefore, it is important for the Government to set out a structured financing mechanism for these projects.

The earlier DFIs were created during the pre-economic liberalization era. During that period, given the controlled nature of the economy and a protected industry, lending to the industry was relatively simpler. The business model of DFIs was uncomplicated.

Post the economic liberalization in 1991, when the industry started opening and a lot of special benefits to the DFIs were withdrawn, the equation suddenly changed. At that time, there were three large DFIs that were involved in financing the large greenfield industrial projects. They are IDBI, ICICI-NSE whose financing was 2.26 per cent and IFCI-NSE whose financing was 4.63 per cent.

At a time when the Government is bent on privatising some of the public sector banks, creating a sense of anxiety and anger among the 10 lakh employees in the banking sector, they are already out on the street, protesting. In fact, they have issued a warning that if the Government goes ahead with this privatization move, they may resort to a long bank *bandh* and agitation.

Sir, the current Bill does not contain adequate provisions of National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development's accountability to the stakeholders and the public at large. In conclusion, setting up of a new DFI in India through budgetary and legislative measures is not a difficult task. But big challenges will emerge once it is established to ensure that it is well-capitalised, well-managed, and remains committed to its distinct goals and core values.

PROF. MANOJ KUMAR JHA (Bihar): Sir, the Bill is called the National Bank of Financing Infrastructure and Development Bill, 2021. इसमें डेवलपमेंट पर बहुत जोर है। I believe and I quote, "Very few words are as feeble, as fragile and as incapable of giving substance and meaning to thought and behavior as 'development'." World over, this word itself is in a crisis, and I am not talking about the crisis of development.

सर, जयराम रमेश जी ने हम लोगों में से बहुतों का काम बहुत आसान कर दिया। जयराम जी, शुक्रिया। माननीय डिप्टी चेयरमैन सर, आज़ादी के बाद इस तरह के कई प्रयोग हुए। सिर्फ इस हुक़ूमत के साथ नहीं, हमारे साथ भी यह दिक्कत है कि हम अपने इतिहास से सबक नहीं लेते, ऐसा कोई कह भी रहे थे। जिन institutions को हमने बनाया, उनकी असफलताओं का जो कारण रहा, उन कारणों की पड़ताल के बगैर अगर आप एक नई बॉडी बना रहे हैं, जो कि huge body है, विशाल बॉडी है, जो कि रौंदने की भी क्षमता रखती है, तो मैं समझता हूँ कि उस पर एक बार पुनर्विचार हो। सर, मैं यह सलाह के नज़रिये से कह रहा हूँ।

Sir, Clause 5 says, "The Centre shall own at least 26 per cent of stock at all the times." यह बड़े गर्व का विषय है कि 26 परसेंट हमारे पास रहेगा, 74 परसेंट कहीं और रहेगा। अब मुझे Madam Finance Minister से यह जानना होगा कि how many countries in the world actually allow private capital with this quantum of controlling stake. That is a point of worry. I hope you take my worry away. दूसरी बात, आत्मनिर्भरता का बड़ा ज़िक्र होता है। मैं सोचता हूँ कि यह कौन-सी आत्मनिर्भरता का मॉडल है? हमने बचपन से जो पढ़ा और सीखा, कम-से-कम उसमें तो यह नहीं आता है। अगर यह आत्मनिर्भरता है, तो 'आत्म' और 'निर्भर' के बीच में इतना बड़ा hyphen है, जो शायद कभी भरा न जा सके।

Sir, I now come to Clause 35. जयराम जी oversight की बात कर चुके हैं। किसी भी बॉडी के निर्माण के साथ उसकी निगरानी या पर्यवेक्षण का होना एक बहुत महत्वपूर्ण पहलू होता है, चाहे आप कोई भी बॉडी बनाएँ। इसमें कहा गया है, 'neither any investigation agency shall conduct any enquiry or investigation into any offence alleged to have been committed under any law nor any court shall take cognizance of an offence punishable under any law...' .सर, यह पारदर्शिता पर पर्दा डाल रहा है। Madam Finance Minister, with a good intention you have to actually jettison some of these doubts, clarify them and tell us that it actually doesn't mean this. That is why I believe कि हमें यह कोशिश करनी चाहिए थी कि इसको हम सेलेक्ट कमिटी में भेजते, एक बार तमाम स्टेकहोल्डर्स से बात होती और उसके बाद यह आता।

माननीय वित्त मंत्री साहिबा, आपने बजट में भी कहा था कि 7,000 projects have been identified under the project for National Infrastructure Development. मैं आपसे यह जानना चाहूँगा कि इसमें से बिहार के हिस्से में कितना है? सर, मैं अपने राज्य को लेकर आजकल बार-बार एक चीज़ कह रहा हूँ कि बिहार को विकास की भूख है। मैं आप ही की सरकार की बात नहीं करता हूँ, बल्कि मैं यह कहूँगा कि सन् 1947 के बाद से बिहार को उसका हिस्सा, उसका हक़ नहीं मिला है। बहुत बड़ी-बड़ी बातें हुईं, लेकिन बिहार को उसका हक़ नहीं मिला। सर, मैं फिर दोहराता हूँ कि आप बिहार के विकास के लिए इस पाइपलाइन में इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर के कुछ प्रोजेक्ट्स लीजिए और उसकी भूख को खत्म कीजिए। माननीय उपसभापति महोदय, मैं एक शेर के साथ अपनी बात समाप्त कर रहा हूं:-

> "जब हम ही न महके फिर साहेब, तुम बाद-ए-सबा कहलाओ तो क्या। कुछ दिन तो बसो मेरी आंखों में, फिर ख्वाब अगर हो जाओ तो क्या॥"

[RAJYA SABHA]

DR. NARENDRA JADHAV (Nominated): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I rise to wholeheartedly support this National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development Bill, 2021. Sir, the main challenge post-Covid 19 is to pull up the economy from unprecedented depth to which it has reached. As we are aware, in the financial year 2021, the real GDP growth rate is estimated to be minus 7.7 per cent. Since we want to pull the economy up from the depth that it has reached, the principal objective, as I see it, of the Budget 2021 was to facilitate the real economic growth as much as possible. There are predictions of more than 11 per cent growth in the coming financial year. Achieving high growth is not a big challenge, but sustaining that growth is a big challenge. If we want to achieve and sustain the high economic growth, the Indian economy is going to need a very strong infrastructure base. The Government has already been doing remarkably well in the arena of infrastructure and this will get a big boost in terms of setting up this particular bank through National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development Bill, 2021. My colleague and hon. Member, Jairam Rameshii, made a number of points and I am sure the hon. Finance Minister will take care of the points that were made by him. I personally do not want to go into the political economy of the infrastructure, but I would rather look at it from purely an economist's point of view. Another hon. Member, while defending Zafarji, talked about China's huge infrastructure investment. This is absolutely correct. But let us not forget that the Gross Domestic Saving Rate of China has been unprecedentedly and exceptionally high. So, what we do need to do is to encourage and promote Gross Domestic Saving Rate in India which, after achieving the peak of 38 per cent of GDP, has now come down to 31 per cent or 32 per cent and this needs to be changed. I am sure the hon. Finance Minister will take care of it in the forthcoming Budget. I agree with the hon. Member, Zafar*ii*, in as much as he says that the timing today is most propitious for tapping Foreign Direct Investment, especially when several investors are withdrawing from China and looking for opportunities elsewhere. Also, today, the global economic condition is such that very large amount of funds are sloshing around in the global economy and we must take advantage of that and we must make the best of the opportunity. Therefore, I believe that our policy would have to be two-pronged. On one hand, we promote domestic savings and on the other hand, we promote Foreign Direct Investment into the infrastructure sector with adequate precautions. With all these remarks, I commend the Bill for passing. Thank you.

SHRI NARAIN DASS GUPTA (National Capital Territory of Delhi): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, thank you for giving me this opportunity. When I was going through this Bill, I noticed clause 5 about allotment of shares. Originally, the shares will be allotted to the Central Government, but these will be retained to the extent of 26 per cent and the rest will move to other institutions. These are: multilateral institutions, sovereign wealth funds, pension funds, insurers, financial institutions, banks, etc. Out of these, the definitions of only two institutions have been given under clause 2, that is, 'insurers' and the 'pension funds'. The definitions for other shareholders and contributors have not been given. I would like to know the reason for not giving all these definitions. Seventy per cent share capital will not be held by the Indians, but by the outside firms. From the draft of the Bill, it seems that it has not been drafted in India. It looks as if it has been drafted somewhere else. The reason is that there are a number of things which have been given. If we go through clause 37, it has been mentioned that the validity of the loans and advances given will not be challenged by anyone. As Shri Jairam Ramesh has said, it is not subject to any scrutiny, neither by the CAG nor by any other independent authority or the surveillance. So, it will not be challenged by any legal authority. I fail to understand as to why this clause 37 has been drafted like this.

(THE VICE-CHAIRMAN, SHRIMATI VANDANA CHAVAN, in the Chair)

Our friends in the Treasury Benches have stated the reasons for bringing this legislation. They have stated that it will not only provide finance but it will develop the infrastructure. But, as Shri Jairam Ramesh has said, there are already about ten institutions which are in this business of providing finance for development of infrastructure. Members from the ruling side mentioned that there are certain inherent problems in those institutions and they failed to do the job. So, why are we creating this new institution? I have noticed one thing. अभी हम जिस पीरियड से गुज़र रहे हैं, उसमें आप निजीकरण कर रहे हैं और PSUs को प्राइवेटाइज़ेशन की तरफ ले जाकर एक और PSU क्रिएट कर रहे हैं। सरकार की policy में यह विरोधाभास है कि already जो PSUs हैं, उनका निजीकरण किया जा रहा है और इस बिल में प्रावधान करके और एक नया PSU लाया जा रहा है।

Then, I would like to say that this audit provision should be through the CAG because as per clause 27, it has been stated that it will work as per the Companies Act. It has been stated that to start with, it will be financed by the Government of India. So, it should be subject to scrutiny by the CAG because there is no other agency which has been authorised to do the scrutiny of the business of this new, I

would say, finance company. With these words, I would like to say that this Bill should be sent to a select committee. Let a select committee apply its mind and see what the issues are and how these can be addressed. Thank you, Madam.

SHRI KANAKAMEDALA RAVINDRA KUMAR (Andhra Pradesh): Thank you, Madam, for giving me this opportunity to speak on the National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development Bill, 2021. I think, the intention of starting this bank may be very good. There is a sovereign guarantee being given. The idea to give sovereign guarantee on loans is a very good attempt. We want development banks, we want infrastructure banks. The whole idea of this Bill is long-term sustainability. This Bill is going to have very serious financial implications on our infrastructure projects. Infrastructure is an enabling thing for growth and development. India is a signatory to the Sustainable Development Goals, 2030. Improving the condition of living and improving the physical quality of life of each individual is the core of SDGs. According to a recent estimate, India would need to spend more than 4.5 trillion dollars in infrastructure by 2030 and to realise the vision of 5 trillion dollar economy by 2025, adequate investment in infrastructure will meet the above mention mentioned factors. Every Government needs financial strength to implement any infrastructure projects. If a project is stalled due to any reason and if finance is not received in specific timeframe, it will become stressed asset. The bank which financed the projects will face the issue of the NPA. There are various bottlenecks in implementation of infrastructure projects. There are several instances where various international funding agencies have pulled out from the projects. For example, a Korean company was keen to finance the Light Metro Rail Project in Visakhapatnam in public-private partnership mode but after examining the proposal, the Korean side has conveyed its inability to finance the project and the project is still a non-starter.

For the last seven years, the write-off amount is more than two lakh crore of rupees in ten public sector banks and, Madam, the recovery is very less, maybe less than 10 per cent, subject to correction. Recovery of rest of the amount is the main question. We have to address the above issues before passing this Bill. The need of the hour is seriousness in enforcement of projects. The instant Bill is designed in such a way that it will provide necessary impetus to infrastructure sector.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI VANDANA CHAVAN): Please conclude.

SHRI KANAKAMEDALA RAVINDRA KUMAR: I am concluding, Madam. Finally, I would like to speak about Clause 35. It says that there is no CBI, etc. etc. I think,

there is a need to have a rethink on this clause. However, under these circumstances, the move of the Government to bring this legislation is welcome. I hope and believe that all the stalled projects across the country will see the light of the day. Thank you very much.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI VANDANA CHAVAN): Thank you. Now, Shri Satish Chandra Misra.

SHRI SATISH CHANDRA MISRA (Uttar Pradesh): Madam, Vice-Chairman, first of all, I thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak. Due to time constraint, I would straightway come to the main issues. While applauding the move of the Government to establish this National Bank for the purposes of development -- which should be the core thing for this country and which is very much required at this moment -- I would like to say that it should not be the presumption that because we have failed in earlier attempts, this attempt should also fail. Here, I would like to seek some clarifications from the hon. Finance Minister, and, I am sure that she must be having answers to those clarifications.

My first clarification is with regard to Clause 5 wherein there is a mention of 'foreign investment', which is also mentioned in Clause 22. This 'foreign investment' can be taken to what extent? Madam, the expression 'multilateral institutions' has not been defined. To what extent the foreign investment can be taken, whether it can be entire 74 per cent or there will be some restriction in that. It requires to be clarified and I am sure that the hon. Finance Minister must be having the answer.

Madam, my second clarification is regarding Clause 20 which says that the performance will be reviewed by an external agency every five years but the expression 'external agency' has not been defined in the Definition clause or anywhere. Which will be this 'external agency' and what is meant by this 'external agency', and, why 'every five years', why not every year? The things which have gone wrong in five years cannot be rectified in sixth year. Why has a gap of five years been prescribed is something which I would like to know from the Finance Minister.

Now, I come to Clause 29, which permits private players also to set up financial institutions on the same lines. Now, whether this provision was required to be brought into this Act, which is specifically with respect to a Government bank. It is a National Bank. Whether private investors who will be permitted to do similar things, will they get all those immunities which are being provided to the National Bank? It is not clear. What are the norms and conditions that they will have to follow? In Clause 35, it has been mentioned that the investigating agencies including CBI, ED, Police,

Serious Fraud Investigation Office, etc., will not have any right to investigate. It is not correct to say that they have no right at all. You have provided in clause 35 itself that it can be done with the previous approval of the competent authority, which has been defined.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI VANDANA CHAVAN): Please conclude.

SHRI SATISH CHANDRA MISRA: I am concluding, Madam. I would like to say to the hon. Finance Minister that the third proviso to this clause 35 negates the entire section. The first and second proviso mention the time limit within which a sanction or approval has to be given. But then, the third proviso says that if it is not given within this time, it will not be deemed to be automatically given. That means if the competent authority does not give approval at all, this period of proviso first and second has no meaning left. So, it may be considered what this clause of proviso 3 says, that if it is not given, it will not negate. What is the impact of that? Thank you.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI VANDANA CHAVAN): Thank you, hon. Member. Now, Shri Praful Patel. He is not present in the House. So, now, the hon. Minister.

SHRIMATI NIRMALA SITHARAMAN: Thank you very much, Madam. I thank all the hon. Members who have very briefly but obviously raised very critical issues about this Bill which is seeking the acceptance of the House to get passed. I will try to answer all the questions that have been raised. Largely, on many critical issues, I find Members have referred back to the initial questions posed by hon. Member, Jairam Ramesh. So, the twelve Members who have spoken today and the issues that they have raised largely reel around the critical questions raised by hon. Member, Jairam Rameshji. But before I respond to the important questions raised by Jairamji, I would like to just very quickly tell about the institution itself.

(MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair)

Hon. Chairperson, Sir, this is definitely a unique institution. Whilst India has always benefited -- irrespective of party politics or the Government, which is run by this party or that party -- by the various institutional mechanisms which have been created every now and then, either with the statute or outside of it, they have all been created to benefit and to service the needs of the time for which they have come out.

(MR. CHAIRMAN in the Chair)

So, as the institutions were set up, as they contributed towards India's developmental requirements and as time passed by, when their character and the references made to them also had to adapt and change accordingly, some changes were coming in and some adaptations happened. However, as one of our hon. Members observed, many of them have outlived their time. We have to obviously learn lessons from all of them, but yet not be so close-minded and 'well, we have tried that earlier, why should we go back today' need not be a handicap. On the contrary, sometimes, when it is necessary, we may have to go back to a set up which is, in this case, a statutorily backed institution. Many of the countries in the West which are, if I can use loosely the term, very capitalist in nature, have also adopted that the Parliament-backed institution will have to give that kind of heft for institutions which are funding development. So, we are not doing anything in the sense of decrying institutions which existed earlier but now going back to doing the same thing. It is clearly the need of the hour and the need of the next 25 years in India's developmental story that we need an institution with that kind of an architecture which has both, the strength of the Government backing it and at the same time, being able to face the competition in the market. This Act itself, as all hon. Members would have recognised, gives space for private institutions also to come up for whom we are giving the tax benefits for just five years, or the first five years, whereas this institution gets the tax benefit for the first ten years. We have learnt from the earlier experiences and, therefore, we have designed it in such a way that it has the blend of both. I will just go back to underlining the need, establishing the need, as to why we need an institution of this nature now. The 2019 Budget had the Budget announcement of hundred lakh crore rupees which will be invested for infrastructure over the next five years. By that year-end, we came up with a National Infrastructure Pipeline which had more than 6,000 projects then and now which has grown up to 7,000 projects all of which relate to infrastructure. And infrastructure is just not roads and bridges here. The definition of what constitutes infrastructure is also something which I very clearly want to refer to and I would like to inform the Members about it. There's a notification of April 2016 which also very clearly identifies infrastructure sub-sectors. Many Members asked what it is. 'Infrastructure means only roads and bridges.' Transport, energy, water, telecommunications, sanitation, social and commercial infrastructure are well defined. This institution will fund all of those activities. It is not just going to confine itself to bridges, shipyards and ports. Social infrastructure includes educational institutions. So capital stock of the institution will be treated as

[RAJYA SABHA]

infrastructure. When it comes to hospitals, their capital stock will be treated as infrastructure. These are also part of what is defined as 'infrastructure' which this developmental finance institution will fund. This list itself is rather self-explanatory about how expansive this institution is going to be looking at in terms of the field for which it is being created.

Sir, from among the lessons learned is the fact that developmental finance is highly risky. It is an area which has a long gestation period. You need a higher credit cost to be calculated and therefore failure possibility also becomes part of calculation. This is an area in which, like the way we have seen in the last decade or so, regular scheduled commercial banks entering this domain always create asset-liability mismatch. Because these banks do short-term borrowing and lend for a long-term period and as a result of which there is always going to be an asset-liability mismatch which is not going to be good for the financial sector.

The other point, which very clearly need to be recognized, even at the beginning, is that it is not as if we are dependent only on FDI. It is not as if we are dependent only on sovereign funds which come to invest in Indian infrastructure. I agree that Indian infrastructure will have to be funded even by Indian savings, Indian investments. But to create Indian investments or to create an ecosystem for Indian investments to be able to invest in building infrastructure, the ecosystem will have to have some kind of institutional arrangement which is what I said in the Budget and it was rightly pointed out by Shri Jairam Ramesh. I will give a separate answer for that as to what is that institutional mechanism. The hon. Member raised this question saying, 'What is that institutional mechanism which you think you are going to bring in both for deepening bond markets and for funding infrastructure?' For funding infrastructure, I am speaking today about this statutory all India financial institution that we are creating which will have both developmental and financial objectives. Developmental objective is that it shall be the provider, that it shall be the enabler and that it shall be the catalyst for the ecosystem of infrastructure funding. It will also support every attempt to deepen and to bring in more liquid bond market of international standards. It will facilitate development of derivative markets and also other innovative financial instruments.

Sir, on the financial side, very clearly, it will be the institution which will lend for infra projects and, more importantly, it will establish a credible framework for both equity and debt investments. It will attract investments from both domestic and global institutional investors as well as domestic retail investors. We are not just depending on FDI. We need to recognize that we have made a provision for leveraging both the capital available within the country and also through the global institutions.

On ownership, very quickly, all of you have very clearly read that and spoken about it. We start with hundred per cent and eventually, after a long time, it will come down to 26 per cent. But there will always be 26 per cent presence of the Government at all times.

Very quickly, I will speak on governance structure and management. It will be professionally run. The Government will appoint only the Chairman. But the institutional arrangement for appointment of Members, MDs and DMDs will be done and recommended by the Bank Board Bureau, BBB. And it will be vetted and finalized by the Nomination and Remuneration Committee of the Board. So, the appointments will be done by the Board and not by the Government. So, it will have to be professionally run. All the safeguards have been provided. On that point, a lot of Members have raised a question saying that we have given them safeguards but we have given them far too many safeguards and they are not going to be answerable to anybody. I will definitely answer that by going back for a minute to clause 26 if I remember correct. In clause 26 in Chapter-VI of the Bill, which is before us for consideration, you will see that in para (5). I will read that as a quotation from here. It says that the Institution -- it is this institution that we are considering today -- shall furnish to the Central Government and the Reserve Bank within four months from the date on which its accounts are closed and balanced, a copy of its balance-sheet and accounts together with a copy of the auditor's report and a report of the working of the Institution during the relevant year. Hon. Member, Shri Satish Misra, did raise the point of why only five years. No; it is every year, but the report later by a third party is once in five years. Here we are providing for every year. Further it says that the Central Government shall, as soon as may be after they are received by it, cause the same to be laid before each House of Parliament. We are getting that audited report to be placed in each House of Parliament. Then, it says that the Institution shall furnish, from time to time, to the Central Government and to the Reserve Bank of India, such returns as the Central Government or the Reserve Bank may require. Every time the Government would want something from them, they will demand of it and it will have to be submitted by them. The point that hon. Member, Shri Satish Misra, raised was for a third party assessment about their whole functioning which can be done once in five years. That is what we have said. Otherwise, every year, audited accounts come to each House of Parliament. I want to absolutely state upfront that it is part of the Act itself. We are not saying that we are going to bring it in rules. It is made as a part of the Act that this will come to Parliament.
[RAJYA SABHA]

Sir, we have already spoken about the resource raising capacity. I understand that Shri Jairam Ramesh was talking about capital. We have provided the authorised capital of Rs.1,00,000 crore and we have given equity of Rs.20,000 crore, and an amount of about Rs.5,000 crore is given as grant so that they can immediately start looking at ways in which cost of funds can be brought down. So, they will have something in lieu of potential cost savings expected from the issue of tax-free bonds and so on. Then, there was an issue about sovereign guarantee. I want to just underline that yes sovereign guarantee is being provided but that is a provision provided under clause 17(3). It is an enabling provision with decision to be taken by the Government. So, it is not as if it is a blanket provision. It is an enabling provision which is mentioned under clause 17(3). Sir, resource raising capacity is something which is very clear priority sector lending shortfalls. We will take that also on board. Then, access low cost funding even for the priority sector lending, which sometimes suffer for want of resources, will also be addressed by this. Even better is that this institution will be able to access the line of credit from RBI. Therefore, it will be possible for it to very quickly meet up the demands, particularly from the social sector for creating infrastructure in that area. There are other notable features of this Bill to which I would want to draw the attention of the hon. Members. The framework to enable RBI to issue licences and to issue regulations for granting of licences for other infrastructure-focussed DFIs in the private sector is also provided for in this Bill. So, what will happen is, RBI, if it finds an applicant needs regulation, as stipulated by it, is completely compliant, they will be in a position to consider issuing licenses. So, there will be, for healthy competition, creation of DFIs in the private areas also. As I said earlier, they will be exempt from tax for five years. The institution and another DFIs will operate within the prudential framework of RBI. This institution shall also have that role of the institution to resolve disputes which may arise in the field of infrastructure funding.

Sir, broadly, the character of the institution is, as I have described here. Specific issues of concern, which were raised by hon. Member Shri Jairam Ramesh and which was referred to by many hon. Members, is, this is not beyond oversight. The reports, every year, are going to come to both Houses of the Parliament. Audited reports are going to come in here. And as an when the Government is going to ask them for report, they are duty bound to give it and it shall come here. So, Parliament's oversight annually is envisaged and built into the Act itself. So, that question definitely gets answered.

There was this question; it is all right, you are looking at funds coming from elsewhere. What happens to incentivizing savings within the country? How are

Indian savings going to be? DFI will need long-term funds and that is wellrecognized. It will, therefore, have to be able to issue long-dated paper domestically and which, in turn, will encourage domestic savings. And with this said, I now go to that point which again Shri Jairam Ramesh raised about my Budget announcement. I want to read that from the Budget: "To instill confidence among the participants in the corporate bond market during times of stress and to generally enhance secondary market liquidity, it is proposed to create a permanent institutional framework. The proposed body would purchase investment grade debt securities both in stressed and normal times and help in the development of the bond market." This is what I had said in the Budget. This explains as to how this institutional arrangement is being envisaged. Mutual funds, as we know, account for 60 per cent to 70 per cent of trades and corporate bond market, commercial papers and certificate of deposits thereby facilitating fundraising by issuers who are banks, corporates, NBFCs and also Housing Finance Corporations. A cardinal principle of mutual funds is liquidity. Their liquidity depends on liquidity of their invested instruments, particularly, corporate bonds. However, liquidity, illiquidity and market freeze is particularly dominant in corporate bond market, especially, around stress times, and distress selling by mutual funds leading to a contagion effect. We saw recently how because of one of the mutual funds, having a genuine problem, it had a very rattling effect in the market. So, there is clearly an advantage, which all of us recognize, in moving to the bond market from a bank finance-led model to avoid asset-liability mismatch, issues which arise out of it, transparent pricing and also to take more market-oriented systems. And that is why, as the financial sector evolves from a bank-dominated system to a more market-oriented system, supporting financial markets become an important aspect and important step which the Government has to be responsible about. Hence, a permanent arrangement, mentioned in my Budget, of an SPV facility is considered for providing liquidity so that they can act as the buyer of the last resort during stress times and to some extent even acting as a market maker during times of peace. The SEBI, therefore, will be setting up a fund titled Corporate Debt Market Development Fund (CDMDF). Sir, it will be set up as an alternative investment fund to provide this liquidity facility to mutual funds and other participating institutional investors in the corporate bond market. This essentially means it will function as the buyer of the last resort for stressed corporate bonds which are of investment grade during stressed times. So, the key mandate of this particular facility which the SEBI is creating as a development fund, trading will be one of it, trading in corporate debt with the focus on below AAA-rated papers. But, however, those papers will have to be of investment grade during non-stressed periods. The second and the final is to

[RAJYA SABHA]

have capability to buy and hold single or a basket of corporate debt securities from secondary market during times of systemic stress. We saw that happening during late 2019 and, also, when liquidity was an issue and when NBFCs were sitting with a lot of papers which were not even investment grade. There was a lot of effort put by the Government together with the Reserve Bank to sort that out between the NBFCs and the banks. That experience has been teaching us a few things which I am glad the SEBI is addressing in this form so that they will have a given formulation to address such stress during stressful time and during peaceful times how to handle it. So, the SEBI is working out the operational details of this facility in consultation with the Department of Economic Affairs. The special SPV is proposed to be jointly set up with the contribution of the mutual funds and also other institutional investors. However, the majority ownership shall be with the subsidiary of a public sector mutual fund. So, that is the arrangement, in short, which was indicated in the Budget Speech. I think, also one other issue, to which I would like to draw the attention of the Members, and for them to take cognizance of, and this is a very interesting aspect which SEBI had mentioned to me even during the COVID period. There is naturally a tendency now, particularly, the savings which comes out of middle classes to not be risk covers. So, they do not mind moving out of post office savings or bank savings into these stock markets. They are looking at very credible, well-listed, transparent, board-driven decision-making companies and directly investing in them. So, Indian savings is not purely now dependent on risk free public sector savings, it is also moving into the stock market. How do we establish that? I have got a monthby-month data in the year running from January, 2020 to February, 2021. The growth in the number of demat accounts opened during the month, demat accounts of which 99 per cent of them, of these demats, are of individual category accounts. So, they are not large funds which are investing but the demat accounts, here that I am telling you is of individual category accounts. If in January, 2020, there were six lakh thirty thousand two hundred and ninety two demat accounts opened during a month, that today, is gone to seventeen lakh eighty eight thousand six hundred and fifty four individual accounts. Now, if it is during the month, they, obviously, open and shut and at the end of the month is the indicator that we take. There has been a 27 per cent growth in the end of the month account, that which continues to be there. On February, 2020, there were four crore one lakh twenty nine thousand two fifty two demat accounts at the end of the month, which means they continue to be there, and which today, has reached five crores thirty one lakh fourteen thousand and eight hundred, a 27 per cent increase which means, today, Indian savings indicator is not just that which goes into savings accounts which are risk free in the banks or in post offices but they are moving towards directly engaging in the stock market with listed stock shares which are opened there for everybody to see. Many of them may not probably even go through the mutual fund routes. So, these are the ways in which the savings' attitudes of the Indian citizens are changing and institutions have to make provision for it, like the way the SEBI is thinking in terms of a fund, like the way this DFSI is coming up, like the way the NIIF (National Infrastructure Investment Fund) is, all of which have a chance and, I take this opportunity, like the way LIC funds infrastructure. No doubt, it was rightly pointed out by many Members. But LIC should also now be accessible for that retail investor who wants to invest in them. So this IPO is not for Government to get out of it whereas to make more Indians to participate in it, to put the money. A very large amount of what LIC has today is policyholders' money, whereas, when individuals want to become owners of it, they are being given an opportunity by the IPO so that they can invest. To have policyholders' money is one thing, but to have Indian retail investors to become owners of shares of LIC is a totally different thing. I think we have to recognise both these much before we even think in terms of, "Oh! What is happening? Is it all getting privatised?" No! Many of the IPO owners which are going to the retail investors are making retail Indian owners to become part of the LIC's ownership framework. Sir, these are the broad features. I think I have answered many of the hon. Members' concerns. One last point which hon. Member, Shri Satish Chandra Misra, raised is, private *versus* public, treatment is not at par. Obviously, I cannot give everything that I give for a Government-run DFI to a private institution. I give the initial five-year tax incentive only because I want more funds to come to them also. It should not become like accredited Government institution with all the lollypops, and the private one does not have any and, therefore, they are not getting equal treatment. I have extended the tax benefits for five years. But after that, they should be smart enough to become competitive enough. I think I have answered as to why five years.

SHRI SATISH CHANDRA MISRA: Third proviso, Clause 35.

SHRIMATI NIRMALA SITHARAMAN: I did not notice that. Can I please take the liberty of writing back to you on it in detail?

SHRI SATISH CHANDRA MISRA: In the second proviso, it is said that within three months, the competent authority will give the approval extended by one month with reasons. But the third proviso says that if it is not given approval within this time, it will not be required.

[RAJYA SABHA]

SHRIMATI NIRMALA SITHARAMAN: Yes, I got you. It very clearly explains that within a certain period you expect it to give the clearance and if you don't, normally, you have a positive affirmation saying, "It should be deemed as given." Here, we are putting it the other way, it is not deemed as necessarily given. You take it within three months; otherwise, sorry, no, it is not happening. That is the implication. With that, Sir, I hope I have answered all the hon. Members' concerns and I appeal to everybody to pass the Bill.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

That the Bill to establish the National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and

Development to support the development of long term non-recourse infrastructure financing in India including development of the bonds and derivatives markets necessary for infrastructure financing and to carry on the business of financing infrastructure and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto, as passed by Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration.

The motion was adopted.

MR. CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up Clause-by-Clause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 to 48, the First Schedule, the Second Schedule and the Third Schedule were added to the Bill. Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, the hon. Finance Minister to move that the Bill be passed.

SHRIMATI NIRMALA SITHARAMAN: Sir, I move: That the Bill be passed.

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

*SPECIAL MENTIONS

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, we shall take up permitted Special Mentions. Because of the paucity of time, the Member whose name is called should raise in their seat and say, with just the mention of subject, that I lay it on the Table of the House. Shrimati Kanta Kardam; not present. Now, Shri A.D. Singh.

Demand to enhance budgetary allocation to meet environmental targets under the Paris agreement

SHRI A.D. SINGH (Bihar): Sir, under the Paris Agreement, our country has committed to achieve the following targets by 2030. These targets are, (i) achieve 33 to 35 per cent cut in emissions from 2005 levels, (ii) forty per cent power generation through renewable sources, and (iii) create additional carbon sink of 2.5 to 3.0 gigatonnes of CO2.

There has been a consistent drop in budgetary allocation to Environment and Forest sector both at the Central as well as at the State level by more than 50 per cent. A steady increase in budgetary allocations to meet our international commitments is necessary. On the other hand, our air is one of the most polluted air in the world. Our rivers are drying. The present generation needs clean air and water.

I would urge the Government to earmark at least one per cent of the Budget to the Environment and Forest sector both in case of Centre and States. We need to put our money where our mouth is, to help us achieve the additional 2.5 to 3.0 gigatonnes of carbon sink. There is a need to increase the area under the protected area network to protect wildlife. The Government should ensure that the Forest Conservation Act, 1980 is not diluted. The Government should implement 'Polluter Pays' principle by making changes in the laws. Sir, compliance to pollution laws should be cheaper than violating them. Thank you.

श्री विशम्भर प्रसाद निषाद (उत्तर प्रदेश)ः महोदय, मैं माननीय सदस्य द्वारा उठाए गए विषय से स्वयं को सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

चौधरी सुखराम सिंह यादव (उत्तर प्रदेश)ः महोदय, मैं भी माननीय सदस्य द्वारा उठाए गए विषय से स्वयं को सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

^{*} Laid on the Table.

Concern over non-inclusion of eligible persons under the Ayushman Bharat Scheme

श्री सकलदीप राजभर (उत्तर प्रदेश) : महोदय, हम सभी देशवासियों के यशस्वी प्रधान मंत्री माननीय नरेन्द्र मोदी जी के 2014 में प्रधान मंत्री बनने के बाद देश के गरीबों, मज़लूमों और दुर्बल व्यक्तियों के लिए या जो बीमारी से पीड़ित हों, उनकी दवा वगैरह कराने हेतु दुनिया की सबसे बड़ी "आयुष्मान भारत योजना" की नींव रखी गयी। इस योजना का मुख्य उद्देश्य यह है कि गरीबी में जीवनयापन करने वाले गरीब, मज़लूम और दुर्बल लोग, जो भारी तथा गंभीर बीमारी से पीड़ित हों, उनकी दवा वगैरह करने के लिए पैसा न रहने पर उन्हें अपनी औरत के गहने, खेत वगैरह बंधक न रखने पड़ें। माननीय प्रधान मंत्री जी ने अपने मन में गरीबों के प्रति सम्मान और गंभीर सोच रखते हुए आयुष्मान कार्ड जारी किए, जिसमें 5 लाख रुपये होते हैं, जिससे कमज़ोर, गरीब और दुर्बल आय वाले लोग अपने घर व परिवार का इलाज करा सकते हैं, लेकिन आज भारी मात्रा में लोग इससे वंचित हैं, जो वास्तविक रूप से गरीब हैं। महोदय, बीच में आयुष्मान कार्ड बनने शुरू हुए, लेकिन यह पता लगे कि वह पुरानी सूची (2011) की जनगणना के अनुसार ही बन रहे हैं, जबकि उसी समय से बहुत अधिक संख्या में पात्र लोग वंचित हैं।

महोदय, मैं आपके माध्यम से मांग करता हूं कि जिन गरीब, दुर्बल आय वाले और मज़लूमों का आयुष्मान कार्ड सूची में नाम दर्ज होने से वंचित है या छूट गया है, उनके लिए नई सूची बनायी जाए और उन गरीबों, मज़लूमों और दुर्बल व्यक्तियों को आयुष्मान कार्ड जारी किये जाएं, जिससे माननीय प्रधान मंत्री जी का मिशन "सबका साथ, सबका विकास" संभव हो सके, धन्यवाद।

श्री विशम्भर प्रसाद निषाद (उत्तर प्रदेश) : महोदय, मैं माननीय सदस्य द्वारा उठाए गए विषय से स्वयं को सम्बद्ध करता हूं।

Demand to remove VT code from Indian Aeroplanes

श्री हरनाथ सिंह यादव (उत्तर प्रदेश)ः माननीय सभापति महोदय, मैं आपके माध्यम से देश के स्वाभिमान से जुड़े लोक महत्व के एक महत्वपूर्ण विषय की ओर आपका और सरकार का ध्यान आकर्षित करता हूं।

महोदय, अन्तरराष्ट्रीय विमान संगठन द्वारा प्रत्येक देश की हवाई सेवा कम्पनी को एक कोड दिया जाता है, जो प्रत्येक हवाई जहाज के पीछे के दोनों ओर लिखा होता है, जिससे पता चलता है कि यह हवाई जहाज किस देश का है।

महोदय, भारतीय हवाई जहाजों को सन 1929 में ब्रिटिश राज्य में कोड आवंटित किया गया था और वह कोड - 'VT' था।

मान्यवर, 1929 में ब्रिटिश सत्ता थी, तदनुसार भारत के हवाई जहाजों को VT कोड दिया गया था। VT का अर्थ होता है वायसराय टेरिटरी, अर्थात् वायसराय का इलाका। सीधे शब्दों में कहें तो यह VT कोड आज भी दर्शाता है कि भारत ब्रिटिश वायसराय प्रशासित क्षेत्र में है। महोदय, चीन, पाकिस्तान, नेपाल, श्रीलंका - अनेक देशों ने अपने कोड बदल दिए, परन्तु हमने आज़ादी के 74 वर्ष बीत जाने के बाद भी देश के स्वाभिमान से जुड़े इस गुलामी के प्रतीक को नहीं बदला है।

महोदय, इस वर्ष हम बड़े उल्लास के साथ आज़ादी की 75वीं वर्षगांठ मनाने जा रहे हैं। अतः मैं आपके माध्यम से आदरणीय प्रधान मंत्री श्री नरेन्द्र मोदी जी और सरकार से आज़ादी की 75वीं वर्षगांठ के पूर्व में कांटे की तरह चुभने वाले इस गुलामी के प्रतीक VT कोड को भारत के हवाई जहाजों से हटाने की मांग करता हूं।

Demand for inclusion of sub-castes 'Majhwar' of Uttar Pradesh in the Scheduled Caste list

श्री जयप्रकाश निषाद (उत्तर प्रदेश)ः महोदय, भारतीय संविधान में जाति के आधार पर आरक्षण प्रदान करने का विशेष प्रावधान किया गया है, किन्तु भारत जैसे विशाल देश में, जहाँ समाज हज़ारों उपजातियों में विखंडित है, कई बार सिर्फ़ नाम-उपनाम के विभ्रम के कारण बहुत सी ऐसी उपजातियाँ इसके लाभ से वंचित रह गई हैं, जो इन लाभों की वास्तविक हकदार हैं।

भारत सरकार के सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय की अनुसूचित जाति की सूची में उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य के अन्तर्गत "मझवार" जाति का नाम दर्ज है। "मझवार" जाति की कई उप-जातियाँ हैं - "मल्लाह", "केवट", "मांझी", "निषाद" और "बिन्द"। मुझे बताने की आवश्यकता नहीं है कि इन उपजातियों से संबंधित लोगों की सामाजिक हैसियत और उनका सामाजिक जीवन "मझवार" जाति के लोगों के समान ही है। सामाजिक स्तर पर भी "मल्लाह", "केवट", "मांझी", "निषाद" और "बिन्द" उपजातियों के लोगों को "मझवार" जाति के समान ही समझा जाता है, किन्तु उपनाम की विभ्रान्ति के कारण इन उपजातियों के नाम भारत सरकार की अनुसूचित जाति निमित्त निर्मित आधिकारिक सूची में दर्ज नहीं हो सके हैं। परिणामतः ये उपजातियाँ अनुसूचित जाति हेतु संविधान प्रदत्त लाभों से वंचित रह गई हैं।

मैं आपके माध्यम से सरकार से माँग करता हूँ कि भारत सरकार द्वारा उत्तर प्रदेश के लिए आधिकारिक रूप से तैयार की गई अनुसूचित जाति की सूची में "मझवार" जाति के साथ इसकी उपजातियों अर्थात "मल्लाह", "केवट", "मांझी", "निषाद" और "बिन्द" के नाम भी दर्ज किए जाएँ, तभी जाकर भारतीय संविधान के निर्माताओं द्वारा संजोया गया समतामूलक समाज के निर्माण का सपना पूरा हो सकेगा।

श्री विशम्भर प्रसाद निषाद (उत्तर प्रदेश)ः महोदय, मैं स्वयं को माननीय सदस्य द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

चौधरी सुखराम सिंह यादव (उत्तर प्रदेश): महोदय, मैं भी स्वयं को माननीय सदस्य द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ सम्बद्ध करता हूँ। MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, Shri Rajeev Satav; not present. Shri Ram Vichar Netam; not present.

Concern over air pollution in Patna and Muzaffarpur and demand for intervention of Central agencies to deal with the situation

श्री अखिलेश प्रसाद सिंह (बिहार) : महोदय, बिहार की राजधानी पटना और दूसरे प्रमुख शहर मुजफ्फरपुर में कुल मिलाकर लगभग 30 लाख की आबादी निवास करती है, लेकिन ये दोनों शहर विश्व के सर्वाधिक प्रदूषित शहरों की श्रेणी में आते हैं। वर्ल्ड एयर क्वालिटी रिपोर्ट, 2020 के अनुसार दुनिया भर के सर्वाधिक प्रदूषित शहरों में पटना 32वें और मुजफ्फरपुर 28वें नंबर पर है। रिपोर्ट के अनुसार मुजफ्फरपुर में औसत वार्षिक पीएम 2.5 स्तर 74.3 और पटना में 68.4 पाया गया है, जो जन स्वास्थ्य की दृष्टि से अत्यंत हानिकारक है। महोदय, स्थिति इतनी विकट है कि साल 2020 में एक भी दिन मुजफ्फरपुर में वायु गुणवत्ता मानक स्तर की नहीं पाई गई है। यह बात विशेष रूप से इसलिए भी चिंताजनक है कि यद्यपि ये दोनों शहर औद्योगिक शहरों की श्रेणी में नहीं आते, फिर भी यहाँ प्रदूषण की हालत इतनी खराब है। विशेषज्ञों के अनुसार पटना और मुजफ्फरपुर में गंभीर वायु प्रदूषण की समस्या के लिए मानव जनित कारणों के साथ-साथ कुछ भौगोलिक कारण भी जिम्मेदार हैं। अतः मेरी केंद्रीय पर्यावरण मंत्रालय से यह मांग है कि स्थिति की गंभीरता के मद्देनज़र सर्वप्रथम विशेषज्ञों का एक दल तत्काल पटना और मुजफ्फरपुर भेज कर इसके कारणों का पता लगाया जाए और तत्पश्चात राज्य सरकार के साथ मिल कर एक विशेष कार्य योजना बनाई जाए, ताकि इन दोनों शहरों के निवासियों को प्रदूषण की इस गंभीर समस्या से छूटकारा मिल सके। इसके साथ-साथ इन दोनों शहरों में विशेष जन-जागरूकता अभियान चला कर आम लोगों को भी प्रदूषण नियंत्रण करने वाले उपाय अपनाने के लिए प्रोत्साहित किया जाए।

Demand for release of compensation under GST to Maharashtra

SHRIMATI PRIYANKA CHATURVEDI (Maharashtra): Sir, I must draw the attention of the Government of India towards an important proposal of the Government of Maharashtra regarding compensation to the States under GST.

The Constitutional (One Hundred & One Amendment) Act, 2016 has come into effect from 16th September 2016. As per the Act, the Central Government will be compensating for five years to the States for any loss that might be incurred due to the introduction of Goods and Services Tax.

The Government of Maharashtra has abolished Local Body Tax from 1st August, 2015 payable by the importers having turnover less than Rs. 50 crore per annum. Compensation in the form of grant of Rs. 3,290 crore was paid by the State Government to the Local Bodies on this account. The aforesaid proposal of

Maharashtra Government for compensation under GST is still pending with the Ministry of Finance, Government of India and the Government of Maharashtra is facing financial catastrophe due to the aforesaid non-payment of compensation of GST by the Central Government.

Therefore, through this august House, I urge that urgent and suitable directions may please be issued to the Government of India to consider and release Rs. 3,290 crore grant to the State of Maharashtra towards abolition of partial Local Body Tax with 2015-16 as the base year for the purpose of calculation of compensation under Goods and Services Tax Compensation Act, 2016.

Demand for payment of pending GST dues to Madhya Pradesh

श्री कैलाश सोनी (मध्य प्रदेश) : महोदय, हर माह मध्य प्रदेश को GST के 2,157 करोड़ रुपए चाहिए। जीएसटी लागू होते समय फॉर्मूला बना था कि राज्य सरकार को होने वाली आय का 14 फीसदी सुरक्षित किया जाएगा। जीएसटी से जितनी आय होगी, और इस फॉर्मूले से वह कम होगी, तो उसका अंतर केन्द्र देगा, उसे ही कम्पनसेशन राशि कहा गया है। वित्तीय साल 2019-20 के लिए मध्य प्रदेश सरकार के लिए यह राशि 2,157 करोड़ रुपए प्रति माह है। जनहित के कार्यों के लिए यह राशि तत्काल अपेक्षित है। आपके माध्यम से अनुरोध है कि मध्य प्रदेश की उक्त राशि व जो भी राशि शेष बची है, वह शीघ्रातिशीघ्र प्रदान किए जाने के लिए अपेक्षित है।

Demand to review the decision to merge Malaria Research Centre, Rourkela with the Centre at Ranchi

श्रीमती ममता मोहंता (ओडिशा) : महोदय, मैं सदन के माध्यम से सरकार का ध्यान इस ओर आकृष्ट करना चाहती हूँ कि ओडिशा में आदिवासी बहुल सुंदरगढ़ जिले के राउरकेला में स्थित मलेरिया रिसर्च सेंटर को झारखंड के रांची केन्द्र के साथ विलय किया जा रहा है। उक्त रिसर्च सेंटर को हटाने से राज्यवासियों को काफी परेशानी का सामना करना पड़ेगा। I.C.M.R. द्वारा जारी आदेश में कहा गया है कि राउरकेला में स्थित मलेरिया रिसर्च सेंटर को इसके राँची केन्द्र के साथ विलय किया जाना है। यहाँ के कर्मचारियों को विभिन्न केन्द्रों में transfer किया जा रहा है।

महोदय, ज्ञात हो कि काफी प्रयास के बाद उक्त सेंटर को असम से ओडिशा लाया गया था। इसमें तत्कालीन मुख्यमंत्री, श्री बीजू पटनायक जी की भी अहम भूमिका थी। इसे 1988 में राउरकेला में स्थापित किया गया था। यहाँ के कर्मचारी राज्य के घने, खतरनाक जंगल और पहाड़ों तथा नालों से मच्छरों का नमूना संग्रह करने के साथ उन पर रिसर्च करते थे। उक्त सेंटर का मलेरिया रिसर्च में अभूतपूर्व योगदान है।

महोदय, मलेरिया की बीमारी पूरी तरह से समाप्त नहीं हुई है। ओडिशा के मयूरभंज, सुंदरगढ़ और केओन्झार जिलों को सबसे ज्यादा मलेरिया प्रभावित क्षेत्र के रूप में भी जाना जाता है। ऐसे में अचानक से इस सेंटर को अन्य राज्यों के साथ विलय करना समझ से परे है। अतः आपके माध्यम से मेरा सरकार से आग्रह है कि ओडिशा में आदिवासी बहुल सुंदरगढ़ जिले के राउरकेला में स्थित मलेरिया रिसर्च सेंटर को यहाँ से स्थानांतरित न किया जाए एवं राँची केन्द्र में इसके विलय करने के फैसले पर पुनर्विचार किया जाए।

Demand for a law to increase the participation of women in electoral politics

SHRI V. VIJAYASAI REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): Sir, rising participation of women voters in India's politics is one of the most significant stories of the decade. In the 1962 Lok Sabha polls, women voters constituted 46.7 per cent of the total turnout, but by 2019, their share rose to 67.18 per cent. During the same period, men's turnout grew by only 5 per cent -- from 62.1 per cent in 1962 to 67.08 per cent in 2019. However, corresponding to that growth, there hasn't been an exponential increase in the participation of women in politics or any other law-making bodies.

The data collected by the Inter-Parliamentary Union show that India's position has worsened in terms of representation of women in national Parliaments. It has come down from 95th in 1998 to 148th in 2021. On the contrary, the YSRCP Government in its recent victory in Municipalities and Municipal Corporations has taken a significant step in bringing 60.47 per cent of the Mayor and Chairperson posts to women. This means that out of 86 posts, 52 posts are held by women, the highest ever in the state's history. This shows that the YSRCP Government is committed to empowering women and giving them the opportunities that are due to them. Additionally, out of the 1.5 lakh Panchayati Raj Institutions' representatives in Andhra Pradesh, 78,000 positions, which is 50 per cent of the total posts, are held by women.

Reservations have been a proven mechanism to increase the participation of the intended group. Thus, I urge the Government to look into bringing a law to provide for 50 per cent reservation to women at all nominated and elected posts.

Demand to restore Samta Express train and Mangala Lakshadweep Express train with their old timings

SHRI SUBHAS CHANDRA BOSE PILLI (Andhra Pradesh): Sir, I wish to draw the kind attention of hon. Railway Minister to non-running of Samta Express (Train No. 12808) which used to run from Hazrat Nizamuddin to Vishakhapatnam at 8.35 a.m. and Mangala Lakshadweep Express (Train No. 12618) which used to run from Hazrat Nizamuddin to Ernakulam Junction, Kerala at 9.15 a.m on daily basis. These trains are not running since national lockdown in March, 2020. Though Railways have

started two similar trains in the name of Festival Specials, namely, Vishakhapatnam Festival Special (Train No. 02888) and Mangala Lakshadweep Special (Train No. 02618) in lieu of Samta Express and Mangala Lakshadweep Express, the timings of these festival special trains are quite odd as both these trains depart from Hazrat Nizamuddin at 7.00 a.m. and 5.40 a.m. respectively. There are no trains on the South-bound route in peak morning hours and the only next available train on this route is at 4.00 p.m. So the timings of both the currently available trains are quite awkward, particularly, for those who travel on daily basis from Delhi to Mathura and Agra for livelihood or for pilgrimage and tourism. The facility of monthly pass of daily passengers should also be restored immediately.

Hence, I request the hon. Minister to immediately restore the old timings of Samta Express and Mangala Lakshadweep Express and start them from Hazrat Nizamuddin with their old timings, that is, 8.35 a.m. and 9.15 a.m. respectively, as was the case before lockdown, to provide relief to the harassed commuters.

Demand to include pending 13.95 lakh households of Odisha in the Permanent Waitlist under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Grameen)

DR. SASMIT PATRA (Odisha): Sir, Odisha has identified 13.95 lakh families eligible under PMAY(G) which have been left out from Permanent Waitlist of PMAY(G). Deadline fixed by Ministry of Rural Development for identification through Awaas+ was 7th March, 2019. The State could not complete this task due to pre-occupation of block functionaries in general election. Only 35,000 households could be identified through Awaas+ by the scheduled date. On the request of Odisha, the Ministry opened window of Awaas+ for a period of one month only for 14 Fani-affected districts. Odisha identified 7.87 lakh eligible households in Fani-affected districts through Awaas+ and 35,000 households of non-Fani-affected districts and completed geo-tagging and Aadhaar Seeding as mandated by Ministry. Sir, 8.22 lakh eligible families are unable to be covered under PMAY(G) as Ministry has not brought them to Permanent Waitlist of PMAY(G). Permanent Waitlist for PMAY(G) is already exhausted and Odisha has a balance PMAY(G) target of 5.35 lakh against which there are no eligible beneficiaries for sanction. Immediately, 5.35 lakh houses can be sanctioned if the identified 8.22 lakh families are brought to PMAY(G) Waitlist. The window of Awaas+ is yet to be opened for 16 non-Fani-affected districts. Pending opening of Awaas+ window by Ministry, Odisha identified 5.72 lakh eligible households in 16 non-Fani-affected districts in Odisha developed Rural Housing Portal. This data can be migrated to Awaas+ once Ministry agrees. I demand that

the Ministry include pending 13.95 lakh households of under PMAY(G) Permanent Waitlist and open Awaas+ window to enable it. Thank you.

Demand to make a comprehensive policy for providing basic amenities to the homeless poor

DR. AMEE YAJNIK (Gujarat): Sir, as per the 2011 Census, there are 1.77 million homeless people in our country. Government's definition defines homeless persons as those who live in the open or roadside, pavements, in hume-pipes, under flyovers and staircases, or in the open in places of worship, *mandaps*, railway platforms, etc.

As per Government's guidelines, social distancing, hand washing and respiratory hygiene are crucial to contain the current pandemic. However, the 'stay at home' instructions become redundant when it comes to homeless people. Available studies show that homeless people severely lack basic human necessities. Apart from extremely poor standard of living, the homeless suffer acutely from hunger and malnutrition. This often leads to compromise immunities among this section. With no specific circulars from Health Ministry on these homeless people, the majority of the homeless may not be able to afford treatment even if the virus infects them. In this situation, it would be insensitive to expect them to follow precautionary measures without adequate support which is largely missing on the part of the state and civil society.

The need of the hour is that the Government should take immediate steps to utilize community spaces to provide temporary resort to the homeless and basic amenities should be ensured. They should be provided with the minimum resources to maintain sanitation and hygiene for the time being until the Government comes up with appropriate short-term and long-term measures to see that health for the homeless is planned and implemented.

श्री विशम्भर प्रसाद निषाद (उत्तर प्रदेश): महोदय, मैं माननीय सदस्य द्वारा उठाए गए विषय से स्वयं को सम्बद्ध करता हूँ।

Demand for action against persons responsible for the attack on nuns at Jhansi railway station in Uttar Pradesh

SHRI MALLIKARJUN KHARGE (Karnataka): Sir, I wish to raise a very grave issue of attack and harassment of two nuns who were travelling from Delhi to Odisha, *via* Haridwar-Puri Kalinga Utkal Express. They were, however, during the journey,

harassed by some activists, alleged them of conversion, they were forcefully made to deboard at Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh. Later, it was learnt that this was done at the behest of some complaints to Railways that they being forced to convert their religion. Despite sharing requisite details, the nuns were misbehaved with. They were later released after intervention from lawyers from Delhi and these allegations having been found as baseless. This strikes at the very root of the values of secularism, pluralism and Fundamental Right of freedom to profess any religion, as enshrined in our Constitution. We strongly condemn such blatant attack on the basic human rights of the people of this country, particularly, the women and minorities. We demand stringent action against the miscreants.

Demand to include a text on Raja Marthanda Varma of Kerala in history text books

श्री शिव प्रताप शुक्न (उत्तर प्रदेश) : महोदय, मैं सदन का ध्यान इतिहास के उस भूलते अध्याय की तरफ दिलाना चाहता हूं, जिसे आज़ादी के बाद सुनहरे अक्षरों में लिखा जाना चाहिए था। राजा मार्तण्ड वर्मा के शौर्य के बारे में केरल और तमिलनाडु के अलावा शायद ही किसी को पता हो।

डच ईस्ट इंडिया कम्पनी की स्थापना भारत में 1602 में हो चुकी थी तथा यह कम्पनी धीरे-धीरे मसालों तथा अन्य चीज़ों के व्यापार के क्षेत्र में दक्षिणी तटों पर अपना आधिपत्य स्थापित कर रही थी। लेकिन राजा मार्तण्ड वर्मा ने 1741 के कोलाचेल के युद्ध में इस कम्पनी को परास्त करके, उनके आधिपत्य को समाप्त कर दिया। यूरोपियन समाजवाद को इस तरह चुनौती देने वाले मार्तण्ड वर्मा समूचे एशिया में पहले शासक थे। इस युद्ध में बुरी तरह पराजित होने के बाद डच लोगों को त्रावणकोर के इस महाराजा के साथ संधि करनी पड़ी और महाराजा ने डचों से सारे किले और बंदरगाह जीत लिए।

राजा मार्तण्ड वर्मा ने अपना प्रभाव तिरुवनन्तपुरम से लेकर कोच्चि तक स्थापित कर लिया और मसालों पर देश में पहली बार यूरोपियन पद्धति से सेनाओं को तैयार किया तथा उनका आधुनिकीकरण किया।

त्याग की प्रतिमूर्ति मार्तण्ड वर्मा अपना सारा धन तथा राज्य पद्मनाभ स्वामी मंदिर को सौंप कर भगवान पद्मनाभ के प्रतिनिधि के रूप में काम करने लगे। उन्होंने अपने पुत्र राम वर्मा को मृत्यु के समय पत्र लिखा कि जिस तरह उन्होंने डचों को दक्षिण भारत के तटों से उखाड़ फेंका है, बंगाल में नवाब को भी अंग्रेज़ों के साथ ऐसा करना चाहिए, नहीं तो पूरे भारत में अंग्रेज़ों का शासन हो जाएगा। आश्चर्य की बात है कि ऐसे भारत माता के सपूत का ज़िक्र तक NCERT की किताबों में नहीं है। मैं सदन के माध्यम से अनुरोध करता हूं कि आधुनिक भारत के निर्माता के तौर पर राजा मार्तण्ड वर्मा को भारतीय इतिहास में पुनः स्थापित किया जाए।

FAREWELL TO THE RETIRING MEMBERS

MR. CHAIRMAN: Hon. Members, three of our colleagues, Shri Vayalar Ravi, Shri K.K. Ragesh and Shri Abdul Wahab, representing the State of Kerala will be retiring on 21st April, 2021, on completion of their term of office.

Members, among the other things, an institution is made as well as defined by the individuals constituting it. Individuals may come and go but the institution keeps on functioning. Pulsating with the contributions made by the individuals, this institution will always be functioning.

1.00 **P.M**.

Similar has been the case with this great institution, which has largely been shaped by the lasting contributions of the Members who have come and adorned the office and left after playing their part. Carrying forward the legacy, the retiring Members have contributed significantly to the deliberations of the House and the Parliamentary Committees and, in the process have nurtured and strengthened our Parliamentary Democracy and enhanced the dignity and prestige of this august House. They may be leaving the House, but, their contributions will leave an indelible mark on this august institution, which, the Members as well as the newly elected Members will continue to cherish and benefit from.

I place on record my heartfelt appreciation for the valuable contribution and service rendered by them to this august institution and to the society at large.

The House will certainly miss their vivacious presence and their unwavering commitment to the issues pertaining to the State of Kerala and also to the national issues of importance.

I wish the retiring Members good health, happiness and many more years of service to the nation. They are only retiring, but, they are not tired.

Shri Vayalar Ravi, is an active social worker and writer. He held important positions; Member of the 5th and 6th Lok Sabha and also Member of Kerala Legislative Assembly from 1982 to 1991. Shri Vayalar Ravi also served as the Member of Rajya Sabha for four terms. Shri Vayalar Ravi has dedicated his entire life to public service and has served many important distinguished positions in the State, at National and International level. He served as Union Minister, holding several portfolios as

Overseas Indian Affairs, Parliamentary Affairs, Civil Aviation, Science and Technology --one minute Ravi*ji*; I will call you-- Earth Sciences and Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises and also Chairman of the Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Human Resource Development. Besides this, he also served as the Home Minister of Kerala. You want to say something, Ravi*ji*, please go ahead.

SHRI VAYALAR RAVI (Kerala): Respected Chairman, I was elected to this prestigious institution in 1971, sorry, I am feeling emotional, as an elected Member of Lok Sabha from Trivandrum District. In fact, I was born in the Vayalar village in Alappuzha District. Vayalar is still very famous because of the revolution by the Communist Party in 1946. I was a school student then, and I still remember those days.

Sir, my political life began during my days in SD College, Alappuzha and Maharaja's College, Ernakulam. The days in Maharaja's College had changed my life, where I found my partner, Mercy Ravi. Unfortunately, she is no more. Prime Minister, Shrimati Indira Gandhi raised the slogan "Garibi Hatao" in 1971. I won the election and begun my Parliamentary life as one of the youngest Members of Lok Sabha. I enjoyed and actively participated in all kinds of activities in Lok Sabha and re-elected in 1977. But, I lost in 1980 because people were more sensible than me because I went against Shrimati Indira Gandhi. It is a fact. The Congress Party has given me the opportunity to serve the people in our country as a Member of Lok Sabha for two terms and Rajya Sabha for four terms. In between, I became a Cabinet Minister for eight years.

I am very thankful to the Congress Party and the leader, Shrimati Indira Gandhi and others for giving me this opportunity to serve our nation, the 'Mother India'. Thank you, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Vayalar Raviji. All the best.

Shri K. K. Ragesh, MP, is an active social worker, an avid writer, he served as a Member of Rajya Sabha from April 2015 to April 2021. Shri K.K. Ragesh has authored a book titled, Swasraya Niyamam Pratheeksha, Prathirodham, which translates into 'Act to regulate Self Finance Institutions, (Problems and Prospects), 2007'. Besides this, he also served as the Editor of Karshaka Nadam, 'Voice of Farmers' and also published in Malayalam; published articles on wide range of issues relating to economic and agriculture sectors, farmers', their rights, education, telecom and petroleum sectors in leading dailies and periodicals. Hon. Members, you are all aware, Shri Ragesh is one of the most active Members in this House. He always used to have enlightened debates. So, we will be missing him, but he will be active in public life, I am confident. He is not there because you know the reason.

Shri Abdul Wahab is an industrialist and an active social worker. He has also served as Member of Rajya Sabha for two terms. Shri Abdul Wahab served as Chairman of various organisations, including Keraleeyanm, an NGO working for HIV affected people, Calicut Airport Development Committee and also as the President of Nilambur Orphanage, etc. He was also a Member of Islamic Chair, Calicut University, Kerala State Haj Committee and also the Kerala Waqf Board. He is also associated with many of the educational institutions. Shri Wahab, do you want to say something? Please.

SHRI ABDUL WAHAB (Kerala): Thank you, Mr. Chairman and the Rajya Sabha team. I came here in 2004 during the time of Vajpayeeji, when he was the Prime Minister. At that time, Rajagopalji was the Parliamentary Affairs Minister. He supported and taught me for first few months as to how how to run the Rajya Sabha and all. Mr. Vijayaraghavan of CPM and Mr. Samadani of my party were here at that time. Till 2010, I was here and again in 2015, I came. I could work with Vajypayeeji, then with Dr. Manmohan Singh and now with Modiji, three Prime Ministers and different Parliamentary Affairs Ministers also. In the beginning, it was Rajagopalji and now it is, from our place again, it is an irony, I don't know how it came, Mr. V. Muraleedharan. I am very happy to retire now but, unfortunately, I don't know for what reasons, this Government or the Law Ministry has made some references and the Election Commission has stayed the Rajya Sabha election. I don't know I will be coming again, but, anyway, if Rajya Sabha is there, I will try to come again here. ...(Interruptions)... I don't know. Yesterday what happened in Delhi, the AAP Government was ruling and suddenly all the powers were given to the LG. Just like that I don't know Rajya Sabha charge will be given to somebody else later. So, if Raiva Sabha is here, I will try to come again, and I hope so. All my respect to you, especially being our Chairman, you gave me a lot of chances. I tried my level best as a Member of Parliament. I appreciate whatever political ideological differences with Modiji, but his one project, which attracted me, is this village adoption. I have done my level best and, according to Government of India, I got the fifth rank in my Saansad Adarsh Gram Yojana. Even though I am not in Rajya Sabha, I will be working on that project without salary and all, concentrating on taking the Panchayats and all and sponsoring them. I will try to come if Modiji allows me to come here again. Thank you very much.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Wahabji. You don't worry, Rajya Sabha will be there. In the meanwhile you start working with 'local sabhas' and then at an appropriate time, God willing, you will get an opportunity. The entire House joins me in conveying best wishes to these three Members. Now, Khargeji, the LoP.

THE LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION (SHRI MALLIKARJUN KHARGE): Sir, today we bid farewell to three of our colleagues from Kerala--Shri Vayalar Ravi, Shri Abdul Wahab and Shri K.K. Ragesh--who are retiring. I wish to place on record my deep appreciation for their dedicated service as parliamentarians in this House of Elders in raising effectively various public welfare issues. They have been relentless in their efforts to constantly strive for uplift of the people, particularly those from the marginalized sections of the society. Despite having different political ideologies, because all the three Members have got different ideologies, they are one in doing the work of the public. This is the strength and greatness of India's democratic polity which is inclusive, truly representative and wholly welfare-oriented.

Shri Vayalar Ravi has been associated with us for more than fifty years. I have had the privilege for voting for him twice in AICC Working Committee at Tirupati and Kolkata. He was always pro-poor and is a strong believer in the sacred values of secularism and socialism. At the same time, our other two colleagues have also been committed to the cause of the people of this country. I wish my retiring colleagues all the best, good health and I am sure that they will continue working for the greater public good in future too. Thank you.

सभा के नेता (श्री थावरचन्द गहलोत): माननीय सभापति महोदय, आज केरल से आने वाले और केरल राज्य का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले श्री अब्दुल वहाब जी, श्री के.के. रागेश जी और श्री वायालार रवि जी, तीनों अपना कार्यकाल सफलतापूर्वक पूरा कर रहे हैं। महोदय, तीनों सदस्य सदन में केरल प्रांत का प्रतिनिधित्व करते थे। ये तीनों हमारे वरिष्ठ सदस्य हैं और सदन की कार्यवाही में सक्रिय रूप से भागीदारी सुनिश्चित करते रहे हैं। शेष बातें, जो आपने उल्लेखित की हें, मैं उनसे अपने आपको संबद्ध करता हूँ और तीनों सदस्यों को हार्दिक शुभकामनाएं देता हूँ। ईश्वर इन्हें स्वस्थ रखे, सानन्द रखे और भविष्य में इनके विशिष्ट और व्यापक अनुभवों का लाभ हमें मिलता रहे, ऐसी शुभकामनाएं देते हुए मैं सदस्यों से अपेक्षा करता हूँ, विश्वास रखता हूँ कि वे देशहित और जनहित में काम करते रहेंगे, धन्यवाद।

VALEDICTORY REMARKS

MR. CHAIRMAN: Hon. Leader of the House, Shri Thaawarchand Gehlot, respected Leader of the Opposition, Shri Mallikarjun Kharge, Minister of Parliamentary Affairs, Shri Pralhad Joshi, Shri Muraleedharan, hon. leaders of various Parties and Groups in the House and hon. Members and hon. Deputy Chairman, we are concluding the 253rd Session of Rajya Sabha today. This was the second Session of Parliament which has been held under the shadow of pandemic, in which all COVID related protocols and Standard Operating Procedures, evolved during the last Monsoon Session to conduct the Session in a safe environment, have been followed. I am happy to note that with the cooperation of all Members, we were able to conduct the Business of the House smoothly.

Hon. Members, as Chairman of this august House, I would like to give a brief account of the performance of the House during this Session.

As you know, this Budget Session of Parliament is being adjourned *sine die*, ahead of its schedule. As against the total 33 sittings scheduled from January 29th to April 8th, we are concluding after having 23 sittings. The Budget Session provided opportunities to the Members of this House to deliberate on the issues concerning the economic growth and recovery especially in the post-COVID phase. Hon. Members, this House functioned for a total of 104 hours and 23 minutes against the total scheduled time of 116 hours and 31 minutes during these 23 sittings. This in effect means that the productivity of the House, including both the parts of this Budget Session, has been about 90 percent. While the productivity of the first part of this Session from January 29th to February 12th has been 99.06 percent, the productivity of the second part that began on March 8th comes to about 85 percent.

A total of 21 hours and 26 minutes of the House has been lost due to disruptions during this Session. Comforting, however, is the fact that as against this loss, the House sat beyond the scheduled time for a total of 14 hours and 28 minutes to complete the Legislative and other Business.

I am happy to inform you that the high productivity witnessed during the last four Sessions since June 2019, that is, 249th, 250th, 251st and 252nd Sessions has continued in this Session (253rd) as well. As a result, the overall productivity of the last four Sessions and this Session comes to about 94 percent. I appeal to all of you to maintain this positive momentum in the functioning of the House during this year as well as in future. We need to strive towards achieving 100 per cent productivity knowing that we are working under extraordinary circumstances.

Hon. Members, I am happy to note that this august House saw passionate and quality debate and discussions on the Motion of Thanks to the President's Address and the Union Budget for 2021-22. The discussion on the Motion of Thanks on the President's Address took place on four days with a duration of 15 hours and 37 minutes with 50 Members taking part in it. The Union Budget was discussed for 10 hours and 43 minutes on three days with 45 Members participating in it. It may be noted that Zero Hour on 4th and 5th February, Question Hour on 3rd, 4th and 5th February and the Private Members' Business on 5th February were dispensed with to give more time for discussion on the Motion of Thanks on the President's Address.

Hon. Members, as regards the legislative output, this House has passed 19 Bills, including the consideration/return of the Appropriation Bills and the Finance Bill. Thirty-four hours and four minutes time has been spent on the discussion of these Government Bills, which comes to about 42 percent of the total functional time of the House spent on the Legislative Business. As many as 199 Members participated in the discussion on the Bills. Some of the important Bills, as your are all aware, passed during this Session include the Jammu & Kashmir Reorganisation (Amendment) Bill, 2021, the Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Bill, 2021, the Major Port Authorities Bill, 2020, the Medical Termination of Pregnancy (Amendment) Bill, 2020, the National Commission for Allied and Healthcare Professions Bill, 2020, the National Institutes of Food Technology, Entrepreneurship and Management Bill, 2019, the Insurance (Amendment) Bill, 2021, the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Amendment Bill, 2021, the Constitution (Scheduled Castes) Order (Amendment) Bill, 2021, the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi (Amendment) Bill, 2021 and the National Bank for Financing Infrastructure and Development Bill, 2021, etc.

Hon. Members, the working of three important Ministries namely, Jal Shakti; Railways; and Tourism has been discussed for 11 hours and 13 minutes which comes to about 11 per cent of the total functional time of the House. Sixty-one Members participated in the discussion on the functioning of these Ministries.

Members raised a total of 273 issues of urgent public importance through 163 Zero Hour submissions and 110 Special Mentions. The Zero Hour submissions and Special Mentions made during this Session covered a wide range of important issues, including malnutrition among women and children; need for setting up more courts for fast track trial of offences against women and children; increasing child marriages during the pandemic; problems being faced by students during COVID-19 pandemic; basic human rights of persons with disabilities, strengthening cyber security, constitution of All India Judicial Services, etc. [RAJYA SABHA]

As regards the questions, a total of 113 Starred Questions have been orally answered which comes to 34 per cent of the total 329 Starred Questions listed. Eleven hours and 50 minutes have been spent seeking answers from the Government through these Starred Questions.

Ministers also made statements on important issues such as 'avalanche in Chamoli district, Uttarakhand', 'the present situation in Eastern Ladakh', 'recent developments pertaining to the welfare of Indians abroad, NRIs and PIOs in the COVID situation', 'India's Vaccine Maitri Initiative' and 'Vehicle Scrapping Policy'.

Hon. Members, during the recess period, eight Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committees, under the purview of the Rajya Sabha examined the Demands for Grants of the related Ministries/Departments for the financial year 2021-22 and presented 28 Reports in this regard. Besides, these Committees presented 21 Action Taken Reports, 6 Reports on subjects taken up by them and 2 Reports on Bills referred to them. I compliment the Chairmen and Members of the eight Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committees of the Rajya Sabha for their efforts towards improving the functioning of the Committees. However, there are some areas of concern, such as less attendance of Members and the duration of the meetings, which need further improvement. I urge all the party leaders of the respective parties to sensitise their Members to take the Committees' work seriously given their importance and relevance in our parliamentary system in ensuring executive accountability on behalf of Parliament. I propose to communicate to leaders about the attendance of their Members, so that they can take stock of the situation and advice Members in this regard.

Hon. Members, an Orientation Programme for the newly elected/ nominated Members was organized by the Rajya Sabha Secretariat on 13th and 14th March to familiarize them with the Rules and Procedures governing the functioning of the Parliament, in particular Rajya Sabha. I am happy to note that there was an encouraging response from the Members as out of 71 new Members, 34 Members including hon. Minister of External Affairs, Shri S. Jaishankar, attended this Programme. I am also told that the Members were highly appreciative of the content and conduct of the programme. I compliment the Secretary-General and his officers for successfully organizing the Programme.

Hon. Members, as I have mentioned in the House earlier, we are entering the 75th Year of our Independence, and to mark this historic occasion, the Government has planned to celebrate it as 'आज़ादी का अमृत महोत्सव' with several events spread across the country. While this special occasion provides all of us and citizens of the

country an opportunity to cherish the values and ideals that our freedom fighters stood for and helped our country to gain freedom from the colonial rule, it also calls for serious introspection on the functioning of our Legislatures and their Members. People expect meaningful and purposeful debates and discussions and high standards of conduct from their elected representatives. Members of Parliament have to work diligently and effectively to meet the expectations of the people. As House of Elders, Rajya Sabha has to lead by an example. Our responsibilities have become even more onerous, especially in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic challenge which calls for determined and collective efforts by all stakeholders. Therefore, we should be guided by the mantra of 'debate, discuss and decide' and proceed towards Atmanirbhar Bharat, and not disrupt. This is the only way forward in these challenging times. This will go a long way in sustaining the trust and confidence of the people in our parliamentary institutions and in deepening the democratic culture in the country.

Hon. Members, we have been able to contain the spread of pandemic in the country with the coordinated efforts of all concerned with the Centre and States working as Team India. It is a matter of pride for us that India is playing an important role in vaccination drive of many countries with supply of millions of doses of vaccines to several countries. There is, however, a surge in the COVID-19 cases in some of the States which is a cause of concern for all of us. I had also made an appeal to all Members. I once again reiterate the same to please guide the people to follow guidelines issued by the Centre and State Governments for containing the spread of virus. As people's representatives, Members of Parliament are expected to be available for consultation and guidance required by the people in the States and Constituencies. As the vaccination process is underway, I also appeal to all of you, who are eligible, to take the vaccine and also see to it that eligible people volunteer to take the vaccine. Even though the vaccines are being administered, we should not lower our guard against the virus. The Prime Minister has aptly said, 'दवाई भी, कडाई भी' reminding the people to guard against complacency and continue to follow COVID-19 appropriate behaviour.

Hon. Members, the prevailing pandemic has forced all of us to adopt novel methods to adapt to this new situation with greater use of digital resources. This time, as you know, digital copies of the Budget documents and the Economic Survey were also made available to all concerned. Members continued to submit their notices for various parliamentary devices through the 'e-Notice Portal' to avoid physical handling of papers and personal contact with the parliamentary staff. Parliamentary papers in this Session also were made available on 'Members' Login Portal' for the convenience

of the Members. I am happy to note that Members have used the facility of 'e-Notice' to submit notices for various parliamentary devices as 9,862 notices were received from them through this portal. I urge all of you to use this online facility to the maximum possible extent so that we could adjust to this new normal.

I take this opportunity to thank the Leader of the House, the Leader of the Opposition, Ministers of Parliamentary Affairs, the Leaders of various political parties and groups and also hon. Members for the cooperation extended by them in the overall functioning of the House. The credit for better functioning of the House does not go to the Chairman, it goes to all the Members. With your cooperation only, this is made possible. So, you have an onerous responsibility to see that all of us maintain standards and set example to others.

In the end, I offer my special thanks to the Deputy Chairman, Shri Harivansh, and Members on the Panel of Vice-Chairmen for the seamless conduct of the proceedings of the House. I place on record my appreciation to the Secretary-General and his team of hardworking and committed officers and staff and also the Parliament Security Service for their untiring efforts in ensuring that the Session runs smoothly. My warmest greetings to all of you on the occasion of Ugadi, marking the beginning of the Telugu New Year. In fact, this is, actually, a festival time, when many people across the country usher in the New Year. A few days ago, Parsi brothers welcomed the New Year, Navroz. Sindhi brothers and sisters will be shortly welcoming the New Year as Cheti Chand, whereas people of the two Telugu States, Andhra Pradesh and Telangana, call it Ugadi and in our neighbouring Karnataka, it is also called Ugadi. The people of Maharashtra celebrate it as Gudi Padwa. In Tamil Nadu, it is observed as Puthandu, which is falling on 14th. Our Malavali sisters and brothers celebrate the occasion as Vishu in Kerala. In Punjab, as always, they have the festival of Vaisakhi. In Odisha, it is called as Pana Sankranti. New Year in West Bengal is celebrated as Pahela Boishakh. Bohag Bihu marks the beginning of the New Year in Assam. The names may differ but the festive spirit infused with joy, hope and togetherness is the same. We also have the Good Friday, that is also coming, and also, Ram Navami. In the coming days, Mahavir Jayanti, Eid-ul-Fitr and Buddha Purnima also will be there.

I also take this opportunity to compliment both print and electronic media including Sansad TV for their sustained interest in the proceedings of the House and their transmission to the people. My best wishes to all of you once again. I have sent greetings today because we will not be here on 14th April and also onwards.

That is why greetings have been sent to your respective places in your respective mother tongue. I wish you all the best. सबको शुभकामनाएं, thank you, जय हिन्द।

Now, before we adjourn the House sine die, we will have the National Song.

(The National Song, "Vande Mataram" was then played)

MR. CHAIRMAN: The House stands adjourned *sine die*.

The House then adjourned sine die at thirty minutes past one of the clock.

PUBLISHED UNDER RULE 260 OF RULES OF PROCEDURE AND CONDUCT OF BUSINESS IN THE COUNCIL OF STATES (RAJYA SABHA)