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RAJYA SABHA

Tuesday, the 16th March, 2010/25th Phalguna, 1931 (Saka)

The House met at eleven of the clock,
[MR. CHAIRMAN in the Chair.]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Performance of Private Sector Insurance Companies

*261. SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has any information about performance of Private Sector Insurance Companies operating in India as far as outstanding claim ratio is concerned; and

(b) the outstanding claim ratio of Private Sector Life Insurance Companies vis-à-vis Public Sector Life Insurance Companies?

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): (a) and (b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

(a) and (b) Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority has intimated that life insurance companies settle death claims under individual policies and under group policies. The outstanding number of death claims, as on 31st March 2009, as a percentage of total number of claims intimated to the companies in the year 2008-09 plus claims outstanding at the beginning of the financial year 2008-09 for individual policies and group policies is as under for private and public sector life insurance companies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Individual policies</th>
<th>Group policies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Sector (LIC)</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: Sir, referring to the answer that the Minister has given, I request the Minister to correct the figures he has given because, according to the latest figures released by the IRDA, in December, 2009, the difference between the claims paid by LIC and the claims paid by private companies is much more. The claims rejected by the private companies is 7.27 per cent whereas the claims rejected by LIC is 0.64 per cent. I request the Minister to kindly make a correction in the figures.

Sir, this question actually pertains to the plight of widows who are the victims of the rejected policies. Therefore, my question to the Minister is, claims rejected by the private insurance
companies are so high, yet the redressal mechanism is very poor. According to the information I have, the claims even given to the Ombudsman are negligible because the people do not know about this process. Would the Minister kindly inform the House if he has the information about the number of claims in the redressal mechanism which were made to the Ombudsman regarding rejection by private insurance companies and what was the redressal on these claims given by the Ombudsman?

SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA: Sir, I appreciate the hon. Member by giving these figures. Whatever figures I have given were also supplied by the IRDA. Regarding the mechanism the hon. Member is talking, Sir, IRDA is the regulatory body and it regularly monitors the outstanding claims on these issues. They cause inspections from time to time, issue directions to the companies and direct penal interest to be paid to the claimants. Regarding Ombudsman, I do not have the separate figures about private companies or the LIC, but in 2008-09, 6,143 complaints were pending before the Ombudsman; there are twelve Ombudsmen in the country; 5,586 were disposed of and that comes to 91 per cent. In addition to that, the IRDA is in the process of putting in place GMS, grievance management system, which will expeditiously address the grievances of the policy holders.

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: Sir, the figures that the Minister has given actually reflect the very poor redressal mechanism we have. We are talking of crores of people whose claims have been rejected and who are the people approaching the 12 Ombudsmen and the complaints are around 6,000. Therefore, Sir, what my basic concern is that we are encouraging the private insurance companies to come in. I am on the rights of insurance holders, particularly when we consider the insurance rights of widows. Therefore, my supplementary again relates to the fact whether the Government, in view of the very weak redressal mechanism, is prepared to set up a Committee to look at the big gap, (a) between private insurance and public insurance as far as claims pending, claims rejected and accepted and (b) whether certain penal provisions and a time bound redressal mechanism can be set up by the Government through a study by this Committee.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: Sir, the main question is about the outstanding claims ratio in respect of the repudiation and grievance redressal mechanism to be established. The institution of ombudsman is already there. The private sector insurance companies who have to wait, one of the reasons why is that there is a big gap between the performance of the public sector companies and the private sector companies because the private sector companies have started recently but public sector companies, such as LIC, are in operation from 1956. Now, there is a system in the insurance that if an early claim is there, then, there is a detailed investigation and more time takes place to settle the claim. Now most of these companies, which have come into operation for the last 10 to 12
years, naturally, the claims which will be made there and most of them will be in that category where it will require more time. So far as sensitizing the issue about the reduction of the repudiated claims, senior officers in the private sector companies are also visiting, sitting in the local offices, seeing the functioning, identifying the areas of deficiencies and improvements are taking place. But I do agree that there is difference; and, these differences will have to be looked into. A committee is not the solution but any other mechanism which can be considered for improving the situation could be looked into. But I am not very much enamored of setting up a committee every time because there will be committees to look after the committees and thereafter another committee to look after that committee. ...(Interruptions)...

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: Sir, you can chair a new GoM on this. ...(Interruptions)... You can add it to the list of your onerous duties. ...(Interruptions)...

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: In this process we go on enhancing the activities without effectively redressing the grievances of the customers.

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ: Thank you very much, Sir. Sir, I declare my interest in this field as Chairman of two insurance companies in the private sector of which I am very happy and proud. *

...(Interruptions)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: That is not necessary. ...(Interruptions)...

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ: Okay. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: That is not necessary. ...(Interruptions)... Please, put your supplementary. ...(Interruptions)... No, no, please. ...(Interruptions)...

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ: If directed I withdraw my comments, Sir. ...(Interruptions)... I withdraw my comments. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: This is totally unnecessary. ...(Interruptions)... Please resume your places. ...(Interruptions)...

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ: Sir, my friends are not allowing me to put my question. ...(Interruptions)... I would like to withdraw that if you do not like that statement. ...(Interruptions)... Sir, I would like to start my question by saying that I am very proud of the private sector. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: That is not necessary. ...(Interruptions)... Please put your supplementary. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. RAHUL BAJAJ: Sir, through you, I would like to ask the Finance Minister or the Minister of State whether it is a fact that the entry of private sector in the insurance eight years ago, was a great boon to the people of India where the penetration increased. ...(Interruptions)...

* Withdrawn by the hon. Member
MR. CHAIRMAN: That does not relate to this question. ...

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ: Yes, Sir, because it relates to the satisfaction of the customers. ...

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: How can he go on like this? ...

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ: I am not talking of Bajaj. ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Let us not waste our precious time. ...

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ: This is allowed under the rules of this House. ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: I know. Please ask your question.

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: What is this? ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Let us not waste precious time. Mr. Madhu, please ...

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ: I am asking a question relating to the main question. My supplementary question is: is it not a fact that the people of India who didn’t receive enough, though the public sector insurance companies are doing very good work, who did not have enough insurance possibilities to insure themselves are better off now with better products, with better policies and better premiums also of their claims, whether death claims or other claims.

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: He cannot see his own private interest.

SHRI PRASANTA CHATTERJEE: He is advocating ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Chatterjee, please ...

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: This is absolutely wrong ...

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: He cannot speak of his own private sector.
SHRI RAJIV PRATAP RUDY: Why is the entire group here cornering one person? He has a point of view. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Rudy, please. ...(Interruptions)... You have not been called upon to speak. Please, Mr. Rudy. ...(Interruptions)... What is happening here? ...(Interruptions)... No, no, please don’t introduce extraneous matters. Let the supplementary be answered.

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: This is utterly wrong. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please Mr. Raja, please Mrs. Karat, please. ...(Interruptions)... Please, let the supplementary be answered.

SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA: There are 23 companies. One is LIC of India and there are 22 private companies doing this work and, I think, they are doing a good service, but at the same time, their outstanding claim ratio is much higher after the intervention from time to time by RBI. Their ratio of pendency is reducing. It was 13.32 in 2006. It was 10.88 in 2007 and in 2008-09, it was 7.75. Hopefully, this will further decrease in the coming years.

SHRI PRAVEEN RASHTRAPAL: Sir, this is a very important question and we should go back to the then, NDA Government when private sector was allowed in LIC insurance. Some of us who were in Congress Party have objected to the entry of private sector.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question please.

SHRI PRAVEEN RASHTRAPAL: Sir, I wish to go back to the history also.

MR. CHAIRMAN: No, no history please. ...(Interruptions)...

SHRI T. K. RANGARAJAN: He should also speak about Mundhra. ...(Interruptions)...

SHRI PRAVEEN RASHTRAPAL: Sir, because I am associated with trade union movement, I must tell here that the figures are very much in favour of public sector and as a Government we should compliment public sector as against private sector.

MR. CHAIRMAN: What is the question?

SHRI PRAVEEN RASHTRAPAL: Sir, I am coming to the question.

MR. CHAIRMAN: No, no, the figures speak for themselves. There is no need for complimenting.

SHRI PRAVEEN RASHTRAPAL: Sir, if a private man can compliment private sector in this House, Government must compliment public sector.
MR. CHAIRMAN: What is your supplementary please?

SHRI PRAVEEN RASHTRAPAL: I am coming to the supplementary. There, Government has permitted private sector to do work and given all infrastructure with certain condition. Looking at that performance, may I know from the hon. Minister whether the private companies will be warned? They are not properly performing, that too in the area of human death where the widow or the family member is waiting for the claim. If the delay is occurring there, there should be a warning from the Government side to the private sector doing business in life insurance.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: Sir, I would like to clarify one point. There is no dispute between the private sector and the public sector. There are 22 private sector insurance companies operating in the market. These are commercial activities. Any company would like to expand to make more profit and they will have to provide better customer services so that their business expands. I explained that most of them came later. That is why they could not come up to the one which has been in existence since 1956. Sir, the LIC was set up in 1956 through the Life Insurance Corporation of India Act. But, the insurance business was in existence before that; some of them were doing this from 30s and some of them were doing from 20s. Therefore, these are the known facts.

So far as the entry of private sector is concerned, they are already operating here and the Government’s responsibility is to provide a level-playing ground.

SHRI PRAKASH JAVADEKAR: Hon. Chairman, Sir, the pendency or not meeting out the claims by the private insurance companies in comparison to public sector insurance companies happens because of complex hidden conditions. The private insurance policies have too many conditions and they are apparent. So, my question is: Whether the Government will look into this aspect of hidden conditions, will it make a study as to why this pendency happens and why these claims are not settled quickly and what steps will the Government take to make conditions more transparent which will be known to everybody. That is the only way to come out of these hidden conditions.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: Sir, there is no question of any hidden conditions.

SHRI PRAKASH JAVADEKAR: Sir, they are there ... *(Interruptions)* ... There are interpretations ... *(Interruptions)* ...

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: Whatever conditionalities have been given, they are in the knowledge of the public domain.

Expansion of airports in Tamil Nadu

*262. DR. K. MALAIYAMY: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:
(a) the stage of expansion initiatives undertaken at Madurai, Tuticorin, Coimbatore and Chennai Airports in Tamil Nadu and the probable time-frame before which these expansion works could be completed and put to use;

(b) whether it is a fact that the progress of expansion work has been very slow;

(c) what are the hurdles and handicaps in getting the land from the Ministry of Defence, which seemed to have agreed at a particular point of time and went back subsequently; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):
(a) to (d) A Statement is laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

(a) The details of works in progress at Madurai, Tuticorin, Coimbatore and Chennai airports in Tamil Nadu are as under:

Madurai - Construction of New Integrated Terminal Building - likely to be completed by May, 2010.

Tuticorin - The Master Plan has been projected to Govt. of Tamil Nadu with draft MOU to provide 586 acres of land free of cost & free from all encumbrances to AAI along with other concessions, for upgrading the Airport in phases, initially for Code ?C? A321 type of aircraft and subsequently for higher category Code ?D? aircraft.

Coimbatore - Expansion and modification of Terminal Building - likely to be completed by May, 2010.

Construction of part parallel taxiway and expansion of apron completed on 25.2.2010.

Chennai - Major expansion is being carried out where passenger aircraft and cargo handling capacities are being augmented. The modernization work of Chennai Airport is progressing as per schedule. The physical progress of modernization of Chennai Airport is 45% and is likely to be completed by January, 2011.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) and (d) There are no problems/impediments in respect of Madurai, Tuticorin and Coimbatore airports, with Defence Authorities as no land of Defence is envisaged at these 3 airports.

At Chennai Airport 21 acres of land has been identified to be acquired from Defence Authorities for undertaking development works. Defence authorities had agreed to hand over the same to Airports Authority of India (AAI) but only part of the land has been handed over. However, on continuous follow up and persuasion by AAI, Defence Authorities have lately accorded working permission in the remaining land.
Out of 21 acres of land proposed to hand over to AAI, 1.76 acres of land had been added into operational area where facilities have been developed by AAI. In the remaining 19.24 acres of land, only working permission has been given but their assets have not been vacated till date. Alternate facilities for the assets of Defence on this 19.24 acres of land have already been constructed by AAI as per the location and plans approved by Defence Authorities but the same has not been taken over. Defence Authorities have requested for equal value of land which is being discussed amongst the State Government and Defence Authorities.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Sir, the entire House is fully aware that the pressure of traffic on road, air and sea is increasing by leaps and bounds throughout the length and breadth of the country. For information of the House, it is known that, on an average, 12,000 cars are manufactured and put on road. All the metro cities....

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question, please.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: ...have become crowded, congested and they cannot take the load of passengers, etc. And as such, the expansion and modernization of airports is a must. I have asked a question relating to the expansion and modernization of airports, particularly in respect of Tamil Nadu. I am happy that the reply has been fairly given.

MR. CHAIRMAN: What is the supplementary?

DR. K. MALAISAMY: I come to my first supplementary.

Sir, as far as time-frame given to complete Madurai and Coimbatore airports, it is May. As far as time-frame given for modernization of Chennai Airport, it is January, 2011. My specific question is: What was the earlier time-frame fixed to complete the work? Now, the Government says that it is going to complete the work on such-and-such date. What was the earlier commitment? This is my first supplementary.

SHRI PRAFUL PATEL: Sir, I think, hon. Member is asking for earlier time-frame. I think, broadly, the construction at both the airports is on time. Some slippages by a month or two here and there might have happened in the case of Madurai. Whereas, in the case of Chennai, the time-frame as specified is absolutely as it was envisaged.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Sir, my second supplementary, I think, is difficult to answer.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Then, why are you asking a difficult supplementary?

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Sir, in the last paragraph of the reply, the hon. Minister says that only working permission has been given, but their assets have not been vacated till date. Sir, 1.76 acres of land has been added into operational area where facilities have been developed by AAI and in 19.24 acres only working permission has been given. Sir, the point is this. There is a piece of land to be
given to the Airports Authority. The Airports Authority has undertaken to do the facilities for the Defence; and, they have done the job also. But, now, the Defence says that they are giving 'working permission'. I am unable to understand what the 'working permission' is. In spite of the fact that the Airports Authority has given all facilities meant for Defence, they are not occupied. On the other hand, they say that. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. CHAIRMAN: You don't have a supplementary.

DR. K. MALAISAMY: Sir, in other words, there is some hitch in existence, I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether there is any hitch between the Defence and the Civil Aviation. Is it a local hitch or a national hitch?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Is that a suspicion or a fact?

SHRI PRAFUL PATEL: Sir, I think, the hon. Member must appreciate, as is mentioned in the written reply, that there is some issue of land transfer between the Defence authorities and the Airports Authority. This is not something which is unique to Chennai. In most cases, the Defence authorities and the civilian authorities share the assets. Somewhere we are occupying the assets of the Defence in a Defence airfield and, vice versa, in a civilian airfield there are Defence facilities. This is an ongoing process. So, I don’t think that the work per se, as has been replied, has stopped because of that. We have created some assets for them on the land which they are supposed to vacate. And, as I have indicated in the reply, though the formalities are yet to be completed, the work per se has not been stopped.

SHRI N. BALAGANGA: Sir, the Minister’s reply is confined to Madurai, Tuticorin, Coimbatore and Chennai. The hon. Minister has neglected my native place, Salem. Salem is one of the biggest cities in Tamil Nadu. After a long wait, one private airlines, the Kingfisher, alone has an evening flight from Chennai to Salem. I would like to know whether the other airlines, particularly the Air India, will also fly from Chennai to Salem. If 'yes', when?

SHRI PRAFUL PATEL: Sir, I can’t answer which airline will start services when and where. But, at least, one must appreciate that in Tamil Nadu, compared to many other States, there are many airports that are, now, developed by the Airports Authority to much higher standards — some of them to very, very high international standards — which, of course, include Madurai and Tuticorin. Until a few months back, there were no flights to Tuticorin and Salem. At least, they have, now, been connected. The Airports Authority is putting its best to invest in these facilities, although the return on investment will not be coming back for many, many years. In spite of that, keeping in mind the connectivity needs of a large State and a large country, like ours, Tuticorin and Salem have been included in the air map and their flights have started.
**Shri Mahesh Gohil:** Dynanwad Samajmata Mohd., Vaah Prashn Mahatma Gandhi and Pratishthan se Samvibhag hain. Main manav Jeeji ko dhadan vishwaanata chaapsa ki kichha ke bhar vaah bahut se, kharva van me Mahatma Gandhi and Pratishthan ko kah... [Vedhikat]...

**Mr. Chairman:** Does not relate to this question.

**Shri Mahesh Gohil:** Sabha Prashn Mahatma Gandhi and Pratishthan se hain, vaah Prashn autism and Pratishthan se hain. Aam bihaal hain, aam bihaal aapko Pratishthan ke aadhar and Pratishthan ke aadhar vishwaanata chaapsa ki kharva van me Mahatma Gandhi and Pratishthan ko kah... [Vedhikat]...

**Shri Mahesh Gohil:** Sabha Prashn Mahatma Gandhi and Pratishthan se hain, vaah Prashn autism and Pratishthan ke aadhar vishwaanata chaapsa ki kharva van me Mahatma Gandhi and Pratishthan ko kah... [Vedhikat]...

**Shri Mahesh Gohil:** Sabha Prashn Mahatma Gandhi and Pratishthan se hain, vaah Prashn autism and Pratishthan ke aadhar vishwaanata chaapsa ki kharva van me Mahatma Gandhi and Pratishthan ko kah... [Vedhikat]...

**Shri Narujir Halaji Thakor:** Will the Minister of Shipping be pleased to state:

(a) whether in-principle approval for subsidy of Rs.88.33 crore to Alcock Ashdown Gujarat Ltd. has been granted under the subsidy scheme for export projects of Government;

---

**Subsidy for ship-building**

*263, SHRI NATUJI HALAJI THAKOR: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:

(a) whether in-principle approval for subsidy of Rs.88.33 crore to Alcock Ashdown Gujarat Ltd. (AAGL) has been granted under the subsidy scheme for export projects of Government;*
(b) whether proposal regarding modified ship-building subsidy scheme has been finalized by his Ministry;

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) if not, by when this scheme is likely to be finalized?

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): (a) to (d) A Statement is laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

(a) In principle approval for shipbuilding subsidy for 4 vessels amounting to Rs.23.69 crores (approx.) has been granted to Alcock Ashdown (Gujarat) Limited (AAGL). Apart from this, requisite documents are awaited from AAGL for in principle approval for shipbuilding subsidy for another 4 vessels amounting to Rs. 70 crores (approx.).

(b) to (d) No proposal regarding modified shipbuilding subsidy scheme has been finalised and no time-frame can be indicated for finalizing the same.

SIR, I would like to inform the hon. Member, through you, that India’s share in the world in ship building industry has increased from about 0.1 per cent of the world ship building in 2002 to about 1 per cent by 2007-08. It is the Government’s endeavour to provide an environment to domestic ship building industry to compete globally. The Government is considering a modified ship building scheme. For that, Sir, the scheme is being examined internally and has to be discussed with various Ministries including the Ministry of Finance and Planning Commission. I would like to tell the hon. Member, through you, Sir, that the process is on and in the coming months you will see a modified ship building policy.

SHRI G.K. VASAN: Sir, so far, about Rs. 12.98 crores have been released against Rs.23.69 crores for which, in-principle approval has been granted. Regarding rest of the amount, AAGL has submitted a claim of Rs.6.45 crores. It has been found that documents submitted by the AAGL are deficient. AAGL has been accordingly requested to provide the required documents, as per the guidelines. It has also applied for approval of another case which is 12,800 DWT IMO-II chemical tankers. The documents, again, submitted by AAGL were found to be deficient. Time and again, we are requesting to provide requisite documents as per the guidelines laid down.
DR. V. MAITREYAN: Sir, the ship building industry has witnessed a tremendous growth after the implementation of this subsidy scheme. However, in the absence of subsidy for the on-going contracts, some builders were put to a lot of difficulties. So, I would like to know from the hon. Minister as to why there was a delay for the grant of in-principle approval in certain cases. And, if so, in how many cases, the in-principle approval is still awaited from the Ministry.

SHRI G.K. VASAN: Sir, since the scheme expired on 14th August, 2007, in-principle approval could not be granted till revival of the ship building subsidy scheme. Thereafter, the Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs in the meeting held on 26th February, 2009, decided to liquidate the committed liability for payment of subsidy for on-going ship building contracts entered up to 14th August 2007. I would like to inform the hon. Member, through you, Sir, that after the date of expiry of the subsidy scheme, in-principle approvals and payment of the subsidy has resumed after the approval of the Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs.

PROF. P.J. KURIEN: Sir, the (b) part of the question relates to the ship building subsidy in general. So, my question relates to that. I am going to ask only about subsidy. The Shipyard at Cochin is a Miniratna Company and the first indigenous aircraft carrier is being built in that company. Therefore, that is a very important shipyard.

I would like to know from the hon. Minister as to what are the growth plans for the Shipyard at Cochin. And, what is the amount of subsidy you have so far released and what is the amount of subsidy pending to be released?

SHRI G.K. VASAN: Sir, as has been stated by the hon. Member, in partnership with the Indian Navy, Cochin Shipyard Ltd. would be one among the few shipyards of the world to have attempted to construct the aircraft carrier because of its inherent strength in detailed engineering capacity to innovate reputation for on-time delivery and modern infrastructure.

Regarding the issue of subsidy that the hon. Member has raised, I would like to tell the Member through you, Sir, that so far Rs. 171.37 crore and Rs. 297.77 crore have been released to the CSL during the Tenth Plan and Eleventh Plan respectively under the ship-building subsidy scheme. The Government is likely to sanction around Rs. 92 crore as subsidy to CSL and this will be done during the current year and the next year if requisite documents are submitted as per the guidelines by the CSL. There is also, Sir, a proposal to set up a new dry dock which can accommodate vessels up to 2 lakh DWT. The project cost is estimated to be Rs. 1000 crores.

SHRI PENUMALLI MADHU: How much subsidy has been released to the central public sector shipyards, non-central public sector units and private sector shipyards under this scheme? What is the amount involved in which in-principle approval has been granted but subsidy is yet to be released?
SHRI G.K. VASAN: Sir, during the Tenth and Eleventh Five Year Plan period, so far Rs. 644.04 crore has been released to the Central PSU shipyards, Cochin Shipyard Ltd. and Hindustan Shipyard Ltd. both together. Then, Rs. 12.98 crore have been released to Alcock Ashdown Gujarat Ltd. which is a non-central PSU shipyard and Rs. 47.69 crore have been released to private sector shipyards. I would like to tell the hon. Member through you, Sir, that approximately Rs. 331.7 crore is involved in cases in which in-principle approval has been granted but subsidy, Sir, I would like to tell is yet to be released, of which Rs. 92 crore pertain to Cochin Shipyard about which I have mentioned earlier. Then, again Rs. 130.48 crore pertain to Hindustan Shipyard Ltd., another Rs. 10.59 crore pertain to non-central PSU shipyard, and, finally, Sir, approximately Rs. 98.55 crore pertain to the private sector shipyard.

**Upgradation to Dabolim Airport**

264. SHRI SHANTARAM LAXMAN NAIK: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) the area requested by the Airports Authority of India (AAI) from the Indian Navy for the expansion and upgradation of Dabolim Airport;

(b) the area granted to the AAI by the Indian Navy;

(c) the nature of documents executed between the parties for the purpose;

(d) the status of the progress of the project;

(e) whether any Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) has been signed for the purpose;

(f) if so, the details thereof; and

(g) the details of the modalities chalked out for the purpose of implementing the MoU?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL): 

(a) to (g) A Statement is laid on the Table of the House.

**Statement**

(a) Airports Authority of India (AAI) has requested for 51 acres of land at Goa airport from Indian Navy for upgradation of Dabolim Airport, which has been declined by Indian Navy. AAI has further requested Indian Navy to provide 7.5 acres additional land, for extending the apron.

(b) In the past, land measuring 31,946 acres had been handed over to AAI by Indian Navy at the Civil Enclave, Goa for phased expansion of this Civil Enclave (14,995 acres in 1966, 10,701 acres during 1970-2006 (Regularized in 2007) and 6.25 crores in 2007). Further, 2.52 acres of land out of the total area of 8.77 which was to be given by Indian Navy is being disputed by Indian Navy since the
Indian Navy has claimed that this 2.52 acres of the land which has been given to AAI by the State Government of Goa belongs to Indian Navy and not the State Government. Hence, out of the 8.77 acres which was to be transferred to AAI, they have transferred only 6.25 acres and the remaining 2.52 acres is under dispute between Indian Navy and State Government and AAI is yet to be given that land.

(c) Whenever any land is taken over from the Indian Navy, necessary handing over/taking over of documents including areas demarcated on scaled drawings and duly signed by both the parties have been exchanged between Indian Navy and AAI.

(d) Tender action has been initiated for the work of proposed Integrated Terminal Building.

(e) No, Sir.

(f) and (g) Do not arise.

SHRI SHANTARAM LAXMAN NAIK: Sir, let me first of all appreciate the efforts of the hon. Minister and the patience he is having in getting land from the Indian Navy. It is really a difficult task. But, Sir, he is knocking the wrong door; forms 1 and 40 of the Survey don’t mean title. Indian Navy doesn’t have title to this land. The title lies with the Goa Government and the hon. Minister is knocking the doors of Indian Navy to give him the land. They are not giving. They are refusing to give the land. They have got the land to build quarters for their employees working outside Goa. But they don’t have the land to give you.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question, please.

SHRI SHANTARAM LAXMAN NAIK: When Pranabda was the Defence Minister, he also tried and he gave some land. Antonyji also tried, Sir. I require your protection. Sir, my supplementary is very simple. The hon. Minister is aware of the nature of documents executed between parties; the nature of documents means, the gift deed, sale deed, exchange deed or lease deed. We know about these types of documents. When he buys property from somewhere, he executes these types of documents. I want to know what document you have signed while taking possession of the land which the Indian Navy has given you. What document you have signed? ... *(Interruptions)* ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please, put your question and not give a lecture on this. ... *(Interruptions)* ...

SHRI PRAFUL PATEL: Sir, let me not be too technical, at least, while answering him. Of course, he has put a question and because it is in the nature of a question, we would satisfy him with all that he has wanted to know.
Sir, the fact here remains, as I have said in reply to an earlier question, that both are agencies of the Government. Of course, one is on the defense side while the other is on the civilian side. There are ongoing issues between both of them at several airports. In Goa, particularly, there is a very urgent demand and need for building a new terminal at the earliest at Dabolim, as the airport is completely saturated. And the Airports Authority of India has earmarked money, has been in the advanced stages of putting out all the tenders and to see that work commences at the earliest. I would only like to assure the hon. Member – don’t talk about lease and sale deed; there is nothing like a sale deed between the Navy and us; if at all, may be a lease - that at the moment we function under a Memorandum of Understanding which we signed with each other and all formalities are then subsequently carried out.

SHRI SHANTARAM LAXMAN NAIK: You have said that you have not signed any document.

SHRI PRAFUL PATEL: That is what I am saying; at the moment, there is an MoU. MoU does not mean that it is a lease deed or a sale deed, as you wanted to know; MoU is a broad understanding on the basis of which we proceed. I would like to tell the hon. Member, as he is interested in knowing whether the Goa terminal work at Dabolim would start or not, the tender process is underway and I can assure him that by the end of May, the tender process would be completed and the physical construction of the work would start.

SHRI SHANTARAM LAXMAN NAIK: Sir, initially, the decision of the Government was that this airport would be closed because another airport was coming up. For the kind intervention of the hon. Prime Minister, and we would like you to cooperate as well, Sir, it has been decided that Dabolim would continue to operate. Now, Sir, the construction of the new Mopa Airport is progressing at a slow pace and the people of Goa - I personally believe you – are questioning your sense of duty or loyalty towards your own State and are under the impression that you are going slow on the Mopa Airport so that Sindhudurga Airport is not affected. You need to allay this apprehension of the people of Goa, not mine; I am convinced about your interest. But please allay this public impression that you are going slow on the Mopa Airport.

SHRI PRAFUL PATEL: Sir, I think the impression is wrongly getting carried away, if at all it is there; we have not heard about it. We ourselves bring this issue back and forth on the Table; there is no issue. As far as the Dabolim Airport is concerned, both the hon. Prime Minister and myself have made categorical assertions. And the fact is that Dabolim would continue to function as an airport and if there is requirement of a second airport, which I personally feel there is, Mopa Airport has to be pioneered by the State Government. I think we are sitting on the same side of the divide where the State Government is concerned. I feel, the hon. Member must use his good offices; my friend, Mr. Hariprasad is also here; they can do something more for the faster implementation of Mops. So, if at
all there is any misunderstanding that Mopa is being delayed or going slow because of us, I think, it is more of an onerous responsibility on you and this side of the divide to clear that misunderstanding.

SHRI RAJIV PRATAP RUDY: Sir, we all appreciate that in 2004 we revised the charter policy as far international flights were concerned. In India, while in 2004 we had around 150 chartered flights operating, we have more than 1500 today, and a majority of them land in Goa. I appreciate the hon. Minister’s position because today, the highest land holding in this country is held by the Armed Forces, whether it is the Air Force or the Navy, and as far as the Armed Forces are concerned, even the air corridors, a large air corridor, whether it is in the sky or the ground, is held by the Armed Forces. So, when it comes to creation of infrastructure which concerns the nation, why does the Government not go in for a large coordination where national infrastructure projects relating to the country are concerned and especially in a place like Goa where a large airport is required? I am aware, as I was Minister earlier, that Ministers go around begging for even five acres of land when they have thousands of acres of land. Even if we have land in Goa today, the entire landed aircraft proceed to the naval base where they part for some time before they come to the main airfront. When this is a fact, why can’t there be a co-ordination at the national level where the Defence Minister and the Prime Minister could go across and settle all these issues across the nation? It is not just the question of one airport, but possibly, in the majority of airports in the country, for example Jodhpur, which are held by the Air Force, we can have a co-ordination. The Armed Forces and the leaders in the Armed Forces must realize that at the end of the day, it is the infrastructure of the country which has to be made. And, this conservatism in the Armed Forces, which have the largest budget today in the country, must go and I will ask the Minister as to what is his approach towards this problem of air corridors in large areas being taken over by the Air Force. They are restraining civilian flights, and also on the ground that they are. ... (Interruptions) ... So, I have these two questions.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please answer one question.

SHRI PRAFUL PATEL: Sir, I understand the sentiment expressed here, but I cannot speak for the Armed Forces primarily because what their operational requirements are, I am nobody to comment on that. All I can say is that on an ongoing basis, with the Armed Forces — rather I would say the Air Force and the Navy because primarily airports are held either by the Air Force or the Navy — we have a constant interaction. To say that we have not been able to meet with any success would be unfair to them also. There is an ongoing dialogue on some lands and some requirements on both sides. Even somewhere, we have to accommodate their requirements. It is on an ongoing basis. It is happening; may not be at the pace which we would like to, but, at the same time, it is happening.
On the other side, about air space management, which you stated, I can assure you that now, between the Air Force and us, we have a dialogue for flexi air space management, and it is proceeding well, where both sides sit together and determine what are the air corridors which are to be used for dual purposes. When their requirements are less, that air space is freed for operation by the civil side and vice versa. So, there is an ongoing understanding, on the basis of which, I can assure you that air space management is far better today than what it was yesterday, and will be far better tomorrow than what it is today.

International flights from Jaipur airport

† 265. SHRI OM PRAKASH MATHUR: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) the number of flights being operated every day from the new terminal of Jaipur International Airport after its opening;

(b) the destinations of international flights being operated at present from Jaipur;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(c) whether Air India has any plan to start more international flights from Jaipur in future; and

(d) whether there is a proposal to give International status to any other airport of Rajasthan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) to (d) A Statement is laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

(a) Presently 19 domestic flights are operated from New Terminal Building at Jaipur airport daily.

(b) The destinations of international flights being operated from Jaipur airport are Dubai, Muscat and Sharjah.

(c) Presently there are no plans of Air India to introduce more international flights from Jaipur.

(d) No, Sir.
SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I know that the hon. Minister is not only an expert but also has the ability to convince anybody. I just want to inform the hon. Minister — and, he can convey this to Air India — that the flights going to the Middle-East countries are going absolutely full, and, that is the area where they are making plenty of money. Thirty years ago, when the French Airlane was landing in Delhi, most of the people used to go by bus to Rajasthan, Mandawa, for tourism purposes. I am giving you the name. The first hotel, which was opened for tourism purposes, was at Mandawa. Since there is the tourist traffic coming in, and, also the business and tourist traffic going out of the country, I will request the hon. Minister to convey this message to Air India. Let them examine it. Air India may be benefited to cover other places.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question please.

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Sir, the question is with regard to the second phase, which you have mentioned, and, I would like to know by what time you intend to complete it because it is already getting congested, and, do you intend to increase the runway also.
SHRI PRAFUL PATEL: Sir, I would like to inform you that the second phase of the Jaipur terminal will definitely be taken up, and, I expect that the work on that will commence in the year 2010 itself. So, that would be definitely a matter of satisfaction for the people of Jaipur and the requirements of the State. And, as far as the runway is concerned, I do not think that there is no limitation. It is the runway which can accommodate virtually all major aircraft which are already in operation.

SHRI KUMAR DEEPAK DAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Sir.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please speak on this question only.

SHRI KUMAR DEEPAK DAS: Sir, with regard to the international airports, in various States, I have seen that...

MR. CHAIRMAN: We are talking about this question.

SHRI KUMAR DEEPAK DAS: Yes, Sir, I am coming come to that. What happens is that the standard for maintaining the international airports is not up to the mark. Sir, no foreign journey is possible from various international airports like LGNB airport in the State of Assam. That is the problem. Why are the Indian Airlines or other airlines not operating from that international airport? What is the reaction of the hon. Minister on this matter?

SHRI PRAFUL PATEL: Sir, I will get it examined.

DR. T. SUBBARAMI REDDY: Sir, with your permission, I would like to know this from the hon. Minister. On the same day the Jaipur International Airport started functioning, the hon. Minister assured us when he visited Visakhapatnam that he would introduce international flights from there. Singapore and Malaysia are close to Visakhapatnam.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is about Jaipur.

DR. T. SUBBARAMI REDDY: Sir, Jaipur is also close to Visakhapatnam. ... (Interruptions) ... I want to know when he will introduce these flights. ... (Interruptions) ... He will speak, Sir.

SHRI PRAFUL PATEL: Sir, let him invite me for dinner which he is famous for and then I will talk to him.

PPP projects at major ports

*266. SHRI N.K. SINGH: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:

(a) whether to prevent monopoly of a single player in the Public Private Partnership (PPP) projects at major ports, Government has revised guidelines capping the number of projects a player can undertake in a port;

(b) if so, the details of the new guidelines drafted and issued for implementation;
(c) whether the views of the stakeholders have been sought; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and to what extent the monopoly of some players at ports would be removed?

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): (a) to (d) The matter is under consideration of the Government.

SHRI N.K. SINGH: Sir, my first supplementary to the hon. Minister is this. Considering all available reports that the Public-Private Partnership experiment in the port sector witnesses the worst features of the private sector — high monopoly, delay in projects, and rent seeking in terms of constraining quality of turnaround shipping — and since the answer that the hon. Minister has given merely says that ‘it is under consideration,’ would he like to share with this House the salient features of the proposed guideline which ensures that the Public-Private Partnership results in garnering of capital, technology and improved productivity, which is what this experiment is meant for?

SHRI G.K. VASAN: Sir, through you, I would like to tell the hon. Member, who has posed a very important question on the PPP sector, that the PPP initiative in the port sector, I would say, started around 15 years back. At that point in time, there were a few private parties, and all the parties were encouraged in the port sector. Now after 15 years, the trend has been very good in the country, and there is a lot of change in the scenario. At present, there are several private parties, which are competing for the PPP. The Ministry had to take a decision to ensure that there is transparency and fair play in the port sector. Therefore, the Government has decided to formulate a policy on this.

On the specific question of the hon. Member regarding the current policy and safeguards which the Government is taking, I would like to tell the hon. Member that the Ministry issued some guidelines vide letter dated 26th September 2007 for preventing private sector monopoly in port sector with the approval of the then hon. Minister for Shipping, Road Transport and Highways. In that particular letter, it was clearly given that the successful bidder of the previous container terminal on BOT basis and/or their subsidiaries/allied organizations should be excluded from bidding for 330-metre extension project. This would mean that for the next BOT container terminal in JN port, in future, the successful bidder of 330-metre extension project would be excluded and so on. It was further directed from the Ministry that the above convention shall be followed in all ports in its true spirit with a view to avoid monopoly and to promote competition till such time a formal policy is finalised.

Now, Sir, when it comes to the question of PPP monopoly policy, which is going on, I would like to tell the House, because the hon. Member has specifically requested me, about one or two safeguards in the new policy which we are planning to do. One important aspect is that if there is only one private terminal operator in the port for a specific cargo, the operator will not be allowed to bid
for the next terminal/berth for the same cargo in the same port. Another safeguard, which we proposed, is that existing private operator of the port is allowed to bid for the project only if the operator does not have more than two BOT projects in the same type of cargo at the port or at any port within the radius of 100 km. I am sure, Sir, this will help in plugging the loopholes of the PPP monopoly.

SHRI N.K. SINGH: Sir, my second supplementary arises from the reply which the hon. Minister has given in such great detail. Considering that these are all salutary changes, would you like to give some assurance by when these guidelines are likely to be finalised and issued?

SHRI G.K. VASAN: Sir, through you, I would like to tell the hon. Member that there is a requirement to further fine-tune the same policy. Accordingly, draft monopoly policy was framed and put on the website. The Ministry of Shipping invited comments from the stakeholders also. A meeting of the stakeholders was held under the Chairmanship of the Secretary and suggestions were received from the stakeholders which are currently under examination in the Ministry. I would like to tell the hon. Member that the new monopoly policy of the Ministry of Shipping will achieve finality within a period of one month from today. Thereafter, it will be sent to the Ministry of Law for comments.

SHRI ARUN JAITLEY: Sir, unlike the monopoly in a manufacturing area where if there are ill-effects of monopoly, products can be imported from outside, a service sector monopoly is something which clearly has no options left as far as the economy is concerned. Is the Minister in this going to consider only over-presence of those parties on Indian ports, or, is he also factoring in the regional presence considering that shipping is an industry where ports in the region are also used for offloading from bigger vessels into smaller vessels and for sending it in India and the presence of some of the players in the region is also now becoming a bit too apparent?

SHRI G.K. VASAN: Sir, the Ministry is well aware of the important suggestions of the hon. Member. We are considering all the suggestions carefully, and we will come out with a fine policy which helps in Exim trade.

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Sir, if you prevent monopoly of the PPP project, then, some of the private operators who are very efficient will not be able to compete and get orders. Then, how will you deter inefficiency in the port sector?

SHRI G.K. VASAN: Sir, through you, I would like to tell the hon. Member that by implementing this policy, the intention of the Government is to increase the number of players in port sector rather than restrict their number with a view to enhance healthy competition in the ports. Sir, this policy will not jeopardise the efficiency and competency of the port operations. Rather, I would say, it would help in bringing a greater number of players in the field. ...(Interruptions)...
SHRI D. RAJA: Sir, I understand from the reply given by the Minister that the Government is considering an unrestricted policy for undertaking development projects at our ports. I would like to know from the hon. Minister: What are the reasons or what is the rationale for formulating a policy with regard to the prevention of private monopoly in port sector and the scope of coverage of the policy to prevent private monopoly in port sector?

SHRI G.K. VASAN: Sir, when it comes to the question of coverage which the hon. Member has asked, I would like to tell that the policy will be applicable to upcoming PPP projects at major ports. This policy will not be applicable to non-major ports since they are under the administrative control of the State Governments. Once the policy is notified under Section 111 of the Major Port Trusts Act, 1963, it would be circulated to all the maritime States, and they would be free to adopt the policy based on the requirements of the ports in the States.

Training facility for PRI representatives

267. SHRIMATI VIPLOVE THAKUR: Will the Minister of PANCHAYATI RAJ be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has received any proposal from the State Government of Himachal Pradesh for setting up new institutions for training representatives of the Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) in order to create awareness about the various schemes being implemented by Government;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether Government has taken any decision thereon;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) if not, by when the proposal is likely to be cleared?

THE MINISTER OF PANCHAYATI RAJ (SHRI C. P. JOSHI): (a) to (d) A Statement is laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

(a) and (b) Yes, Sir. The Government has received a proposal from the Government of Himachal Pradesh for setting up a new Panchayati Raj Training Institute at Mandi.

(c) to (e) The proposal is under consideration in the Ministry of Panchayati Raj.

श्रीमती विप्लोव ठाकुर: शर, माननीय मंत्री जी ने कहा है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार की तरफ से मण्डी के लिए proposal आया है और वह consideration में है। मैं यह जानना चाहती हूँ कि उस पर वे कब तक फैसला लेंगे? मैं उनसे यह भी जानना चाहती हूँ कि Centre ने ऐसे training centres और कहां-कहां देने के लिए propose किये हैं या उनके पास कहां-कहां के लिए proposals आये हैं?
श्री सी. पी. जोशी: सर, प्रदेश में already तीन training centres, बैजनाथ, मशोबरा और मण्डी में है। दूसरे
स्टेट्स के मुकाबले इस स्टेट की जो पॉपुलेशन है, उसके मुताबिक वहां पर केवल एक training centre की ही
आवश्यकता है, जबकि वहां पर already तीन चल रहे हैं, लेकिन फिर भी हम इसको explore करेंगे कि इसकी
सम्मानना बनती है या नहीं बनती है।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question Hour is over.

____________

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO STARRED QUESTIONS

Utilisation of funds by PRIs.

*268. SHRI GOVINDRAO WAMANRAO ADIK: Will the Minister of PANCHAYATI RAJ be
pleased to state:

(a) the norms and rules for utilization of funds allocated to the Panchayati Raj Institutions
(PRIs) under different schemes;

(b) whether the funds allocated for the PRIs to the States including Maharashtra under
different schemes have been utilized fully by them;

(c) if so, the details thereof;

(d) if not, the reasons therefor;

(e) the action taken by Government to ensure full utilization of these funds;

(f) whether Government is considering any enhancement in the allocation of funds to States
under these schemes; and

(g) if so, the details thereof, especially for Maharashtra?

THE MINISTER OF PANCHAYATI RAJ (SHRI C.P. JOSHI): (a) The development grant
component of the Backward Regions Grant Fund (BRGF), administered by the Ministry of
Panchayati Raj, is implemented by the Panchayats and the Municipalities. Fund under the
Development Grant Component is apportioned among the 250 identified backward districts as per
the following norms:

(i) Rs. 10 crore per annum per district is provided as the basic minimum allocation.

(ii) The balance allocation is distributed by giving equal weight to the population and area of
the district in the total population and area of all the 250 backward districts.

The State Governments are required to determine the norms for further allocation of this BRGF
fund to the Panchayats and the Municipalities in the districts. The detailed guidelines of the
Programme for utilization of BRGF fund are available in the website of the Ministry, namely,
www.panchayat.gov.in.
(b) and (c) The fund under the BRGF Programme has not been utilized fully by the Panchayati Raj institutions in many States including Maharashtra. State-wise details of funds released and utilized under the BRGF are given in the Statement (See below).

(d) The main reasons for slow pace of utilization of BRGF fund are as follows:-

(i) Delayed release of funds by the States to the implementing entities i.e., Panchayats and Municipalities.

(ii) Delay in receipt of the Annual Plans of the districts.

(iii) Good performing Panchayats and Municipalities have to wait for the release of funds till the whole district reaches the minimum required level of expenditure.

(e) The Ministry of Panchayati Raj insists on submission of Utilization Certificates and Expenditure Progress Reports for a certain percentage of the released amounts before sanctioning the second or subsequent installments of the grant. The Ministry is regularly monitoring and exhorting the State through written communications, video conferencing and meetings to expedite utilization of the funds. The Ministry has also decided to impose penal interest on the States for delay in transfer of BRGF funds to the implementing entities beyond 15 days.

(f) and (g) Budget Estimates (BE) for the 250 identified districts under BRGF, which stood at Rs. 4670 crore for 2009-10, is proposed to be enhanced to Rs. 5050 crore for 2010-11. The amount to be available to Maharashtra would depend on its performance.

Statement

Status of Entitlement, Releases and Utilisation of Development Grant Under BRGF

(Status on 09-03-2010)

(Amount Rs. in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entitlement</td>
<td>Utilisa-</td>
<td>Rele-</td>
<td>Utilisa-</td>
<td>Rele-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>from 2007-08</td>
<td>tion</td>
<td>ase</td>
<td>tion</td>
<td>ase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>335.3</td>
<td>301.9</td>
<td>301.9</td>
<td>250.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>157.2</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>603.0</td>
<td>538.2</td>
<td>538.2</td>
<td>421.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>235.5</td>
<td>224.9</td>
<td>224.9</td>
<td>192.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>101.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>322.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>290.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>103.2</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>428.4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>378.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>253.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>305.7</td>
<td>262.7</td>
<td>260.8</td>
<td>227.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>251.0</td>
<td>300.9</td>
<td>295.6</td>
<td>183.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>602.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>541.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Uttarakhand</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>244.9</td>
<td>187.3</td>
<td>183.0</td>
<td>142.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Technical Support #

TOTAL: 4420.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 2521.9 | 2454.5 | 2893.6 | 2264.0 | 3323.8 | 662.3

# States were provided funds @ Rs. 10 Lakh per BRGF District to engage Technical Support Institutions (TSIs) for preparation of District Plans.
Decline in Tax-GDP ratio

*269. SHRI P. RAJEEVE: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Tax-GDP ratio of the country has decreased in the last financial year;
(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and
(c) whether the concessions to the big corporates have led to this decrease in tax revenue?

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): (a) Yes, Sir. The following statistical table may be referred to in this regard:

Tax revenue as proportion of GDP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Tax</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Income Tax</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Corporate Tax</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Tax</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Customs</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Excise</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Service Tax</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Tax Revenue</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of data: Economic Survey 2009-10

(b) and (c) The decline in tax-GDP ratio can be broadly attributed to the following factors: (1) global economic meltdown; (2) remedial steps taken by the government to protect the Indian economy and industry from the impact of global economic meltdown; (3) several tax cuts announced since the year 2008-09, as ‘fiscal stimulus packages’ to boost the aggregate demand, revive the Indian economy and place it on the path of high growth.

Laying of gas pipeline in Kerala

*270. SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN: Will the Minister of Petroleum and Natural Gas be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Gas Authority of India Limited (GAIL) had sought approval from National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) for laying a 15 kilometre long gas pipeline from Vallarpadom to Kalamassery in Kerala as a part of the Kochi LNG terminal work;
(b) if so, whether the GAIL had informed that the gas pipeline does not fall under the list of utilities;

(c) if so, whether steps will be taken to include gas pipeline as a utility; and

(d) whether these steps will be taken without any delay since the laying of pipeline on the NHAI stretch falls under Phase-1 of the project?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI MURLI DEORA): (a) GAIL (India) Ltd. is laying Kochi-Koottanad-Bangalore-Mangalore pipeline for evacuation of gas from Kochi Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal. Phase-1 of the pipeline includes 26 km stretch from Kochi to Alwaye. This involves laying about 15 km gas pipeline stretch from Vallarpadom to Kalamassery along the National Highway. GAIL (India) Ltd. has applied to National Highway Authority of India (NHAI) for permission for the same.

(b) No sir. Gas pipelines come under the list of utilities for which Ministry of Road Transport & Highways has a policy for accommodation along and across National Highways.

(c) and (d) Does not arise in view of (b) above.

Leprosy cases in India

*271. SHRI RAJEEV CHANDRASEKHAR: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that India accounts for the highest number of new leprosy cases in the world; and

(b) if so, the steps Government has taken or proposes to take to eliminate this disease?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The goal of leprosy elimination (i.e. prevalence rate of less than 10 cases per lakh population) has been achieved at the National Level in December, 2005. However, the strategy of early detection and treatment of leprosy cases with multi-drug therapy (MDT) is being intensified to further reduce the burden of leprosy from current level of 7 cases per lakh population.

Losses suffered by petro companies

†*272. SHRI BRIJLAL KHABRI: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that leading petro companies are running in loss;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
The main reasons for losses being incurred by petro companies;

whether Government is formulating any plan to compensate losses of oil companies;

and

if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI MURLI DEORA): (a) and (b)
The Profit after Tax of the major petroleum companies namely, Oil & Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC), Oil India Limited (OIL), Gas Authority of India Limited (GAIL), Indian Oil Corporation Limited (IOCL), Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited (BPCL) and Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL) during the last three years are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006-07</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ONGC</td>
<td>15643</td>
<td>16702</td>
<td>16126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIL</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>2162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAIL</td>
<td>2387</td>
<td>2601</td>
<td>2804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOCL</td>
<td>7499</td>
<td>6963</td>
<td>2950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPCL</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>1581</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPCL</td>
<td>1571</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) to (e) The retail selling prices of the four sensitive petroleum products namely, Petrol, Diesel, PDS Kerosene and Domestic LPG are not being maintained in line with the international oil prices. Due to this, the Public Sector Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs) incur under-recoveries on the sale of these products. During the year 2009-10 (April-December 2009), the OMCs have incurred under-recoveries of Rs.29,353 crore on the sale of sensitive petroleum products.

Government has been following an equitable Burden Sharing Mechanism, to ensure that the burden of under-recoveries is shared by all the stakeholders; namely the Government, the Public Sector Oil Companies and the consumers.

Under the burden sharing mechanism, Ministry of Finance have confirmed a budgetary support of Rs.12,000 crore as the share of the Government towards meeting the under-recoveries for the year 2009-10. The PSU Upstream Oil Companies have also contributed Rs.8,364 crore to the OMCs for their under-recoveries on Petrol and Diesel by way of discount on crude oil/ products during the first three quarters of 2009-10.

Diversion of KG basin gas

*273. SHRI GIREESH KUMAR SANGHI: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the gas produced from KG basin is being diverted to Gujarat without meeting the urgent requirement of Andhra Pradesh; and

(b) what is the nature of priorities implemented to meet the obligations of the State where the drilling operations are going on and what effective steps would be ensured in this direction?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI MURLI DEORA): (a) and (b) Allocation of natural gas from KG D6, field is being decided by Empowered Group of Ministers (EGoM) constituted to decide issues pertaining to commercial utilization of gas under NELP. Since the demand to meet the shortfall of existing plants in different sectors is more than the available gas, EGoM decided that KG D6 gas will be firstly allocated to existing plants and that there will no reservation of gas. It has been decided by EGoM that, subject to availability of gas, necessary allocations from KG D6 fields will be made to projects in the pipeline, as and when such projects are ready to commence production.

2. The following priority sectors have been decided, keeping in view the larger public interest:

a) Existing gas-based fertilizers plants producing subsidized fertilizers.
b) Existing gas-based power plants and those to be commissioned in 2009-10, including liquid fuel plants which are now running on liquid fuel and could switch over to natural gas.
c) Existing gas-based LPG plants.
d) City Gas Distribution (CGD) entities for supply to domestic & transport sectors.
e) Existing gas-based steel plants (only for feedstock & not for captive power requirement).
f) Existing gas-based petrochemicals plants (only for feedstock & not for captive power requirement).
g) Existing refineries.
h) Captive power plants.
i) CGD entities for supply to commercial & industrial sector customers up to 50,000 scmd (standard cubic meters per day).

3. As regards meeting the gas requirement of Andhra Pradesh, it has been decided that the shortfall of existing gas-based urea plants, including Nagajuna Fertilizer Project in Andhra Pradesh, would be met from KG D6 gas on the highest priority. Hence, these plants are functioning optimally. Further, it has been decided that gas-based power plants in Andhra Pradesh should be given KG D6 gas on firm basis to ensure their operation at 75% PLF (Plant Load Factor), rather than 70% PLF for plants outside Andhra Pradesh. The KG D6 gas allocated to gas-based power plants in Andhra Pradesh is as below:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Power Plant</th>
<th>KG D6 allocation (in mmcmd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gautami CCPP</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jegurupadu CCPP (GVK)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jegurupadu CCPP (GVK) Ext.</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Konaseema CCPP</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kondapalli CCGT</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Samikot CCPP/ Peddapuram</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Vemagiri</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tanir Bavi*</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Kondapalli Ext.*</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>9.16</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*To be commissioned in 2009-10.

Further, all the above power plants have been allocated gas on fallback basis to enable their operation at 90% PLF. Presently, most of these plants are offtaking the entire KG D6 gas in accordance with their requirement. Apart from the above, M/s Sriba Industries Ltd., Chigurukota, M/s RVK Energy Pvt. Ltd., Nandigama, M/s Silkroad Sugar Pvt. Ltd., Vakalapudi and M/s LVS Power, Pendurthi, have been allocated 1.05 mmcmd of KG D6 gas for their operation at 75% PLF, subject to recommendation by Ministry of Power.

4. The natural gas available from KG D6, apart from satisfying the requirement of priority sector customers in Andhra Pradesh, is supplied to existing customers in priority sectors in various parts of the country, including in Gujarat. It is to be pointed out that the KG D6 field is in the Krishna Godawari Basin offshore and there is no drilling onland in Andhra Pradesh.

**Disbursement of NREGS wages by banks**

*274. SHRI MOHAMMED AMIN: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the percentage of involvement of each bank including Regional Rural Banks in the respective States for disbursement of wages under the National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS), State-wise; and

(b) the percentage of deposit under the said scheme lying with different banks including Regional Rural Banks?

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): (a) and (b) The total number of accounts in banks under Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Generation Scheme
(NREGS), during 2008-09, was 383.88 lakh. This works out to 56% of total NREGS accounts. Further, as reported by National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD), 66.70 lakh NREGS accounts were in Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) during 2008-09. This works out to 17% of total bank accounts during 2008-09.

During the year 2009-10 (upto January 2010), the total NREGS bank accounts, including accounts in RRBs, stood at 472.50 lakh, which works out to 54% of total NREGS accounts.

The State-wise details for 2009-10 (upto January 2010) are given in the Statement (See below).

With a view to ensure transparency in wage payments to NREGS workers, it has been made mandatory to pay the wages through Bank and Post Office accounts, vide notification dated 19.2.2009, issued by Ministry of Rural Development. Information regarding percentage of deposit under NREGS with different banks is not maintained since the State Governments are the nodal authority for the implementation of the Act. Hence, the selection of the banks for opening of accounts under NREGS for disbursement of wages to the workers, is done by the State Governments and the District authorities under them.

**Statement**

*Percentage of Bank accounts, including accounts in RRBs, under NREGS, during the year 2009-10 (upto January, 2010)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>% of bank accounts in total accounts opened under NREGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>14.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>73.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>50.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>21.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>39.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>32.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>92.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>91.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>99.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>32.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>82.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>90.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State/UT</td>
<td>LPG Connections (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>87.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>48.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>41.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>59.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>46.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>49.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>75.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>49.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>57.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>99.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>68.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>97.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Uttarakhand</td>
<td>83.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>45.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Andaman Nicobar</td>
<td>98.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Dadra &amp; Nagar Haveli</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Daman &amp; Diu</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Lakshadweep</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Puducherry</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Chandigarh</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>53.87</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA=Not Available.
Source: Ministry of Rural Development

**LPG connections in Andhra Pradesh**

*275. SHRI PENUMALLI MADHU: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that despite of the State Government of Andhra Pradesh asking for release of 10 lakh gas connections in 2008-09 Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs) have agreed to release only 2.5 lakh and, out of these, only 1.45 lakh have so far been released;
(b) if so, the reasons for not releasing the demanded LPG connections to Andhra Pradesh;

(c) whether it is also a fact that for 2009-10 the State Government has requested to release 15 lakh LPG connections; and

(d) if so, what steps his Ministry has taken for releasing the same?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI MURLI DEORA): (a) to (d) LPG connections are released by the LPG distributors of Public Sector Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs) directly to the consumers. However, in order to promote use of LPG amongst women of Below Poverty Line (BPL) families as a social upliftment scheme, a few State Governments, including Andhra Pradesh have formulated schemes for grant of free LPG connections to BPL families, Deepam scheme of the State Government of Andhra Pradesh is one such scheme in operation since 1999 for providing free domestic LPG connection to women of BPL families. Under this scheme, Government of Andhra Pradesh have been paying the refundable security deposit for cylinder and regulator to the OMCs on behalf of beneficiaries.

OMCs have reported that the Government of Andhra Pradesh had sought 10 lakh and 15 lakh new LPG connections under the Deepam Scheme during the year 2008-09 and 2009-10 respectively.

OMCs had agreed to release 2.5 lakh new LPG connections under the Deepam Scheme during the year 2008-09 out of which 1,44,083 LPG connections were actually released in the State.

OMCs have released 1,08,212 connections under the Scheme in the State between April, 2009 and February, 2010. OMCs have informed that the entire funds for release of LPG connection under Deepam Scheme with them are exhausted and State Government of Andhra Pradesh has cancelled the entire beneficiaries list and is in the process of the identifying new beneficiaries for this Scheme. OMCs have been advised to release the maximum number of possible LPG connections under the Scheme subject to fulfilment of procedural requirements and payment of security deposit by the State Government. OMCs have no constraints in releasing additional LPG connections in Andhra Pradesh under the Deepam Scheme.

As on 01.03.2010, a total of 35,75,843 LPG connections have been released by the OMCs in the State of Andhra Pradesh under the State Government sponsored Deepam Scheme.

Rise in cases of diabetes

*276. SHRIMATI KANIMOHAN: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) the proportion of urban Indians above the age of 15 suffering from diabetes;

(b) the total number of Indians affected by the disease;

(c) whether any steps are being taken by Government to treat patients suffering from Type II diabetes and prevent the alarming rise of diabetic patients in future; and
(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) As per the Indian Council of Medical Research publication titled 'Assessment of Burden of Non-Communicable Diseases, 2006', the total number of adult population suffering from diabetes in India in 2004 is estimated to be 37.77 million out of which 21.40 million are in urban areas.

(c) and (d) Diabetic patients are treated in the healthcare delivery system up to District Hospitals and Community Health Centres besides Medical Colleges and tertiary health care institutions.

The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare has formulated a National Programme for Prevention and Control of Diabetes, Cardio-vascular Diseases and Stroke (NPDCS) with an estimated cost of Rs.499.38 crore for implementation during the remaining two years of the 11th Five Year Plan and is under process.

Inclusion of minority in BPL list

*277. SHRI RAJEEV SHUKLA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government proposes to provide automatic inclusion of minority in the Below Poverty Line (BPL) list of families along with SC/ST; and

(b) if so, the status of the proposal?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI C.P. JOSHI): (a) and (b) This issue is under consideration of the Department and a decision will be taken as per results of pre-testing to be conducted, advice of experts and opinion of the State Governments on the subject.

Work orders from Railways

†*278. SHRI SHREEGOPAL VYAS: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) the value of orders his Ministry’s undertaking have got from the Railways, during the last three years, year-wise and State-wise;

(b) whether works assigned each year, have been completed;

(c) the percentage of completion thereof;

(d) the additional orders, these undertakings are expecting to get from Railways during next five years; and

(e) whether they have the adequate capacity to complete these orders?

THE MINISTER OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (SHRI VILASRAO DESHMUKH): (a) to (c) The value of orders, PSEs under Department of Heavy Industry have got from the Railways, during the last three years, year-wise and PSE-wise are placed below:

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPSEs</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>2009-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Work order received</td>
<td>Work order completed</td>
<td>% of completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bharat Bhari Udyog Nigam Ltd. Group</td>
<td>135.06</td>
<td>56.12</td>
<td>41.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd.</td>
<td>1099.00</td>
<td>1069.00</td>
<td>97.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Engineering Corporation Ltd.</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>97.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement Corporation of India Ltd.</td>
<td>50.88</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>22.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge &amp; Roof company (India) Ltd.</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Projects (India) Ltd.</td>
<td>105.66</td>
<td>63.39</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumentation Ltd.</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>1417.83</td>
<td>1226.84</td>
<td>86.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Orders completed include the completion of previous orders as well as the order received during the year.

Note: The PSEs under the Department got orders, from different Zones (Northern zone, Eastern Zone, Central Zone etc.) of the Ministry of Railways, which span over more than one State.
(d) and (e) As the orders are awarded by Railways through a tendering process, the additional orders for the next five years will depend on the outcome of the tenders in which the PSEs participate. The PSEs respond to the tenders brought out by Railways in keeping with their manufacturing capacities.

**Market share of LIC**

*279. SHRI VIJAY KUMAR RUPANI: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the market share of the Life Insurance Corporation (LIC) in insurance industry from 2006 to 2009, year-wise;

(b) whether the market share of LIC has increased during the last three years;

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): (a) The market share of Life Insurance Corporation of India (LIC) from 2006 to 2009 in terms of policies and first year premium income is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>% Market share in terms of policies</th>
<th>% Market share in terms of first year premium income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>70.52</td>
<td>61.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>73.93</td>
<td>64.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>82.83</td>
<td>74.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) to (d) In the year 2000-01, the life insurance market was opened up to the private sector and as on date there are 22 Private Insurance Companies as against one Public Sector Insurance Company, namely Life Insurance Corporation of India (LIC). In a growing market, where new private players are entering, the market share of the leading player i.e., LIC is bound to reduce. However, since opening up of the sector, the growth of life insurance industry, which is generally measured by life insurance penetration (premium as percentage of GDP) has increased from 2.15% in 2000-01 to 4.00% in 2008-09 which shows a healthy growth of life insurance in the country. During the same period total premium of Private Life Insurance Companies has increased from Rs. 273 crore to Rs. 64,503 crore, thus, registering an accretion of Rs. 64,230 crore as against the total premium of LIC which has increased from Rs. 49,822 crore to Rs. 1,57,288 crore with a total accretion of Rs. 1,07,466 crore.

In the current fiscal year, upto 28th February, 2010 the LIC has increased its market share in terms of First Year Premium to 65.06% and in terms of policies to 70.79%.
Vessel traffic monitoring system

*280. SHRI KANJIBHAI PATEL: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question No. 2151 given in Rajya Sabha on 8th December, 2009 and to state:

(a) whether Government is aware that the Vessel Traffic Monitoring System (VTMS) project has been unduly delayed keeping in view that the re-scheduled date for completion of the project is 31 March, 2010; and

(b) if so, the details of the progress at each site of VTMS repeater stations and the total expenditure incurred so far vis-a-vis the total cost of the project?

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. The VTMS project consists of 21 sites viz. nine radar stations, six port monitoring stations, two Coast Guard stations, one Navy station and three repeater stations. While work in respect of the repeater station at Bhadreswar is complete, the same is yet to be completed at Harudi and Vanku. However, construction of 60m tower at six sites, namely Kandla, Mandvi, Navinal, Chhachhi, Jakhau and Bhadreswar has been completed and are ready for installation of equipment. The equipment has reached the sites and action for partial operation of the system is in progress. The construction work at Koteswar, Chudeswar, Okha, Sikka, Balachadi, Navalakhi and Rozy Bundar is partially complete. The total cost of the project is Rs.165 crore and an amount of Rs.75.36 crore has been incurred so far against the work order of Rs.117.42 crore.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO UNSTARRED QUESTIONS

Partial use of Auto-Track-III Radar at Delhi Airport

†1980. SHRI SATYAVRAT CHATURVEDI:

SHRI MOTILAL VORA:

Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that due to friction between management and officers at Delhi Airport on 14 January, 2010, Auto-Track-III had been used partially and rumours of failure of radar was spread;

(b) whether Government has got the matter investigated;

(c) if so, the details thereof;

(d) the names of officials found responsible for this incident; and

(a) the steps being taken by Government to check such incidents in future?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL): 

(a) No, Sir. The existing Air Traffic Automation system (Auto Track-II) at Delhi airport failed on 14.01.2010 for about 01 hour 45 minutes. During that period Air Traffic situation was controlled with

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
the help of auto track-III system, which was on shadow mode of operations and Auto-track-III display were available for operations.

(b) to (d) While performing downloading or adaptation data to rectify an unserviceable component of the system, the entire Auto-track-II system failed at 1745 IST on 14.01.2010. Automation maintenance team was able to restore the system only after 1930 IST. Investigation in this matter is being done by DGCA.

(a) Airports Authority of India (AAI) has been advised to:

(i) review the existing Software and Adaptation maintenance download procedure and re-run several times to ensure the effectively and reliability; (ii) introduce a standard and well defined documented training program including refresher courses for the personnel working in DMS & Automation Maintenance team and a rating/ certification programme for ATM and CNS personnel; (iii) keep at least an expert from M/s. Raytheon in Delhi & Mumbai for assistance and Guidance to handle the automation system; (iv) have a similar independent parallel automation back up system with different source of power supply to avoid such type of catastrophic failure in future; (v) establish a standard coordination procedure among Ratheon Company, DMS team and automation maintenance team and to record a communication system among them; (vi) make available expert level of password with designated officers in DMS and automation maintenance team with sufficient level of expertise; (vii) put in place a procedure to cross check the actions made by such officers to avoid error in executing actual command while downloading any new software; (viii) modify the software to display automated warnings/alerts/reconfirm windows before system executes download command; (ix) update Auto Track system software/ adaptation download tool to prevent maintenance operator to select all sub system to download, a warning to be generated with an abort option upon doing a download indicating that the action may affect the operational system; (x) duties and responsibilities of CNS Group and DMS Group to be defined and both the groups to work in close coordination; (xi) ensure availability of trained manpower and carry out the safety assessment of the system.

**Landing of foreign aircraft without permission**

†1981, SHRI BHAGWATI SINGH:

SHRI BRU BHUSHAN TIWARI:

Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that recently a foreign chartered aircraft had landed at the New Delhi airport without taking any permission;

(b) if so, whether Government is formulating any concrete and effective scheme to remove the shortcomings in the security of the airports;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(c) whether Government intends to punish the guilty persons; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):
(a) No Sir.
(b) to (d) Do not arise.

Cooch Behar airport

1982. SHRI TARINI KANTA ROY: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether his Ministry is aware that the Cooch Behar airport is lying ready for operation since 2007;

(b) if so, the reasons for not making this airport operational as yet; and

(c) what steps are being taken to make it operational?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):
(a) to (c) Airport at Cooch Behar is an operational airport and suitable for ATR - 42 type of aircraft operations. Since no airline operator has shown willingness to operate to/from this airport, at present, there are no "scheduled operations to this airport.

Cancellation of fully boarded flight

1983. PROF. SAIF-UD-DIN SOZ: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that sometime ago a fully boarded flight for Dubai was cancelled just half an hour before its departure from Delhi airport; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):
(a) No, Sir.
(b) Does not arise.

Aviation university at Gujarat

1984. SHRI BHARATSINH PRABHATSINH PARMAR:
SHRI PARSHOTTAM KHODABHAI RUPALA:

Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state what steps have been taken by his Ministry to set up an aviation university at Gujarat for providing trained manpower to the civil aviation sector?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):
Setting up of Universities does not fall under the purview of Ministry of Civil Aviation.
Modernization of Mumbai airport

†1985. SHRI PRAKASH JAVADEKAR: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the project for renovation and modernization of Mumbai airport is running behind schedule;

(b) if so, the details thereof along with the reasons therefor; and

(c) the details of the measures being taken by Government to complete the work in time?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) to (c) The project development at CSI Airport, Mumbai is as per schedule although there are issues about rehabilitation of slums from the encroached airport land and relocation of existing structures. The Government regularly reviews the progress of the works with respect to the schedule.

Installation of doppler radar at IGI airport

1986. SHRI NAND KUMAR SAIR: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government proposes to install Doppler radar for accurate prediction of weather at the Indira Gandhi International (IGI) airport, New Delhi;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the salient feature of the Doppler radar system; and

(d) the details of the expenditure likely to be incurred for installing this radar?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) and (b) Yes, Sir. Doppler Weather Radar (DWR) will be installed at IGI airport, New Delhi by the end of April, 2010.

(c) DWR is operates in 24 x 7 mode and provides velocity and spectrum width data, in addition to reflectivity obtained from conventional radars. Radial velocity data of weather systems provides better insight into the dynamics of weather systems. Spectrum width data gives information on turbulence and wind shear in the atmosphere. It gives better quantitative rainfall estimates by suppressing ground clutter and anomalous propagation. It is able to produce wind field patterns associated with cyclones and make it possible to better analyse the internal structure of storms. DWR data is ingested in Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) models for weather predictions.

(d) The cost of equipment is approximately Rs. 10 crores with an additional expenditure of Rs. 6 crores towards development of infrastructure for setting up of the said system.

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
Loss of airline companies due to bird hit

1987. SHRI ISHWAR SINGH: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware that a number of airlines have suffered losses due to bird hit incidents at various airports in the last few years;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the preventive measures Government proposes to take in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) and (b) Yes, Sir. However, the Government does not maintain any data regarding losses suffered by airlines due to bird-hit incidents.

(c) Airfield Environment Management Committees have been constituted at all airports having scheduled flights operations to identify sources of bird attraction and to take the necessary steps for prevention of bird strikes. The major steps taken to reduce bird-hits are as under:

(i) A high power National Bird Control Committee (NBCC) has been set up by Government to monitor and adopt policy decisions for bird strikes prevention.

(ii) Grass cutting and checking water logging inside airports.

(iii) Scaring and shooting birds.

(iv) Wire meshing of hangars.

(v) Garbage dumping in covered bins and its early removal.

(vi) Regular joint inspection of areas around airports.

(vii) Establishment of modern abattoirs.

Foreign pilots

1988. SHRI T.T.V. DHINAKARAN: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) the details of foreign pilots operating in the country, airline-wise; and

(b) the action taken to set up an academy to recruit and train pilots?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) The number of foreign pilots working in India as on 01.01.2010 are Go Air - 4; Blue Dart - 7; Alliance Air - 23; Kingfisher Airlines - 139; Jet Airways - 137; Air India (including Air India Express) - 136; Jetlite - 3; Indigo - 41; Deccan Cargo - 7; Paramount Airways - 22; Spice Jet - 42 and Jagson - 1.

(b) A premier flying institute; the National Flying Training Institute has been set up at Gondia in Maharashtra. The existing facilities at Indira Gandhi Rashtriya Uran Akademi have also been
upgraded. The other flying clubs in the country are also supported by way of distribution of trainer aircraft through the Aero Club of India and the Directorate General of Civil Aviation. However, recruitment of pilots is the prerogative of the individual airlines.

**Allotment of space at Mumbai airport**

1989. SHRI BHARATKUMAR RAUT: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a prime spot at Chhatrapati Shivaji Airport, Mumbai has been allotted to ‘Cafeccino’ along with a kitchen site;

(b) the method adopted for the allocation of the said site;

(c) whether open tenders were issued to this effect;

(d) the details of the owner of Cafeccino;

(e) whether the provision for waiting passengers was changed and reduced; and

(f) whether it has created a security hazard as the new sitting site is adjacent to the main entry/exit door?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) and (b) One of the spots identified for Food & Beverages has been given for an outlet named Cafeccino. No separate kitchen for this particular outlet has been given. The site was decided based on plan layout of the terminals.

(c) The contract was finalised by Mumbai International Airport Private Limited in accordance with their internal procedures.

(d) The outlet belongs to M/s Travel Food Services Private Limited.

(e) and (f) No, Madam.

**Single engine helicopter**

†1990. SHRI BRIJLAL KHABRI: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that VIPS travel in single engine helicopter is prohibited;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether Government has received complaints of its violation; and

(d) if so, the action taken by the Directorate General of Civil Aviation (DGCA) against the erring persons in this regard?

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) No, Sir. There is no prohibition on use of single engine turbine helicopters for travel of VIPs.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

Agreement between Air India and private airlines

1991. SHRI P.R. RAJAN: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Indian Airlines had signed an agreement with Airbus, a private aircraft manufacturer, worth Rs.788 crore for creating training and repair facilities in India;

(b) if so, whether the agreement is being fulfilled by the private company, and the details of training and repairing facility obtained thereunder; and

(c) whether the agreement was part of any other business deals Indian Airlines entered into with the private manufacturer as part of buying aircrafts from the said company?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) and (b) The erstwhile Indian Airlines Limited had placed a purchase order with M/s. Airbus Industrie of France for procurement of 43 Airbus family aircraft in February, 2006. Supplementing the purchase agreement, an agreement for cooperation projects for pilot training Centre, a dedicated spare Centre and MRO facilities for A320 family aircraft was also signed. M/s. Airbus Industrie in association with M/s. CAE has established facilities in Bangalore for pilot training, maintenance training and design. It has also signed an agreement with National Aviation Company of India Limited for establishment of a Maintenance, Repair and Overhaul (MRO) Joint Venture.

(c) No, Sir.

Fresh guidelines for development of airports in the country

1992. SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has issued fresh guidelines for the development of the airport infrastructure in the country under Airport Infrastructure Policy, 1997;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the Airports Authority of India (AAI) have selected big cities in Tamil Nadu to build more than one airport under these guidelines; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?
(a) and (b) A new Greenfield Airport Policy has been pronounced by the Government in April, 2008. The salient features of the Policy are as under:

(i) An inter-Ministerial Steering Committee under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Civil Aviation would coordinate and monitor various clearances required for the greenfield airport projects.

(ii) Terms and conditions of all the concerned agencies like DGCA, Security, Defence etc. would be prescribed up-front.

(iii) If the proposal is to set up a new airport within an aerial distance of 150 kms from an existing airport, it would be examined by a Steering Committee and thereafter by the Ministry of Civil Aviation.

(iv) All reserved activities to be performed at the airport by central agencies like immigration, customs etc. would be on cost recovery basis.

(c) and (d) On the request of Government of Tamil Nadu, Airports Authority of India has conducted a pre-feasibility study for development of a new Greenfield Airport at Sriperumbudur near Chennai and submitted its report to the Government of Tamil Nadu.

Investment by Turkish company in aviation sector

1993. SHRI MOHD. ALI KHAN: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether a Turkish company has aimed to invest $100 million in the aviation sector in our country;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) signed so far; and

(d) the areas mutually identified by both the countries, so far?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) No, Sir.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

Working pilots in the country

†1994. SHRI RUDRA NARAYAN PANY: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of pilots working in the country at present;

(b) whether it is a fact that working pilots also include foreign one;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(c) whether it is also a fact that large number of youths who have received pilot training are unemployed;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) Government’s plan to provide employment to unemployed trained pilots?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) and (b) As on 01.01.2010, a total 4084 pilots, including foreign pilots are working with the airlines of the country.

(c) to (e) Yes, Sir. However, the Directorate General of Civil Aviation (DGCA) only issues licences to the trained and qualified pilots in compliance of the requirements of Aircraft Rules. It does not maintain records of unemployed pilots in the country. The recruitment of pilots and their subsequent training is the prerogative of the individual airlines.

Pilots trained at government academies

†1995. SHRIMATI MAYA SINGH: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether trainee pilots using Government resources and scholarship from flying schools under Government or supported by Government, are free to join private airlines, after training;

(b) the number of operational flying schools under Government or supported by Government, and the number of pilots trained therein every year; and

(c) the number of pilots, trained from the said schools, who are working in Government airlines?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL):

(a) Under the scholarship scheme of Directorate General of Civil Aviation (DGCA) Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe candidates are trained upto Private Pilot Licence (PPL). However, these candidates can join any airline only after acquiring a Commercial Pilot Licence (CPL).

(b) There are 17 operational flying training institutes functioning under Government/State Governments.

(c) No such data is maintained by DGCA.

Sixth pay commission for states

†1996. SHRI PARSHOTTAM KHODABHAI RUPALA:

SHRI BHARATSINH PRABHATSINH PARMAR:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Central Government is aware that due to acceptance of Sixth Pay Commission for its employees, most of State Governments are not in a position to bear this financial
burden which is not only increasing fiscal deficits of the State Governments but is also having adverse impact on various development projects in the States whereas Central Government is getting revenue by way of income tax; and

(b) what steps has been taken by the Central Government to provide relief to State Governments?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA): (a) and (b) Many State Governments have requested the Government of India for financial assistance to share the burden arising out of the implementation of recommendations of Sixth Central Pay Commission. Government of India has taken a consistent position that the decision to implement the Sixth Central Pay Commission recommendations, or those of any pay commission set up by the States for State Governments’ employees, falls entirely within the purview of the State Governments. Therefore it is for the State Governments to decide whether or not, and the extent to which to implement such recommendations. Successive Finance Commissions recommend a share for States in the taxes collected by the Central Government. Income tax is included among these taxes.

Check on liquidity system

†1997. SHRI RAJ MOHINDER SINGH MAJITHA:
SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD:
Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Cash Reserve Ratio (CRR) was increased by 0.75 per cent in the past few months;

(b) if so, the amount of liquidity with banks to check liquidity in banks before the said announcement; and

(c) the estimated decrease in liquidity consequent upon the said announcement?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) Yes, Sir. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI), in its Third Quarter Review of Monetary Policy, announced on January 29, 2010, increased the cash reserve ratio (CRR) by 75 basis points from 5.00 per cent to 5.75 per cent of scheduled banks net demand and time liabilities (NDTL) in two stages (50 basis points with effect from February 13, 2010 and 25 basis points with effect from February 27, 2010 respectively).

(b) The average daily amount of surplus liquidity absorbed by the RBI through the reverse repo window under the Liquidity Adjustment Facility (LAF) during the period from January 01 to February 12, 2010 (i.e., before the two-stage increase in the CRR became effective) was Rs.86,485 crore.

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
The increase in the CRR absorbed about Rs.36,000 crore of excess liquidity from the system.

**Investment in share market**

†1998. SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD:

SHRI SHIVANAND TIWARI:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the investment by foreign financial institutes in the Indian share markets in the first quarter (till December) of financial year 2009-10 has been more than the investment they made during past years;

(b) if so, the quantum of total investment and the quantum by which it exceeds from the investment of past years; and

(c) the investment by banks, insurance companies and mutual funds of the country in comparison to investment by foreign financial institutes in the share market?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The total investment made by the Foreign Institutional Investors in the Indian Share markets for the 1st three quarters (April to December) of the last three financial years in Rs crore is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>2007-08 (till December)</th>
<th>2008-09 (till December)</th>
<th>2009-10 (till December)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>64,837</td>
<td>-41,555</td>
<td>89,576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SEBI

(c) The total investment by FIIs, Mutual funds, banks and insurance companies in equity in Rs. crore during the 1st three quarters (April to December) of the last three financial years is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>2007-08 (till December)</th>
<th>2008-09 (till December)</th>
<th>2009-10 (till December)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIIs</td>
<td>64,837</td>
<td>-41,555</td>
<td>89,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual funds</td>
<td>10,060</td>
<td>7,868</td>
<td>-4,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks</td>
<td>-6,131</td>
<td>-732</td>
<td>-4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>23,799</td>
<td>42,226</td>
<td>25,689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SEBI

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

**Subsidy and fiscal deficit**

†1999. SHRI LALIT KISHORE CHATURVEDI:

DR. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to refer to the answer to Starred Question 350 given in the Rajya Sabha on 28th July, 2009 and state:

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(a) whether it is a fact that increase in subsidy amount has been one of the main reasons for increase in fiscal deficit;

(b) if so, the extent of infrastructural development as a result of increase in subsidy; and

(c) whether it is possible to reduce fiscal deficit in future with consumptive use of subsidy?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Infrastructural development is not affected by the subsidies.

(c) With reduction in subsidy related expenditure, fiscal deficit could be reduced to some extent.

Equity share holding of government in banks

2000. SHRI SHYAMAL CHAKRABORTY: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state the percentage of equity share holding by Government and their stake in the management in various banks of the country, bank-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

The details of total paid up equity capital, along with shareholding of the Government including in percentage terms (as on 31 March, 2009), in the Public Sector Banks of the country are as follows:

(Amount ₩ Rupees in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Name of the Bank</th>
<th>Total paid-up equity capital</th>
<th>Government shareholding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Allahabad Bank</td>
<td>446.70</td>
<td>55.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Andhra Bank</td>
<td>485.00</td>
<td>51.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bank of Baroda</td>
<td>364.27</td>
<td>53.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bank of India</td>
<td>525.18</td>
<td>64.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bank of Maharashtra</td>
<td>430.52</td>
<td>76.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Canara Bank</td>
<td>410.00</td>
<td>73.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Central Bank of India</td>
<td>404.14</td>
<td>80.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corporation Bank</td>
<td>143.44</td>
<td>57.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dena Bank</td>
<td>286.82</td>
<td>51.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Indian Bank</td>
<td>429.77</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Indian Overseas Bank</td>
<td>544.80</td>
<td>61.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Oriental Bank of Commerce</td>
<td>250.54</td>
<td>51.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Punjab &amp; Sind Bank</td>
<td>183.06</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Punjab National Bank</td>
<td>315.30</td>
<td>57.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Syndicate Bank</td>
<td>521.97</td>
<td>66.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCO Bank</td>
<td>549.36</td>
<td>63.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Union Bank of India</td>
<td>505.12</td>
<td>55.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>United Bank of India</td>
<td>1,532.43</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Vijaya Bank</td>
<td>433.52</td>
<td>53.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>State Bank of India</td>
<td>634.88</td>
<td>59.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>IDBI Ltd.</td>
<td>724.78</td>
<td>52.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10,121.60</td>
<td>6,886.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NABARD assisted programmes in Jharkhand

2001. SHRI DHIRAJ PRASAD SAHU: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) how much financial assistance provided by the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) to Jharkhand during last three years;

(b) the details of the areas where investments have been made and the amount spent thereon; and

(c) whether any monitory mechanism is there for completion of NABARD assisted projects in the State and other parts of the country?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA): (a) and (b) The Sector-wise details of loans sanctioned and disbursed by NABARD under the Rural Infrastructure Development Fund (RIDF) to the State of Jharkhand during the last three years and in the current year 2009-10 (upto February 2010) are as under:
## NABARD - RIDF Sector-wise Sanctions - Jharkhand (Upto 28 February 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sanctions</td>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>Sanctions</td>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>Sanctions</td>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>Sanctions</td>
<td>Disbursements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>19.41</td>
<td>92.96</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>88.24</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>15.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rural Connectivity</td>
<td>181.90</td>
<td>135.45</td>
<td>174.46</td>
<td>131.91</td>
<td>572.28</td>
<td>172.74</td>
<td>491.81</td>
<td>294.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Social Sector</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>96.61</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>12.59</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>23.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Agri Related)</td>
<td>133.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>42.83</td>
<td>64.06</td>
<td>43.35</td>
<td>46.43</td>
<td>38.03</td>
<td>21.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>331.03</strong></td>
<td><strong>154.86</strong></td>
<td><strong>406.86</strong></td>
<td><strong>218.27</strong></td>
<td><strong>630.76</strong></td>
<td><strong>320.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>539.74</strong></td>
<td><strong>354.96</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD)
(c) The RIDF projects are monitored on an ongoing basis by the implementing departments of the State Governments. Besides, these projects are also monitored at the State level by a High Power Committee (HPC) headed by the Chief Secretary/Finance Secretary of the State. This Committee meets on a quarterly basis. All the project implementing departments and NABARD are members of this Committee. NABARD is also monitoring the RIDF projects directly.

Review of rural job scheme

2002. MS. SUSHILA TIRIYA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government has decided to review various rural job schemes;
(b) if so, the details thereof; and
(c) the steps taken to provide enough jobs for rural youths?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) and (b) The Ministry of Rural Development periodically reviews the performance of all the programmes.

(c) The Ministry of Rural Development implements through State Governments the major employment generation programmes namely, Mahatrama Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) and Swarnjayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana (SGSY). Under MGNREGA, every household of rural areas whose adult members volunteer to do unskilled manual work are provided at least 100 days of guaranteed wage employment in a financial year. Rural youths are covered under this Act. Under SGSY, only rural poor including youth, may take income generating activities through a mix of subsidy by Government and credit by banks.

Bank coverage

2003. SHRI BHAGAT SINGH KOSHYARI: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether his Ministry has undertaken a survey to study blocks which have a low penetration of bank branches/ATMs in the country;
(b) if so, the details thereof; and
(c) whether Government proposes to direct banks to set up new bank branches in Uttarakhand during 2009-10?
(a) and (b) In July, 2009, a total of 129 unbanked blocks were identified in the country. As a result of the concerted efforts made by the Government, Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and the Banks in providing banking facilities in the unbanked blocks, the number of such blocks has come down to 93 in February, 2010. Low population density, inhospitable terrain, law and order problems and non-availability of the basic infrastructure have been indicated as the main impediments in providing banking facilities in these areas. The Government is monitoring the progress made in this regard on an ongoing basis.

To reach the benefits of banking services to the hinterland it has been proposed to provide appropriate banking facilities to habitations having population in excess of 2000 by March, 2012. These services will be provided by Banks using the Business Correspondent Model and other models with appropriate technology back-up, to cover around 60,000 habitations.

To extend the reach of banking to rural areas having a low penetration of bank branches, the RBI has liberalized the policy of branch licensing and permitted domestic scheduled commercial banks (other than Regional Rural Banks) to open branches in Tier 3 to Tier 6 centres (with population upto 49,999 as per Census 2001) without having the need to take permission from RBI in each case. The detailed RBI circular is available at its website www.rbi.org.in.

(c) During the current financial year, the State Bank of India (SBI), the State Level Bankers Committee (SLBC) Convenor for Uttarakhand has reported that it has already opened 16 new branches and has received approval for opening another 18 new branches in Uttarakhand. As per the branch expansion programme of the Regional Rural Banks in Uttarakhand, the Uttaranchal Gramin Bank is to open 24 branches and the Nainital Almora Kshetriya Gramin Bank is to open 4 branches in the next two years in the State of Uttarakhand.

Arrears of pensioners

2004. SHRI TARIQ ANWAR: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there is a large number of pending cases of pensioners who have not received their arrears after the Sixth Pay Commission,

(b) if so, the number of pending cases, state-wise; and

(c) what are the reasons for the delay and how much time it will take to settle their accounts?
THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) to (c) No centralized data is maintained in respect of pending cases of payment of arrears to pensioners after Sixth Pay Commission.

Merging of nationalised banks

2005, SHRI GOVINDRAO WAMANRAO ADIK: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) the details of Nationalised Banks merged with State Bank of India (SBI) during 2008 and 2009;

(b) whether there is any proposal under consideration to merge other Nationalised Banks with SBI during 2010 and onward;

(c) if so, the names of such Banks;

(d) if not, the reasons therefor;

(e) whether there is any benefit to SBI or Government by merging other Nationalised Banks with SBI;

(f) if so, the details thereof; and

(g) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) to (d) No, Sir. Although at present there is no proposal before the Government for merger of any nationalized bank with the State Bank of India (SBI), the State Bank of Saurashtra, an associate bank of SBI, was merged with SBI during the year 2008. Besides this, SBI had submitted a proposal for acquisition of State Bank of Indore, another associate bank of SBI, and sought permission to enter into negotiations with it under Section 35 (1) of SBI Act, 1955. Government has granted permission to SBI for proceeding with the negotiations with State Bank of Indore for acquiring its business.

(e) to (g) The Government is of the view that the initiative for the consolidation/merger amongst the Public Sector Banks should emanate from the managements of the banks themselves with the Government playing a supporting role as the common stakeholder. Some of the expected benefits of merger are economies of scale in terms of footprint, manpower and other resources, timely response to augment efficiency, income generation, etc.
Pending FDI proposals

2006. SHRI MANOHAR JOSHI: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the details of proposals pending before the Foreign Investment Promotion Board regarding Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) along with the time since when these were pending;
(b) the reasons for their pendency;
(c) the time by which these are likely to be cleared; and
(d) the amount involved in each proposal?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) to (d) 36 fresh proposals are pending consideration by the Foreign Investment Promotion Board (FIPB) as on 26.2.2010. FIPB normally clears proposals within a period of six weeks from receipt of proposal, except in cases where fresh comments from Administrative Ministries/Departments are not received, or, where the applicant is required to give/furnish information and/or clarification.

NABARD assisted programmes for Maharashtra

2007. SHRI RANJITSINH VIJAYSINH MOHITE-PATIL: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) how much financial assistance has been provided by the National Bank of Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) to Maharashtra during last three years;
(b) the details of areas where investments have been made and the amount spent thereon; and
(c) whether any monitory mechanism is there for completion of NABARD assisted projects in the States and other parts of the country?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) and (b) The Sector-wise details of loans sanctioned and disbursed by NABARD under the Rural Infrastructure Development Fund (RIDF) to the State of Maharashtra during the Test three years and in the current year 2009-10 upto 28 February 2010 are as under:
NABARD - RIDF Sector-wise Sanctions - Maharashtra (during the last three years and the current year upto 28.02.2010)

(Rs. In crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sanctions</td>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>Sanctions</td>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>Sanctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>134.10</td>
<td>83.40</td>
<td>818.58</td>
<td>303.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rural Connectivity</td>
<td>378.99</td>
<td>59.69</td>
<td>265.13</td>
<td>202.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Social Sector</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Others (Agri Related)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>513.09</strong></td>
<td><strong>146.49</strong></td>
<td><strong>1083.71</strong></td>
<td><strong>523.79</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD)

* As on 28.02.2010
The RIDF projects are monitored on an on-going basis by the implementing departments of the State Governments. Besides, the projects sanctioned under RIDF are monitored at the State level by a High Power Committee (HPC) headed by the Chief Secretary/Finance Secretary of the State. This Committee meets on a quarterly basis. All the project implementing departments and NABARD are members of this Committee. NABARD is also monitoring the RIDF projects directly.

**Acquisition of private land for construction of Government quarters**

2008. SHRI LALHMING LIANA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether any private land has been acquired by Government for construction of residential quarters of the officers and staff of the Office of the Accountant General in Mizoram;

(b) if so, whether acquisition process has been completed and payment for the land is made to the land owners; and

(c) if not, the reasons for such delay and the time-frame within which payment is to be made?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) to (c) As per information supplied by the office of C&AG, the State Government of Mizoram has initiated the process of acquisition of land situated at Laungmual for construction of residential quarters for officers and staff of Accountant General, Mizoram and has since announced the award under the land acquisition Act. The acquisition process has not been completed and no payment has yet been made.

**Uniform legislation for PRIs**

2009. PROF. SAIF-UD-DIN SOZ: Will the Minister of PANCHAYATI RAJ be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government envisages uniformity in the legislation in respect of Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) throughout the country;

(b) if so, by what time it would be accomplished; and

(c) whether Government considers any one State in India could be emulated?

THE MINISTER OF PANCHAYATI RAJ (SHRI C.P. JOSHI): (a) to (c) Following the 73rd Constitutional amendment, all States and Union Territories to which Part-IX of the Constitution applies are required to implement Panchayati Raj system with the following features -

(a) Constitution of Panchayats at Village, Intermediate and District levels except for States having a population of less than 20 lakhs which may not constitute a Panchayat at the Intermediate level; (b) Regular elections to the Panchayats every five years; (c) Reservation of seats and offices of Chairpersons for Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and women at each level of Panchayats and (d) Constitution of State Finance Commission and State Election Commission.
Under Article 243G of the Constitution, State Legislatures are to endow the Panchayats with powers and authority to enable them to function as institutions of self government. States vary in the extent of powers that they have devolved to the Panchayats to plan, implement and monitor schemes for economic development and social justice. Within the Constitutional framework, Panchayats are a State subject and States pass legislations suitable in their context.

Withdrawal of stimulus package

2010. SHRI M. V. MYSURA REDDY: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government is seriously considering to withdraw the stimulus provided to various sectors to deal with the global economic crisis this fiscal;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the reasons therefor;

(c) whether the Planning Commission is in favour of giving stimulus only till March, 2010; and

(d) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) to (d) The stimulus measures announced by the Government in December 2008, January and February 2009 were both sector specific and macro economy wide in nature. While suggestions were received for the continuance of the stimulus package from various stakeholders during the process of Budget formulation, some others wanted a roll back of the measures on the strength of the recovery in growth in 2009-10 and the need to return to the path of fiscal consolidation. The Budget for 2010-11, after a careful consideration of the current economic situation, indicated that a partial rollback would be in order thus: "After successfully managing the effects of the global slowdown, we need to strengthen the domestic macroeconomic environment to help consolidate the rebound in growth and sustain it over the medium term. We need to review the stimulus imparted to the economy and move towards the preferred path of fiscal consolidation that facilitated the remarkable growth in the pre-crisis five year period..... In shaping the fiscal policy for 2010-11, I have acted on the recommendations of the Thirteenth Finance Commission. It has recommended a calibrated exit strategy from the expansionary fiscal stance of last two years."

Taxes due from corporate sector

2011. SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a large amount of Direct/Indirect tax is still due from corporate sector (public/private);
(b) if so, the names of tax defaulting companies who have not paid the tax during the last three years, till date; and

(c) what action Government proposes to take or has taken till date for speedy recovery of above mentioned dues from corporate sector, the details thereof, year-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI S.S. PALANIMANICKAM):
(a) to (c) The information is being collected and will be laid down on the Table of the House.

**Exchange of fake currency issued by ATM**

†2012. SHRI OM PRAKASH MATHUR : Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the incidents of fake currency from ATM machines as well as from note bundles issued by banks have increased in recent days and hence common man are being adversely affected;

(b) whether banks do not exchange such fake currencies submitted by the common man, thus ensuring these currencies remain in circulation; and

(c) whether Government is about to take a decision in this regard that a customer will be fully paid in case he returns a fake currency note?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) Reserve Bank of India (RBI) have reported that a few complaints have been received by them regarding counterfeit currency being dispensed through ATMs. The details are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year (Jan-Dec)</th>
<th>No. of Pieces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) and (c) Each banknote, on examination, if found counterfeit, has to be impounded in terms of extant instruction issued by RBI to all the banks vide Master Circular dated July 1, 2009 and a receipt is to be issued to the tenderer. The note is branded with a stamp "COUNTERFEIT BANKNOTE". As such the note is impounded by the Bank and forwarded to the police authorities for further investigation by filing FIR. Since the counterfeit note has no value, no exchange will be paid by the Bank.

**Payment by TPAs in Insurance Sector**

2013. SHRI JAI PRAKASH NARAYAN SINGH: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
The Minister of State in the Ministry of Finance (Shri S. S. Palanimanickam):

(a) Yes Sir. The Central Board of Direct Taxes has issued a Circular No. 8/2009 dated 24th November, 2009 to clarify the rule position of deductibility of tax at source on payment by TPAs to hospitals etc u/s 194J of the Income Tax Act (the copy of the circular is given in the Statement (see below).

(b) to (d) The circular clearly provides that a certificate from the Auditor of the deductee assessee stating that all the taxes and interest on the above receipts have been paid by the deductee assessee (hospitals etc.) would be treated as sufficient compliance for the purpose of section 201 (1) of Income Tax Act. No time limit has been specifically given in the circular as the effect to compliance to section 201 (1) shall be considered by the AO only on the presentation of Auditor’s certificate by the deductor. The certificate u/s 203 has to be issued by the deductor, as usual, in accordance with the provisions of law.

Statement

Circular issued by CBDT regarding application of provisions of Section 194J of Income Tax Act

Circular No. 8/2009
F.No.385/08/2009-IT(B)
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
Ministry of Finance
Department of Revenue
Central Board of Direct Taxes

New Delhi, dated the 24th November, 2009

Sub: Applicability of provisions under Section 194J of Income Tax Act'61 in the case of transactions by the Third Party Administrators (TPAs) with Hospitals etc.

A number of representations have been received from various stakeholders regarding applicability of provisions under Section 194J of Income Tax Act'61 on payments made by Third Party Administrators (TPAs) to hospitals on behalf of insurance companies for settling medical/insurance claims etc. with the hospitals.
2. The matter was examined by the Board. As per provisions of section 194J (1) 'Any person, not being an individual or a Hindu undivided family, who is responsible for paying to a resident any sum by way of—

(a) fees for professional services, or

(b) fees for technical services, [or]

(c) royalty, or

(d) any sum referred to in clause (va) of section 28J

shall, at the time of credit of such sum to the account of the payee or at the time of payment thereof in cash or by issue of a cheque or draft or by any other mode, whichever is earlier, deduct an amount equal to ten per cent of such sum as income-tax on income comprised therein...". Further as per Explanation (a) to 194J "professional services" means services rendered by a person in the course of carrying on legal, medical, engineering or architectural profession etc.

3. The services rendered by hospitals to various patients are primarily medical services and, therefore, provisions of 194J are applicable on payments made by TPAs to hospitals etc. Further for invoking provisions of 194J, there is no stipulation that the professional services have to be necessarily rendered to the person who makes payment to hospital. Therefore TPAs who are making payment on behalf of insurance companies to hospitals for settlement of medical/insurance claims etc. under various schemes including Cashless schemes are liable to deduct tax at source under section 194J on all such payments to hospitals etc.

3.1. In view of above, all such past transactions between TPAs and hospitals fall within provisions of Section 194J and consequence of failure to deduct tax or after deducting tax failure to pay on all such transactions would make the deductor (TPAs) deemed to be an assessee in default in respect of such tax and also liable for charging of interest under Section 201 (1A) and penalty under Section 271C.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the class of cases of TPAs and insurance companies, the Board has decided that no proceedings u/s 201 may be initiated after the expiry of six years from the end of financial year in which such payment have been made without deducting tax at source etc. by the TPAs. The Board is also of the view that tax demand arising out of Section 201 (1) in situations arising above, may not be enforced if the deductor (TPA) satisfies the officer in charge of TDS that the relevant taxes have been paid by the deductee assessee (hospitals etc.). A certificate from the auditor of the deductee assessee stating that the tax and interest due from deductee assessee has been paid for the assessment year concerned would be sufficient compliance for the above purpose. However, this will not alter the liability to charge interest under Section 201(1A) of the Income Tax Act till payment of taxes by the deductee assessee or liability for penalty under Section 271C of the Income Tax Act as the case may be.
5. The contents of the circular may be brought to the notice of officers and officials working under you for strict compliance.

Hindi version will follow

Sd/-
(Ansuman Pattnaik)
Director (Budget)

Copy to:–
1. The Chairman, Members and other offices in CBDT of the rank “of Under Secretary and above.
2. All Chief Commissioners and Director Generals of Income Tax.
3. 100 copies to DIT (PR, PP & OL) for printing in the quarterly tax bulletin and for circulation as per their usual mailing list.
4. DIT (TDS), DIT (Recovery), DIT (System), DIT (DOMS), DIT (Vigilance) and DIT (IT).
5. All CIT (TDS).
6. The Comptroller and Auditor General of India (40 copies).
7. Guard File.

Appeals pending with CIT and CESTAT

2014. SHRI MANOHAR JOSHI: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a large number of appeals are pending for disposal with the CIT (Appeals) and also with the Customs, Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT);

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the reasons for such pendency;

(d) the total revenue involved therein; and

(e) the steps being taken by Government to expedite disposal of these cases?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI S.S. PALANIMANICKAM): (a), (b) and (d) Yes, Sir. The number of cases pending with CIT (Appeals) and CESTAT is as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Amount involved (Rs. in crores)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIT (Appeals)</td>
<td>1,53,071</td>
<td>2,05,963.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as on 30.11.2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESTAT</td>
<td>46,930</td>
<td>31,136.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as on 1.1.2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Though disposal of appeals by CIT (A) per year has increased from 239 in Financial Year 2006-07 to 268 in Financial Year 2008-09, pendency has increased due to temporary reduction in number of CITs (A) (i) higher institution of fresh appeals (ii) infrastructural constraints with CIT(Appeals).

The following steps have been taken to expedite disposal of pending appeals:

i) As per Annual Central Action Plan of Central Board of Direct Taxes, targets of disposal of appeals are given to CIT(Appeals).

ii) The Chief Commissioners of Income tax monitor the performance of CITs(Appeals) on regular basis so as to ensure expeditious disposal.

iii) Monthly statistical reports sent by CIT (Appeals) to respective Chief Commissioners are monitored by the Central Board of Direct Taxes.

iv) Redistribution & rationalization of workload amongst CIT(Appeals) to see that the workload is evenly distributed.

v) Steps have been taken to fill up the vacant posts of Member in CESTAT.

vi) The registry of the Customs, Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal has taken the following steps for speedy disposal of the pending cases:

a) subject-wise grouping of all pending appeals and taking up same subject appeals for hearing;

b) hearing of Larger Bench cases on priority as following the issue of orders in larger Bench cases, many appeals may be disposed of automatically;

c) introduction of the procedure for Monthly Roster as against Weekly Roster;

d) starting of new procedure for listing the short matters on Mondays and Fridays and regular matters on Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays,

e) preparation of the Cause List of final hearing matters subject-wise so that matters are decided in chronological order.

Recovery of income tax

2015. SHRI RANJITSINH VIJAYSINH MOHITE-PATIL: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the details of public schools/educational institutions run by various trusts/societies especially in Delhi which have been assessed for income tax during the last three years;

(b) what was the amount of income tax collected from them in last three years and what is the amount standing due from them, year-wise;

(c) the details of steps taken by Government to recover these outstanding dues immediately; and
(d) the steps and policies devised so that timely payments of income tax assessed is made in future?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI S.S. PALANIMANICKAM):

(a) There are more than 70,000 trusts and societies which are filing returns with the Income tax Department every year and are assessed to Income Tax. A large number of these trusts or societies are running schools, colleges and other educational institutions. However no separate database of such trusts or societies is maintained. The required information therefore can be collected only by examining each and every return of a trust or society and thereafter ascertaining whether they are engaged in running a school or any other educational institution. The time and effort required for collecting and compiling this information would not be commensurate with the objective sought to be achieved by the Hon’ble M.P.

(b) and (c) Not applicable, in view of (a) above.

(d) The following special measures are being taken for timely payment of assessed income-tax from all tax-payers including arrears of trusts/societies:-

(i) Apart from the statutory measures taken for recovery of outstanding tax dues as prescribed under the Income Tax Act (including attachment of bank account, attachment and sale of immovable property, etc), monitoring of recovery of amount in high demand cases by Task Forces manned by senior officers.

(ii) Identification of cases involving substantial amount pending before Commissioners (Appeals) and ITAT and requesting these authorities to dispose off such appeals early so that the amount can be collected during current financial year itself.

(iii) Monitoring of all outstanding arrears above Rs.10 crore by CBDT along with the Directorate of Recovery.

Unspent budget

2016. SHRI PARIMAL NATHWANI: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether as per CAG around Rs. 1 lakh crore budget funds go unspent each year;

(b) if so, the details of the schemes to which the unspent money belong;

(c) how far these unspent funds are responsible in achieving physical targets under major schemes during 2005-06 to 2007-08; and

(d) what corrective measures have been taken to improve the position?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) The unspent amounts reported in the Appropriation Accounts of Civil Ministries/Departments (excluding Railways), Postal and Defence Services during the year 2006-07
and 2007-08 were Rs.2,789 crore and Rs.1,270 crore respectively. Of the overall savings reported for the year 2007-08, Rs.70,109 crore was in Appropriation No.37-Repayment of Debt, Rs.3,748 crore in Appropriation No.34-Interest Payments, Rs.6,230 crore in Demand No.35-Transfers to State & UT Governments and Rs.4,418 crore in Grant No.27-Capital Outlay on Defence Services, which are centralised Appropriations/Grants. The expenditure in these Appropriations/Grants being committed in nature needs to be provided for adequately and its precise estimation is difficult to make. Excluding the savings available in these Appropriations/Grants, the savings reported in other Appropriations/Grants during the year 2007-08 works out to Rs.28,202 crore. These savings do not take into account surrenders of savings made at the close of the financial year effected through mid-year review. The savings effected through mid-year review are redeployed through Supplementary Demands for Grants where additional expenditure are necessary. Notwithstanding the fact that variations on expenditure relating to interest payments, repayment of debt, transfers to State and UT Governments are due to various factors, which make it difficult to precisely estimate this expenditure, efforts are always made to project as realistic estimates as possible to keep the variations to the minimum.

(b) and (c) The scheme wise details of the unspent money reported in the Appropriation Accounts of the Civil Ministries/Departments, Posts and Defence Services and their shortfall in achieving physical targets under major scheme during 2005-06 to 2007-08 are not maintained centrally in this Ministry. The ultimate responsibility of control of expenditure against the grant/appropriation lies with the Ministry/Department administering a grant/appropriation.

(d) Departments are responsible for the control of expenditure against the sanctioned grants and appropriations placed at their disposal. The General Finance Rules lay down detailed instructions on the procedure for effective control over expenditure by the controlling and disbursing officers. Departments/Ministries have been advised that necessary steps may be taken by Secretaries being the Chief Accounting Authority to ensure enforcement of prescribed financial rules. Ministry of Finance issues instructions to all Ministries/Departments every year to review the existing expenditure budget in the first instance, to prioritize the activities and schemes at the time of formulation of expenditure estimates.

Local area banks

2017. SHRI B. K. HARIPRASAD: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has given in-principle approval for setting up of the Local Area Banks (LABs) with jurisdiction over three contiguous districts;

(b) whether the Raghuram Rajan Committee appointed by Planning Commission has recommended setting up of LABs as it felt these banks are more effective in reaching out to poorer households and local small and medium enterprises;
(c) whether as of now, the country has around 120 revenue blocks with no banking facilities; and

(d) whether the LABs will be allowed to set up banking facilities initially in these areas?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):
(a) and (b) The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has reported that the Local Area Bank Scheme was introduced in 1996. The Scheme envisaged Local Area Banks with a minimum capital of Rs.5 crore and an area of operation comprising three contiguous districts. Although six local banks were licensed by RBI, at present only four Local Area Banks are functioning. The Raghuram Rajan Committee has recommended for allowing more entry to private, well-governed, deposit-taking small finance banks. The RBI has reported that it has not granted "in-principle" approval to any small finance or local area bank after the publication of report of the Raghuram Rajan Committee.

(c) In July, 2009, a total of 129 unbanked blocks were identified in the country. As a result of the concerted efforts made by the Government, RBI and the Banks for providing banking facilities in these unbanked blocks, the number of such blocks has come down to 93 in February, 2010. Government is monitoring the progress being made in this regard on an ongoing basis.

(d) RBI is not considering allowing setting up of any local area bank in the country.

Hike in passenger facilitation fee

2018. SHRI RAJEEV CHANDRASEKHAR: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has given its approval for a proposal by the Airports Authority of India (AAI) to hike passenger facilitation fee from the present Rs. 70/- to Rs. 250/-

(b) if so, whether this proposed hike would be applicable in short distance sectors also;

(c) whether the AAI has proposed a levy of development fee at six more airports; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):
(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) and (d) Levy of user development fee at Amritsar, Udaipur, Varanasi, Trichy, Visakhapatnam, Mangalore, Ahmadabad, Trivendrum, Aurangabad, Dehradun, Srinagar and Surat are subject to examination and approval by the Ministry/Air Port Economic Regulatory Authority (AERA).
World Bank assistance for drinking water projects

†2019, SHRI BRILAL KHABRI: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is receiving aid from World Bank for drinking water projects in urban and rural areas in different States of the country;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the efforts being made by Government for early completion of these projects especially in Uttar Pradesh?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) Yes Sir.

(b) As of now, there are 04 projects in rural area and 03 projects in urban areas. The names of these projects and the World Bank credit amount/loan amount in US$ millions are:

**Rural areas:**

- 2nd Karnataka Rural Water Supply & Sanitation Project (151.6);
- Uttaranchal Rural Water Supply & Sanitation Project (120.0);
- Punjab Rural Water Supply & Sanitation Project (154.0);
- Andhra Pradesh Rural Water Supply & Sanitation Project (150.0)

**Urban areas:**

- Karnataka Urban Water Sector Improvement Project (39.5);
- Karnataka Municipal Reforms Project (216.0);
- 3rd Tamil Nadu Urban Development Project (300.0)

(c) Government has put in place effective monitoring mechanism for all the World Bank assisted projects, including drinking water projects, for their time bound implementation. Presently there is no World Bank assisted drinking water project in the State of Uttar Pradesh.

Entertainment tax on IPL

2020, SHRI B.K. HARIPRASAD: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government of Maharashtra has decided to levy entertainment tax on the Indian Premier League (IPL), an arm of Board of Control for Cricket in India (BCCI);

(b) whether till 2007, the BCCI qualified for tax exemption for promoting sports as charitable activity and whether BCCI has over the years generated huge surplus through media rights and sponsorship spending only 10 per cent of the surplus on promotion of cricket;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(c) whether the IT department has raised a tax demand on BCCI’s income for 2006-07 onwards; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI S.S. PALANIMANICKAM):
(a) to (d) The information is being collected.

Export incentive scheme

2021. SHRI MOINUL HASSAN: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Minister of State in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry has urged him to continue with export incentive schemes till economy recovers totally; and

(b) if so, what is the reaction of his Ministry?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):
(a) and (b) Consultations among the Ministries/Departments for initiating appropriate policy response to the emerging situation is a continuous process. Government is committed to ensuring continued growth of exports. Government had announced several measures to mitigate the effects of global recession including interest subvention of 2% on pre-shipment export credit upto 31st March, 2010 for exports in certain sectors. This has been further extended for one more year for exports covering handicraft, carpets, handlooms and small and medium enterprises.

Reform in General Insurance Corporation

2022. DR. E.M. SUDARSANA NATCHIAPPAN: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is contemplating to create a professional excellence in General Insurance Corporation (GIC) by creating a level playing ground with private companies by changing the auditing methodology, focusing customer interests, reforming General Insurance Public Sector Association for good governance; and

(b) if so, whether the autonomy with accountability would be given to the management of GIC for stipulated period going for disinvestment?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):
(a) and (b) General Insurance Corporation of India (GIC) was designated as Indian reinsurer in the year 2000 to provide reinsurance support to both public and private sector insurance companies. After opening up of the insurance sector and delinking from GIC in 2000, the four public sector general insurance companies, namely National Insurance Company, New India Assurance Company, Oriental Insurance Company and United India Insurance Company were registered under the
Companies Act. They are board-run companies with full functional and operational autonomy. Being the only reinsurance company, the General Insurance Corporation of India has no direct competition with other public or private sector insurance company in the country.

As a result of the opening up of the insurance sector, the public sector general insurance companies have faced increased competition. Keeping this in view, the public sector general insurance companies had appointed professional consultants to devise a long-term business strategy. The appointed consultants have given their recommendations and all the companies are implementing the same. Moreover, at present, there is no proposal for disinvestment in GIC.

**Loan from World Bank**

2023. SHRI VIJAY JAWAHARLAL DARDA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the World Bank has approved loan amounting to 21,000 crores, keeping in mind that it is a crucial time to help Indian economy to revive growth;

(b) if so, what are the vital sectors in which this loan of World Bank would be allocated so as to quicken the pace of complete recovery from the recent recessionary trends thereby achieving a GDP growth of around 8 per cent; and

(c) whether priorities have been fixed and time-bound targets set to avoid any cost-overrun and time overrun of key infrastructure projects envisaged to be undertaken?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA): (a) to (c) The World Bank has approved loan amounting to US$ 6.555 billion (from April 1, 2009 to February 28, 2010). The vital sectors covered with these loans are health, education, agriculture, poverty reduction, roads & highways, energy, urban infrastructure (including water & sanitation), rural credit and financial services sectors.

All the WB assisted projects have time-bound implementation schedules.

**FDI in stock and commodity exchange**

2024. DR. T. SUBBARAMI REDDY: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether foreign investors in India’s stock and commodity exchanges would soon be able to raise their stakes as Government had decided to raise the cap on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) by any single investor from the present 5 percent;

(b) whether the overall cap on FDI for these bourses would remain at 49 per cent; and

(c) if so, to what extent this decision has helped investors to raise their capacity?
THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) vide its circular dated December 22, 2006 has provided that no foreign investor, including persons acting in concert, will hold more than 5% of the equity in stock exchanges. Subsequently, vide an amendment to the Securities Contracts (Regulations) (Manner of Increasing and Maintaining Public Shareholding) Regulations 2006 dated December 23, 2008, it has been provided that domestic entities like a stock exchange, a depository, a clearing corporation, a banking company, an insurance company and a public financial institution defined under section 4A of the Companies Act, 1956 may hold, either directly or indirectly, either individually or together with persons acting in concert, up to 15% of the paid up equity share capital of the recognised stock exchange,” provided they are approved by SEBI and qualify as “fit and proper persons” as defined by SEBI.

As per the Guidelines issued by the Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion (DIPP) under Press Note 2 (2008) dated 12th March 2008, no foreign investor/entity, including persons acting in concert, are allowed to hold more than 5% of the equity in the commodity exchanges. There has been no decision to revise this limit.

(b) As per the SEBI circular & DIPP Press Note indicated above, the composite ceiling of FDI and FII investment in stock exchanges and commodity exchanges is kept at 49%, with separate caps on FII investments at 23% and FDI investment at 26% respectively.

(c) In view of replies to parts (a) & (b) as above, does not arise.

Use of bank account by terrorist groups

2025. SHRI VIJAYKUMAR RUPANI: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware that terrorist groups use the accounts of banks in the country;

(b) what steps have been taken by the Government to stop it; and

(c) how many such accounts have been found?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) and (b) Available inputs with the Government indicate the use of banking channels by terrorist/terrorist outfits in India to receive funds from abroad.

In terms of instructions contained in the guidelines on Know Your Customer (KYC) norms, Reserve Bank of India (RBI) have advised the banks that before opening any new account it should be ensured that the identity of the customer does not match with any person with known criminal background or with banned entities such as individual terrorist or terrorist organization etc. Banks are
stipulated to file suspicious transaction reports pertaining to remittances/withdrawals from bank accounts and in respect of account holders.

Financial Intelligence Unit - India (FIU-IND) has been set-up to receive information relating to certain types of transactions including suspicious transaction from financial sector, analyze received information and disseminate information in appropriate cases to relevant intelligence/law enforcement agencies.

(c) FIU-IND has received certain suspicious reports on account of matches of certain details of terrorist whose names are appearing in UN Consolidated lists and other lists. In most of the cases the matches were partial or incomplete. Enhanced due diligence was conducted by FIU-IND and thereafter information was disseminated in appropriate case to relevant law enforcement/intelligence agencies. As reported by RBI, two bank accounts have been frozen under the provisions of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967.

Direct transfer of subsidies

2026. SHRI RAJKUMAR DHOOT: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the subsidies given by Government do not reach in full to the segment they are meant for;

(b) the details of subsidies given and administrative agencies for their distribution during last three years;

(c) whether it will not be fruitful that all subsidies are given by direct transfer of subsidy amounts due into the bank accounts of the beneficiaries; and

(d) if so, why is the same not being brought into use?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) and (b) The three major subsidies borne explicitly on the budget are food, fertilizers and petroleum subsidies. Fertilizer subsidies and subsidies on LPG are universal subsidies and are paid to fertilizer companies and oil marketing companies, respectively. Food subsidy is primarily targeted to people below the poverty line and is administered by State Civil Supplies Department/agencies. Some evaluation studies have brought out the fact that while benefits of food subsidy flow to targeted sections, there are some inclusion and exclusion errors. Based on evaluation studies and feedback received from States, a nine point action plan has been jointly formulated by the Central and the State/UT governments to strengthen the Targeted Public Distribution System (TPDS). These include regular reviews of the beneficiary lists to ensure coverage of eligible families, involvement of Panchayati Raj Institutions in the public distribution system (PDS) operations, use of information technology, creating awareness among public about PDS operations, etc. Details of subsidies are in table below:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsidy Type</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>2009-10 (RE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Major Subsidies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Food subsidy</td>
<td>31328</td>
<td>43751</td>
<td>56002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Indigenous (Urea) fertilisers</td>
<td>12950</td>
<td>17969</td>
<td>14080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Imported (Urea) fertilisers</td>
<td>6606</td>
<td>10079</td>
<td>3948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sale of decontrolled fertilizers with</td>
<td>12934</td>
<td>48555</td>
<td>34952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concession to farmers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Petroleum subsidy</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>2852</td>
<td>14954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Grants to NAFED for MIS/PPS</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Others Subsidies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Interest subsidies</td>
<td>2311</td>
<td>3493</td>
<td>2719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Others Subsidies</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>2634</td>
<td>3520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Subsidies (A+B)</td>
<td>70926</td>
<td>129708</td>
<td>131025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Expenditure Budget Volume I, Union Budget 2010-11.

(c) and (d) The Budget for 2009-10, recognizing the relative merit in cash transfers had indicated that in respect of fertilizers, the ultimate intent was to move towards the same.

Non essential expenditure

†2027. SHRI PRABHAT JHA: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether the non-essential expenditure of the Ministries of the Central Government has
gone out of control during the year 2009-10 despite all the efforts of Government;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the reasons therefor; and

(c) the steps Government is going to take to control the effect of unrestrained expenditure
of Ministries on the fiscal deficit?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) to (c) Accounts for the year 2009-10 have not yet been finalized. Expenditure of the Ministries
of the Central Government is controlled in accordance with budgetary provisions approved by
Parliament. Ministry of Finance issues instructions from time to time detailing the economy measures
to be taken to control and rationalise non-plan expenditure.

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
Development projects in Assam

2028. SHRI BIRENDRA PRASAD BAISHYA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the details of projects being implemented in Assam with the development assistance from other countries or loan from international funding agencies like World Bank, Asian Development Bank along with total outlay, details of execution status and liability of States and the Central Government;

(b) the details of other schemes in the pipeline for implementation in Assam; and

(c) the details of other programmes being implemented with the assistance or loan from national financial institutions/banks including NABARD in Assam?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) The details of projects being implemented in Assam with the development assistance from other countries or loan from international funding agencies are given in the Statement-I (See below).

(b) The details of projects in pipeline for implementation in Assam with external assistance are given in the statement-II (See below).

(c) The details are given in the statement-III.

**Statement-I**

List of Ongoing projects in Assam with External Assistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Agency/ Country</th>
<th>Name of the Project</th>
<th>Date of Agreement</th>
<th>Project Cost (US $)</th>
<th>Loan Amount (US $)</th>
<th>Central Sector</th>
<th>Liability State</th>
<th>Disbursement Upto Feb.2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ADB Assam</td>
<td>LN-2442- Governance &amp; Public</td>
<td>14.10.2008/ 31.3.2012</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Management Sector Development Program - Subprogram=II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ADB</td>
<td>LN-2592-</td>
<td>15.2.2010 / 31.12.2014</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>60.30</td>
<td>State *</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Assam Power Enhancement Investment Sector (Project- 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ADB</td>
<td>LN-2445-</td>
<td>10.11.2008/ 31.12.2010</td>
<td>168.80</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>Central C</td>
<td>61.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural roads Sector-II Investment Programme-Project-3 (Assam is one of the beneficiary state)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ADB</td>
<td>LN-2553-</td>
<td>3.9.2009 / 30.6.2012</td>
<td>239.80</td>
<td>185.00</td>
<td>Central C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rural Roads Sector-II Investment Programme-Project-4 (Assam is one of the beneficiary state)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nationwide Health Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>IDA</td>
<td>National Vector Borne Disease Control &amp; Polio Eradication Support Project</td>
<td>13.2.2009/30.4.2014</td>
<td>521.00</td>
<td>521.00</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>C.</td>
<td>79.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>IDA</td>
<td>Third National HIV/AIDS Control Project</td>
<td>5.7.2007/30.9.2012</td>
<td>512.00</td>
<td>250.00</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>93.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>IDA</td>
<td>Second National Tuberculosis Control Project</td>
<td>16.10.2006/31.3.2012</td>
<td>342.00</td>
<td>170.00</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>87.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>IDA</td>
<td>Reproductive &amp; Child Health Second Phase</td>
<td>16.10.2006/31.1.2011</td>
<td>2232.00</td>
<td>360.00</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>172.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>IDA</td>
<td>Integrated Disease Surveillance Project</td>
<td>23.9.2004/31.3.2010</td>
<td>88.64</td>
<td>68.00</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>22.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nationwide Education Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IDA</td>
<td>India Vocational Training Improvement Project</td>
<td>2.11.2007/31.12.2012</td>
<td>359.00</td>
<td>280.00</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>91.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>IDA</td>
<td>Elementary Education (SSA II)</td>
<td>14.8.2008/31.3.2010</td>
<td>10700.0</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* With effect from April 1, 2005, all external assistance to North Eastern and Special Category States is passed on as Additional Central Assistance (ACA) in Loan: Grant ratio of 10:90. The remaining portion of the cost of the project has to be borne by the State Government from their own resources.
### Statement-II

**List of pipeline projects for implementation in Assam with External Assistance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Agency/ No.</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Name of the Project</th>
<th>Total Cost (In US $ million)</th>
<th>External Assistance (In US $ million)</th>
<th>Date of Posing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td></td>
<td>Upgradation of State Highways and District Roads in Assam</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.9.2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td></td>
<td>North Eastern Region Livelihood Project (NERLP)</td>
<td>515.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.9.2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IFAD</td>
<td></td>
<td>North Eastern Region Community Resources Management Project for Upland Areas - II (NERCORMP-II)</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ADB</td>
<td></td>
<td>Assam Integrated Flood &amp; Riverbank Erosion Risk Management Investment Program</td>
<td>160.00</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>12.10.2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ADB</td>
<td></td>
<td>North Eastern States Roads Investment Program</td>
<td>428.70</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>12.10.2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Indo German Development Cooperation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Assam Ecological Resilience and Livelihood Adaptation Programme</td>
<td>Rs.500.00 crore</td>
<td>Rs.400.00 crore</td>
<td>4.5.2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the Central or multi-state projects, Assam is one of the participating states.

** The negotiations were held during November 3 – December 2, 2009 in New Delhi between IFAD and the Republic of India for a loan of SDR 12.6 million (approximately US$ 20 million). The project would be implemented in two districts each in Meghalaya, Manipur and Assam. The IFAD Executive Board approved a loan of US$ 20 million for the aforesaid project on December 17, 2009.

### Statement-III

Financial assistance and development programmes implemented by NABARD in Assam

1. **Rural Infrastructure Development Fund (RIDF)**

   The details of RIDF loans sanctioned by NABARD under Rural Infrastructure Development Fund (RIDF) to the Government of Assam during the last three years as also in the current year 2009-10 (upto February 2010) are given as under:

   (Rs in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>RIDF Loan Sanctioned</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>RIDF Loan Disbursed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>114.23</td>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>115.23</td>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>188.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>116.23</td>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10 (upto 28/2/2010)</td>
<td>117.23</td>
<td>2009-10 (upto 28/2/2010)</td>
<td>17.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Investment Credit**

   (a) Details of refinance disbursements made to banks for investment credit in Assam during the last three years are given below:

   (Rs. lakh)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Refinance disbursed</th>
<th>Cofinance Disbursements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>9,315</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>11,458</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>9,565</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10 (till 28 Feb 2010)</td>
<td>5,947</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(b) NABARD has been implementing the following schemes of Gov in the state of Assam from the year 2000-01:

(i) Construction of cold storages and onion godowns,

(ii) Construction of rural godowns,

(iii) Development/strengthening of agriculture marketing infrastructure, grading and standardisation and

(iv) Establishing units under Agriclinic and Agribusiness scheme by agriculture graduates.

In addition to the above, two new schemes were launched for implementation during the year 2009-10, viz,

i) Integrated development of small ruminants and rabbits and

ii) Scheme for poultry estates and mother units for rural backyard poultry

(c) Eligible financial Institutions in Assam have been given special relaxation in terms of eligibility criteria with a view to increase refinance off-take. Interest rates on refinance are extended at 50 basis points lower as when compared to that of other states in respect of lendings by Commercial Banks. Also, 100% refinance is available for eligible bank loans sanctioned for all purposes.

3. Revival of Short Term Cooperative Credit Structure - Assistance under Vaidhyanathan Package

A revival Package announced by the Government of India for Short Term Cooperative Credit Structure in January 2006 is being implemented in the State of Assam under which assistance from the Gov will be released to the Cooperative Credit Structure (CCS) in Assam (comprising of the Assam Cooperative Apex Bank & Primary Agriculture Credit Society (PACS)/Large Adivasi Multi Purpose Credit Society (LAMPS)/ Farmers Service Society (FSS) for recapitalisation, training, computerisation and implementation of Common Accounting System as adumbrated in the Memorandum of Understanding entered into by the Govt of Assam with the Gov and NABARD on 26 May 2008. The financial assistance for recapitalisation of CCS in Assam will be routed through NABARD subject to the State Govt brining in the legal and administrative reforms in the cooperatives through necessary amendments to the State Cooperative Societies Act. The Cooperative Societies Act of Assam has not yet been amended to incorporate the various covenants of the MoU. Hence, no financial assistance has so far been released to the CCS in Assam by NABARD, till date.

4. Developmental Programmes of NABARD:

(I) Farm Activities:

(i) The following 3 projects have been sanctioned under the Farm Innovation and Promotion Fund (FIPF) set up by NABARD to promote innovative and feasible concepts/projects in Agriculture and allied activities, development of marketable prototypes, technology, patenting, extension support, marketing etc.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Project</th>
<th>Loan</th>
<th>Grant</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Propagation of Bamboo through Macro proliferation technique</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.500</td>
<td>3.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Setting up of Organic Farming Training cum Demonstration Centre</td>
<td>9.100</td>
<td>8.750</td>
<td>17.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Organic Certification of ginger cultivation in Karbi Anglong Dist - GINFED</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.147</td>
<td>2.147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** | 9.100 | 14.397 | 23.497 |

(ii) The following 7 projects have been sanctioned under the 'Farmers' Technology Transfer Fund' (FTTF) set up by NABARD with the objective of promoting transfer of technology for production enhancement and productivity in agriculture and farm related activities like Animal Husbandry, Fisheries, information dissemination linkages with market.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SRI Method of Rice cultivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rural Knowledge Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Distribution of Bimonthly Assamse News Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Participation in Kisan Mela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Training in Oranamental Aquaculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Exposure Visit to Fisheries College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Training on Livestock Farming</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** | 4.69 |

(iii) The following three projects have been sanctioned under the Tribal Development Fund (TDF) created by NABARD to support integrated tribal development projects with wadi as the core component.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>No. of families covered</th>
<th>TDF assistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Karbi Anglong (East)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>166.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Karbi Anglong (West)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>175.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cachar &amp; N C Hills</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>172.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** | 1500 | 514.143 |
(II) Non Farm Activities

(i) Rural Entrepreneurship Development Programmes (REDPs) & Skill Development Initiatives (SDIs):

During the year 2009-10, 25 REDP/SDP programmes were sanctioned with an assistance of Rs. 48.17 lakh to various institutions. NABARD had sanctioned 726 REDP/SDP programmes with an amount of Rs. 87.99 lakh as assistance in the State of Assam. 7972 rural youths have been trained under these programmes till March 2009.

(ii) Women Development Cell:

Sanction has been accorded during 2009-10 for creating a women development cell in Assam Gramin Vikas Bank and a grant of Rs. 1.80 lakh has been released so far.

(iii) Rural Haat:

Constitution of three rural haats, 1 in Bongaigaon and 2 Golaghat districts were sanctioned. An amount of Rs. 13 lakh has been released as assistance.

(iv) Cluster Development:

NABARD has been implementing 9 participatory Cluster Development Programme since 2006 in Cachar, Chirang, Kamrup, Karbi Anglong, Nalbari, Sibsagar, Udalguri for Handloom, Seri Cluster, Pottery, Bamboo craft, Muga silk, Eri Silk, etc.

5. Rural Innovation Fund:

The following 5 projects have been sanctioned under Rural Innovation Fund of NABARD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Details of projects</th>
<th>Year of sanction</th>
<th>Amt. sanctioned (in lakh)</th>
<th>Champion Champion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Arecanut Leaf Plate making in Barpetta district</td>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>DHRIIT, Guwahati</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Installation of Zero Head Hydro Turbines (ZHHTs) for irrigation purposes</td>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Man &amp; Wild (NGO), Kamrup Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Developing and standardizing package of practices for production of muga silkworm seeds</td>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>Institute of Advanced Studies for Science and Technology (IASST), Guwahati</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Assistance by Small Industries Development Bank of India (SIDBI) in Assam

- World Bank had granted loan to SIDBI in FY2010 for improving flow of credits to MSMEs by extending credit facilities to MSMEs by way of term loans. Under the first drawal of the loan, loan disbursement of Rs.113 lakh made by Guwahati (Assam) branch office was covered.

- ADB has recently approved a sovereign loan of US$ 50 million loan to SIDBI under Micro, Small and Medium Enterprise Development Project for onlending to MSME units in 12 states including Assam. The loan agreement for this project is expected to be signed shortly. The proposed ADB loan, would provide SIDBI with long tenor funding to support through specific financing programs which are not presently covered either by microfinance institutions (MFIs) or through formal banking sector for MSME borrowers.

- SIDBI has implemented 4 Rural Industries Programme in 3 districts of Assam (viz., Nagaon, Barpeta I & II, Cachar). Presently, 2 RIP programmes are under implementation (Jorhat & Dibrugarh). Altogether, as a result of the intervention, 598 MSME units have been set up till date.

- Besides, 11 Cluster Development Programmes on activities like handloom, pottery, cane and bamboo craft, silk weaving etc. are implemented/under implementation in different parts of the state (Assam) benefiting more than 1275 artisans.

### Projects being implemented by companies in Assam with assistance from Exim Bank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of Company</th>
<th>Loan amount sanctioned by Exim Bank (Rs. in Lakhs)</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Luit Refractories Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>Projects On-Stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>North East Onco Care Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>525.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Shares</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Maisang Products Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>140.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Brahmaputra Resorts Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Assam Petroleum Ltd.</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Loomcraft</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Agate Aqua Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Robin Assam Instant Tea</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1322.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Entertaining of public sector companies in share market

†2029. SHRI PRABHAT JHA: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the public sector companies entering into the share market have failed in attracting investors;

(b) if so, the details thereof?

(c) whether any such case has come to the notice of Government wherein questions have been raised on disinvestment of National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC); and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):

(a) No, Sir.

(b) During financial year 2009-10 five public sector companies/banks namely NHPC Ltd. (NHPC), Oil India Ltd. (OIL), National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. (NTPC), Rural Electrification Corporation Ltd. (RECL) and United Bank of India (UBI) have entered the share market, SEBI has informed that all the five issues were over subscribed.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Not applicable in view of reply to (c) above.

Buildings of SBI branches

2030. SHRI MOHAMMED ADEEB: Will the Minister of Finance be pleased to state:

(a) the details of branches of the State Bank of India (SBI) in Uttar Pradesh which are functioning in rented buildings;

(b) the details of the bank branches where available space is not adequate; and

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(c) the steps being taken to construct own building for the SBI branches?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA):
(a) and (b) State Bank of India (SBI) has reported that as on date total number of 1497 branches of the Bank are functioning in the rented buildings in the State of Uttar Pradesh. Out of these, inadequate space is observed at 28 branches and shifting of these branches to new premises is under active consideration of the bank.

(c) No such proposal is under consideration of SBI.

---

Disinvestment of Government equity

2031. SHRI D. RAJA:
SHRI R.C. SINGH:
Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC) has disinvested a part of its Government equity recently;
(b) if so, what is the extent to which the equity amount acquired thereby;
(c) whether it is also a fact that the share offer reserved for small retail investors was grossly undersubscribed;
(d) if so, the details thereof and reasons therefor; and
(e) Government’s reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI S.S. PALANIMANICKAM):
(a) Yes Sir, Government has disinvested 5% paid up equity capital of NTPC Ltd. out of its shareholding of 89.50%.

(b) The Government realized an amount of Rs. 8480 crore.

(c) to (e) The portion reserved for retail investors was subscribed to the extent of 0.15 times. In a Follow-on Public Offering the response of retail investor is often low as the price is already discovered and the shares are available in the market. At a time when the sensex had fallen 700 points during the three days of the issue period, the overall issue was subscribed 1.23 times.

---

Prohibition of doctors from accepting gifts

†2032. SHRI SATYAVRAT CHATURVEDI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the Medical Council of India (MCI) has requested Government to forbid the doctors from accepting the gifts from pharmaceutical companies apart from prohibiting the companies from giving gifts to doctors;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(b) whether the MCI has also suggested to cancel the licence of pharmaceutical companies violating this provision;
(c) if so, the other suggestion given by the MCI; and
(d) by when Government would enact necessary law in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) The Indian Medical Council (Professional conduct, Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations, 2002 were amended by Medical Council of India with the prior approval of Government of India by inserting a new Clause 6.8 which states that a medical practitioner shall not endorse any drug or product of the industry publically. Any study conducted on the efficacy or other wise of such products shall be presented to and/or through appropriate scientific bodies or published on appropriate scientific journals in a proper way.

(b) to (d) Although some preliminary observations have been made by the MCI, however, there is no specific proposal to take up the matter with Department of Pharmaceuticals at this stage.

_Growth in the healthcare sector_

†2033. SHRI SHIVANAND TIWARI:

SHRI RAJ MOHINDER SINGH MAJITHA:

Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the growth of Indian healthcare sector business is estimated upto 13 to 14 per cent annually;
(b) if not, the details thereof and whether this annual growth rate is in private sector;
(c) if so, what is Government’s reaction thereto;
(d) whether Government has found out the annual growth rate of public sector in healthcare sector; and
(e) if so, the growth rate thereof and whether the contribution of healthcare sector has become 5 per cent of Gross Domestic Product (GDP)?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) to (e) According to information available from Central Statistical Organization (CSO), the compounded annual rate of growth of value added in medical and health sector was 4.9 percent between 2004-05 and 2008-09 (constant Prices, base year 2004-05) comprising public sector 7.4% and private sector 3.4%. The rate of growth of public sector within medical and health sector is higher as compared to private sector both at constant price (base year 2004-05) and current prices (Table):

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
Compounded annual growth rate of value added in medical and health sector (2004-05 to 2008-09)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Constant prices (base year 2004-05)</th>
<th>Current prices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Sector</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.9%</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.9%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Central Statistical Organization (CSO)

The contribution of health sector to total GDP at current prices is 1.62 percent for the year 2008-09.

Steps to curb manufacture of spurious drugs

†2034. SHRI LALIT KISHORE CHATURVEDI:

DR. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA:

Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons of failure in curbing the manufacturing of spurious drugs;
(b) whether Government policies for purchase of medicines, lack of control at production site and laxity towards the quality are responsible for this;
(c) whether monitoring mechanism has failed in drug sector;
(d) if so, the action being taken or to be taken to set it right;
(e) the reasons for not taking stringent action against wholesalers and retailers dealing in spurious drugs;
(f) whether Government is aware of replacement of test samples of spurious drugs at laboratory level; and
(g) the plan to curb dealing of spurious drugs?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) to (e)

No, Constant vigil is maintained against manufacturers of spurious drugs and strict action under the Drugs & Cosmetics Act & Rules is taken against those manufacturing, stocking and distributing spurious drugs.

(f) Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO) has no information regarding replacement of test samples of spurious drugs at laboratory level.

(g) The Central Government in the last two years has taken following steps to curb dealing of spurious drugs:

1. The Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 has been amended providing for stringent penalties for manufacture of spurious and adulterated drugs. Certain offences have been made cognizable and non-bailable.
2. Whistle Blower Scheme with provision of reward to informant has been announced by Government of India to encourage vigilant public participation in the detection of movement of spurious drugs in the country.

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
3. Guidelines for taking action on samples of drugs declared spurious or not of standard quality in the light of enhanced penalties under the Drugs & Cosmetics (Amendment) Act, 2008 have been formulated and forwarded to the State Drugs Controllers for implementation.

**Pharma zone at airports**

†2035. SHRI SHREE GOPAL VYAS:
SHRI RUDRA NARAYAN PANY:
SHRI SATYAVRAT CHATURVEDI:
SHRI MOTILAL VORA:
Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:
(a) whether Government is aware that the imported medicines that are required to be stored under controlled temperature or in freezer, are kept in normal temperature at airports for a minimum of 8-10 hours due to which they get spoiled;
(b) if so, whether Government is considering to formulate a scheme to make a pharma zone at airports for providing quality medicines to patients; and
(c) if so, by when this scheme would be implemented?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) to (c)
Some of the airports where drugs are imported have facilities for storage of drugs in the cold storage maintained by the Airport Authority or the Airlines. However, where such facilities are not available, the consignments are released on priority basis to avoid any deterioration in the quality.

**Healthcare scenario in rural and urban India**

2036. DR. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA:
SHRI LALIT KISHORE CHATURVEDI:
Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:
(a) the healthcare services scenario in rural and urban India with specific reference to their comparison on various parameters, their budget allocation, vacancy position in Primary Health Centres (PHCs) and other Rural Medical Outfits, their Maternal Mortality Rate (MMR), Infant Mortality Rate (IMR), life expectancy etc.; and
(b) the steps taken to improve Rural Health Services?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) The health scenario, in rural and urban area as per data available from various sources, is given in the Statement (See below). Under the National Rural Health Mission funds are released to the State as per their Annual Programme Implementation Plan, for implementation across the country with special focus in eighteen states with weak health indicators.
(b) The Government is making all possible efforts to speed up the provision of quality health services in rural areas through the National Rural Health Mission. By adding community health workers (ASHAs) in every village, human resources, physical infrastructure and assured service guarantees, the NRHM is seeking to provide universal access to equitable, affordable and quality healthcare to the rural population.

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
Table 1: Estimated Birth rate, Death rate, Natural growth rate and Infant mortality rate, 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>India/States/Union Territories</th>
<th>Birth rate</th>
<th>Death rate</th>
<th>Natural growth rate</th>
<th>Infant mortality rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigger states</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Assam</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Bihar</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Smaller states**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uttarakhand</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Territories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andaman &amp; Nicobar Islands</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandigarh</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dadra &amp; Nagar Haveli</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daman &amp; Diu</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakshadweep</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puducherry</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Infant mortality rates for smaller States and Union Territories are based on three-year period 2006-08.
Published and issued by Office of the Registrar General, India, Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India, 2-A Mansingh Road New Delhi-110011
E-mail : orgindia@vsnl.net, Phone : (91) (11) 2338 3761 Fax : (91) (11) 23343143
Census and Vital Statistics Website on the Internet : http://www.censusindia.gov.in
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>State/UT</th>
<th>Required (R)</th>
<th>Sanctioned (S)</th>
<th>In Position (P)</th>
<th>Vacant (S-P)</th>
<th>Shortfall (R-P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>2497</td>
<td>2214</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>2078</td>
<td>1565</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>2195</td>
<td>3528</td>
<td>2814</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>1149</td>
<td>1149</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>1816</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1191</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>1279</td>
<td>1353</td>
<td>1353</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>1542</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Total Specialists at CHCs (As on March, 2008)

**Total Specialists [Surgeons, OB&GY, Physicians & Paediatricians]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>State/UT</th>
<th>Required&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (R)</th>
<th>Sanctioned (S)</th>
<th>In Position (P)</th>
<th>Vacant (S-P)</th>
<th>Shortfall (R-P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- **NA:** Not Available.
- * Surplus All India figures for Vacancy and Shortfall are the totals of State-wise Vacancy and Shortfall ignoring surplus in some States/UTs
- <sup>1</sup> One per each Primary Health Centre
- <sup>2</sup> For calculating the overall percentage of vacancy and shortfall, the States/UTs for which manpower position is not available, are excluded.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>437</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>1092</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>299</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>282</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>601</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>860</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1276</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>745</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Tamil Nadu3</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Uttarakhand</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>2060</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Andaman &amp; Nicobar Islands</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Chandigarh</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Dadra &amp; Nagar Haveli</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Daman &amp; Diu</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lakshadweep</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Puducherry</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All India</td>
<td>17104</td>
<td>8376</td>
<td>4279</td>
<td>4068</td>
<td>11033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

N.A.: Not available

1. Four per each Community Center.

* Surplus All India figures for vacancy and Shortfall are the totals of State-wise vacancy and Shortfall, ignoring surplus in some States/UTs.

2. For calculating the overall percentages of vacancy and shortfall, the States/UTs for which manpower position is not available, are excluded.

3. Break up of Specialist Doctors not available.

---

**HIV positive prisoners at Tihar Jail in Delhi**

†2037. SHRI MOTILAL VORA:

SHRI SATYAVRAT CHATURVEDI:

Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that ten thousand patients have tested positive for HIV infection so far in the different centres being run for HIV tests in Delhi, but only 6500 patients are getting treatment;

(b) whether it is also a fact that 84 prisoners were tested positive for HIV at Tihar Jail in Delhi during the past one year;

(c) the number of inmates suffering from Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrom (AIDS) at present in Tihar Jail and the reasons therefor; and

(d) the steps taken for prevention of AIDS and the results thereof?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) A total number of 33,886 people have been found positive for HIV infection in different ICTC centres in Delhi from 2002-Dec. 2009. Out of these 23,946 have been registered in HIV care at 9 ART centres in Delhi. On basis of clinical examination and investigation, 10,770 eligible PLHA were started on ART. Currently 6707 PLHA are alive and on regular treatment.

(b) Since June, 2008 to Feb. 2010, 2540 inmates have been tested and out of them, 201 have been found to be HIV positive.

(c) At present 55 inmates infected from HIV/AIDS are lodged in Delhi jails out of which 14 inmates are on And- Retroviral Therapy.

(d) All inmates on their first entry to jail are screened for the risk factor for HIV infection like intravenous drug abuse, drug addiction, sexual promiscuity, history of blood transfusions and infections like T.B, fungal infection etc. These inmates are tested for HIV in ICTC as per NACO protocol. Regular education and counseling of inmates suffering from HIV negative are informed about the causes of spread of HIV and they are counseled to reduce risks and remain HIV negative. The inmate who are found HIV positive are provided treatment and care.

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
Oral substitution therapy for opioid addicts especially for intravenous drug users was started in central jail in Nov. 2007. It has shown good results in improving physical and mental health, psycho-social functioning and normalizing the lives of HIV infected drug users. Further, this has been linked to OST centres run by AIIMS. So that inmates on OST after release can continue their treatment. Oral substitution therapy is known to be effective in not only reducing risk of HIV infection, improving physical and mental health, reducing mortality, improving psycho-social functioning reducing criminality and stabilizing and normalizing the lives of drugs users.

**Shortage of doctors in rural areas**

2038. SHRIMATI SHOBHANA BHARTIA: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) has failed to achieve its target due to shortage of doctors in the rural areas;

(b) if so, the details thereof including the targets fixed under the scheme;

(c) whether a large number of sanctioned posts of specialized doctors are also lying vacant;

(d) if so, the details thereof;

(e) the steps taken/proposed to be taken to fill up the vacant posts; and

(f) the manner by which the various targets are sought to be achieved keeping in view the vacant posts of the specialized doctors?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) No.

(b) Question does not arise in view of (a) above.

(c) Yes.

(d) As per the Bulletin on Rural Health Statistics in India updated up to March, 2008, 4068 posts of specialists are lying vacant at Community Health Centres across the country.

(e) State government appoint doctors against sanctioned posts. They have been directed to fill up existing vacancies.

(f) The regular staff is being appointed by the State Governments. However, to bridge the gap between the required and existing staff, the staff is being appointed on contract basis under National Rural Health Mission (NRHM), by the States. As reported by State/UT Governments (updated upto Jan, 2010) a total of 2460 Specialists at Community Health Centres, 4613 Specialists at levels other than Community Health Centres, and 8624 GDMOs at various levels have also been engaged on contract under NRHM.
Withdrawal of diabetes drug

2039. MS. SUSHILA TIRIYA: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a controversial diabetes drug is being withdrawn;
(b) if so, the details thereof; and
(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) to (c)
On the basis of reports in 2007 that rosiglitazone, a drug to treat diabetes, increases risk of heart attack, the matter was examined by National Pharmacovigilance Advisory Committee (NPAC) in January, 2008 which recommended incorporation of "Box warning" in the package inserted and other promotional literature of formulations containing rosiglitazone. Accordingly all State Drug Controllers were requested to direct manufacturers of rosiglitazone formulation to incorporate the box warning about cardiac risk.

Leprosy cases

2040. SHRIMATI T. RATNA BAI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether India has reported the maximum number of leprosy cases in 2008, according to a WHO report;
(b) if so, the details thereof in the Eleventh Five Year Plan, State-wise; and
(c) the budgetary allocation made and spent in this regard, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) As per the latest report of WHO, in the year 2008, total 2,49,007 new cases reported globally, 1,34,184 were from India.

(b) The number of leprosy cases reported in the Xlth Five Year Plan is given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of new leprosy cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>1,37,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>1,34,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>1,04,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Upto Dec.2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The State-wise details are given in the Statement-I (See below).

(c) The funds released to States and expenditure reported are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year (Upto Dec, 09 /Jan.,2010)</th>
<th>Released</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>22.65</td>
<td>22.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>37.32</td>
<td>29.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>24.81</td>
<td>16.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The State-wise details are given in the Statement-II.
Statement-I

National Leprosy Eradication Programme

State-wise number of new cases detected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>State/UT</th>
<th>Number of New cases detected</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>2009-10 upto (Apr. to Dec. 09)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td></td>
<td>10047</td>
<td>9546</td>
<td>7345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td></td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>1192</td>
<td>899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td></td>
<td>19041</td>
<td>20086</td>
<td>16756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td></td>
<td>7808</td>
<td>7994</td>
<td>6054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Goa</td>
<td></td>
<td>156</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td></td>
<td>7228</td>
<td>7581</td>
<td>4081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td></td>
<td>379</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td></td>
<td>246</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td></td>
<td>6799</td>
<td>5181</td>
<td>4180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td></td>
<td>4522</td>
<td>4411</td>
<td>3473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td></td>
<td>778</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td></td>
<td>6058</td>
<td>6309</td>
<td>4354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td></td>
<td>12397</td>
<td>14274</td>
<td>11634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td></td>
<td>5685</td>
<td>6381</td>
<td>4916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td></td>
<td>964</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.No.</td>
<td>Name of State/UTs</td>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>diture</td>
<td></td>
<td>diture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>178.74</td>
<td>170.12</td>
<td>183.71</td>
<td>205.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>64.75</td>
<td>36.02</td>
<td>56.61</td>
<td>77.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>34.77</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>122.45</td>
<td>52.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>187.72</td>
<td>134.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>12.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>141.63</td>
<td>69.83</td>
<td>160.00</td>
<td>149.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>6.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** | 137685 | 134184 | 104503 |

---

**Statement-II**

National Leprosy Eradication Programme

Details of Release & Expenditure for the year 2007-08 to 2009-10

(Rs. in lakhs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Name of State/UTs</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>2009-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>diture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>178.74</td>
<td>170.12</td>
<td>183.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>64.75</td>
<td>36.02</td>
<td>56.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>34.77</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>122.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>187.72</td>
<td>134.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>141.63</td>
<td>69.83</td>
<td>160.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>9.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>139.48</td>
<td>104.75</td>
<td>315.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>42.59</td>
<td>52.34</td>
<td>122.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>13.77</td>
<td>43.96</td>
<td>74.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>36.52</td>
<td>32.03</td>
<td>26.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>75.04</td>
<td>56.62</td>
<td>164.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>135.73</td>
<td>107.45</td>
<td>121.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>33.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>42.36</td>
<td>126.29</td>
<td>272.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>231.08</td>
<td>156.08</td>
<td>290.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>31.09</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>42.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>20.87</td>
<td>17.24</td>
<td>31.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>10.92</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>51.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>38.09</td>
<td>37.67</td>
<td>51.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>107.55</td>
<td>181.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>21.49</td>
<td>63.29</td>
<td>121.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>38.93</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>148.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>20.76</td>
<td>19.65</td>
<td>26.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>73.41</td>
<td>91.64</td>
<td>222.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Uttaranchal</td>
<td>23.06</td>
<td>54.38</td>
<td>46.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>424.50</td>
<td>380.57</td>
<td>520.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>105.47</td>
<td>169.13</td>
<td>228.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>A &amp; N Islands</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>8.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Chandigarh</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>D &amp; N Haveli</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Daman &amp; Diu</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td>40.68</td>
<td>36.26</td>
<td>70.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lakshadweep</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Pondicherry</td>
<td>680.00</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2264.62</strong></td>
<td><strong>2282.10</strong></td>
<td><strong>3732.46</strong></td>
<td><strong>2943.51</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deteriorating conditions at Dhanvantari nursery

†2041. SHRI BRIJ BHUSHAN TIWARI:

SHRI BHAGWATI SINGH:

Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that medicinal plants at Dhanvantari nursery raised by National Ayurveda Institute, Jaipur have shriveled up;

(b) if so, whether Government is working on an effective plan to save medicinal plants; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) to (c)

The National Institute of Ayurveda, Jaipur has one Herbal Garden named "Dhanvantari Upavan" having 700 medicinal and aromatic plants/trees. Some deciduous plants in Upavan look dry due to natural shading of leaves during spring season.

The plants are watered regularly by supply of water through tankers as no water could be found in the two tube wells dug through Central Public Works Department (CPWD) in the Herbal Garden.

Treatment for depression and other mental illness

2042. SHRIMATI KANIMIZHI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether suicide is the largest cause of the deaths among 15-35 years old; and

(b) what are the steps taken to detect and treat depression and other mental illness which is one of the major causes of suicide?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) As per latest report of National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB), the largest cause of death among 15-29 years and 30-44 years is accidental death.

(b) As per latest report of NCRB 7% of suicides in India are attributable to Mental Illness. The largest cause of suicide being Family Problems (23.8%).

To detect and treat Depression and other Mental Illness Government Mental Hospitals, Psychiatry Wings of Medical Colleges/General Hospitals are run by the State Governments and Government of India. Licenses are also issued to Private Mental Hospitals/Psychiatric Nursing Homes by the concerned licensing authorities of the State Governments to provide mental health services.

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
Government of India is implementing National Mental Health Programme with the objectives of augmenting the mental health care capacity of the States/UTs detect and treat depression and other mental illness.

**Lack of access to essential medicines**

2043. SHRIMATI MOHSINA KIDWAI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware that nearly 50 to 80 per cent people in the country still do not have access to essential medicines;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the factors responsible for not having access to a large percentage of population in the country of essential medicines have been ascertained; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and the steps taken by Government to ensure access of essential medicines to every citizen?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) The Central Government only supplements the efforts of States in procurement of medicines. However, the Common Review Mission of NRHM in December 2009 had observed the practice of prescribing branded drugs even when generic alternatives were available.

(c) Unsatisfactory system of procurement and logistics, low per capita drug budget and practice of prescribing branded drugs, are some factors responsible for lack of access.

(d) NRHM provides for reforms in procurement and logistics to ensure access to essential drugs. NRHM promotes development of Essential Drug list of generic drugs and rational drug use.

**Clinical research in the country**

2044. SHRI A. ELAVARASAN: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that our country is among the fastest growing clinical research destination in the world and the country’s clinical market is valued at Rs. 14000 crores with a compounded annual growth rate of 30 per cent according to Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry (FICCI);

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether it is also a fact that human drug trials are not monitored closely;

(d) whether Government proposes any law on human clinical research that would have an ethical guidelines and tougher penalties for violators; and
(a) and (b) As per report 'Enabling Clinical Research, New Frontiers for India' prepared by FICCI in collaboration with the Department of Pharmaceuticals, India is one of the fastest growing clinical research destination.

(c) Clinical trials are regulated under Drugs and Cosmetics Act and Rules there under. Clinical trials are required to be carried out in accordance with requirements and guidelines specified in Rules and Schedule Y of Drugs & Cosmetic Rules. The clinical trial is conducted as per Good Clinical Practices Guidelines issued by CDSCO, Directorate General of Health Services. Clinical trials can be initiated in the country only after approval from DCG(I) and respective ethics committee.

(d) and (e) A Bio Medical Research on Human Participants (Promotion and Regulation Bill) as well as the incorporation of penal provisions for misconduct in clinical trials in the Drugs & Cosmetics Act is at the draft stage.

Absenteism among doctors in rural hospitals

2045. DR. JANARDHAN WAGHMARE: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware of the fact that the absenteeism of doctors working in the rural hospitals has become a serious problem; and

(b) if so, what drastic steps have been taken so far for preventive health services from being crippled?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) No State has reported large scale absenteeism of doctors under National Rural Health Mission [NRHM]. ‘Health’ is a ‘State’ subject and health manpower is in the domain of States. The service matter including recruitment, posting and disciplinary matters are the concern of the respective State/UT’s Governments. Action in this regard is taken by the respective State/UT Governments as per rules. However, NRHM has attempted to strengthen their accountability to PRIs/Rogi Kalyan Samitis etc. It has also encouraged institution specific contractual employment based on local criteria to ensure availability of resident health workers.

Human resource engagement is a major thrust area under NRHM and is a priority being pursued with the States/UT Governments. This include multi-skilling of doctors and para-medics, provision of incentives, to serve in rural areas like blended payments, difficult areas allowances, PG allowance, case based payments, improved accommodation arrangements, provision of AYUSH doctors and paramedics in PHCs and CHCs as additional doctors in rural areas, block pooling of doctors in underserved areas, engaging with the non government sector for underserved areas, provisioning of untied and flexible funds etc.
Sex ratio

†2046. SHRI OM PRAKASH MATHUR: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the sex ratio has been increasing negatively during the recent years in the country; and

(b) the sex ratio of the country and in different States?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) Data on sex ratio at birth based on the three-yearly moving average is available from the Sample Registration System (SRS) data. As per the information received from the Registrar General of India (RGI), the sex ratio at birth for India for the periods 2004-06, 2005-07 and 2006-08 is 892, 901 and 904 respectively. State-wise details are given in the Statement.

Statement

Sex ratio at birth in India

(Female births per 1000 male births) as per SRS data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>India &amp; bigger States</th>
<th>2004-06</th>
<th>2005-07</th>
<th>2006-08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(a) the number of registered nurses working as on 31 December, 2009, Statewise;

(b) the number of paramedical employees working in India, State-wise; and

(c) whether Government would bring in any legislation to regulate working conditions of the paramedical employees?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) The number of registered nurses, State-wise as on 31.12.2008 is given in the Statement (See below). Out of 10.43 lakhs nurses registered so far, 4.17 lakhs (40%) are estimated to be active.

(b) Data on paramedics employees working in the country is not maintained centrally.

(c) The proposed "Paramedical and Physiotherapy Central Councils Bill, 2007" to regulate education and practice was moved in Lok Sabha on 04.12.2007 and has lapsed with the dissolution of the 14th Lok Sabha.

---

**Paramedical employees in the country**

2047. SHRI PRASANTA CHATTERJEE: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) the number of registered nurses working as on 31 December, 2009, Statewise;

(b) the number of paramedical employees working in India, State-wise; and

(c) whether Government would bring in any legislation to regulate working conditions of the paramedical employees?

---

**Statement**

**State-wise number of registered nurses in India**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total No. of Registered Nurses in India as on 31.12.2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>808 837 836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>855 865 870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>955 944 936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>874 881 877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>931 936 941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>87379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Haryana*</td>
<td>17821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>8550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>109140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Kerala*</td>
<td>85624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh*</td>
<td>95135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Maharashtra*</td>
<td>90386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>1811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>1809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Orissa*</td>
<td>54499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Punjab*</td>
<td>45801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Rajasthan*</td>
<td>37667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>179082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>1194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>19708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Uttaranchal*</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>48470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>1043617</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
* Last Year Data for registered nurses in India
GNM: General Nursing and Midwives
Assam = Assam + Arunachal Pradesh + Manipur + Nagaland
Maharashtra = Maharashtra + Goa
Punjab = Punjab + J&K
Tamil Nadu = Tamil Nadu + Andaman & Nicobar Islands + Pondicherry
West Bengal = West Bengal + Sikkim

**Increasing cases of cancer in the country**

2048, SHRI RAJIV PRATAP RUDY: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether an increasing and ageing population is the reason for higher incidence of cancer in the country;

(b) the breakdown of cancer patients in the country; and

(c) what plans Government has to bring down cost of cancer therapy amongst Below Poverty Line (BPL) patients?
THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) According to a Report of Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) based on Population Cancer Registry, there is increase in number of cancer cases largely because of increasing and ageing population. The State-wise, site-wise cancer data is not centrally maintained.

(c) The treatment of cancer patients in the Government institutions is either free or subsidised in the health care delivery system. Financial Assistance is provided to Below Poverty Line Cancer Patients under the Health Minister’s Cancer Patient’s Fund for undergoing treatment of cancer.

National Health Bill, 2009

2049. SHRI THOMAS SANGMA: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) what efforts Government has made to strengthen the process of drafting the National Health Bill, 2009;

(b) by when the financial memorandum of the draft Bill will be drafted and finalised;

(c) whether Government has taken any measures to ensure the wider consultations with the civil society organisations and the other stakeholders in the consultation process of the Bill;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) by when the Bill is likely to be introduced in the Parliament?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) The draft of the National Health Bill has already been prepared and is available on the official web-site of this Ministry namely www.mohfw.nic.in.

(b) No specific time limit can be indicated at this juncture.

(c) and (d) Yes. This Ministry has consulted, Ministry of Law and Justice, Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution, Ministry of Rural Development, Ministry of Water Resources, Ministry of Panchayati Raj and Ministry of Finance.

(e) No specific time limit can be indicated at this juncture for introduction of the bill in the Parliament.

Inclusion of polydrug in the essential drugs list

2050. SHRIMATI KANIMOZHI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the polydrug, a combination of Aspirin, Statin and ACE-Inhibitor is included in the Essential Drugs List;
(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) At the time of preparation of the National List of essential medicines 2003, the concept of the poly drug, combination of Aspirin, Statin and ACE-Inhibitor was not introduced/approved.

Failure to clear toxicity test

2051. SHRI A. ELAVARASAN: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Drugs Controller of India has instructed the Natco, a pharmaceutical company, to stop production of Albupax after it failed to clear toxicity test;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the details of drugs not approved by Government, after failing to clear the toxicity test during the last three years?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) The Central Drug Laboratory, Kolkata, in its test report has declared the drug Albupax (paclitaxel Albumin bound particle injectable suspension) to be not of standard quality due to the presence of higher level of Endotoxin than acceptable limits. On the basis of the test reports, the Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO) suspended the permission to manufacture the said drug by following the laid down procedure and also asked the manufacturer to recall the product from the market.

(c) Approval of any drug for the first time in the country is based on the overall toxicity & efficacy profile of the drug as per requirements of Drugs & Cosmetics Rules. During last three years, Central Drug Standard Control Organization has not approved any drug having unacceptable toxicity and efficacy profile.

Steps to control spread of AIDS

2052. DR. JANARDHAN WAGHMARE: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware of the deadly consequences of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) which is widely prevalent in the country; and

(b) if so, how far Government has been able to control this deadly disease?
THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) Yes. The Government is aware of health, social & economic consequences of HIV infection and Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS). Under National AIDS Control Programme (NACP) - III a number of interventions have been undertaken to control the spread of this diseases. This includes Condom Promotion, Targeted Interventions for high risk group, IEC activities including Red Ribbon Express to spread awareness about the diseases, Counseling and HIV Testing, Prevention of Parent to Child Transmission, measures for Blood Safety, treatment of Sexually Transmitted Intervention (STI) and provision of Anti-Retroviral Therapy (ART) and treatment of Opportunistic Infections (OI). With these interventions, prevalence of HIV has shown a decline from 0.45% in 2002 to 0.29% in 2008.

Reported De-recognition of medical colleges

2053. SHRI RAJIV PRATAP RUDY: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some medical colleges are facing the possibility of derecognition by the Medical Council of India (MCI);

(b) if so, the details thereof and the reasons therefor; and

(c) what corrective measures are being taken by Government as remedial measures for the interest of the students of such medical colleges?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) to (c) In view of the deficiencies of the teaching faculty, clinical material, infrastructural facilities etc., Medical Council of India has recommended to the Central Government for withdrawal of recognition in respect of following medical colleges:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the State</th>
<th>Name of Medical College</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>1. S.S. Medical College, Rewa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. MGM Medical College Indore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. G.R. Medical College, Gwalior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. NSCB Medical College, Jabalpur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>BRD Medical College, Gorakhpur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Memorial Medical College, Amravati</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>1. Kasturba Medical College, Manipal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The State Government and other concerned agencies are consulted as per the procedure prescribed in Section 19 of Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 before taking a final decision.
Grant-in-Aids for NGOs

2054. SHRI DHIRAJ PRASAD SAHU: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has any plan to reduce the grant-in-aids in the next financial year for the NGOs;
(b) if so, what are the reasons for reducing grant-in-aids to the NGOs;
(c) how much is the budget under grant-in-aids to NGOs in last three financial years;
(d) how much grants have already been sanctioned during last three financial years i.e. 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10; and
(e) the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) The NGO Division of Ministry of Health & Family Welfare has been providing funds to the NGOs, under the Mother NGO (MNGO) Scheme, through State health Societies. From 2008-09 onwards, the State Governments have started reflecting their requirement of funds, under the said scheme; in the state Project Implementation Plans (PIPs). However, 11 Regional Resource Centres (RRCs) are provided direct grant-in-aid by this Division. There is no proposal, on the part of this division, to reduce any such funding.

(c) to (e) The details of total budget, allocated under the scheme, and grant-in-aid released to States (prior to 2008-09) and RRCs are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Budget allocated</th>
<th>Amount released (Rs. in Lakh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>336.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>2029.00</td>
<td>1831.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>128.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>157.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mobile health vans for rural areas

2055. SHRI DHARAM PAL SABHARWAL: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has any plan to provide mobile health vans in remote villages throughout the country;
(b) if not, the reasons therefor;
(c) whether Government is also considering to provide healthcare centres for senior citizens in the country; and
THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) With the objective to take healthcare to the door steps of the public in remote villages, especially in underserved and tribal areas, financial assistance is provided to State/UT Governments by the Union Ministry of Health & FW under National Rural Health Mission for Mobile Medical Units.

(c) and (d) The primary health care facilities are provided in rural areas through a network of Sub Centres [SCs’s Primary Health Centres [PHCs] and Community Health Centres [CHCs]. These facilities are available to all the persons, including senior citizens.

Salary of pharmacists under CGHS

2056. SHRI RAJNIITI PRASAD: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government has decided to grant Pay Band-2 to the Pharmacists but still it is not being implemented;

(b) if so, the reasons for its non implementation; and

(c) the details of further grade pay of 1st Assured Career Progression (ACP), Ilnd ACP and IIIrd ACP after acceptance of the recommendation of fast track Committee in connection with Central Government Health Scheme (CGHS) pharmacists regarding sanction of grade pay of Rs. 4200/- in PB-2?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) The Fast Track Committee has recommended grant of entry grade to the post of Pharmacist in Pay Band-I with Grade Pay of Rs.2800/- and grant of a grade in Pay Band-II in Grade Pay of Rs.4200/- after two year service in Grade Pay of 2800/- after two year service in Grade Pay of 2800/-. The recommendations of the Fast Track Committee have been accepted by the Government for implementation vide Office Memorandum No 1/1/2008-IC dated 18.11.2009.

(c) The Pharmacists are eligible for grant of financial upgradation in form of the 1st and 2nd ACP as per the Provision of the Revised Assured Career Progression Scheme.

Complaints of irregularities in department of Unani medicine

2057. SHRI PARVEZ HASHMI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has received any complaint of irregularities and misuse of fund in the Department of Unani Medicines by higher officials;

(b) if so, the nature of complaints received;

(c) whether Government has taken action against such corrupt higher officers; and
THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) Complaints regarding irregularities in purchase of crude drugs, appointment of security contractor, improper functioning of units under CCRUM, etc. have been received in the Department.

(c) The CVO of the Department has been asked to conduct an Inquiry on the above issues.

(d) Does not arise.

Regional institute of paramedical science at Kozhikode

2058. SHRI A. VIJayaraghavan: Will the Minister of Health and Family Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether the competent authority of Kerala had submitted a proposal during August, 2007, to establish a Regional Institute of Paramedical Sciences at Kozhikode;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the present status in this regard;

(c) if so, whether steps would be taken in affirmative to this proposal;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) No.

(c) to (e) Do not arise.

Arogyashree healthcare insurance scheme

2059. SHRI NANDI YELLAIAH: Will the Minister of Health and Family Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government of Andhra Pradesh has sought the financial assistance of Rs. 300 crores from the Central Government under Thirteen Finance Commission grants to implement its Arogyashree Healthcare Insurance Scheme (AHCI) for the benefit of Below Poverty Line (BPL) families;

(b) if so, the details in this regard; and

(c) by when this proposal is likely to be given clearance and the requested assistance be sanctioned?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) to (c) Yes. Government of Andhra Pradesh has requested Government of India to provide financial support for the Scheme, as Centrally sponsored scheme on 70:30 sharing basis as a special case. Planning
Commission has constituted a Committee to make a rapid assessment of Aarogyasri Health Insurance Scheme for considering possibilities of dovetailing the scheme with RSBY and/or funding linkage with NRHM. The Committee has asked the Ministry of Labour and Employment to prepare a concept note to be considered by the Committee. Ministry of Labour has prepared the same.

Hospitals on AIIMS pattern

2060. SHRI PARVEZ HASHMI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has any plan to provide hospitals on AIIMS pattern;

(b) if so, the number of such hospitals, State-wise;

(c) the number of such hospitals for whom the construction work have been completed; and

(d) the status of the other hospitals?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) Yes. The Government has approved setting up of six AIIMS-like institutions in the States of Bihar (Patna), Chhattisgarh (Raipur), Madhya Pradesh (Bhopal), Orissa (Bhubaneswar), Rajasthan (Jodhpur) and Uttarakhand (Rishikesh) under the first phase of Pradhan Mantri Swasthya Suraksha Yojana (PMSSY). Two more institutions, one each in the State of Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal has also been approved in the second phase of PMSSY.

(c) and (d) Bids for construction of hospital and medical college complex for the six AIIMS-like institutions in the first phase are under finalization. The timeline for completion of these works is the second half of 2012. As regards the two institutions in the second phase, location is being finalized in consultation with the respective State Governments.

Formulation of national policy on health

2061. SHRI GIREESH KUMAR SANGHI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government contemplates to formulate a national policy on health with special focus on providing quality healthcare to the economically weaker sections of the country;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) whether Government also proposes to make it mandatory for specialist doctors to serve in rural areas for a specified period?
THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) Government is not contemplating to formulate a new national policy on health. The existing "National Health Policy-2002" formulated in 2002 aims to achieve an acceptable standard of good health amongst the general population of the country. In pursuance with the policy guidelines, the Government launched National Rural Health Mission in 2005 with a view to provide accessible, affordable, accountable and effective primary health care facilities, to all sections of the society including the poor and vulnerable.

(c) There is no such proposal with the Government to make it mandatory for the specialist doctors to serve in rural areas for a specified period.

Community health insurance scheme

2062. SHRI NATUJI HALAJI THAKOR: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the Central funding for implementation of 'Community Health Insurance Scheme' (CHIS) in Surat under the National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) Programme during the last three years and till date, year-wise separately;

(b) the total number of people covered under CHIS in Surat, Amreli, Rajkot and Navsari during this period; and

(c) the details of the benefits and facilities being provided under CHIS?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) There is no scheme providing Health Insurance cover under National Rural Health Mission in the State of Gujarat.

(c) Under the framework for development of Health Insurance Scheme for Below Poverty Line (BPL) families, the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare subsidizes the cost of the annual premium up to 75% subject to a maximum of Rs.300 per BPL family for this Scheme.

Also Ministry of Labour and Employment launched the Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana (RSBY) to cover the unorganized sector BPL workers. Since States started accessing RSBY, demand for support to Health Insurance for BPL from NRHM reduced.

Steps to bring down HIV cases

2063. SHRI PENUMALLI MADHU: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the number of HIV positive children in the country has gone up from 14,200 in 2007 to 50,000 in March, 2009;

(b) whether it is also a fact that high prevalent States have also reported higher number of HIV cases; and

(c) if so, what additional efforts, apart from providing anti-retroviral drugs to patients, National AIDS Control Organisation (NACO) is making to bring down the HIV/AIDS patients in the country?
THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) Yes. 50,789 children living with HIV/AIDS were registered with ART centres as on 31st March 2009.

(b) and (c) Under National AIDS Control Programme (NACP)-III a number of interventions have been undertaken to control the spread of this disease. This includes Condom Promotion, Targeted Interventions for high risk group, IEC activities including Red Ribbon Express to spread awareness about the diseases, Counselling and HIV Testing, Prevention of Parent to Child Transmission, measures for Blood Safety, treatment of Sexually transmitted Intervention (STI) and provision of Anti-Retroviral Therapy (ART) and treatment of Opportunistic Infections (OI). With these interventions, prevalence of HIV has shown a decline from 0.45% in 2002 to 0.29% in 2008.

Spending on health care sector in the country

2064. SHRI T.K. RANGARAJAN: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that India is among the countries with lowest health parameters in the world;

(b) if so, the position of India in this regard;

(c) whether Government spending on health sector is inadequate to improve the situation;

(d) if so, the percentage of GDP investment in the health sector since 1990; and

(e) whether Government would consider increasing the share of investment in this sector?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) According to Economic Survey 2009-10 brought out by Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Economic Affairs, India fares poorly in most of the indicators in comparison with a number of developing countries like China and Sri Lanka. The table below gives data on select health parameters of India in comparison with various regions of the world:

### Infant Mortality and Total Fertility Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Region</th>
<th>Infant Mortality Rate (Total per 1000 live births)</th>
<th>Total Fertility Rate (2009)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Developed regions</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less developed regions</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least developed countries</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) and (d) Data on investment in health sector is not available in the published official statistics. However, share of public expenditure on health as percentage of GDP has generally shown an increasing trend since 1990, as given in the Statement (See below).

(e) The Eleventh Five Year Plan (2007-2012) aims at raising public health spending to at least 2 per cent of GDP. In this direction, the allocation for the National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) and a number of other programmes has also been enhanced substantially in the first four years of the Plan period.

Statement

Public expenditure on health as percentage share of GDP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Health expenditure as percentage of GDP (Central &amp; State Govt.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>1990-91</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>1991-92</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>1992-93</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>1993-94</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>1994-95</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>1995-96</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>1996-97</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>1997-98</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>1998-99</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>1999-00</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>2000-01</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>2001-02</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>2002-03</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>2003-04</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>2005-06</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>2008-09 (RE)</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>2009-10 (BE)</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Compiled by Reserve Bank of India from Budget Document of Union and State Governments
Ban on diabetes drug

†2065. SHRI KALRAJ MISHRA: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether several side effects like swelling in legs, breathlessness, weight gain, heart disease have been noticed in the patients of diabetes taking medicines having combination of Rosiglitazone, as a result this medicine has been banned in the United States of America but it is still being used in India;

(b) if so, whether Government is going to ban the use of Rosiglitazone; and

(c) if so, by when?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) to (c)

On the basis of reports in 2007 that rosiglitazone, a drug to treat diabetes, increases risk of heart attack, the matter was examined by National Pharmacovigilance Advisory Committee (NPAC) in January, 2008 which recommended incorporation of "Box warning" in the package inserted and other promotional literature of formulations containing rosiglitazone. Accordingly all State Drug Controllers were requested to direct manufacturers of rosiglitazone formulation to incorporate the box warning about cardiac risk. In January, 2010 it was reported that one US FDA report concluded that rosiglitazone should be removed from the market. US FDA in their website has posted information on safety review of rosiglitazone on 23rd February, 2010, which mentions that FDA’s review is ongoing. No new conclusions or recommendations about the use of rosiglitazone in the treatment of type 2 diabetes have been made.

Healthcare workers in the country

2066. SHRI VIJAYKUMAR RUPANI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware that there are sufficient number of doctors to cater population of the country; and

(b) if so, the number of Doctors, Dentists, Physiotherapist, Orthopedists, Child specialists, Gynaecologists etc., State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) There is a shortage of doctors in the country.

(b) As per available information, there are around 5.5 lakh doctors and 79,815 dentists in the country. However, the details of other categories of specialists and State-wise data are not centrally maintained.

Bid to rein in wellness centres

2067. SHRI MOHD. ALI KHAN: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(a) whether Government is bidding to rein in wellness centres;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(d) the views of the experts in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) to (d)

Dispensaries in CGHS function under the control of Chief Medical Officer-in-charge and has
Additional Director of the concerned zone, Additional Director (headquarters) and Director, CGHS
monitoring the functioning of the dispensary. Additionally, Public Grievance mechanism like
complaint box; complaint register; holding of meetings of Local Advisory Committee attached to the
dispensary, on monthly basis; and CGHS helpline (011-66667777) are already in place to attend to
Grievance of CGHS beneficiaries.

Problems faced by CGHS beneficiaries

2068. SHRI MOHAMMED ADEEB: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be
pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 106 given in the Rajya Sabha on 23rd February,
2010 and state:

(a) the names of Central Government Health Scheme (CGHS) dispensaries in Delhi along
with the number of CGHS token cards/beneficiaries registered with each dispensary;

(b) the details of CGHS token cards/beneficiaries registered with Srinivasputri Dispensary,
colony-wise;

(c) the parameters/yardsticks for opening a new dispensary;

(d) the details of requests and representations received from the beneficiaries of the said
dispensary for opening new dispensaries; and

(e) the steps being taken to mitigate problems of CGHS beneficiaries attached with the
Srinivasputri dispensary?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and
(b) Dispensary-wise list of cards/beneficiaries is given in the Statement (See below). Srinivasputri
dispensary has cards registered from areas known as Srinivasputri and Block No. IV, L.M.V.O.P. &
Q of Double Storey Quarters of Lajpat Nagar and Colonies known as Nehru Nagar, Daya Nand
Colony, Hindustan Housing Factory, Jeewan Nagar, Maharani Bagh, Kalandi Colony, Friends
Colony, Hari Nagar Ashram, Kilokri Village, D.E.S.U. Colony, Ramesh Colony, Ishwar Nagar,
Sunlight Colony, Sunlight Estate, East of Kailash, Railway Station on Okhla, Village Tamur Nagar,
Bharat Nagar, Okhla Tank, Harkeish Nagar, Okhla Village Adbul Fazal Enclave, Zakir Nagar, Batha
House, Noor Zakir Nagar Extension, Okhla, Nandpur Khadar, C.R.R.I. Staff Quarters, Badarpur,

(c) For opening of a new dispensary in a CGHS city, requirement is 2000 Central Government employees and pensioners residing within a radius of 3-5 km.

(d) and (e) The CGHS has been receiving request for expanding its network in Delhi.

The areas covered by Srinivasapur dispansary are mostly colonies which are private colonies and CGHS beneficiaries are so scattered that it is not possible to set up dispensaries within easy reach of all beneficiaries within the existing infrastructure and resources presently available to CGHS.

**Statement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dispensary Name</th>
<th>Total count (Card Holders)</th>
<th>Total Count (Beneficiary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrews Ganj</td>
<td>2533</td>
<td>9335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashok Vihar</td>
<td>3042</td>
<td>8719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBI Colony</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandni Chowk</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>2410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chanakya Puri</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>3630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitra Gupta Road</td>
<td>2496</td>
<td>9939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitution House</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>3078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakshinpuri (Pushp Vihar)</td>
<td>6247</td>
<td>25460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daryaganj</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>2752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delhi Cantonment</td>
<td>3018</td>
<td>11018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dev Nagar</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>6746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilshad Garden</td>
<td>3298</td>
<td>11828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwarka</td>
<td>5913</td>
<td>19997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faridabad</td>
<td>4706</td>
<td>15903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghaziabad</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>6463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.,K.G.</td>
<td>3509</td>
<td>11337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gole Market</td>
<td>3488</td>
<td>13041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurgaon</td>
<td>7174</td>
<td>24229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hauz Khas</td>
<td>3018</td>
<td>9481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inderpuri</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>6614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janakpuri-I</td>
<td>7745</td>
<td>24142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janakpuri-II</td>
<td>2861</td>
<td>8381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jung Pura</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>2965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kali Bari</td>
<td>2449</td>
<td>9396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalka Ji-I</td>
<td>2533</td>
<td>7061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalka Ji-II</td>
<td>1722</td>
<td>5213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasturba Nagar-I</td>
<td>2192</td>
<td>8808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasturba Nagar-II</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>4511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidwai Nagar</td>
<td>3220</td>
<td>12733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsway Camp</td>
<td>10134</td>
<td>36577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lajpat Nagar</td>
<td>1637</td>
<td>4258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laxmi Nagar</td>
<td>2272</td>
<td>7943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laxmi Nagar</td>
<td>9070</td>
<td>30433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodhi Road-I (Ali Ganj)</td>
<td>2051</td>
<td>7027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodhi Road-II</td>
<td>2538</td>
<td>9652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malviya Nagar</td>
<td>2062</td>
<td>6046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maya Puri (Hari Nagar)</td>
<td>3689</td>
<td>11235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayur Vihar, Tilok Kunj</td>
<td>7437</td>
<td>25363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehruli Badarpur</td>
<td>5914</td>
<td>22445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minto Road</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>7684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moti Bagh</td>
<td>1744</td>
<td>5978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munirka</td>
<td>2875</td>
<td>9271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanak Pura</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>8091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nangal Raya</td>
<td>4634</td>
<td>17629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naraina</td>
<td>1672</td>
<td>4815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauroji Nagar</td>
<td>2165</td>
<td>7472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netaji Nagar</td>
<td>2592</td>
<td>10433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Rajinder Nagar</td>
<td>1427</td>
<td>4228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noida</td>
<td>6060</td>
<td>18967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Avenue</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>6912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paharganj</td>
<td>1363</td>
<td>5428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palam Colony</td>
<td>8870</td>
<td>36009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pandara Road</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>6562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paschim Vihar</td>
<td>4197</td>
<td>14268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel Nagar-I (East)</td>
<td>1259</td>
<td>4818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel Nagar-II (West)</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>6936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitampura</td>
<td>7686</td>
<td>28047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pragati Vihar</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presidents Estate</td>
<td>1664</td>
<td>6158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pusa Road</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>3788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajouri Garden</td>
<td>4042</td>
<td>11956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajpur Road</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>5037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. K. Puram-I</td>
<td>3553</td>
<td>13502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. K. Puram-II</td>
<td>2093</td>
<td>8494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. K. Puram-III</td>
<td>2602</td>
<td>10037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. K. Puram-IV</td>
<td>3683</td>
<td>13869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. K. Puram-V</td>
<td>3266</td>
<td>11974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. K. Puram-VI</td>
<td>2499</td>
<td>7751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rohini</td>
<td>5705</td>
<td>19891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadiq Nagar</td>
<td>2866</td>
<td>10207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarojini Nagar-I</td>
<td>1549</td>
<td>5683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarojini Nagar-II</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarojini Nagar Market</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>5540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 2 3

Shahdara 5337 21810
Shakur Basti 3706 11939
Shrinivaspuri 5085 20471
South Avenue 1179 3851
Subzi Mandi 2207 7681
Sunder Vihar 3811 15434
Telegraph Lane 493 1765
Tilak Nagar 3986 12941
Timar Pur 4486 18095
Tri Nagar 836 2989
Vikas Puri 3968 13239
Vivek Vihar 3772 11413
Wellesley Road (Dr. Z.H. Road) 1371 4819
Yamuna Vihar 8466 38873

Electronic identity cards of CGHS

2069. SHRI S. ANBALAGAN: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the electronic identity cards issued to Central Government Health Scheme (CGHS) beneficiaries is eligible to be used in any dispensary in the country;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(d) whether any steps are proposed to be taken to make electronic cards eligible to be used anywhere in the country instead of particular dispensary as of now?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) No.

(c) and (d) The use of bar coded plastic cards in any dispensary in the country is contingent upon all dispensaries being Computerized and networked.

Profit/loss of CPSU

2070. SHRI P. RAJEEVE: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:
(a) whether Government has any data regarding the profit/loss earned by various public sector establishments during the last three years in the country;

(b) if so, the details in this regard; and

(c) how much money has been contributed by the Central Public Sector Units (CPSUs) to Government exchequer in the last three years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (SHRI ARUN YADAV): (a) and (b) The details of Profit/Loss of Central Public Sector Enterprises (CPSEs) for the last three years is available in Statement - 3 (page No. S-3 to S-9) of Volume-I of Public Enterprises Survey 2008-09, which was laid in the Parliament on 25.2.2010.

(c) Contribution of CPSEs to the Central Exchequer by way of dividend payment, interest on Government loans and payment of taxes and duties to the Government for the last three years is mentioned below:

(Rs. in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Contribution of CPSEs to Central Exchequer (Govt. of India)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>151728.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>165993.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>148783.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Production of insulators by BHEL

2071. SHRI NAND KUMAR SAI: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd. (BHEL) has received an order for the supply of anti fog porcelain disc insulators from the Power Grid Corporation of India;

(b) if so, the details in this regard;

(c) the time by which BHEL would complete the order; and

(d) the extent to which the service of the transmission line will become more efficient by said insulators?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (SHRI ARUN YADAV): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir.

Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited (BHEL) has received an order valued at approximately Rs. 200 crore in January, 2010 from Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL) for the supply of over 4.53 lakh 420 kN Anti-fog Porcelain Disc Insulators for use in the +/– 800 Kv HVDC Transmission Line of PGCIL from Biswanath Chariali in Assam to Agra in Uttar Pradesh, to be completed by August 2012.
(d) The anti-fog disc insulators are generally used in stretches of transmission lines which are prone to pollution accumulation. These insulators have special profile and additional creepage compared to standard insulators. The usage of anti-fog insulator results in lesser interruptions/outages of transmission lines under polluted and foggy conditions as compared to standard insulators, thereby increasing the availability of the Transmission line.

Closure of Public Sector Units

2072. SHRI MANGALA KISAN: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Public Sector Enterprises Units closed down, till date;

(b) what are the plans of Government to revise these closed down units;

(c) what are the amount of required funds to run the closed down units in the country; and

(d) whether Government would plan to run the units under Public Private Partnership (PPP) model?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (SHRI ARUN YADAV): (a) As per available information, 5 Central Public Sector Enterprises (CPSEs) have been closed between the period 2005-06 to 2008-09.

(b) and (c) The Board for Reconstruction of Public Sector Enterprises (BRPSE) was constituted in December, 2004 to advise the Government for strengthening, modernizing, reviving, and restructuring of CPSEs. On the recommendations of BRPSE, the Government have approved, so far, 37 cases for revival and restructuring of CPSEs involving a cash assistance of Rs.2,921 crore and a non-cash assistance of Rs.12,332 crore.

(d) The concerned administrative ministries/departments of the CPSEs prepare proposals for revival/rehabilitation/closure or disinvestment on case to case basis and refer the cases to BRPSE for its recommendations, which are, thereafter, put up for approval of the Government. While recommending the revival proposals of CPSEs, all options are considered by BRPSE.

Sale of ethanol mixed petrol

†2073. SHRI RAJ MOHINDER SINGH MAJITHA: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some years back Government had taken a decision to mix ethanol in petrol to be sold in the country;

(b) if so, when this decision was taken;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(c) whether any estimation regarding reduction in production cost of petrol in the country was also made;

(d) if so, what is Government’s reaction thereto;

(e) whether the sale of ethanol mixed petrol has started in the country on the basis of this decision; and

(f) if so, the annual average quantity of ethanol mixed petrol being sold in the country?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) and (b) Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas vide its notification dated 20th September, 2006 has directed the Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs) to sell 5% Ethanol Blended Petrol (EBP) subject to commercial viability as per Bureau of Indian Standards specifications in entire country except North-Eastern States, Jammu & Kashmir, Andaman & Nicobar Islands and Lakshadweep with effect from 1st November, 2006.

(c) and (d) Ethanol blended petrol is being sold at the same price as that of prevailing petrol price. There has been no reduction in selling price of petrol subsequent to blending of ethanol with petrol.

(e) 5% EBP programme has been implemented in 16 States and 3 UTs out of 20 States and 4 UTs identified for implementing the programme.

(f) Annual Average Ethanol Blended Petrol sold in the country has been 375.11 Crore litre for the period from Nov. 2006 to Feb. 2010.

ONGC’S subsidies for oil companies

†2074. SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD:

SHRI SHIVANAND TIWARI:

Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Oil and Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC) and Indian Oil Companies give subsidy to other companies in form of discount;

(b) if so, the amount paid by the said companies in form of subsidy during the years 2007-08, 2008-09 and till the end of December 2009 in the year 2009-10;

(c) whether these companies have earned net profit even after giving amount in the form of subsidy; and

(d) if so, the quantum of increase in production of these companies separately?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) and (b) Under the burden sharing mechanism, the upstream oil PSUs, namely, Oil and Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC), Oil India Limited (OIL) and GAIL (India) Limited

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(GAIL) compensate the under-recoveries of the OMCs by way of price discounts on crude oil/products sold to the OMCs. The details of the discounts given by the upstream oil PSUs to the OMCs during the years 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 (April-December 2009) are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>2009-10 (April-December 2009)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ONGC</td>
<td>22000</td>
<td>27374</td>
<td>6555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIL</td>
<td>2307</td>
<td>2932</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAIL</td>
<td>1401</td>
<td>1694</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25708</td>
<td>32000</td>
<td>8364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) The Profit after Tax of the PSU upstream oil companies during the years 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 (April-December 2009) are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>2009-10 (April-Dec’09)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ONGC</td>
<td>16702</td>
<td>16126</td>
<td>12991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIL</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>2162</td>
<td>2180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAIL</td>
<td>2601</td>
<td>2804</td>
<td>2229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21092</td>
<td>21092</td>
<td>17400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) The details of ONGC’s actual crude oil (including condensate) during the years 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 (April-December 2009) are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Crude Oil (MMT)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>25.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>25.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10 (April-December 2009)</td>
<td>18.639</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MMT-Million Metric Tonne

Note:
1. Figures for 2009-10 are provisional
2. Oil figures are exclusive of production from fields being operated through Joint Ventures.

The details of crude oil production of OIL for the years 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 (April-December 2009) are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Crude Oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>3.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>3.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10 (April-December 2009)</td>
<td>2.680</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The details of Physical Performance (Transmission/Production) of GAIL for the years 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 (April-December 2009) are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>2009-10 (Apr-Dec 09)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas Transmission</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MMSCMD)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG Transmission (TMT)**</td>
<td>2754</td>
<td>2744</td>
<td>2289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrochemicals (TMT)</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Hydrocarbon (TMT)</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>1401</td>
<td>1082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MMSMCMD=Million Standard Cubic Meter Per Day  
**TMT=Thousand Metric Tonne

Mandatory audit of PSCs

2075. SHRIMATI SHOBHANA BHARTIA:  
SHRI N.K. SINGH:  
Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether under the New Exploration Licensing Policy (NELP), Government has made audit by Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) in the Production Sharing Contracts (PSCs) mandatory;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether all the blocks under NELP have since been audited; and

(d) if so, the details of the audit reports?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (d) As per provisions of the Production Sharing Contract (PSC) under New Exploration Licensing Policy (NELP), "the Government shall have the right to audit the accounting records of the Contractor in respect of Petroleum Operations as provided in the Accounting Procedure". Accordingly, audit of PSC for NELP block KG-DWN-98/3 and pre-NELP blocks Panna Mukta fields, Tapti fields and RJ-ON-90/1 by Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG) have commenced for the year 2006-07 and 2007-08.

Audit of the blocks of accounts up to the year 2006-07 have been done by Government appointed Auditors as per PSC provisions in respect of 52 NELP blocks. Further, CAG has selected 14 NELP PSCs and 8 pre-NELP PSCs for Performance Audit.

Tender of oil blocks under NELP-VIII and NCBM-IV

2076. SHRI PRAKASH JAVADEKAR: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the tender for oil blocks under NELP-VIII and NCBM-IV did not get enough response;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the steps Government proposes to take to increase the response for such tenders?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (c) Out of 70 blocks offered under the eighth bid round of New Exploration Licensing Policy (NELP-VIII), bids were received for 36 blocks. Similarly, out of 10 blocks offered under the fourth bid round of Coal Bed Methane Policy (CBM-IV), bids were received for 8 blocks. The response can not be considered as poor in view of global economic melt-down and also in comparison to the responses received by several other countries during recent bidding rounds. Under NELP and CBM policies, exploration blocks are offered through international competitive bidding process. The companies bid for exploration blocks based on their commercial prudence.

Privately negotiated settlement in KG-D6 basin gas

2077. SHRI M.V. MYSURA REDDY: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware that by a privately negotiated settlement, an MoU was signed in May 2005, between Reliance Industries Ltd., (RIL) and Reliance Natural Resources Ltd., (RNRL), to distribute Natural Gas between themselves from the exploration block of D6 KG Basin;

(b) if so, when the matter came to light of Government; and

(c) the action taken by Government to nullify the privately negotiated settlement between RIL and RNRL to distribute natural gas amongst themselves?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. An MoU dated 18.6.2005 exists between CMD, RIL and CMD, RNRL regarding certain family arrangements. The full text of the MoU is not in the possession of this Ministry. However, the judgment dated 15.6.2009 of the Hon’ble Division bench of Bombay High Court has referred to the MoU. The implications of this order has the potential of apportionment of gas between RIL & RNRL in the ratio of 60:40 after meeting the requirement of NTPC and RNRL. MOU also states that this will be the case not only for the gas from KG-D6 but from all other fields being operated and to be operated by RIL. Such an arrangement, if not challenged, may encourage the other operators to indulge in similar kind of understanding and thereby bringing to naught the Government’s policies duly framed under PSC. A Special Leave Petition was filed by Government in the Supreme Court against the RNRL & RIL to protect interest of the Government and public at large. The hearings in this case have concluded and decision is reserved by the Court.
Pricing of oil

2078. SHRI JAI PRAKASH NARAYAN SINGH: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that when the international price of oil touched a peak of USD 145 a barrel, the private companies engaged in retailing oil products closed their pumps in India;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the implementation of Kirit Parikh Report on decontrol of oil prices would lead to opening up of closed private petrol pumps of these private companies which would lead to drastic erosion of the market share including huge financial losses to Public Sector Oil Companies; and

(d) if so, the action being proposed by Government to have a level playing field and protect the interest of the Public Sector Oil companies?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (d) As per the Resolution of 8th March 2002, Government have granted authorization to market transportation fuels to four private companies namely, M/s. Reliance Industries Limited (RIL), M/s. Essar Oil Limited (EOL), M/s. Shell India Marketing Pvt. Limited (SIMPL) and M/s. Reliance Petroleum Limited (RPL).

When the international price of crude oil was high, the sales of Motor Spirit and High Speed Diesel at the retail outlets (ROs) of private companies was negligible due to selling price differential between private and public sector ROs, leading to the closure of some of their ROs in the country. The private sector companies are free to take pricing decisions on commercial considerations.

The Expert Group constituted under the Chairmanship of Dr. Kirit Parikh to advise on a Viable and Sustainable System of pricing of petroleum products has recommended, inter-alia, that the prices of Petrol and Diesel should be market-determined, both at the refinery gate and at the retail level. The Government has not taken a decision on implementation of the Expert Group’s recommendations.

Oil exploration works in Rajasthan

2079. SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) the details of exploration work undertaken by the Oil and Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC), other Public Sector Undertakings and the private companies in Rajasthan, till date;
(b) the details of surveys completed by these mentioned companies in this regard;

(c) the details of crude oil extracted from the aforestated region during the last three years till 31st December, 2009;

(d) whether any assessment made in regard to setting up of refinery in Rajasthan; and

(e) if so, the outcome thereof and by when?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. Oil and Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC) is currently holding one nomination and three New Exploration Licensing Policy (NELP) blocks covering area of Jaisalmer, Kota, Bhilwara, Chittorgarh, Jhalawar and Baran districts of Rajasthan and its exploration activities for oil and gas reserves are restricted to these blocks only and being pursued actively.

As far as Pvt/JVs are concerned under the Production Sharing Contract (PSC) regime, so far 18 exploration blocks have been awarded under Pre-NELP and NELP rounds in the State of Rajasthan.

Out of these, oil and gas discoveries have been made in two blocks. While 17 discoveries have been made (13 oil and 4 gas) in the Block RJ-ON-90/1 block (Cairn-ONGC), 2 gas discoveries have been made in the Block RJ-ON/6 (FOCUS-ISIL-NOCL-ONGQ). The details of the blocks and exploratory activities carried out so far under the PSC regime are given in the Statement (See below).

Under the PSC regime, commercial production of crude oil has commenced w.e.f. 29.08.2009 from the Mangala field in the block RJ-ON-90/1 (Cairn-ONGC) in Barmer district in Rajasthan. Till 31.12.2009, about 1.62 Million Barrels of oil have been produced from Mangala Field.

(d) and (e) Consequent on de-licensing of refinery sector since June, 1998, a refinery can be set up anywhere in India by a Private or Public Sector Enterprise depending on its commercial viability. Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Limited (ONGC) is in consultation with the Government of Rajasthan (GoR) on the feasibility of setting up a refinery at Barmer. For this purpose GoR has set up a high level Committee on the 19th August, 2009 for the preparation of a report on the status of oil and gas sector in Rajasthan in the context of future prospects and benefits for the State.

*FOCUS: Focus Energy limited.
ISIL: I Service Investment Limited
NOCL: Newbury Oil Company Limited
### Statement

Status of exploration activities undertaken in the blocks awarded in Rajasthan under PSC regime as on 01.01.2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Field /Block</th>
<th>Operator/Consortium</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Awarded Area (SKM)</th>
<th>2D LKM</th>
<th>3D SKM</th>
<th>Wells Made</th>
<th>Discoveries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RJ-ON/6</td>
<td>FEL (7%, ISIL(45.5%), NOCL (17.5%) &amp; ONGC (30%))</td>
<td>Jaisalmer</td>
<td>5378</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2 Gas Discovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RJ-ON-90/5*</td>
<td>ESSAR (75%) &amp; POGC (25%)</td>
<td>Ganganagar, Hanumangarh</td>
<td>3967</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RJ-ON-90/1</td>
<td>CEIL (50) &amp; CEHL (50)</td>
<td>Barmer, Jalore</td>
<td>11107</td>
<td>7365</td>
<td>2395</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>13 Oil and 4 Gas Discoveries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2000/1</td>
<td>OIL 100%</td>
<td>Jaisalmer</td>
<td>2535</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2001/1</td>
<td>ONGC 30% &amp; OIL 70%</td>
<td>Bikaner, Ganganagar, Jaisalmer</td>
<td>3425</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2002/1</td>
<td>OIL-60%, ONGC-40%</td>
<td>Bikaner, Ganga Nagar, Churu</td>
<td>9900</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>VN-ONN-2003/1</td>
<td>CEIL 49%, &amp; ONGC 51%</td>
<td>Kota and Jhalawar</td>
<td>3585</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2003/1</td>
<td>CEIL 49%, &amp; ONGC 51%</td>
<td>Jaisalmer, Barmer</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2003/2</td>
<td>FEL 10% &amp; BIL 40% &amp; XOL 50%</td>
<td>Jaisalmer, Barmer and Jodhpur</td>
<td>13195</td>
<td>1654</td>
<td>1692</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2004/1</td>
<td>OIL (75%) GEORGIOGLOBAL (25%)</td>
<td>Ganganagar, Hanuman Garh</td>
<td>4613</td>
<td>1835</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2004/2</td>
<td>OIL(60%) - GEORGIOGLOBAL (25%)</td>
<td>Bikaner, Jaisalmer</td>
<td>2196</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2004/3</td>
<td>GSPC (20%) - GAIL(20%) - HPCL(20%) - HALLWORTHY (PANAMA) (10%) - NITINFIRE (NEW DELHI) (10%) - SILVERWAVE (MAYANMAR) (10%) - BPCL (10%)</td>
<td>Jaisalmer, Bikaner</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>VN-ONN-2004/1</td>
<td>ONGC (100%)</td>
<td>Bundi, Kota, Chittaurgarh, Bhilwara</td>
<td>5801</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>VN-ONN-2004/2</td>
<td>ONGC (100%)</td>
<td>Jhalawara, Kota &amp; Baran</td>
<td>4466</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2005/1</td>
<td>HOEC 25%, BPRL 25%, JSPL 25%, ONGC &amp; IMC 25%</td>
<td>Jaisalmer</td>
<td>1424</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2005/2</td>
<td>OIL 60%, HOEC 20%, HPCL &amp; Mittal Energy Ltd. 20%</td>
<td>Jaisalmer</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>RJ-ONN-2005/3</td>
<td>GSPC 60%, ONGC 40%</td>
<td>Jaisalmer</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**  
76991 16608 8976 163 19

**Note:**
1) Operator is indicated in bold
2) * stands for relinquished
Prices of petroleum products

2080. SHRI TAPAN KUMAR SEN: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) the consumer price of Administered Price Mechanism (APM) gas from existing fields of Oil and Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC) and Oil India Ltd. (OIL) in dollar/mmbtu;

(b) the price of natural gas for customers in North East in dollar/mmbtu;

(c) the price of natural gas under pre-NELP production sharing contract in dollar/mmbtu; and

(d) the average price of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) imported during 2007-08 and 2008-09?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) and (b) The consumer price of APM gas from existing fields of ONGC and OIL in dollar/mmbtu is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Type of Gas Segment</th>
<th>Consumer</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>APM Gas at APM price</td>
<td>Power and City Gas &amp; consumers having allocations up to 0.05 mmscmd</td>
<td>Outside North East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>at APM price</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fertilizers</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>APM Gas at non-* APM price</td>
<td>Consumers belonging to sectors other than Sl. No.1</td>
<td>4.75 (Gujarat, Maharashtra &amp; Jagdispur (HVJ) pipeline) &amp; 3.5 (KG and Cauvery Basin)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assumed Exchange Rate of US $ = Rs. 45 and Calorific Value of 10,000 Kcal/scm. Prices are exclusive of royalty, taxes and duties.

mmscmd = million metric standard cubic metre per day

(c) The information is given in the Statement (See below).

Average Price of LNG Imported from Ras Gas, Qatar is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>$3.8984/mmbtu*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>$4.6712/mmbtu*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*Prices include customs duty and exclude regasification charge and any other taxes & duties)

mmbtu = million metric British thermal unit
Statement
Pre NELP- Gas Price

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Customers</th>
<th>Gas Price (US $/MMBTU)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>All Except RRVUNL &amp; Torrent</td>
<td>5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>RRVUNL</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>Torrent</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravva</td>
<td>GAIL</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravva Satellite</td>
<td>GAIL</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB/OS-2(Cairns)</td>
<td>GPEC</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB/OS-2(Cairns)</td>
<td>GSFC</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB/OS-2(Cairns)</td>
<td>GTCL</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazira (Niko)*</td>
<td>GAICL/GSEG/GSFC Gas*</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olpad (NSA) (Niko)*</td>
<td>GGCL*</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dholka</td>
<td>Small Consumer</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Balol (HOEC)</td>
<td>GSPC</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palej (HOEC)</td>
<td>Small Consumer</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amguri Fields (Canero)</td>
<td>AGCL</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amguri Fields (Canaro)</td>
<td>GAIL</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
1. 1 mscm = 39.282 mmbtu
2. 1 USD=Rs.45.
3. Panna Mukta Gas price is $ 5.73 & Mid Tapti Gas price is $ 5.57/ mmbtu
4. Gas price of Hazira & OLPAD (Niko) is excluding royalty.

Difference in sale price of natural gas

2081. SHRI N.K. SINGH:
SHRIMATI SHOBHANA BHARTIA:
Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:
(a) whether Government is aware that there is wide difference in the sale prices of natural
gas being sold by Government and non-Government sectors;
(b) if so, the details thereof; and
(c) the reasons behind such wide difference in sale prices of natural gas by Government
and non-Government sectors and the steps taken by Government to remove such difference?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI
JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (c) At present, there are broadly two pricing regimes for gas in the country,
\textit{i.e.,} gas priced under Administered Price Mechanism (APM) and non-APM or free market gas. The
price of APM gas is set by the Government. As regards non-APM/free market gas, this could also be broadly divided into two categories, namely, (i) imported Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) and (ii) gas produced from New Exploration Licensing Policy (NELP) & pre-NELP fields. While the price of LNG imported under term contracts is governed by the Sale Purchase Agreement (SPA) between the LNG seller and the buyer, the spot cargoes are purchased on mutually agreeable commercial terms. As regards NELP & pre-NELP gas, its pricing is governed in terms of the provisions of Production Sharing Contract (PSC) signed between the Government and the Contractors.

### Fire accidents at oil sites

2082. SHRI BHAGAT SINGH KOSHYARI: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) the number of incidents of fire hazards occurred in exploratory and production and refinery facilities including storages in petroleum sector in the last three years;

(b) the fire safety norms and procedures mandated by law in these facilities;

(c) how many of such facilities have not met the required fire safety norms;

(d) whether there is any difference between the safety requirements for facilities in India and those prescribed by the United States Government; and

(e) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) Major fire incidents occurred during the last three years in petroleum sector are as under:

1. Exploration & production - Nil
2. Refinery facilities - Nil
3. Marketing storage installations - One in the year 2009-10. Details are given in the Statement (see below).

(b) Fire safety norms and procedures, as mandated by law, in these facilities are as given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exploratory &amp; Production:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Oil Mines Regulations, 1984 for on land facilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. In offshore installations, the companies are following fire safety norms and procedures, as per International Standards like ISO 13702 for production installations, and Mobile Offshore Drilling Units (MODU), Code of International Maritime Organization (IMO) for offshore drilling rigs, in line with requirements as per Petroleum and Natural Gas (Safety in Offshore Operations) Rules, 2008.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Refineries and Marketing Installations:


In addition, Oil Industry Safety Directorate (OISD) has developed standards pertaining to Fire protection facilities required for on land exploration and production sector, Refineries & Marketing sector including storage, namely OISD-STD-116, OISD-STD-117 and OISD-STD-189. OISD STD 116 & 117 are also part of Petroleum Rules and the requirements as per these standards are being followed by the oil companies.

(c) In line with Rules and OISD Standards; the Fire Protection facilities have been created at all the installations.

(d) OISD Standards are based on the best industry practices and norms, in line with American Petroleum Institute (API) Standards and National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), USA Codes.

(e) Not applicable in view of (d) above.

Statement

Storage Facility

A major fire took place in the IOCL-Sanganer (Jaipur) Depot on 29.10.2009. The fire took place during lining up MS tank for pipe line transfer to nearby BPCL installation due to leakage from hammer blind valve. 11 persons lost their life (6 from IOCL and 5 outsiders). The installation was totally destroyed and entire product inventory was consumed in the fire.

The major root cause of the incident was non-observance of normal safety procedures involving sequence of valve operation in the tank line up.

An independent Committee appointed by Ministry of P&NG has enquired and submitted its report on 29th January 2010; which is available at the OISD website http://oisd.gov.in/

Oil spillage

2083. SHRI TARIQ ANWAR: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that large quantity of oil spills from ships in the ports and seas;

(b) if so, the details of estimated losses due to oil spillage during the last three years; and

(c) what are the steps Government is taking in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) The Indian Coast Guard (ICG) under the Ministry of Defence is the Nodal Agency for monitoring oil spillage from ships in the coastal areas. As per ICG, two ships viz. ‘MV
Black Rose" carrying 930 tonnes of Fuel Oil and "MV Asian Forest" carrying 330 tonnes of Fuel Oil and 35 tonnes of Diesel sank near Paradeep Port and New Mangalore Port respectively during the period October-December 2009. However, no oil spill took place, as the Fuel Oil was held intact onboard the sunken ships and the entire amount of oil was removed from the ships through private salvors, thereby averting a large oil spill. The minor oil spills that have taken place in the Indian Maritime Zone during the last three years are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name of the ships</th>
<th>Oil spill</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.10.07</td>
<td>MV Star Likanger</td>
<td>13.9 MT</td>
<td>Off Jakhau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-13.8.09</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Approx. 200 tons</td>
<td>South Gujarat and Maharashtra Coast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.01.10</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>05 tons</td>
<td>Off South Chennai</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) The Public Sector Oil Companies have not reported any losses due to oil spillage during the last three years.

(c) India is a member of two international conventions dealing with oil pollution caused by ships, namely "International Oil Pollution Compensation Fund (IOPCF)" and "Marine Pollution (MARPOL) Regulations" under International Maritime Organization (IMO). IOPC Fund is a part of international regime of liability and compensation for oil pollution damages caused by oil spill tankers. Under the regime, the owner of a tanker is liable to pay compensation upto a certain limit for oil pollution damage. If that amount does not cover all the admissible claims, further compensation is available from the fund to the member countries. Additional compensation may also be available from the supplementary fund, if the country is a member of that fund as well. Public Sector Oil Marketing Companies which are importing/exporting oil, are regularly contributing to IOPC Fund as and when a demand is received.

The IMO has made amendments in MARPOL Regulations in July 1993, making it mandatory for tankers to have double hulls and removal/conversion of tankers having the age of 25 years or more. The Public Sector Oil Marketing Companies hire ships in accordance with the IMO Regulations as adopted by Directorate General of Shipping under Ministry of Shipping.

Construction of gas pipelines by GAIL and RGITL

2084. SHRI TAPAN KUMAR SEN: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the construction of Jagadishpur-Haldia Gas Pipeline by Gas Authority of India Ltd. (GAIL) and Kakinada-Haldia gas pipeline by Reliance Gas Transportation Infrastructure Ltd. (RGITL) have started;
THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (c) Jagadishpur-Haldia Gas Pipeline Project has been approved by GAIL’s Board on 24.07.2009. As per the project schedule the construction work will start in 2011 and is scheduled to be completed in phases by 2013. Kakinada- Basudevpur-Howrah Gas pipeline is scheduled to be completed by RGTIL in 2012.

(d) Yes, Sir.

(e) to (g) The sources of gas for these pipelines are expected to be KG D6 and ONGC’s Mahanadi Basin on the Eastern coast of India.

IPI pipeline

2085. SHRI N.R. GOVINDARAJIT: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) the present status of the Iran-Pakistan-India peace pipeline;

(b) whether the issues of transit fee and security are still unsettled between the two countries;

(c) if so, the details thereof;

(d) whether Iran has warned that it would not indefinitely wait for India to join the project and also suggest it might consider China as they are also interested in the pipeline project; and

(e) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (c) India is pursuing the import of natural gas from (ran through Iran-Pakistan-India (IPI) Gas Pipeline Project. Various important issues, viz., pricing of gas, delivery point of gas, project structure, security of supplies, payment of transportation tariff & transit fees for passage of natural gas through Pakistan, etc., are under discussion amongst the participating countries. Such multilateral projects involve protracted discussions, as all the aspects have to be carefully examined and deliberated upon to the satisfaction of the participating countries to protect each country’s interests and to avoid any problems in the future in the successful operation of the project.
(d) and (e) Government is only aware of press reports quoting Iranian officials as stating that Iran would welcome participation of China in the gas pipeline Project.

**Shortage of LPG cylinders in Assam**

2086. **SHRI BIREN德拉 PRASAD BAISHYA**: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether acute shortage of LPG cylinders has been reported in Assam;

(b) if so, the details thereof including number of consumers and supply of LPG;

(c) the steps taken by the State Government of Assam to streamline the dearth of supply of LPG;

(d) whether cases of circulation of spurious/fake gas cylinders and blackmarketing of LPG and monopoly of distributors in the country have been reported;

(e) if so, the details thereof, State-wise;

(f) whether Government has taken/proposes to take steps to check such cases;

(g) if so, the details of action taken against such distributors?

**THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA)**: (a) to (c) Public Sector Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs) namely, Indian Oil Corporation Limited (IOC), Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited (BPCL) and Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL) have reported that at present, there is no overall shortage of LPG in the State of Assam and LPG supplies to distributors are being made by the OMCs through indigenous production and imports in accordance with the genuine demand of customers registered with the LPG distributors.

OMCs have reported that they have supplied 149.10 Thousand Metric Tonne (TMT) of domestic LPG in the State of Assam between April 2009 and January, 2010 as against 145.32 TMT of domestic LPG during the corresponding period of last year, showing a growth rate of 2.61%.

(d) to (g) OMCs have not reported instances of large scale circulation of fake LPG cylinders in the country. However, there have been some instances when spurious cylinders were detected by the OMCs at the distributors’ premises. During the period April 2009 - January 2010, OMCs have detected 174 number of spurious cylinders in the country. The State-wise details are as under:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>No. of spurious cylinders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OMCs are procuring LPG cylinders from cylinder manufacturers who are approved by the Oil Industry Technical Committee (OITC) and have valid manufacturing licences from the Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) and the Chief Controller of Explosives (CCOE). Strict control is exercised by BIS over the manufacturing process. LPG cylinders procured by OMCs meet the required quality standards.

LPG cylinders received from distributors/transporters at the bottling plants are mandatorily checked for quality and genuineness to avoid the entry of fake and outlived cylinders in circulation. On detection of sub-standard / spurious cylinders, these are confiscated and thereafter de-shaped / crushed to prevent their re-entry into circulation.

The possibility of blackmarketing / diversion of subsidized domestic LPG cylinders by some unscrupulous elements cannot be ruled out due to the wide gap between the retail price of LPG for domestic use and the market price for commercial LPG.

OMCs have reported that based on the established complaints of black marketing/diversion of domestic LPG by LPG distributors, action has been taken in 336 cases in the country between April - December, 2009 as per provisions of Marketing Discipline Guidelines (MDG) / Distributorship Agreement.

In order to stop blackmarketing / diversion of domestic LPG cylinders, the Government has enacted "Liquefied Petroleum Gas (Regulation of Supply and Distribution) Order, 2000" and formulated "Marketing Discipline Guidelines, 2001" which provides for penal action against LPG distributors indulging in diversion/ blackmarketing of LPG.

Whenever OMCs receive complaints on diversion/blackmarketing of LPG against their LPG distributors, these are investigated. If the complaint is established, action is taken against the LPG distributor in accordance with the provisions of the MDG.

MDG provides for following action against the distributor:

- Fine of Rs. 20,000 plus the price of LPG diverted at commercial rates for 1st offence.
— Fine of Rs. 50,000 plus the price of LPG diverted at commercial rates for 2nd offence.
— Termination of the distributorship for 3rd offence.

In addition to the action taken by the OMCs, State Governments are empowered under the LPG (Regulation of Supply & Distribution) Order, 2000 to take action against blackmarketing / diversion of domestic LPG. Similarly, the Weights and Measures Departments of the States / UTs initiate legal action against those LPG distributors found blackmarketing or diverting LPG cylinders.

LNG gas from KG-D6 block

2087. SHRI DHARAM PAL SABHARWAL: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that High Level Committee or Group of the Planning Commission have strongly recommended for uniform price of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) from KG-D6 block to power, fertilizer and other plants;

(b) if so, the details of the recommendations made on gas pricing by the Committee/Group of the Planning Commission;

(c) whether it is a fact that National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC) is not accepting Government approved price as contained in Gas Sales Purchase Agreement (GSPA) approved by the Empowered Group of Ministers (EGoM); and

(d) if so, the steps Government proposes to take to persuade NTPC to purchase gas at GSPA price?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) and (b) Planning Commission has reported that no recommendations have been made by a High Level Committee/Group of Planning Commission for uniform price of LNG, Gas from KG-D6 block to power, fertilizer and other plants.

(c) and (d) EGoM has allocated 1.76 Million Metric Standard Cubic Meter Per Day (MMSCMD) of KG D6 gas to the following NTPC plants to enable them to operate at 70% Plant Load Factor (PLF):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Plant</th>
<th>Quantity allocated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Faridabad CCGT</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Anta CCGT</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Auraiya CCGT</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Dadri CCGT</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1.76</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further, EGoM has noted that NTPC has not signed Gas Sale Purchase Agreement (GSPA)’s for supply of KG-D6 gas to its existing plants at Kawas and Gandhar, and has decided that 2.71 mmmscmd of gas from Administered Price Mechanism (APM)/Panna Mukta Tapti (PMT) should be diverted from NTPC’s plants in the Northern part of the country to its Kawas & Gandhar power plants, and an additional quantity of 2.71 mmmscmd KG D6 gas should be supplied on firm basis to NTPC’s plants in the Northern part of the country.

NTPC has signed GSPA with the Contractors for supply of 0.61 mmmscmd & 1.2 mmmscmd totaling to 1.81 mmmscmd KG D-6 gas at EGOM approved price. NTPC has also signed Gas Transportation Agreement (GTA) for transportation of gas with Reliance Gas Transportation Infrastructure Limited (RGTIL) and GAIL. Gas supply of 1.81 mmmscmd has commenced.

Supply of ethanol to OMCs

2088. SHRI R.C. SINGH: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs mandated for the Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs) to use 5 per cent ethanol from March, 2010;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the present percentage use of ethanol in petrol by the OMCs; and

(d) how his Ministry will ensure supply of ethanol to the OMCs?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (d) Yes Sir. The CCEA in its meeting held on 12.11.2009 has decided (i) to enforce mandatory blending of 5% ethanol with MS for time being, (ii) All issues relating to supply of ethanol be resolved by Inter-ministerial Committee consisting of Secretaries in Department of Food & Public Distribution, Department of Consumer Affairs, Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas (MoPNG) and Ministry of New and Renewable Energy.

OMCs have accordingly been directed to take necessary action in view of the decisions taken by the CCEA.

In this regard, it is submitted that 5% EBP Programme was under implementation during Nov’ 2006 – Oct’ 2009. However, despite contractual commitments, sugar industry supplied only 40% of the contracted quantity, affecting the implementation of the programme adversely. As the availability of ethanol as reported by the sugar industry is only 44% of the quantity required for 5% blending for the period between March & December 2010, Government is considering options for the smooth implementation of the programme.
Supply of gas for APGENCO

2089. SHRI NANDI YELLAIAH: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) the details and the latest status of the request of the State Government of Andhra Pradesh for allocation of an additional quantum of 9.72 MMSCMD of natural gas from D-6 fields for the envisaged 2100 MW APGENCO gas power project proposed to be set up at Karimnagar district of Andhra Pradesh;

(b) the bottleneck in making this allocation; and

(c) by when the requested allocation is likely to be made?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (c) The Government of Andhra Pradesh has requested for allocation of 8 mmscmd of natural gas for the proposed 2100 MW Combined Cycle Power Project at Nedunur Village of Karimnagar district of Andhra Pradesh. It has been decided that, subject to the availability of gas, necessary allocations from KG D6 fields will be made to projects in the pipeline, including inter alia this project, as and when such projects are ready to commence production.

Demand for gas by power companies

2090. SHRI N.R. GOVINDARAJAR: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether some power sector companies are demanding gas at lower price than the price fixed by Government;

(b) if so, the details of the companies demanding gas at lower price;

(c) whether these companies have power generation requirements for which gas is being demanded; and

(d) if not, the reasons for considering their request?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) and (b) National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC) & Reliance Natural Resources Ltd. (RNRL) have demanded allocation of gas from KG D6 for their proposed power projects at lower price than that fixed by the Government.

(c) No, the said requests are for their proposed projects.

(d) It has been decided that KG D6 gas will be firstly allocated to meet the shortage of existing plants and then, subject to availability of gas, necessary allocations from KG D6 fields will be made to projects in the pipeline as and when such projects are ready to commence production. Further, it has been decided that gas supply should be at the price approved by the EGOM, without any prejudice to the decisions taken by the Court in NTPC-RIL & RNRL-RIL cases.
New projects of GAIL in Gujarat

2091. SHRI NATUJI HALAJI THAKOR: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Gas Authority of India Ltd. (GAIL) has entered into Joint Venture with the State Government of Gujarat to set up new projects in oilfields of Bharuch, Surat, Mehsana and Kutch districts in Gujarat;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the joint ventures have been approved by Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board (PNGRB); and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) and (b) GAIL has 50% Participating Interest (PI) in Cambay Onland E&P Block (CB-ONN-2000/1). GSPC is the Operator of the block with the remaining 50% PI Crude Oil is being produced from the block from its Ingoli field in Ahmedabad district. GAIL has 20% PI in another E&P block in Gujarat (CB-ONN-2003/2), where GSPC is the Operator with 50% PI. The block is in Ankleshwar district. Oil discovery has been made in the block and further exploration activities are in progress.

(c) and (d) Formation of Joint Ventures does not require any approval of Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board (PNGRB) under the PNGRB Act 2006. Further, exploration and production is outside the purview of PNGRB.

Performance sheet of Public Sector Oil Corporations

2092. SHRI S. S. AHLUWALIA: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) the details of gross and net profits and losses reported by the public sector oil corporations viz, ONGC, IOC, HPCL, and BPCL, year-wise since 2005-06 indicating their percentage to turnover;

(b) the amount of dividend disbursed to shareholders both in absolute and in percentage terms indicating volume of profit transferred to reserve fund in the respective years;

(c) the identities of the top ten dividend recipients, year-wise, indicating percentage of total dividend received by each and volume thereof; and

(d) the details of reserve fund as on 31 December, 2009 corporation-wise indicating diversion of sums, if any, therewith along with purpose therefore?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) Company-wise details of Turnover, Profit Before Tax (PBT) and Profit After Tax (PAT) for the years 2005-06 to 2008-09 are given in the Statement I (See below).
Amount of dividend disbursed (on payment basis) to shareholders both in absolute and percentage terms and volume of profit transferred to reserve fund in the years 2005-06 to 2008-09 are given in the Statement-II (See below).

Details of top ten recipients of dividend, year-wise, are given in the Statement-III (see below).

Company-wise details of General Reserve as on 31.12.2009, diversion of sums there from and purpose thereof are given as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSU</th>
<th>General Reserve as on 31.3.2009</th>
<th>General Reserve as on 31.12.2009</th>
<th>Reasons/purpose of diversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ONGC</td>
<td>76309.29</td>
<td>84,822.00</td>
<td>There was no diversion of reserves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC</td>
<td>35362.33</td>
<td>34412.86</td>
<td>Rs. 949.47 crore has been diverted and utilized for issue of fully paid bonus shares for Rs. 1213.98 crore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPCL</td>
<td>1129.33</td>
<td>1129.33</td>
<td>There was no diversion of reserves since 31-03-2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPCL</td>
<td>11451.63</td>
<td>11451.63</td>
<td>There was no diversion of reserves since 31-03-2009.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statement-I

Company-wise details of Turnover, Profit Before Tax and Profit After Tax for the years 2005-06 to 2008-09 (Rs. in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSU</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>2005-06</th>
<th>2006-07</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ONGC</td>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>49440</td>
<td>59058</td>
<td>61543</td>
<td>65049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBT</td>
<td>28373</td>
<td>30647</td>
<td>31479</td>
<td>31968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of PBT to turnover</td>
<td>57.39%</td>
<td>51.89%</td>
<td>51.15%</td>
<td>49.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAT</td>
<td>14431</td>
<td>15643</td>
<td>16702</td>
<td>16126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of PAT to turnover</td>
<td>29.19%</td>
<td>26.49%</td>
<td>27.14%</td>
<td>24.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC</td>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>183171.51</td>
<td>220779.36</td>
<td>247479.36</td>
<td>285337.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBT</td>
<td>6705.99</td>
<td>10485.00</td>
<td>10080.40</td>
<td>4328.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of PBT to turnover</td>
<td>3.665%</td>
<td>4.75%</td>
<td>4.07%</td>
<td>1.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAT</td>
<td>4915.12</td>
<td>7499.47</td>
<td>6962.58</td>
<td>2949.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of PAT to turnover</td>
<td>2.68%</td>
<td>3.405%</td>
<td>2.815%</td>
<td>1.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>2005-06</td>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>2008-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPCL</td>
<td>74044.11</td>
<td>91448.03</td>
<td>103837.43</td>
<td>116427.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1151.21</td>
<td>3094.14</td>
<td>2725.59</td>
<td>3776.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>405.63</td>
<td>1571.17</td>
<td>1134.88</td>
<td>547.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPCL</td>
<td>85149</td>
<td>107452</td>
<td>121684</td>
<td>145392.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1422.62</td>
<td>4204.42</td>
<td>4367.97</td>
<td>4246.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>291.65</td>
<td>1805.48</td>
<td>1580.56</td>
<td>735.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statement-II**

Amount of dividend disbursed to shareholders both in absolute and percentage terms and volume of profit transferred to reserve fund in the year 2005-06 to 2008-09

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSU</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>2005-06</th>
<th>2006-07</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ONGC</td>
<td>Dividend disbursed in Absolute Terms</td>
<td>6417</td>
<td>6631</td>
<td>6844</td>
<td>6844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dividend disbursed in Percentage terms (per share)</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Profit transferred to General Reserve</td>
<td>7114</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>8694</td>
<td>8119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC</td>
<td>Dividend disbursed in Absolute terms</td>
<td>1168.01</td>
<td>2160.82</td>
<td>1550.09</td>
<td>655.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dividend disbursed in Percentage terms (per share)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Profit transferred to General Reserve</td>
<td>2689.98</td>
<td>5051.88</td>
<td>6001.34</td>
<td>1334.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPCL</td>
<td>Dividend disbursed in Absolute terms</td>
<td>339.33</td>
<td>305.40</td>
<td>407.20</td>
<td>101.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dividend disbursed in Percentage terms (per share)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Profit transferred to General Reserve</td>
<td>40.56</td>
<td>157.12</td>
<td>113.49</td>
<td>57.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPCL</td>
<td>Dividend disbursed in Absolute terms</td>
<td>90.38</td>
<td>578.47</td>
<td>144.62</td>
<td>253.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dividend disbursed in Percentage terms (per share)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Profit transferred to General Reserve</td>
<td>29.17</td>
<td>4263.05</td>
<td>1426.78</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Statement-III

*Details of top ten recipients of dividend, year-wise*

Company-wise details of top ten dividend recipients, year-wise, percentage of total dividend received by each and volume thereof are as under:

**ONGC**

**Interim dividend  2005-06**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Recipient</th>
<th>Volume of dividend (Rs. in crore)</th>
<th>% age of total dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>2,642.90</td>
<td>74.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IOC Ltd.</td>
<td>342.67</td>
<td>9.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GAIL (India) Ltd.</td>
<td>85.67</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LIC of India</td>
<td>63.24</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Europacific Growth Fund</td>
<td>25.51</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Funds</td>
<td>21.91</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Capital World Growth &amp; Income Fund, Inc.</td>
<td>15.81</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley &amp; Co. International Ltd.</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>FID Funds (Mauritius) Ltd.</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Government of Singapore</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Final dividend  2005-06**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Recipient</th>
<th>Volume of dividend (Rs. in crore)</th>
<th>% age of total dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>2,114.32</td>
<td>74.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IOC Ltd.</td>
<td>219.31</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GAIL (India) Ltd.</td>
<td>68.53</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LIC of India</td>
<td>63.34</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Europacific Growth Fund</td>
<td>47.92</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Capital World Growth &amp; Income Fund, Inc.</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Funds</td>
<td>15.74</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Franklin Templeton Investment Funds</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>New World Fund Inc.</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Government of Singapore</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Interim dividend 2006-07

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Recipient</th>
<th>Volume of dividend (Rs. in crore)</th>
<th>% age of total dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>2,854.33</td>
<td>74.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IOC Ltd.</td>
<td>296.07</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GAIL (India) Ltd.</td>
<td>92.52</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LIC of India</td>
<td>85.65</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Europacific Growth Fund</td>
<td>65.41</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Capital World Growth &amp; Income Fund, Inc.</td>
<td>36.95</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Funds</td>
<td>25.28</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Franklin Templeton Investment Funds</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>New World Fund Inc.</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Capital Income Builder, Inc.</td>
<td>11.07</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Final dividend 2006-07

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Recipient</th>
<th>Volume of dividend (Rs. in crore)</th>
<th>% age of total dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>2,061.46</td>
<td>74.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IOC Ltd.</td>
<td>213.83</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GAIL (India) Ltd.</td>
<td>66.82</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LIC of India</td>
<td>61.75</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Europacific Growth Fund</td>
<td>47.24</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Capital World Growth &amp; Income Fund, Inc.</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Franklin Templeton Investment Funds</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Funds</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>New World Fund Inc.</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LIC of India - Market Plus</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Interim dividend 2007-08

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Recipient</th>
<th>Volume of dividend (Rs. in crore)</th>
<th>% age of total dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>2,854.33</td>
<td>74.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IOC Ltd.</td>
<td>296.07</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GAIL (India) Ltd.</td>
<td>92.52</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Final dividend 2007-08

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Recipient</th>
<th>Volume of dividend (Rs. in crore)</th>
<th>% age of total dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>2,220.04</td>
<td>74.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IOC Ltd.</td>
<td>230.27</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GAIL (India) Ltd.</td>
<td>71.96</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LIC of India</td>
<td>71.32</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Franklin Templeton Investment Funds</td>
<td>23.64</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LIC of India - Money Plus</td>
<td>14.45</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Europacific Growth Fund</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lazard Asset Management LLC</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>LIC of India - Market Plus</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ICICI Prudential LIC Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Interim dividend 2008-09

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Recipient</th>
<th>Volume of dividend (Rs. in crore)</th>
<th>% age of total dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>2,854.33</td>
<td>74.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IOC Ltd.</td>
<td>296.07</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LIC of India</td>
<td>98.17</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>GAIL (India) Ltd.</td>
<td>92.52</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Final dividend 2008-09

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Recipient</th>
<th>Volume of dividend (Rs. in crore)</th>
<th>% age of total dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>2,220.04</td>
<td>74.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IOC Ltd.</td>
<td>230.27</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LIC of India</td>
<td>84.59</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>GAIL (India) Ltd.</td>
<td>71.96</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ICICI Prudential LIC Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>22.55</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LIC of India - Money Plus</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LIC of India - Market Plus</td>
<td>15.78</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Franklin Templeton Investment Funds</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bajaj Allianz Life Insurance Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LIC of India - Profit Plus</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IOC

Top 10 dividend recipients for the year 2005-2006 - Final dividend for the year 2004-2005 @ 145% paid on 28th September 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of Shareholders</th>
<th>Dividend Amount (Rupees)</th>
<th>% of Total Dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>958,077,8550</td>
<td>82.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Limited</td>
<td>106,425,095</td>
<td>9.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>248,237,720</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Funds A/C HSBC Global Investment Funds Mauritius Limited</td>
<td>796,204,300</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>General Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>326,700,900</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Top 10 Dividend Recipients for the Year 2006-2007 - Final Dividend for the Year 2005-2006 @ 125% Paid On 28th September 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of Shareholders</th>
<th>Dividend Amount (Rupees)</th>
<th>% of Total Dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>11975973188</td>
<td>82.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Limited</td>
<td>1330663688</td>
<td>9.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>369645863</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Funds A/C HSBC Global Investment Funds Mauritius Limited</td>
<td>75000000</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>General Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>40837613</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Templeton Asset Management Ltd A/C Templeton developing Markets Trust</td>
<td>27648238</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Franklin Templeton Investment Funds</td>
<td>18737313</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reliance Capital Trustee Company Limited A/C Reliance Vision Fund</td>
<td>17512500</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Governor of Gujarat</td>
<td>16875000</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India - Futureplus</td>
<td>14172038</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** 13887065441 95.12

---

### Top 10 Dividend Recipients for the Year 2006-2007 - Interim Dividend for the Year 2006-2007 @ 60% Paid On 4th January 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of Shareholders</th>
<th>Dividend Amount (Rupees)</th>
<th>% of Total Dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>5748467130</td>
<td>82.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Limited</td>
<td>638718570</td>
<td>9.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. No.</td>
<td>Name of Shareholders</td>
<td>Dividend Amount (Rupees)</td>
<td>% of Total Dividend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>1245012115</td>
<td>80.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Limited</td>
<td>1383890235</td>
<td>8.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>396320145</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IOC IBP Merger Scheme Trust</td>
<td>169701844</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Templeton Global Advisors Limited A/C Templeton Funds, Inc (Templeton Foreign Fund)</td>
<td>72064460</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Funds A/C HSBC Globalinvestment Funds Mauritius Limited</td>
<td>57572515</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>General Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>45439966</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Templeton Global Advisors Limited A/C Templeton World Fund (A Series of Templeton Funds, Inc)</td>
<td>20539337</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Merrill Lynch Capital Markets Espa S.A. S.V.</td>
<td>18802225</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India - Futureplus</td>
<td>18668234</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td><strong>14638011076</strong></td>
<td><strong>94.42</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IOC**

*Top 10 Dividend Recipients for the Year 2007-2008 - Final Dividend for the Year 2006-2007 @ 130% Paid On 3rd October 2007*
### Top 10 Dividend Recipients for the Year 2008-2009 - Final Dividend for the Year 2007-2008 @ 55% Paid on 26th September 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of Shareholders</th>
<th>Dividend Amount (Rupees)</th>
<th>% of Total Dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>5269428203</td>
<td>80.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Limited</td>
<td>585492023</td>
<td>8.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>167927414</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IOC IBP Merger Scheme Trust</td>
<td>71796934</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Templeton Global Advisors Limited A/C</td>
<td>23900965</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Templeton Funds, Inc (Templeton Foreign Fund)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>General Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>19334882</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>FID Funds (Mauritius) Limited</td>
<td>11817872</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Templeton Global Advisors Limited A/C</td>
<td>8689720</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Templeton World Fund (A Series of Templeton Funds, Inc)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Merrill Lynch India Equities Fund A/C</td>
<td>7441214</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Mauritius)Limited</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Governor of Gujarat</td>
<td>7425000</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>6173254227</strong></td>
<td><strong>94.11</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Top 10 Dividend Recipients for the Year 2009-2010 (Apr-Dec. 09) - Final Dividend for the Year 2008-2009 @ 75% Paid On 22nd September 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of Shareholders</th>
<th>Dividend Amount (Rupees)</th>
<th>% of Total Dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>7185583913</td>
<td>78.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Limited</td>
<td>798398213</td>
<td>8.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>266784968</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IOC-Brpl Merger Scheme Trust</td>
<td>120643643</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IOC Ibp Merger Scheme Trust</td>
<td>97904910</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Names of Recipients</td>
<td>Amount of Dividend (In Rs.)</td>
<td>Percentage of Total Dividend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>General Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>28039290</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LIC of India Money Plus</td>
<td>11560508</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reliance Capital Trustee Co Ltd-Reliance Natural Resources Fund</td>
<td>11236298</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bajaj Allianz Life Insurance Company Ltd.</td>
<td>10895940</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Governor of Gujarat</td>
<td>10125000</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>8541172683</td>
<td>93.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HPCL**

**2004-05 (Final)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names of Recipients</th>
<th>Amount of Dividend (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>1730767500</td>
<td>51.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>374480440</td>
<td>11.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;G Investment Management Limited</td>
<td>102427920</td>
<td>3.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Funds</td>
<td>99260990</td>
<td>2.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Trust of India (All Schemes)</td>
<td>50685720</td>
<td>1.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templeton Asset Management Limited</td>
<td>43981000</td>
<td>1.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Templeton Investment Funds</td>
<td>38413790</td>
<td>1.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citi Group Global Market Mauritius Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>32903760</td>
<td>0.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templeton Asset Management Company Limited</td>
<td>32060000</td>
<td>0.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FID Funds Mauritius Limited</td>
<td>31146750</td>
<td>0.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>2536127870</td>
<td>74.74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2005-06 (Final)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names of Recipients</th>
<th>Amount of Dividend (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>519230250</td>
<td>51.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>116285829</td>
<td>11.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Templeton Investment Funds</td>
<td>21346023</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templeton Global Advisors Limited</td>
<td>20943660</td>
<td>2.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;G Investment Management Limited</td>
<td>18568605</td>
<td>1.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oppenheimer Funds Inc., A/C Oppenheimer</td>
<td>15845889</td>
<td>1.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templeton Asset Management Limited</td>
<td>14733123</td>
<td>1.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBC Global Investments Funds</td>
<td>14659299</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2006-07 (Interim)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Templeton Asset Management Limited</td>
<td>10072572</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United India Insurance Co. Limited</td>
<td>9190833</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>760876083</td>
<td>74.74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Presidents and Governments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Rs. in Crore</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>1038460500</td>
<td>51.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>232571658</td>
<td>11.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templeton Global Advisors Limited</td>
<td>68356800</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M &amp; G Investment Management Limited</td>
<td>37137210</td>
<td>1.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDFC Trustee Company Limited</td>
<td>30214200</td>
<td>1.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Funds</td>
<td>26400006</td>
<td>1.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>20866656</td>
<td>1.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United India Insurance Company Limited</td>
<td>20142036</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDFC Trustee Co. Limited</td>
<td>17655504</td>
<td>0.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Stanley &amp; Co. International Limited</td>
<td>15889980</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>3018265308</td>
<td>74.05%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2006-07 (Final)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Templeton Asset Management Limited</td>
<td>10072572</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United India Insurance Co. Limited</td>
<td>9190833</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>760876083</td>
<td>74.74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Presidents and Governments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Rs. in Crore</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>2076921000</td>
<td>51.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>465143316</td>
<td>11.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templeton Global Advisors Limited</td>
<td>136713600</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIC of India Money Plus</td>
<td>61986888</td>
<td>1.523%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIC of India &quot;Market Plus&quot;</td>
<td>55796628</td>
<td>1.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M &amp; G Investment Management Limited</td>
<td>54974544</td>
<td>1.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India Fusion</td>
<td>53679540</td>
<td>1.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDFC Trustee Company Limited</td>
<td>41082492</td>
<td>1.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United India Insurance Company Limited</td>
<td>40284072</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The New India Assurance Co. Limited</td>
<td>31682228</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>3018265308</td>
<td>74.12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Year 2007-08 Final

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names of Recipients</th>
<th>Amount of Dividend (In Rs.)</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Dividend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>519230250</td>
<td>51.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>116285829</td>
<td>11.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templeton Global Advisors Limited</td>
<td>34178400</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIC of India Market Plus</td>
<td>15785157</td>
<td>1.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United India Insurance Co. Limited</td>
<td>12605820</td>
<td>1.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIC of India Money Plus</td>
<td>12052005</td>
<td>1.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India-Fusion</td>
<td>10063107</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FID Funds (Mauritius) Limited</td>
<td>9496629</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Boston Company Asset Mgt. LIC</td>
<td>5198901</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The New India Assurance Co. Limited</td>
<td>7920807</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong>:</td>
<td><strong>746776905</strong></td>
<td><strong>73.51%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BPCL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Name of the Shareholder</th>
<th>Dividend % of (Amt in Rs. Lakh)</th>
<th>Dividend Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2005-06</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td>4965</td>
<td>54.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>BPCL Trust For Investment in Shares</td>
<td>843.22</td>
<td>9.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td>748.27</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aberedn Asset Managers Limited A/C Aberdeen</td>
<td>262.48</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Fuds A/C HSBC Global</td>
<td>111.52</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>United India Insurance Company Limited</td>
<td>83.78</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Government of Kerala</td>
<td>77.78</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>The New India Assurance Company Limited</td>
<td>72.82</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Aberedn Asset Managers Limited</td>
<td></td>
<td>66.04</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td></td>
<td>56.65</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2006-07**

**Interim**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td></td>
<td>11916</td>
<td>54.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BPCL Trust For Investment In Shares</td>
<td></td>
<td>2023.72</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td></td>
<td>1845.02</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Aberedn Asset Managers Limited A/C Aberdeeni</td>
<td></td>
<td>629.94</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HSBC Global Investment Fuds A/C HSBC Globali</td>
<td></td>
<td>261.06</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>United India Insurance Company Limited</td>
<td></td>
<td>201.08</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India A/Futerep</td>
<td></td>
<td>187.43</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Government of Kerala</td>
<td></td>
<td>186.67</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>LIC of India Money Plus</td>
<td></td>
<td>458.79</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>The New India Assurance Company Limited</td>
<td></td>
<td>302.03</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2006-07**

**Final**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>President of India</td>
<td></td>
<td>19860.01</td>
<td>54.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BPCL Trust For Investment in Shares</td>
<td></td>
<td>3372.87</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India</td>
<td></td>
<td>3082.62</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Aberedn Asset Managers Limited A/C Aberdeeni</td>
<td></td>
<td>1049.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LIC of India Money Plus</td>
<td></td>
<td>458.79</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Life Insurance Corporation of India A/Futerep</td>
<td></td>
<td>382.92</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Templeton Investment Counsel LIC A/C Temple</td>
<td></td>
<td>354.18</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>United India Insurance Company Limited</td>
<td></td>
<td>335.14</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Government of Kerala</td>
<td></td>
<td>311.11</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LIC of India Money Plus</td>
<td></td>
<td>302.03</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Joint ventures of oil PSUs

2003. SHRI VIJAY JAWAHARLAL DARDA: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) the number of joint ventures, the Public Sector Units (PSUs) of petroleum industry participated in 2007, 2008 and 2009;

(b) what was the funding-share of these PSUs vis-a-vis foreign companies, PSU-wise during 2007, 2008 and 2009; and

(c) whether the country also get crude or petroleum/gas supplies at pre-determined rates as a built-in clause of the agreements governing these joint ventures to meet country’s growing demands for petroleum products?
THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Proposed take over of gas marketing by government

2094. SHRI AMAR SINGH: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government proposes to take over the distribution and marketing of gas at the delivery end from Reliance Industries Limited (RIL);

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The Production Sharing Contract (PSC) signed between the Government and the Contractor gives marketing freedom to the Contractor, subject to the Gas Utilization Policy framed by the Government. The EGoM constituted to decide issues pertaining to commercial utilization of gas under NELP has decided that the Contractor would sell gas from NELP to consumers in accordance with marketing priorities determined by the Government. Hence, the gas production from KG D6 is being sold in accordance with Government’s priorities and decisions made by the Government in this regard.

Households covered by LPG

2095. SHRI VARINDER SINGH BAJWA: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) the percentage of rural and semi-urban households in Punjab so far covered by the LPG connection; and

(b) by when all the households in the State are expected to be covered?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) and (b) Public Sector Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs) have reported that as on 01.01.2010, they are serving 52.84 lakh LPG customers including 6.44 lakh customers in rural areas through their 431 LPG distributors in the State of Punjab, the number of domestic connection being more than the approximate number of households.

The enrolment of new LPG customers and release of new LPG connections is a continuous process. New LPG connections are made available to the prospective customers including those residing in rural and semi-urban areas in Punjab, as soon as possible and in any case, within a period of sixty days.
Status of IPI pipeline

2096. SHRI RAJKUMAR DHOOT: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) the latest position of construction of gas pipeline from Iran and the amount of money spent on this project;

(b) whether Pakistan is committed to allow laying of pipeline through its territory;

(c) if not, what are the other alternatives available which are economically viable; and

(d) what is the estimated cost related to security and maintenance of this project overseas?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (c) India is pursuing the import of natural gas from Iran through Iran-Pakistan-India (IPI) Gas Pipeline Project. Various important issues, viz., pricing of gas, delivery point of gas, project structure, security of supplies, payment of transportation tariff & transit fees for passage of natural gas through Pakistan, etc., are under discussion amongst the participating countries. GAIL (India) Ltd. and Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., the PSUs involved with the IPI Project, have spent approximately Rs.5.57 crore in connection with pre-project activities.

Apart from IPI gas pipeline project, import of natural gas is also being pursued from Turkmenistan through Turkmenistan-Afghanistan-Pakistan-India (TAPI) gas pipeline project. The option of bringing gas from Middle East countries to Indian market through a deep sea gas pipeline is also being explored. Further, Government of India has adopted a multi-pronged strategy to augment gas supplies, covering inter alia intensification of domestic Exploration & Production activities, exploitation of unconventional sources like Coal Bed Methane & Underground Coal Gasification and import of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG).

(d) Such details regarding IPI gas pipeline project can be worked out only after firming up of the basic parameters of the Project.

Hike in prices of petroleum products

2097. SHRI MANGALA KISAN: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) how many times Government had hiked the price of petrol, diesel, kerosene and LPG during the financial years from 2004-05 to 2008-09;

(b) how many times Government had revised the petrol, diesel, kerosene and LPG gas price during the financial years 1999 to 2004; and
how many times Government had revised the petroleum products’ rate when the international prices of petroleum products went down during 1999 to 2009?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) The Government has not revised the Retail Selling Price (RSP) of PDS Kerosene since April, 2002. The RSPs of Petrol and Diesel were hiked eight times and the RSP of Domestic LPG was hiked three times during the financial years from 2004-05 to 2008-09.

(b) and (c) The details of revisions made in the RSPs of Petrol, Diesel, PDS Kerosene and Domestic LPG (at Delhi) during the financial years from 1999-2000 to 2009-10, (upto 15th March 2010), including those times when international oil price went down, are given in the Statement.

**Statement**

*Details of revisions in the Retail Selling Prices of Petrol, Diesel, PDS Kerosene and Domestic LPG (at Delhi) from 1999-2000 to 2009-10 (upto 15th March 2010) as given as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Petrol (Rs./litre)</th>
<th>Diesel (Rs./litre)</th>
<th>PDS Kerosene</th>
<th>Domestic LPG (Rs./Cylinder)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As on 01.04.99</td>
<td>23.80</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.04.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.10.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.01.00 #</td>
<td>25.94</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.03.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>196.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.04.00 *</td>
<td>26.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.09.00</td>
<td>28.44</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.11.00 **</td>
<td>28.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.11.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>222.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.03.01 ***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.01.02</td>
<td>27.54</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.03.02</td>
<td>26.54</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>8.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.03.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.06.02</td>
<td>28.94</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.06.02</td>
<td>29.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.08.02</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.09.02</td>
<td>29.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Column 1</td>
<td>Column 2</td>
<td>Column 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.09.02</td>
<td>29.66</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.10.02</td>
<td>29.91</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.10.02</td>
<td>30.24</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.11.02</td>
<td>30.26</td>
<td>19.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.11.02</td>
<td>29.57</td>
<td>18.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.12.02</td>
<td>28.91</td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.01.03</td>
<td>29.93</td>
<td>19.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.01.03</td>
<td>30.33</td>
<td>19.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.02.03</td>
<td>30.71</td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.03.03</td>
<td>32.10</td>
<td>21.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.03.03</td>
<td>33.49</td>
<td>22.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.04.03</td>
<td>32.49</td>
<td>21.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.04.03</td>
<td>31.49</td>
<td>20.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.05.03</td>
<td>31.50</td>
<td>20.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.05.03</td>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>19.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.06.03</td>
<td>30.30</td>
<td>19.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.06.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.09.03</td>
<td>32.40</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.10.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.10.03</td>
<td>31.70</td>
<td>19.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.12.03</td>
<td>32.70</td>
<td>20.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.01.04</td>
<td>33.70</td>
<td>21.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.06.04</td>
<td>35.71</td>
<td>22.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.08.04</td>
<td>36.81</td>
<td>24.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.11.04</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>26.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.11.04</td>
<td>37.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.04.05</td>
<td>37.99</td>
<td>28.22</td>
<td>9.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.05.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.06.05</td>
<td>40.49</td>
<td>28.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.07.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.09.05</td>
<td>43.49</td>
<td>30.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.04.06</td>
<td>43.51</td>
<td>30.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.05.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.06.06</td>
<td>47.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.06.06 @</td>
<td>46.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.11.2006</td>
<td>44.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.02.2007</td>
<td>42.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.06.07 @ @</td>
<td>43.52</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.02.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.02.08</td>
<td>45.52</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.05.08</td>
<td>45.56</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.06.08</td>
<td>50.56</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.07.08 ***</td>
<td>50.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.09.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.12.08</td>
<td>45.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.01.09</td>
<td>40.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.07.09</td>
<td>44.63</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.09.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.10.09 ###</td>
<td>44.72</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.02.2010</td>
<td>47.43</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

There has been no price revision for PDS Kerosene since April 2002. Change in price is mainly due to revision in dealer commission.

# Sales tax revision in Delhi - Diesel from 11% to 12%.

* Petrol with 0.05% sulphur introduced.

** Petrol with 0.05% sulphur and 1% benzene introduced.

*** Diesel with 0.05% sulphur introduced in NCR.

**** Due to implementation of VAT in Delhi

***** & ****** Due to increase in siding & Shunting Charges in Petrol and Diesel

@ Due to Tax Rebate in VAT on Petrol and Diesel.

@ @ Tax Rebate on VAT for Petrol and Diesel withdrawn.

### After considering subsidy by Delhi State Govt. of Rs.40/- per cylinder

#### Due to revision in Dealers commission
SHRI PENUMALLI MADHU: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Kirit Parikh Committee has recommended for increasing Rs.100 on LPG, Rs.6 on Kerosene and decontrol of petrol and diesel which means an increase of Rs.4.72 on petrol and Rs.2.33 on diesel;

(b) what would be the benefit that the private corporate companies gain if the Government accepts these recommendations;

(c) how Government justifies that it does not fuel inflation and there would not be any impact on poor people;

(d) whether Government feels that due to increase in per-capita income of rural and urban masses there would be little impact on poor; and

(e) if so, how Government justifies this?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (e) An Expert Group, constituted by the Government on "A Viable and Sustainable System of Pricing of Petroleum Products" under the chairmanship of Dr. Kirit Parikh, has submitted its Report on 3rd February, 2010. The main recommendations of the Group are as under:

(i) Prices of Petrol and Diesel should be market determined, both at the refinery gate and at the retail level.

(ii) PDS Kerosene allocation across states should be rationalized, which will bring down all-India allocation by at least 20%. Further reduction in PDS Kerosene allocation can be done on the basis of progress of rural electrification, LPG and piped gas availability.

(iii) The price of PDS Kerosene needs to be increased by at least Rs.6 per litre, Thereafter, price can be raised every year in step with the growth in per capita agricultural Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at nominal price.

(iv) Prices of Domestic LPG can be increased by at least Rs.100 per cylinder Thereafter, the price of Domestic LPG should be periodically revised based on increase in paying capacity as reflected in the rising per capita income. The subsidy on Domestic LPG should be discontinued for all others except the BPL households once an effective targeting system is in place.

(v) A transparent and effective distribution system for PDS Kerosene and Domestic LPG can be ensured through UID/Smartcards framework.

(vi) The Public Sector Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs) marketing PDS Kerosene and Domestic LPG should be compensated fully for their under-recoveries. The mechanism for financing under-recoveries on PDS Kerosene and Domestic LPG would involve the following:
(a) Periodic reduction in PDS Kerosene allocation.

(b) Increase in prices of PDS Kerosene and Domestic LPG from time to time.

(c) Mopping up a portion of the incremental revenue accruing to ONGC/OIL from production in those blocks, which were given by the Government on nomination basis.

(d) Providing cash subsidy from the Budget to meet the remaining gap.

The Government has not taken a decision on the implementation of the Expert Group’s recommendations.

Proposal to de-control petroleum prices

2099. SHRI T.K. RANGARAJAN: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal for de-control of petroleum prices;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether competent agencies have made any assessment on the impact on the prices of products in the post de-control period; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) and (b) The Expert Group constituted under the chairmanship of Dr. Kirit Parikh to advise on a Viable and Sustainable System of Pricing of Petroleum Products has recommended, inter-alia, that the prices of Petrol and Diesel should be market-determined, both at the refinery gate and at the retail level. The Government has not taken a decision on implementation of the Expert Group’s recommendations.

(c) and (d) Do not arise, in view of (a) and (b) above.

Rural business hubs

2100. SHRIMATI VIPLOVE THAKUR: Will the Minister of PANCHATI RAJ be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government considers to implement a scheme of Rural Business Hubs (RBHs) for promoting manufacturing of products using raw materials available in rural areas for exporting them;

(b) if so, the details thereof along with the districts covered under the scheme;

(c) whether Government has signed a Memorandum of Cooperation with any financial organization to enhance export possibilities of products sources from RBHs, and
THE MINISTER OF PANCHAYATI RAJ (SHRI C.P. JOSHI): (a) and (b) The Ministry of Panchayati Raj is implementing a Scheme of Rural Business Hubs (RBHs) for promoting manufacturing of products using raw materials/skills available in the rural areas. Some of these products may reach the export market as well. The scheme works on a 4P (Public-Private-Panchayat-Partnership) model and is applicable in all the BRGF districts and all the districts in the North Eastern Region. Setting up of RBHs is primarily done through convergence of resources from various ongoing schemes. Assistance under the RBH scheme is available for professional support services, training/skill development and for purchase of minor equipment.

(c) and (d) Ministry of Panchayati Raj has signed a Memorandum of Cooperation (MoC) with Export Import Bank of India for the purpose of enhancing export possibilities of products sources from RBHs. Key activities covered under the MoC are (i) Facilitating identification of suitable exporters, willing to locate their production activities in rural areas, (ii) Fostering a mutually beneficial business relationship between such exporters and rural producers, availing of the support and facilitation of Panchayat, (iii) Facilitating wider visibility and promotion of identified products of RBHs in international markets by linking these products to EXIM Bank’s rural portal and by displaying such products at the overseas offices of EXIM Bank, (iv) Familiarizing select RBHs with the process of obtaining Ethical Trading, Fair Trade, Organic certification etc. so that market realization is better.

Comprehensive plan to safeguard the country’s resources

2101. SHRI SITARAM YECHURY: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government agrees that all the natural resources belong to the nation;

(b) if so, whether Government has prepared a comprehensive plan to protect and use the same for nation’s development;

(c) whether it is a fact that by a privately negotiated settlement, an MoU was signed in May, 2005, between Reliance Industries Ltd., and Reliance Natural Resources Ltd., to distribute natural gas between themselves from the exploration block of KG-D3 Basin; and

(d) if so, the action taken by Government to nullify the said MoU and release the natural resources for meeting the nation’s development needs?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): (a) to (d) Yes, Sir. Article 297 (1) of the Constitution of India states that, “All lands, minerals and other things of value underlying the ocean within the territorial waters, or the continental shelf, or the exclusive economic zone, of India shall vest in the Union and be held for the
purposes of the Union”. Entry 53 in the List-I under the Seventh Schedule, *inter alia*, empowers the Union of India in the regulation and development of oilfields and mineral oil resources; petroleum and petroleum products. The Oil Fields (Regulation and Development) Act, 1948 and the Petroleum and Natural Gas Rules, 1959, make provisions, *inter alia*, for the regulation of petroleum operations and grant of licences and leases for exploration, development and production of petroleum in India. The Territorial waters, Continental Shelf, Exclusive Economic Zone and other Maritime Zones Act, 1976 provides that Union has sovereign rights for the purpose of exploration, exploitation, conservation & management of the natural resources both living & nonliving as well as for producing energy from tides, winds & currents.

Thus, natural resources belong to Government of India (GOI) and same has been incorporated in Production Sharing Contract (PSC) signed between GOI and Contractor while awarding any block for exploration purposes.

An MoU dated 18.6.2005 exists between CMD, RIL and CMD,.RNRL regarding certain family arrangements. The full text of the MoU is not in the possession of this Ministry. However, the judgment dated 15.6.2009 of the Hon’ble Division bench of Bombay High Court has referred to the MoU. As per para 314 of the judgment, the MoU stipulates that the whole gas after meeting the requirement of NTPC and RNRL, would be appropriated between RIL and RNRL in the ratio of 60:40. MOU also states that this will be the case not only for the gas from KG-D6 but from all other fields being operated and to be operated by RIL. A Special Leave Petition was filed by Government in the Supreme Court against the RNRL and RIL to protect interest of the Government and public at large. The hearings in this case have concluded and decision is reserved by the Hon’ble Court.

**Appointment of Lok Karmis for MNREGS**

2102. DR. T. SUBBARAMI REDDY:
SHRI TARIQ ANWAR:

Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is planning to provide jobs to over 205 lakh youth by appointing Lok Karmis in each Gram Panchayat to facilitate implementation of its flagship Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MNREGS);

(b) whether besides being an interface between workers and various Government agencies like the panchayats, banks and post offices, Lok Karmis would also act as publicity agents in popularizing various welfare schemes by disseminating information about plans and how the poor can drive benefit from it; and

(c) whether they will provide feedback to Government on implementation of MNREGS at grassroots level and need for any high-level intervention wherever necessary?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) Ministry of Rural Development has formulated a draft Lok Sevak/Lok Karmi Scheme. The
draft scheme was discussed with selected Non-Governmental organisations in a meeting held on 16.2.2010. In the draft scheme, it is proposed to appoint one Lok Karmi in every Gram Panchayat.

(b) As considered in the draft scheme, the role of Lok Sevak is primarily of capacity building programme of the village community to take the village/community towards self-reliance in matters of planning, implementation, accounting and auditing of Mahatma Gandhi NREGA. Lok Karmi will also disseminate widely information about Government schemes including Mahatma Gandhi NREGA and how the benefits can be availed by the people.

(c) As per the draft scheme, Lok Karmi will regularly report through established channels status of implementation at the grass-root levels and the need for higher level intervention where necessary.

Wage inequalities

2103. Dr. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA:

SHRI LALIT KISHORE CHATURVEDI:

Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 2128 given in Rajya Sabha on 8th December, 2009 and state:

(a) whether daily earnings of men, women, children belonging to SC, ST and OBC Rural Labour Household constitute violation of Minimum Wages Act, and National Floor Level Minimum Wage;

(b) whether looking to the abysmal/small earnings, they all belong to BPL, and how they are able to exist; and

(c) the reasons for inequalities?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) to (c) Under the provisions of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948, the appropriate Governments fix, review, revise and enforce minimum wages in respect of scheduled employments under their respective jurisdiction. The employers are required to pay the minimum wages, fixed under the Act.

The enforcement of the Act is secured at two levels. While in the Central sphere, the enforcement is secured through the officers of the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), the compliance in the State sphere is ensured through the State Enforcement Machinery. They conduct regular inspections and in the event of detection of any case of non-payment or under-payment of minimum wages, they advise the employers to make payment of the shortfall of wages. In case of non-compliance, penal provisions against the defaulting employers are invoked.

In order to reduce the disparity in minimum wages across the country, the Central Government fixes a National Floor Level Minimum Wage. It is a non-statutory measure, whereby the State Government/Union Territory Administrations are requested to ensure that the minimum wages fixed/revised by them are at least at par with the National Floor Level Minimum Wage.
Ministry of Rural Development has also notified a minimum wage rate under the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) subject to ceiling of Rs. 100/- per day by the Centre where BPL families are also covered.

**Problem of agricultural labour in short supply**

†2104. MISS ANUSUIYA UIKEY: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware of the fact that the problem of getting agricultural labourers for agricultural work is arising in rural areas after the implementation of Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS);

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether Government would try to find out the facts of this problem by conducting a survey through any institutions in case no such information has been received; and

(d) the measures being taken by Government to solve the problem of shortage of agricultural labourers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) No reference has been received in the Ministry indicating difficulty in getting labourers for agricultural work after the implementation of Mahatma Gandhi NREGA.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

**NLUP in Mizoram**

2105. SHRI LALHMING LIANA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of proposal received from the State Government of Mizoram for implementation of its flagship programme "New Land Use Policy" (NLUP);

(b) whether Government has approved Rs. 2416 crores for implementation of NLUP in Mizoram;

(c) if so, whether the fund has been released; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor and the proposed date of release of fund?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SISIR ADHIKARI): (a) With the objective of undertaking a comprehensive programme for inclusive development, the Government of Mizoram has submitted a revised proposal to take effective steps for proper management of two resources viz. land and water under the New Land Use Policy (NLUP). The basic objective of the programme is comprehensive and integrated development for

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
inclusive growth by weaning away farmers from unsustainable jhumming practices and helping them to adopt sustainable on farm and off farm economic activities; keeping 60% of Mizoram’s total area under rain forest cover; improving the income levels of urban and rural poor through sustainable farming activities, micro enterprises and cottage industries; introducing commercial farming; creating food security for all and restoration of ecological balance.

The programme targets to benefit 1,20,000 families, over 5 years for various livelihood options at a total cost of Rs.2,526.99 crores. The sectors included are agriculture, horticulture, sericulture, fisheries, animal husbandry, soil and water conservation, micro-enterprises, handloom and forestry.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Not applicable in view of reply to (b) above.

(d) The proposal is still under examination. After approval of the programme, the funds will be released starting from the financial year 2010-11.

PMGSY in Kerala

2106. PROF. P.J. KURIEN: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) work in Kerala are progressing at snail’s speed due to various constraints;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the details of works sanctioned and pending for consideration with the period of their pendency;

(d) whether the State Government of Kerala have requested for relaxation of PMGSY norms; and

(e) if so, the details thereof and Government’s reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) and (b) The progress of Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) in Kerala is relatively slow. Out of 953 road works sanctioned, the number of roads works completed upto January, 2010 is 455. Some of the reasons for slow progress of PMGSY in the State are as follows:

(i) weak institutional structure at the State and District levels;

(ii) non-availability of technical officers at senior levels for supervision and professional implementation of the programme;

(iii) non- availability of land for proper geometrics; and

(iv) non-availability of local suitable soil along with the alignment of proposed road are the other factors responsible for slow process.

(c) The details of roads sanctioned under PMGSY for the State of Kerala is as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value of projects sanctioned</td>
<td>718.74 crore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of roads works sanctioned</td>
<td>953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length sanctioned</td>
<td>2089.03 km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Empowered Committee has accorded 'in principle' approval for 420 road works of Phase-VII under PMGSY in its meeting held on 20th November, 2008. Out of 420 road works, clearance has been accorded for 200 road works under Bharat Nirman. The balance 220 road works are part of PMGSY beyond Bharat Nirman.

(d) Yes, Sir. The State Government has suggested relaxation of norms with regard to permissible road width and gradient.

(e) Government of Kerala has been intimated that the roadway width of six metres can be permitted when there are constraints on availability of land and the projected traffic is less than 100 motorised vehicles per day. As regards gradient, exceptional gradient has been permitted up to 10% for rural road as per the recommendation of an Expert Committee constituted by Ministry of Rural Development. However, this exceptional gradient should be limited to 100 metres at a stretch and should be used sparingly. In ghat sections, the recommended limiting gradient is 7% and the exceptional gradient remains at 10%.

An Expert Committee had been constituted to review the standards and specifications under PMGSY. The Committee considered the representations received from the States for making recommendations. The interim recommendations of the Committee on geometric parameters which include Carriageway width, Roadway width and Road land width have been circulated to all the States for adoption of the recommendations with immediate effect.

**Development work in Himachal Pradesh**

2107. SHRIMATI VIPLOVE THAKUR: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of works for provision of irrigation facility, horticulture plantation and land development facilities on land owned by households belonging to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in Below Poverty Line (BPL) families or to beneficiaries of land reforms or to the beneficiaries under the Indira Awas Yojana being carried out in Himachal Pradesh under National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (NREGA) during 2008-09 and 2009-10;

(b) the amount spent thereon during these years;

(c) whether a number of States have submitted proposals for taking up new works under NREGA; and

(d) if so, the details thereof, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) and (b) As reported by the State Government of Himachal Pradesh, 704 works were taken up during 2008-09 and 1783 works have been taken up during 2009-10 (up to Jan., 10) on the individual lands of beneficiaries belonging to SC/ST/BPL category, IAY and Land reforms beneficiaries. Project wise expenditure is not maintained by the Ministry.

(c) and (d) Yes, Sir. Details are given in the Statement.
### State-wise details of the proposals submitted for taking up new works under NREGA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Name of Proposing State</th>
<th>Details of Work proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>i. Wall protection or barbed wire fencing around the agricultural fields for crop protection to check the menace of wild animals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2.    | West Bengal             | i. Preparation of mud block/brick under NREGA under Para 1 (ix) of Schedule 1.  
     |                         | ii. Tea plantation       |
     |                         | iii. Construction of tanks |
     |                         | iv. Compost pit and bio fertilize |
| 3.    | Gujarat                 | i. Manufacturing of mud bricks for the use of construction of Anganwadi, Indira Awas Houses |
| 4.    | Kerala                  | i. Proposal for inclusion of soak pit and garbage pit in the land of BPL, SC, ST and beneficiaries of land reforms/AAY as per Para 1 (ix) of Schedule 1 of NREGA.  
     |                         | ii. Construction of Houses |
     |                         | iii. soak pits            |
     |                         | iv. Open dug wells        |
     |                         | v. compost pits           |
     |                         | vi. Rain water harvesting (RWH) structure |
     |                         | vii. Construction and maintenance of public assets (anganwadis/schools/hospitals /creche godowns/markets/work sheds) |
viii. Waste Management (sewerage, street sweeping, collection and disposal of waste, biogas)
ix. Coastal/fisheries sector (shore protection, fish landing centers, artificial roof, drying yard, boat jetties.
x. Paddy
xi. Horticulture
xii. Abandoned plantation work.

5. Madhya Pradesh
   i. Inclusion of preparation of biodynamic compost fertilizer in the list of permissible works as per Para 1 (ix) of Schedule 1 of NREGA.
   ii. Extension of anganwadis timing by paying them from NREGA.
   iii. Taking up of project for anganwadis building keeping 60.40 ratio.

6. Jammu & Kashmir
   i. Construction /laying of drains in villages,
   ii. Digging of wells and setting up of hand pumps.
   iii. Construction of kitchen-cum-store under Mid-day meal scheme.
   iv. Construction of culverts/ nallah crossings in collaboration with Forest Department
   v. Removal of snow from roads during winter.

7. Karnataka
   i. Construction of toilet pits under sanitation campaign with labor component from NREGA.
   ii. Construction of vermi compost units.
   iii. Construction of compound walls for school buildings and other Government properties.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>States/Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pub.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>vi. Support construction of houses under various schemes like IAY, VAMBAY and Ashraya. The unskilled labor component and earth work to be made out of the NREGS fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>v. Inclusion of brick making activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>vi. Proposal approved by the Karnataka State Employment Guarantee Council and forwarded by Secretary (RD &amp; PR), Karnataka.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8. Govt. of Rajasthan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>i. Construction of houses for the BPL in rural areas by dovetailing with India Awaas Yojana.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ii. Individual Household latrines for BPL under TSC by dovetailing with Total Sanitation Campaign.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>iii. Boundary wall for schools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>iv. Removal of sand from roads in Desert areas during summers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>v. Repair of rural roads (shoulder Strengthening and repair by gravelling).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>vi. Construction of water courses and lining of water courses in canal areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>viii. Provide soil cover in waste lands through transportation of soil from others sources like Tanks beds etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9. Andhra Pradesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>i. Gravel roads connectivity to agriculture Fields.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>ii. Construction of kachha drains and Connecting to natural drains.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>iii. Formation of approach roads with granular sub base to burial grounds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
iv. Construction of biogas plants.

v. Removal of silts from ponds.

10. U.P.
i. Mid day meal cook may be allowed and paid from NREGA.

ii. Wages to workers engaged in construction of houses under IAY and

iii. Inclusion of horticulture

iv. Construction of boundary wall of schools, Panchayat ghars, community centers etc.

v. Construction of playgrounds in villages and Government owned schools and colleges.

vi. Community Cattle sheds with facility for stall feeding, collection of cattle dung in a Compost Pit, drain for collection of cow urine for organic pesticide use etc.

vii. Green fodder development

viii. Nutrient Pest Management (NPM) and Organic cultivation

11. Assam

i. Boatman for providing relief and rescue Operation during flood.

ii. For relief distribution work.

iii. Construction of temporary relief camps.

iv. Restore the breaches of embankment of river due to flood.

v. Plantation and nursery on Government, Community, road side, and canal side land.
Watershed Project in Jharkhand

2108. SHRI PARIMAL NATHWANI: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has received proposal from Jharkhand for watershed development projects;

(b) if so, the current status of the proposals;

(c) whether Government has sanctioned special grants for the purpose;

(d) if so, the outcome of such grants given by the Central Government;

(e) the reasons for the delay in commencement of work on ‘Swajal Dhara Yojana’ of Government wherein many people had deposited money; and

(f) when Government proposes to implement the said Yojana in the interest of and for the benefit of about 100 villages?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SISIR ADHIKARI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (d) The 20 projects in 14 districts of Jharkhand with the project cost of Rs. 141,388.84 crore covering an area 1,17,824 hectare have been sanctioned by the State Level Nodal Agency, Jharkhand, on 25.01.2010 as per the approval of the Steering Committee in its meeting held on 23.12.2009. An amount of Rs. 7,635 crore has been sanctioned for release as part of the 1st instalment of the Central Share for these projects on 10.03.2010.

(e) Earlier, Swajaldhara funds were being sent to districts. After launching of National Rural Drinking Water Programme by the Government of India, 20% funds are earmarked for Schemes under Swajaldhara mode. Fund flow is through Nationalized Bank. It took some time to get Cabinet Approval for this fund flow.

(f) Now the Swajaldhara Scheme is closed by the Government. All old Schemes shall be completed.

Expediting PMGSY

2109. SHRIMATI T. RATNA BAI: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is expediting the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY);

(b) if so, the details thereof in the Eleventh Five Year Plan, year-wise and State-wise especially in the tribal and notified areas;

(c) whether his Ministry is not able to finance the scheme properly;

(d) if so, the details thereof and the reasons therefor; and
(e) the steps being taken to correct the situation in future?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) State-wise road length completed under Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) during each year of the Eleventh Five Year Plan till January, 2010 is given in the Statement (See below). Separate data in respect of tribal and notified areas is not maintained by the Ministry.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) Does not arise.

Statement

Road length completed (in km) under PMGSY during Eleventh Five Year Plan (upto January, 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>2009-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>1656.80</td>
<td>1885.00</td>
<td>1948.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>271.90</td>
<td>317.43</td>
<td>446.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>1141.00</td>
<td>1985.11</td>
<td>1903.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>1665.35</td>
<td>2532.20</td>
<td>1430.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>2719.36</td>
<td>2427.08</td>
<td>2187.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>830.24</td>
<td>1262.07</td>
<td>739.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>670.21</td>
<td>969.87</td>
<td>697.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>1555.20</td>
<td>1360.10</td>
<td>1215.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>140.69</td>
<td>469.80</td>
<td>549.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>277.15</td>
<td>214.97</td>
<td>1159.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>1427.01</td>
<td>2099.13</td>
<td>2125.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>100.54</td>
<td>240.22</td>
<td>103.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>5231.45</td>
<td>7893.72</td>
<td>7762.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>2942.19</td>
<td>4138.65</td>
<td>1927.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(length in km)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>State</th>
<th>3 (Amount 1)</th>
<th>4 (Amount 2)</th>
<th>5 (Amount 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>266.99</td>
<td>78.95</td>
<td>748.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td>52.47</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>28.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td>207.43</td>
<td>195.18</td>
<td>122.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Nagaland</td>
<td>398.42</td>
<td>298.53</td>
<td>252.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>1836.04</td>
<td>2641.00</td>
<td>2687.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>1036.49</td>
<td>751.62</td>
<td>549.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>9887.50</td>
<td>10349.93</td>
<td>3553.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sikkim</td>
<td>142.47</td>
<td>308.57</td>
<td>84.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>747.90</td>
<td>609.59</td>
<td>1223.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>59.51</td>
<td>361.27</td>
<td>260.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>3551.98</td>
<td>6461.02</td>
<td>7351.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Uttarakhand</td>
<td>842.08</td>
<td>645.60</td>
<td>497.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>1573.81</td>
<td>1877.11</td>
<td>815.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GRAND TOTAL</td>
<td>41231.18</td>
<td>52404.52</td>
<td>42368.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Change in wage pattern of NREGS**

2110. SHRI P. RAJEEVE: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has got any proposal from Kerala for increasing the wages under National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS);

(b) whether Government has any plans to change the wage pattern in NREGS; and

(c) whether Government has decided to include the plantation works under the NREGS?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) No, Sir. After notification of wage rate by the Central Government under Section 6(1) of Mahatma Gandhi NREGA on 1.1.2009 in respect of all States/Union Territories in the country, the Ministry has not received any proposal from Kerala for increasing the wage under the Act.

(b) A new wage policy in the light of the announcement made by Hon’ble Finance Minister in his Budget Speech, 2009-10 has been implemented.

(c) Drought proofing (including afforestation and tree plantation) is a permissible activity under Mahatma Gandhi NREGA.
Blacklisting of NGOs by CAPART

†2111. SHRI MOTILAL VORA:

SHRI SATYAVRAT CHATURVEDI:

Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 883 NGOs and volunteer organizations have been blacklisted by
Council for Advancement of People’s Action and Rural Technology (CAPART);

(b) if so, the allegations labelled against the blacklisted NGOs and volunteer organizations;

(c) the reasons for not taking any other stringent action by Government against these erring
organizations; and

(d) the names and addresses of blacklisted NGOs and volunteer organizations?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP
JAIN): (a) to (d) Information is being compiled and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Employment generation schemes

2112. SHRI SANJAY RAUT: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of employment generation schemes being implemented by his Ministry in the
country;

(b) the details of such schemes being implemented in rural areas of Maharashtra;

(c) whether these schemes have been targeted with a view to generate employment for the
unemployed youth; and

(d) the corrective steps taken or proposed to be taken by Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP
JAIN): (a) and (b) The Ministry of Rural Development implements through State Governments/UT
Administrations the major schemes namely, the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment
Guarantee Act. (MGNREGA), for wage employment and Swarnjayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana
(SGSY) for self employment in rural areas of the country including Maharashtra.

(c) and (d) The MGNREG Act provides legal guarantee of 100 days of unskilled wage
employment in a year to every rural household. The SGSY is a holistic programme covering all
aspects of self employment such as organization of the poor into Self Help Groups (SHGs), training,
credit, technology, infrastructure and marketing for the members of the Below Poverty Line (BPL)

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
families. The objective of SGSY is to provide income generating assets to a Swarojgyar/ members of SHGs through a mix of subsidy by Government and credit by banks for improving income so as to cross poverty line.

**Social auditing of NREGS**

2113. SHRI R.C. SINGH: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that many social activists are demanding for social auditing of National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS);

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) what problems Government may counter to allow social auditing in some States where the audit works are not being carried on by the State Governments?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) to (c) Section 17 (2) of Mahatma Gandhi NREG Act provides that Gram Sabha shall conduct regular Social Audits of all the projects taken up within the Gram Panchayat. Vide Notification dated 31.12.2008, amendments have been made by the Government in para 13 of Schedule I of the Act providing for procedure for conduct of Social Audit. This procedure provides that the Social Audit shall be open to public participation. Any outside individual person apart from the Gram Sabha shall be allowed to attend the Social Audit as observers without intervening the proceedings of the Social Audit. All States have been asked to prepare their district wise social audit calendar. As per reports available, social audit calendar has been prepared by 573 districts and a total of 2.52 lakh social audits have been conducted in 1.94 lakh Gram Panchayats.

**MNREGS fraud in U.P.**

2114. SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether a major fraud has been detected in the implementation of Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MNREGS) in Uttar Pradesh;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the action taken by Government thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) and (b) Sh. Sanjay Dixit, Member of Central Employment Guarantee Council had made a complaint to the Ministry that Government of Uttar Pradesh has released Rs. 55.30 lakh under Mahatma Gandhi NREGA to a Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) named Sahkari Nirman Evam Vikas Ltd. which is a blacklisted NGO.
(c) As reported by the State Government of Uttar Pradesh, Additional Commissioner (NREGA) in the Rural Department of the State Government is enquiring into the complaint.

NREGS in Jharkhand

2115. SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of estimated BPL families in Jharkhand and families registered for wage yielding job under the National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS) during 2007-08, 2008-09 and in the current year so far;

(b) the details of number of households provided employment under the NREGS vis-à-vis number of households completed one hundred days work in the respective years, district-wise;

(c) the main projects undertaken in Jharkhand for execution under NREGS during the period;

(d) the details of frequency of audit of the works, district-wise, if any, during the period?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) Latest estimations of poverty as done by the Planning Commission are available for the year 2004-05. As per available data, there are 103.19 lakh BPL persons in rural areas and 13.20 lakh BPL persons in urban areas on Jharkhand. The cumulative number of households who have been issued job cards under Mahatma Gandhi NREGA in Jharkhand is 2958788 in 2007-08; 3375992 in 2008-09 and 3625871 in 2009-10 up to January, 2010.

(b) District-wise details are given in the Statement (See below).

(c) Details of the type of works taken up are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Type of work taken up</th>
<th>No. of works taken up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rural Connectivity</td>
<td>31912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Flood control and protection</td>
<td>788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Water conservation and water harvesting</td>
<td>59335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drought proofing</td>
<td>3764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Micro irrigation works</td>
<td>2047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Provision of irrigation facilities, land development, horticulture</td>
<td>38100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

plantation on individual lands of SC/ST/BPL/AY/land reforms beneficiaries.
(d) Section 17 (2) of Mahatma Gandhi NREG Act provides that Gram Sabha shall conduct regular Social Audits of all the projects taken up within the Gram Panchayat. Vide Notification dated 31.12.2008, amendments had been made by the Government in para 13 of Schedule-1 of the Act which inter-alia provides that social audit shall be held at least once in every six months. As reported by the State Government, social audit calendar has been prepared for all the 24 districts of Jharkhand and 5492 social audits have been conducted in 4475 Gram Panchayats in the State during the current year so far.

**Statement**

*Details of number of households provided employment under the NREGS*

**Jharkhand**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>2009-10 upto Jan, 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sl. No.</td>
<td>HH provided employment</td>
<td>HH number of house* holds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>of employment</td>
<td>which have completed 100 days of employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bokaro</td>
<td>60969</td>
<td>948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chatra</td>
<td>59783</td>
<td>4732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dhanbad</td>
<td>27524</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dumka</td>
<td>76255</td>
<td>8702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Garhwa</td>
<td>61789</td>
<td>554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Giridih</td>
<td>82536</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Godda</td>
<td>55530</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gumla</td>
<td>143986</td>
<td>7005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>District</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hazaribagh</td>
<td>123827</td>
<td>3967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jamtara</td>
<td>44574</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Koderma</td>
<td>24356</td>
<td>1136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Latehar</td>
<td>79197</td>
<td>2240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Lohardaga</td>
<td>45873</td>
<td>7236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pakur</td>
<td>70178</td>
<td>1232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Palamu</td>
<td>117876</td>
<td>790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ranchi</td>
<td>209819</td>
<td>3033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Sahebganj</td>
<td>92831</td>
<td>981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Sarakeela Kharsawan</td>
<td>84364</td>
<td>2985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Simdega</td>
<td>54410</td>
<td>1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>West Singhbhum</td>
<td>39930</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Deoghar</td>
<td>34761</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>East Singhbhum</td>
<td>89500</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Khunti</td>
<td>14774</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Ramgarh</td>
<td>42510</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1679868</td>
<td>49836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rural road network**

2116. **SHRI GIREESH KUMAR SANGHI**: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the total length of rural road network in the country as on 31 December, 2009;

(b) the total number of villages/districts in Andhra Pradesh connected by road network by end of December, 2009; and

(c) the number of villages/district, to be covered under the Eleventh Plan in the country and in Andhra Pradesh?

**THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN):** (a) The total length of rural road network in the country, as per Core Network data is 11,37,873 Km.
(b) Proposals for 1901 eligible unconnected habitations, claimed by the State, have been cleared, except 363 habitations which have either been covered under other State Schemes (103 habitations) or are non-feasible habitations (260). State has reported completion of road works connecting 886 habitations. The road works for connecting the remaining 652 habitations are at different stages of progress.

(c) In the 11th Five Year Plan (2007-12), it is targeted to provide all-weather road connectivity to 60,638 habitations. The primary objective of Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) is to provide all-weather connectivity to all habitations with a population of 500 persons and above in plain areas and 250 persons and above in hill States (North-East, Sikkim, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir, Uttarakhand), Desert Areas (as identified in the Desert Development Programme) and Tribal (Schedule V) Areas eligible under the Scheme. In addition, it also permit upgradation of existing through routes of the rural core network.

Implementation of MNREGS through Lok Sevak

2117. SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to identify Lok Karmi and Lok Sevak for implementing the scheme related to Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MNREGS);

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the same may affect the transparency of the scheme;

(d) if so, whether the competent authority of Kerala had submitted reservations about above proposal;

(e) if so, whether steps will be taken in affirmative to this submission; and

(f) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) and (b) Ministry of Rural Development has formulated a draft Lok Sevak/Lok Karmi Scheme.

(c) As considered in the draft scheme, the role of Lok Sevak is primarily of capacity building programme of the village community to take the village/community towards self-reliance in matters of planning, implementation, accounting and auditing of Mahatma Gandhi NREGA.

(d) A few suggestions were made by the representatives of the State Government of Kerala.

(e) and (f) As the Scheme is in a draft stage, it is not possible to take a view at this stage.
Sewa Kendras at Panchayat level

2118. PROF. ALKA BALRAM KSHATRIYA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has decided to go ahead with the proposal of constructing Rajiv Gandhi Sewa Kendras at Panchayat level;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the funds for construction of Sewa Kendras would be made available from National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS); and

(d) if so, the details thereof and to what extent State Governments have agreed to Sewa Kendras at Panchayat level?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) and (b) Construction of Bharat Nirman Rajiv Gandhi Sewa Kendra as Village Knowledge Resource Centre and Gram Panchayat Bhawan at Gram Panchayat level has been included as a permissible activity in para 1 of Schedule I of the Act vide Notification dated 11.11.2009.

(c) and (d) The source of funding for the construction would be as under:

(i) For the BRGF districts — the material component may be met from BRGF and the labour component from NREGS. In case, the material resource support from BRGF is inadequate, the same can be incurred under NREGS provided the material component does not exceed 40% at the district level.

(ii) For the non-BRGF districts, NREGS would be the main source. The material component can also be supplemented by other schemes.

Swajaldhara scheme in Nagaland

2119. SHRI KHEKIHO ZHIMOMI: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government of Nagaland has submitted some projects under Swajaldhara scheme during last three years;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether any sanction has been made against such scheme for Nagaland; and

(d) if so, the details thereof for last three years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (KUMARI AGATHA SANGMA): (a) to (d) From 2003-04, funds under Swajaldhara were allocated to the States every year as per the inter-state Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme (ARWSP) allocation ratio fixed for the year. The States then made district-wise allocations and considered specific proposals at District Water and Sanitation Committee (DWSC)/State Water and Sanitation Mission (SWSM)
level and approved them in conformity with Swajaldhara Guidelines. Details of proposals received and cleared by the DWSC/SWSM in States are not maintained by Government of India. The State sent proposals for release of funds for the projects approved by them to Department of Drinking Water Supply, Ministry of Rural Development. Funds were then released to the districts for which projects were approved by the State by the Department of Drinking Water Supply, Ministry of Rural Development within the indicated allocations.

During 2006-07, Zuneboto, Dimapur and Tuensang districts of Nagaland had been released Rs.24.81 lakh, Rs.7.54 lakh and Rs.51.72 lakh respectively, as 1st installment for implementation of Swajaldhara projects in these districts. Further, Rs.8.27 lakh was also released in 2008-09 to Zuneboto District as 2nd installment under Swajaldhara Scheme. Thus the total amount released to Nagaland under Swajaldhara Scheme during 2006-07 to 2008-09 was Rs.92.34 lakh. Swajaldhara scheme was discontinued from 2007-08 and no funds were allocated to States thereafter for Swajaldhara projects except for releases to on-going projects in 2008-09. From 1.4.2009 no releases have been made separately for Swajaldhara projects.

Recognition of women lead families under NREGA

2120. SHRI VARINDER SINGH BAJWA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that in some parts of the country house holds with women as the head are not recognized for the purpose of issuing ration cards and employment under National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (NREGA); and

(b) if so, the details thereof and what action is proposed to be taken by Government to remove this discrimination against women, whether single, divorced or widowed and by when that is likely to be done?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) and (b) A household is the basic unit for issuance of job card and providing employment under Mahatma Gandhi NREG Act. As per reports received from various States, 1.29 crore job cards have been issued to women headed households. 73.56 lakh single women household have been issued job cards under Mahatma Gandhi NREG Act.

MNREGS beneficiaries

2121. SHRI ABDUL WAHAB PEEVEE: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state the number of beneficiaries in Kerala under Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MNREGS) in 2008-09?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): As reported by the State Government of Kerala, 692015 households were provided employment under Mahatma Gandhi NREGA in the State during 2008-09.
**IAY beneficiaries**

2122. SHRI ABDUL WAHAB PEEVEE: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state the number of Below Poverty Line (BPL) families belonging to minority communities assisted under Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY) during the last two years, year-wise, especially in Kerala?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): State-wise, year-wise number of Below Poverty Line (BPL) families belonging to minority communities assisted under IAY by allotting/sanctioning them IAY houses, during the last two years, is given in the Statement (See below). In Kerala, 5756 BPL families belonging to minority communities were assisted under IAY during 2007-08 and in 2008-09, 12181 families were assisted.

*Statement*

*State wise BPL Families belonging to Minority Communities assisted under Indira Awaas Yojana during last two years i.e., 2007-08 and 2008-09*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the States/UTs</th>
<th>BPL Families of Minority Communities assisted during 2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>6347</td>
<td>34989</td>
<td>41336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>26165</td>
<td>31556</td>
<td>57721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>42367</td>
<td>103949</td>
<td>146316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>2332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>1737</td>
<td>8406</td>
<td>10143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>1658</td>
<td>2980</td>
<td>4638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Jharkhand</td>
<td>4230</td>
<td>11141</td>
<td>15371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>4323</td>
<td>13253</td>
<td>17576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>5756</td>
<td>12181</td>
<td>17937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>6407</td>
<td>7259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>10981</td>
<td>18991</td>
<td>29972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Manipur</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PMGSY in Madhya Pradesh

†2123. SHRIMATI MAYA SINGH: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the population-wise details of the villages which have been covered under the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) in Madhya Pradesh till date;

(b) the targets of Government and to what extent Government is lagging behind its target;

(c) the amount allocated to Madhya Pradesh in the year 2008-09 and the amount allocated for the current year under the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana; and

(d) the details of roads constructed under the scheme during the last three years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) Project proposals for covering 5,945 habitations having population of 1,080 persons and
above, 4,507 habitations having population of 500 to 999 persons and 1,319 habitations having population of 250 to 499 persons in Madhya Pradesh have been cleared by the Ministry under Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY).

(b) 406 habitations have been connected in Madhya Pradesh under PMGSY till January, 2010 against the target of connecting 504 habitations during the year 2009-10.

(c) The allocations from Cess on diesel for Madhya Pradesh was Rs.440 crore for the year 2008-09 and Rs.375.77 crore for the year 2009-10.

(d) Length of roads constructed under PMGSY in Madhya Pradesh during last three years is as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Length (in km.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>3788.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2207-08</td>
<td>5231.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>7893.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inquiry into siphoning of funds

2124. MS. MABEL REBELLO: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether he is aware that large funds of MNREGA were siphoned off through NGO-bureaucratic nexus in Jharkhand;

(b) the reasons for no recovery of funds in the case despite bringing it to Government’s knowledge; and

(c) whether the inquiry would be brought to its logical conclusion and punish the guilty?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN): (a) No such cases have been brought to the notice of the Ministry,

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

National waterways-III

2125. SHRI M.P. ACHUTHAN:

SHRI K.E. ISMAIL:

Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the work on the National Waterways-III from Kollam to Kottappuram in Kerala has been progressing at a slow pace;

(b) if so, the details of the project and the work so far completed; and

(c) what are the problems standing in the way for the completion of the project at the end of the year 2010 and the steps being taken to clear the hurdles?
THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SHIPPING (SHRI MUKUL ROY): (a) to (c)
Kottapuram to Kollam sector of West Coast Canal along with Udyogmandal and Champakara canals
for a total length of 205 km was declared as National Waterway in 1993. The navigational channel with
2 m depth has been developed in Udyogmandal and Champakara canals as well as Kochi-Allapuzha
sector (99 km). In Kottapuram - Kochi sector (30 km) out of 18.83 km of shoal length, 14.00 km has
been dredged and only 4.83 km remains to be dredged. In Allapuzha-Kayamkulam (38 km) and
Kayamkulam - Edapallikota (21 km) stretches, out of total shoal length of 41.33 km, 24 km has
been dredged and in the remaining stretch, capital dredging is in progress. In the remaining sector of
Edapallikota - Kollam (17 km) the tenders were invited for capital dredging including hard strata, but
were cancelled due to poor response. Hence this work is being retendered.

Terminals have been constructed at seven locations namely Kottapuram, Aluva, Kayamkulam,
Viam, Tannermukham, Trikunapuzha and Maradu while eighth terminal at Kollam is under
construction, work of construction of Jetties have been taken up at Bolgatty and Willington islands to
streamline the cargo movement within Kochi town.

24 hours navigational aids have been provided in the entire waterway.

Hence, the progress is not slow. However, the land acquisition for widening of canals by the
State Government as well as various other local issues, such as the problems in disposal of dredged
materials, removal of fishing nets from the navigational channel, take considerable time. The handing
over of Allapuzha terminal land by the State Government and delay in completion of works entrusted
to State Government namely bank protection, and relaying of water line across waterway at Chavra
have also taken time for which IWAI has taken up the matter with the State Government.

Expansion of new ports

2126. SHRI KANJIBHAI PATEL: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware that the New Policy on expansion of existing ports and
initiation of new ports along the coastal line is causing delay, as long as two years, for clearance
under Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) Notification and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)
Notification;

(b) whether the Chief Minister of Gujarat has requested the Hon’ble Prime Minister to make
necessary modifications in the New Policy so that the development of ports is not affected; and

(c) if so, whether Government is considering the required modifications in the CRZ and EIA
Notifications under the new policy?
THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): (a) to (c) Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Allocation of land for water transport in Mumbai

2127. SHRI BHARAT KUMAR RAUT: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware of the proposal made by the State Government of Maharashtra for allocation of land on nominal lease rent at north of Radio Club in Mumbai (under MBPT) for the project of Passenger Water Transport of East Coast of Mumbai; and

(b) by when this proposal is likely to be cleared by the Central Government?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SHIPPING (SHRI MUKUL ROY): (a) and (b) Yes Sir. The state Government of Maharashtra had made a request for allotment of land on nominal basis at North of Radio Club for passenger water transport terminal on East Coast of Mumbai to the Mumbai Port Trust (MbPT), Mumbai under the Ministry of Shipping. This request of the state government was examined by the Mumbai Port Trust at its meeting held on 20.07.2009 and viewed that the location at north of Radio Club for passenger water terminal is not suitable as it is not possible to operate the service throughout the year as sea near Radio Club would be very rough during monsoon. The MbPT, therefore, suggested to the State Government to examine the possibility of locating the passenger water terminal at Ferry Wharf which would be more convenient to the common people and where operations could be carried out even during the Monsoon. The detailed proposal from the State Government of Maharashtra has not been received yet.

Guidelines capping number of projects involved in a port development

2128. SHRI SYED AZEEZ PASHA: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has formulated a new set of guidelines capping the number of projects a player can undertake in a port;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) what are the objectives of this policy;

(d) to what extent have new ports like Ennore being exploited in this manner; and

(e) the details as to safeguard public investment?

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): (a) to (e) The matter is under consideration of the Government.

Privatisation of Ennore port

2129. SHRI SYED AZEEZ PASHA: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that Government is going to partially privatize Ennore Port;
(b) if so, what are the proposals being examined by Government;
(c) how much was the total investment in Ennore Port since inception;
(d) what steps will be taken to avoid selling away Ennore Port;
(e) whether it is a fact that portions of the port have been handed over to the private sector;
and
(f) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): (a) No, Sir.
(b) Does not arise.
(c) The phase-I development of Ennore Port Limited is completed with an investment of Rs. 1058 crores. The Port commenced its commercial operations in June, 2001. The ongoing phase-II development involves private entrepreneurs. Investment of Rs. 1130 crores and Ennore Port’s own investment for supporting infrastructure namely dredging and rail-road connectivity is around Rs. 312 crores. Hence, a total investment of Rs. 2500 crores has been made since inception of Ennore Port Limited.
(d) Question does not arise as Ennore Port Limited is neither divesting any of its equity stake nor the Central Government offered any of its share holdings for sale.
(e) No, Sir. The development and operations of the Port are being done with the involvement of private sector through Public Private Partnership on Built Operate and Transfer model.
(f) Does not arise.

Cruise service

†2130. SHRI KAPTAN SINGH SOLANKI: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that cruise service operating across the country is on the verge of closure owing to imposition of excessive taxes;
(b) whether Government is formulating any strategy for its promotion and improvement;
(c) if so, the details thereof; and
(d) whether Government has considered to provide any assistance for smooth operation of cruise service?

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): (a) No, Sir.

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(b) to (d) Under the Ministry of Tourism, there is a scheme for Large Revenue Generating Projects to remove shortcomings of budgetary resources with regard to large investments for tourism infrastructure. This scheme envisages to assist private sector, corporate and institutional resources as well as techno-managerial efficiencies for development of tourism infrastructure. The subsidy under the scheme will have a cap of Rs. 50.00 crores subject to maximum of 25% of total project cost or 50% of equity contribution of the promoter, whichever is lower.

Inland waterways in Goa

2131. SHRI SHANTARAM LAXMAN NAIK: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:

(a) the number and names of inland waterways in Goa;
(b) whether the State Government has proposed nationalization of any of the national waterways in Goa;
(c) the legal consequences which may follow after inland waterways are nationalized;
(d) the names of inland waterways nationalized in the country in the last three years; and
(e) the development undertaken with respect to each of the waterways and cost incurred in each case?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SHIPPING (SHRI MUKUL ROY): (a) There are three important inland waterways in the State of Goa viz Mandovi river, Zuari river and Cumburjua canal.
(b) The Union Government has not received any proposal from the Government of Goa proposing nationalization of any waterway of the State.
(c) On declaration of a waterway as National Waterway, the responsibility of development and regulation of the waterway for shipping and navigation vests with the Union Government.
(d) Following two waterways have been declared as National Waterways in the last three years in the country.

(i) Kakinada-Pudducherry stretch of canals comprising of Kakinada canal, Eluru canal, Commamur canal, Buckingham canal and the Kaluvelly tank, Bhadrachalam-Rajahmundry stretch of river Godavari and Wazirabad-Vijaywada stretch of river Krishna in the States of Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu and the Union territory of Puducherry. - Declared in November 2008.

(ii) Talcher-Dhamra stretch of Brahmani-Kharsua-Dhamra rivers, Geonkhali-Charbatia stretch of East Coast Canal, Charbatia-Dhamra stretch of Matai river
and Mahanadi delta rivers between Mangalgadi and Paradip in the States of West Bengal and Orissa, Declared in November, 2008.

(e) Inland Waterways Authority of India has developed substantial Inland Water Transport related infrastructure namely navigational channel, navigational aids and terminals and invested about Rs 324 cr, Rs 276 cr and Rs 116 cr respectively till March 2009 for National Waterway 1, 2 & 3 which were declared as National Waterways in 1986, 1988 and 1993. In the two newly declared national waterways developmental work has not yet commenced.

Permission to private sector for operating port facilities

2132. SHRI K.E. ISMAIL: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is considering a proposal to allow private players to operate port facilities to transport cargo and also to set up captive berths at ports; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): (a) and (b) A proposal to allow private players to operate captive berths at major ports for transportation of cargo is presently with the Government, but no final decision has been taken.

Port Development Projects

2133. SHRI B.S. GNANADESikan: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government proposes to allot 17 port development projects to private players under its ambitious National Maritime Development Programme to increase the capacity of the major ports by 410 million tonnes (mt) per annum;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether his Ministry also has a proposal to set up a mega container terminal at Chennai which would increase the port capacity by 48 Mt. per annum with an investment of Rs. 3886 crores and also construct a second cargo berth at Tuticorin port; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): (a) In order to increase the capacity of Major ports, 17 Public Private Partnership (PPP) Projects are scheduled to be awarded in 2009-10, most of which are under the National Maritime Development Programme.

(b) The details of such projects are given in the Statement (See below).

(c) and (d) Chennai Port has proposed to develop Mega Container Terminal under Public Private Partnership (PPP) mode at an estimated cost of Rs.3686 crores. The capacity of berth is 48 million tonnes per annum. Tuticorin Port has also proposed to develop North Cargo Berth No. II under PPP mode at an estimated cost of Rs.332.16 crores. The capacity of berth is 7 million tonnes per annum.
### Statement

**17 PPP Projects schedule to be awarded 2009-10**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Multi purpose berth at Port Trust Projects-1, Paradip Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Mechanization of Central Quay–III Berth, Paradip Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Installation of Mechanized handling facilities for fertilizers at EQ 7 in the Inner Harbour, Vishakhapatnam Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Mechanized coal handling facilities at General cum Cargo Berth(CGB) in the Outer Harbour, Vishakhapatnam Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Development of Container Terminal, New Mangalore Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Creation of Mega Container Terminal, Chennai Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Installation of Mechanized handling facilities at WQ 8 Vishakhapatnam Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Installation of Mechanized handling facilities at WQ 7 Vishakhapatnam Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Development of standalone container handling facility with a quay length of 330 m North of NSICT Terminal, Jawahar Lal Nehru Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>4th Container Terminal, Jawahar Lal Nehru Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Construction of North Cargo Berth No. II at Tuticorin Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Development of EQ 1 in East Dock, Vishakhapatnam Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Development of EQ 1A in East Dock, Vishakhapatnam Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Development and operation of 2 Berth at Indira Dock as dry bulk cargo terminal, Mumbai Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Development and operation of a Berth at Indira Dock* as conventional cargo terminal, Mumbai Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Creation of berthing and allied facilities off Tekkra near Tuna (outside Kandla Creek) - Kandla Port Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Setting up of Single Point Mooring (SPM) and allied facilities off Veera in Gulf of Kutch, Kandla Port Trust</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rescue of cargo ship by US coast guards

†2134. SHRI Y. P. TRIVEDI†: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state:

(a) whether the United States (US) Coast Guard rescued cargo ship A.P.J. Surya Vihar with 28 Indian crew members on board;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.
(b) if so, when this incident took place;

(c) whether all Indians were safely rescued; and

(d) Is so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): (a) to (d) A.P.J. Suryavir, bulk carrier, Flag India, on her way from Tianjin, China, to Kalarn, on the US west coast, was reported by the Maritime Search and Rescue Coordination Centre, Mumbai, on 21.12.2009, to be in a disabled condition on the high seas, approximately 1400 nautical miles east of Hokkaido, Japan. The ship was drifting since 20.12.2009 due to the erratic operation of her main engine. The ship was to arrive at her destinated port on 25.12.2009. As the ship was unable to steer in extremely rough weather condition because of the disabled main engine and reduced speed, the Master contacted US Coast Guard for assistance. However, on the same day, the Master called off the assistance for rescue of the crew members by the US Coast Guard as the weather condition improved in the course of the voyage and rescue of crew was not considered necessary. The crew carried out temporary repairs to the defective machinery and proceeded to Honolulu on 6.1.2010 as port of refuge, instead of the original destination port, to carry out repairs. Thereafter, the ship arrived at Guangzhou, China on 5.2.2010 for permanent repairs. Continuous monitoring of the situation was carried out by the Directorate General of Shipping to ensure that emergency rescue could be pressed into service, should the need arise.

12.00 NOON

[MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair]

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Outcome Budget (2010-11) of Ministry of External Affairs

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI SHASHI THAROOR): Sir, I to lay on the Table, a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Outcome Budget for the year 2010-11 in respect of the Ministry of External Affairs. [Placed in Library. See No. 2009/15/10]

Outcome Budget (2010-11) of the Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI VINCENT PALA): Sir, on behalf of Dr. M.S. Gill, I lay on the Table, a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Outcome Budget for the year 2010-11 in respect of the Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports. [Placed in Library. See No. 2017/15/10]

I. Notification of the Ministry of Shipping

II. Accounts (2008-09) of Kolkata Port Trust, Kolkata and related papers.

III. Memorandum of understanding between the Govt. of India and Ennore Port Ltd.
IV. **Outcome Budget (2010-11) of the Ministry of Shipping**

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): Sir, I lay on the Table:

I. A copy (in Hindi only) of the Ministry of Shipping Notification No. G.S.R. 85 (E), dated the 19th February, 2010, publishing Corrigendum to (Notification No. G.S.R.428 (E), dated the 18th June, 2009. [Placed in Library. See No. 1980/15/10]

II.(1) A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers, under sub-section (2) of Section 103 of the Major Port Trusts Act, 1963:

(a) Annual Accounts of the Kolkata Port Trust, Kolkata, for the year 2008-09 and the Audit Report thereon.

(b) Review by Government on the Annual Accounts and Audit Report of the above Port Trust.

(2) Statement (in English and Hindi) giving reasons for the delay is laying papers maintained at (1) above. [Placed in Library. See No. 1979/15/10]

III. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of India (Ministry of Shipping, Road Transport and Highways, Department of Shipping) and the Ennore Port Limited, for the year 2009-10. [Placed in Library. See No. 1981/15/10]

IV. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Outcome Budget for the year 2010-11 in respect of the Ministry of Shipping. [Placed in Library. See No. 1978/15/10]

---

**Outcome Budget (2010-11) of the Ministry of Civil Aviation**

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI PRAFUL PATEL): Sir, I lay on the Table, a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Outcome Budget for the year 2010-11 in respect of the Ministry of Civil Aviation. [Placed in Library. See No. 2020/15/10]

I. **Notifications of the Ministry of Finance**

II. **Report and Accounts (2008-09) of the India Infrastructure Finance Company Ltd., New Delhi and related papers**

III. **Outcome Budget (2010-11) of the Flagship programmes**

IV. **Notifications of the Ministry of Finance**

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI NAMO NARAIN MEENA): Sir, I lay on the Table:

I. (i) A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Financial Services), under Section 27 of the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority Act, 1999:


(ii) A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Economic Affairs) Notification No. G.S.R. 87(E), dated the 19th February, 2010, publishing the Coinage of the One Hundred Rupees and Five Rupees, coined to commemorate the occasion of 125 Birth Anniversary of Dr. Rajendra Prasad Rules, 2010, under sub-section (1) of Section 21 read with Section 7 of the Coinage Act, 1906. [Placed in Library. See No. 1951/15/10]

II. A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:

(a) Fourth Annual Report and Accounts of the India Infrastructure Finance Company Limited (IIFCL), New Delhi, for the year 2008-09, together with the Auditor’s Report on the Accounts and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India thereon, under sub-section (1) of Section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956.

(b) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (a) above. [Placed in Library. See No. 2038/15/10]

III. A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Outcome Budgets of the Flagship Programmes 2010-11. [Placed in Library. See No. 2039/15/10]

IV(i) A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue), under Section 159 of the Customs Act, 1962, together with Explanatory Memoranda on the Notifications:

(1) S.O. 2890 (E), dated the 13th November, 2009, amending Notification No. S.O. 748 (E) dated the 3rd August, 2001, to substitute certain entries in the original Notification.

(2) S.O. 3025 (E), dated the 26th November, 2009, regarding exchange rate of conversion of certain foreign currency into Indian currency or vice versa for the purpose of assessment of imported and export goods.

(3) S.O. 3059 (E), dated the 30th November, 2009, amending Notification No. S.O. 748 (E), dated the 3rd August, 2001, to substitute certain entries in the original Notification.
(4) S.O. 3207 (E), dated the 15th December, 2009, amending Notification No. S.O. 748 (E), dated the 3rd August, 2001, to substitute certain entries in the original Notification.

(5) S.O. 3305 (E), dated the 29th December, 2009, regarding exchange rate of conversion of certain foreign currency into Indian currency or vice versa for the purpose of assessment of imported and export goods.

(6) S.O. 3319 (E), dated the 31st December, 2009, amending Notification No. S.O. 748 (E), dated the 3rd August, 2001, to substitute certain entries in the original Notification.


(8) G.S.R. 937 (E), dated the 31th December, 2009, publishing the Customs Tariff (Determination of Origin of Goods under the Preferential Trade Agreement between the Governments of Member States of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and the Republic of India) Rules, 2009.


(10) S.O. 93 (E), dated the 15th January, 2010, amending Notification No. S.O. 748 (E), dated the 3rd August, 2001, to substitute certain entries in the original Notification.


(12) S.O. 195 (E), dated the 27th January, 2010, regarding exchange rate of conversion of certain foreign currency into Indian currency or vice-versa for the purpose of assessment of imported and export goods.

(13) S.O. 210 (E), dated the 29th January, 2010, amending Notification No. S.O. 748 (E), dated the 3rd August, 2001, to substitute certain entries in the original Notification.

(14) G.S.R. 64 (E), dated the 8th February, 2010, amending Notification No. G.S.R. 118 (E), dated the 1st March, 2002, to substitute certain entries in the original Notification.

(i) A copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following Notifications of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue), under Section 74 of the Prevention of Money laundering Act, 2002, together with Explanatory Memoranda on the Notifications:

(1) G.S.R. 18 (E), dated the 7th January, 2010, publishing the Prevention of Money-laundering (Manner of forwarding a Copy of the Order of Provisional Attachment of Property along with the Material, and Copy of the Reasons along with the Material in respect of Survey, to the Adjudicating Authority and its period of Retention) Amendment Rules, 2009.


(3) G.S.R. 20 (E), dated the 7th January, 2010, publishing the Prevention of Money-laundering (Manner of Forwarding a Copy of the Order of Retention of Seized Property along with the Material to the Adjudicating Authority and the period of its Retention) Amendment Rules, 2009.

(4) G.S.R. 29 (E), dated the 13th January, 2010, publishing the Financial Intelligence Unit-India, Ministry of Finance, Senior Technical Officer (Group ‘A’) Recruitment Rules, 2010.

(5) G.S.R. 30 (E), dated the 13th January, 2010, publishing the Financial Intelligence Unit-India, Ministry of Finance, Additional Director (Group ‘A’) Recruitment Rules, 2010.


(ii) A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) Notification No. S.O. 2941 (E), dated the 18th November, 2009, amending Notification No. S.O. 1055 (E), dated the 19th October, 2001, to substitute certain entries in the Original Notification.
under Section 77 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, together with delay statement and Explanatory Memorandum thereon. [Placed in Library. See No. 1758/15/10]

(iv) A copy (in English and Hindi) of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) Notification No. G.S.R. 21 (E), dated the 8th January, 2010, seeking to impose definitive anti-dumping duty on tyre curing presses also known as tyre vulcanisers or rubber processing machineries for tyres, originating in or exported from the People’s Republic of China, under sub-section (7) of Section 9A of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975, together with an Explanatory Memorandum thereon. [Placed in Library. See No. 1749/15/10]

Outcome Budget (2010-11) of the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI JITIN PRASADA): Sir, I lay on the Table, a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Outcome Budget for the year 2010-11 in respect of the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas. [Placed in Library. See No. 1876/15/10]

Report and Accounts (2008-09) of the National Institute of Rural Development, Hyderabad and related papers.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SISIR ADHIKARI): Sir, I lay on the Table, a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:

(a) Annual Report of the National Institute of Rural Development (NIRD), Hyderabad, for the year 2008-09.

(b) Annual Accounts of the National Institute of Rural Development (NIRD), Hyderabad, for the year 2008-09, and the Audit Report thereon.

(c) Review by Government on the working of the above Institute.

(d) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (a) and (b) above. [Placed in Library. See No. 1994/15/10]

Report and Accounts (2008-09) of the Hindustan Shipyard Ltd. Visakhapatnam and related papers

SHRI G.K. VASAN: Sir, I lay on the Table, under sub-section (1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956, a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:

(a) Fifty-seventh Annual Report and Accounts of the Hindustan Shipyard Limited (HSL), Visakhapatnam, for the year 2008-09, together with the Auditor’s Report on the Accounts and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India thereon.

(b) Review by Government on the working of the above Company. [Placed in Library. See No. 1995/15/10]
Outcome Budget (2010-11) of the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT (SHRI D. NAPOLEON): Sir, I lay on the Table, a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Outcome Budget for the year 2010-11 in respect of the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment.

Report and Accounts (2008-09) of the Council For Advancement of peoples Action and Rural Technology and related papers

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PRADEEP JAIN); Sir, I lay on the Table, a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:

(a) Annual Report and Accounts of the Council for Advancement of Peoples Action and Rural Technology (CAPART), New Delhi, for the year 2008-09, together with the Auditor’s Report on the Accounts.

(b) Review by Government on the working of the above Council.

(c) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (a) above.

[Placed in Library. See No. 2003/15/10]

MESSAGE FROM THE LOK SABHA

The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological sites and remains
(Amendment and Validation) Bill, 2010

SECRETARY-GENERAL: Sir, I have to report to the House the following message received from Lok Sabha, signed by the Secretary-General of the Lok Sabha:

"In accordance with the provisions of rule 96 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha, I am directed to enclose the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation) Bill, 2010, as passed by Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 15th March, 2010."

Sir, I lay a copy of the Bill on the Table.

PRESIDENT’S MESSAGE

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I have to inform hon. Members that the following message has been received from the President:

"I have received the expression of Thanks by the Members of the Rajya Sabha for the Address which I delivered to both Houses of Parliament assembled together on 22nd February, 2010,"
CANCELLATION OF SITTINGS OF RAJYA SABHA

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I have to inform Members that as decided in the Leaders’ meeting held today, the 16th March, 2010 the sittings of the Rajya Sabha scheduled for 17th and 18th March, 2010 have been cancelled.

MATTERS RAISED WITH PERMISSION

Violence in Bareilly

प्र. राम गोपाल बादव (उत्तर प्रदेश): श्रीमती राजीव, उत्तर प्रदेश में मिलते हैं दो मार्च से कर्फ्यू लगा हुआ है। सर, यह बहुत गंभीर मामला है। बरेली बहुत ही शांतिपूर्ण नगर रहा है और यहां पर कभी भी किसी भी प्रकार का दंगा-फसाद नहीं हुआ है। दो मार्च से यहां लगता है कर्फ्यू है, जिसके कारण महिलाएँ, बच्चे या वीमार लोग घर से बाहर नहीं निकल सकते, उसमें देखायें नहीं दिखा सकती। उत्तर प्रदेश में कल जो मारें थी, उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार के सब अधिकारी उसमें लगे रहे और बरेली ज़ल्ती रही, किसी ने उसकी परवाह नहीं की।

श्रीमती, मैं यह कहना चाहता हूँ कि जहां हमेशा शांतिमय तरीके से दोनों समुदाय रहते हों, जहां आता हजरत की मजार पर हिन्दू-मुस्लिम दोनों समान रूप से हमेशा अपना आदर प्रकट करने के लिए उपस्थित होते रहे हों, उस नगर में इस प्रकार का तनाव हो और राज्य सरकार राख बंद करके देखती रहे, जब तक कि से दोनों न मिले, नरीमानों को दवायें न मिले, बच्चे न रहे जब निकल न पाएं और सरकार तथा अधिकारियों को राखी से कुर्सियां न हो, तो अजीब स्थिति उत्तर प्रदेश की होगी। उसमें मानविकी के लोग बुझकता में पीड़ित हैं 2 तारीख को Barawafat में जब जुलूस निकलती रही थी, उस वक्त हमला हुआ था, उसके बाद से कर्फ्यू लगा हुआ है। इसलिए मैं चाहूंगा कि अगर वहां से कोई सटवार आई हो तो मंजी जी सदन को अपगत कराए। मैं यह भी निर्देशन करूंगा कि केन्द्र सरकार इस मामले में तुरंत हस्तक्षेप करके बरेली को नॉर्मल स्थिति में लाने की कोशिश करें।

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Raashid Alvi.

श्री विनय कटियार (उत्तर प्रदेश): उत्तर प्रदेश में मानवीय सुरक्षा की जी है बरेली इस तरह लगा हुआ है।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Shri Raashid Alvi. उसका जीवों आंवर भी है, राजिया अली जी, आप बोलिए...

श्री विनय कटियार: उत्तर प्रदेश में मानवीय सुरक्षा की जी है बरेली इस तरह लगा हुआ है।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Nothing will go on record. I have called Mr. Raashid Alvi.

श्री विनय कटियार: *

श्री उपसभापति: आप छोड़िए इसे... Nothing will go on record.

श्री विनय कटियार: *

* Not recorded.
संविधान है।

राष्ट्रीय (आत्म प्रदेश) : अगर बात करनी है, तो नोटिस दीजिए ...

श्री उपसभापति : तीसरे, आप associate कीजिए।

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT (West Bengal) : Is this allowed, Sir? ...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Pardon.
SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT: When they make comments on other party’s rally, is that allowed, Sir? ...(Interruptions)...

Shri A. Vijayraghavan: (Interruptions)

Shri A. Vijayraghavan: (Interruptions)

Shri A. Vijayraghavan: (Interruptions)

Shri A. Vijayraghavan: (Interruptions)

Shri A. Vijayraghavan: (Interruptions)

SHRI A. VIJAY RAGHAVAN (Kerala): Sir, I would like to raise an urgent issue related to more than 4.5 million NRI in the Gulf region

SIR: Large scale job cuts and other problems of NRI in the Gulf region

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri A. Vijayraghavan...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri A. Vijayraghavan...

MSHRI BRINDA KARAT: When they make comments on other party’s rally, is that allowed, Sir? ...(Interruptions)...

Shri A. Vijayraghavan: (Interruptions)

Shri A. Vijayraghavan: (Interruptions)

Shri A. Vijayraghavan: (Interruptions)

Shri A. Vijayraghavan: (Interruptions)

Shri A. Vijayraghavan: (Interruptions)
SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN: Sir, I would like to raise an urgent matter related to Non-Resident Indians who are working in the Gulf region. They are facing unprecedented job cuts due to recession. Millions of Indians, who are unskilled and skilled labourers, are remitting nearly 52 billion dollars from their earnings to this country, which is the highest amount of foreign currency that comes to the exchequer. They are suffering because of job cuts in that particular region. Naturally, in such a situation, they have to approach our embassies in that region and the embassies have to extend sufficient support to those workers who are losing their employment.

Similarly, thousands of workers are staying in the cities without facilities to come back to India. Naturally, those workers who lost employment and don’t have sufficient documents and air ticket to come back to India should be assisted by the embassies in that region. So, the Central Government has to give necessary instructions to our embassies in the Gulf region in this regard.

Sir, a good number of them are Malayalees from Kerala and in some of the embassies there is not even a single officer who knows their language, the Malayalam language. There are complaints from the Gulf countries, especially from Saudi Arabia, where more than three million workers are there from our State alone. In Saudi Arabia, this problem is there. There is no Malayalam-speaking officer in the embassy. So, it is very difficult for the workers to approach these offices and explain the problem. So, the External Affairs Ministry and the Overseas Affairs Ministry have to take note of this problem of communication and appoint Malayalam-knowing officers in these concerned embassies.

Similarly, there is no special package or rehabilitation package for those employees or workers who are coming back from the Gulf region. Recently, the State of Kerala has introduced a scheme which provides for some welfare measures and there is a pension scheme for those who are working in that region and coming back to India. The Central Government has a special responsibility to assist those who are losing their employment in the Gulf region and coming back to India. A special package should be announced by the Central Government. That is not being done. In such a situation, these issues have to be taken note of by the Central Government and the Central Government should take the necessary steps.

Thank you.

SHRIMATI BRINDA KARAT (West Bengal): Sir, I associate myself with the submissions made by Mr. Vijayaraghavan.
MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: All Members associate themselves with the submissions made by Mr. Vijayaraghavan.

Withdrawal of work from Visakhapatnam Port Trust and allotment to Gangavaram Port Trust

SHRI PENUMALLI MADHU (Andhra Pradesh): Sir, I would like to raise the issue of withdrawal of work from Visakhapatnam Port Trust and allotting it to Gangavaram Port Trust. Visakhapatnam Port is one of the premier ports in India. With its ideal location, it acts as a major hub for shipping activities. But, after a private port called "Gangavaram Port Trust Limited" came into being, there has been a tremendous pressure from all sides on Rashtriya Ispat Nigam Ltd. to withdraw work worth more than Rs.6,200 crores from VPT and give the same to Gangavaram Port Trust Limited (GPTL) by entering into an agreement with the GPTL. Even the Chairman of Visakhapatnam Port Trust and the Secretary, Shipping wrote letters to the Government of India opposing the agreement. In spite of strong opposition from the RINL, a Sub-Committee was constituted to negotiate with the GPTL to allot the work. It is surprising to know that the Sub-Committee was not at all transparent in its negotiations with the GPTL and made recommendations which are detrimental to the interest of the VPT. I have no hesitation in saying that in the coming years the VPT would incur losses worth thousands of crores.

For example, railway freight, siding, haulage and demurrage charges are paid by the VPT to the Railways, but the same has not been made applicable to the GPTL, which comes to Rs. 150 per tonne and one can imagine, when millions of tonnes are loaded, how much the total amount comes to. Secondly, some of the members of the Sub-Committee recommended for reduction in the contract period from 15 years to 3 years. But, it has not been accepted. Thirdly, terminal handling charges are pegged at much higher than the normal cost. Fourthly, the GPTL is imposing conveyer and other charges which are illegal.

It is also relevant to mention here that in view of the total surrender of the RINL to the GPTL resulting in loss of thousands of crores to the VPT, a public sector unit, Shri U.P. Singh, one of the Government Directors on the Board and Dr. Jagat Pal, an Independent Director of the RINL have resigned in protest.

In view of the above, I sincerely request the hon. Prime Minister to immediately intervene and direct the officials concerned to scrap the report of the Sub-Committee and agreement with the GPTL forthwith and allow the VPT to carryout the work which the Committee proposed to give to a private port, i.e. GPTL. Thank you.

SHRI PRASANTA CHATTERJEE (West Bengal): Sir, it is a very serious matter. I associate myself with this issue.
Reported killings of wild animals in various national parks
including Kaziranga National Park

SHRI KUMAR DEEPAK DAS (Assam): Sir, killing of wild animals is growing day by day. In spite of having the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 and the Wildlife Crime Control Bureau, the Government has failed to stop such activities. It is surprising to note the number of deaths of wild animals due to poaching during the last three years, that is, 2007 to 2009: tiger - 24; lion - 9; elephant - 56; and rhino - 49.

Sir, in the Kaziranga National Park 12 one-horn rhino were killed during 2009 by poachers. Asiatic lions are the pride of Gujarat. In the last two years, 12 lions died in the Gir National Park. It was informed by the Chief Minister of Gujarat in the recent Assembly Session. Before that, there were only 291 lions left in the Gir National Park.

In Assam, during the last three years, only 29 cases of wildlife crime have been registered. I would like to know whether the Wildlife Crime Control Bureau is working properly or not.

In Assam, near Manas, Nameri National Park and other wildlife sanctuaries trading of deer meat, elephant tusk, tiger skin, bear cub skin is going on smoothly.

(MR. CHAIRMAN in the Chair)

Sir, in reply to a question the Minister informed that while there are instances of wild animals crossing over to human habitations and falling prey to the ire of public, there are instances also of people moving into the habitats of the wild animals and killing them.

Sir, the rapid growth of population poses a tremendous threat to the existing forest cover and the biodiversity of the area along with predominant illegal migration. Large scale deforestation is rampant throughout the North-Eastern Region.

It was reported by the Wildlife Crime Control Bureau in the North-Eastern Region that only seven seizures were made along the Indo-Bangladesh border and four among the Indo-Bhutan border during the last three years. On the other hand, 92 cases of seizures have been reported by the Forest Departments of the North-Eastern States of Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Assam, Sikkim, Mizoram and Nagaland in the last three years.

The rapid growth of population poses a tremendous threat to the existing forest cover...

MR. CHAIRMAN: Your time is over.
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MR. CHAIRMAN: Hon. Members, today, we bid farewell to thirteen of our colleagues who are retiring in April this year after completion of their term of office.

I understand that some of the retiring Members would be returning; the House will miss those who do not.

Members belonging to this House represent the diversity of our nation. They also reflect, in abundance, the talent, expertise and oratorical skills essential for public debate. The passion and liveliness of their interventions will be missed and so would their repartee and their sense of humour as also the courtesy they have always shown to me in the conduct of the business of the House.

I place on record my heartfelt appreciation of the contribution of the retiring Members in the deliberations of the House. The House will surely miss some of the familiar faces when it meets again. I am sure the retiring Members will cherish their association with this august House and the camaraderie with which we all have worked together.

I wish the retiring Members long life, full of happiness and good health and do hope that they would continue to serve the nation in other capacities with the same vigour and enthusiasm.

THE LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION (SHRI ARUN JAITLEY): Mr. Chairman, Sir, today, 13 of our distinguished colleagues retire from the Membership of this House, and I join you in wishing them all the best in serving this country. Since they are in the vocation of public service, I am sure that those who are not coming back will have other important areas of public service to render.

Sir, we all know the day we enter the House that the inevitable is that when the term comes to an end, we have to gracefully and honourably exit from the House. We enter this House with a certain sense of awe because this is an unknown area we are chartering into. But considering the environment in the House, we all go back wiser, I am sure, all of us and the distinguished colleagues, who are retiring today, share the same experience. Ours is a House of continuity. The House, as such, never retires. It is only individuals who retire from this House. All of us are in a vocation of public service, where we change the area of public service, but using the words 'retirement from public service itself, may not be very appropriate. There is a certain amount of regard and respect we all learn from each other. There are several areas in the House which are, absolutely, non-partisan;
these are the Question Hour, the Zero Hour, Raising of Public issues, etc. These are all non-partisan areas. And, even when we have intense partisan debates on certain issues, we have disagreed with each other, but we have still learnt a lot from our colleagues. And I am sure like me; all other colleagues also, have learnt a lot from these 13 colleagues. That is why, our inter-personal relations are excellent. We have learnt to respect each other. And I am sure that those who are coming back will continue to make that contribution to this House, and to those who are not coming back, I join you, Sir, in wishing them a very healthy, balanced life, and a great service to this country in the years to come.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Sir, 13 of our colleagues are retiring on 2nd April. I join you in expressing our sentiments.

Sir, retirement is only a temporary phase in the political career of our retiring colleagues. They will continue to serve the country in different capacities. The dynamic nature of parliamentary experience and exposure which they have gained over the years in Rajya Sabha, will come in handy in discharging their duties in the larger society. As Members of Rajya Sabha, they have made important contribution in passing laws, shaping policies and impacting the lives of millions of our people.

On this occasion, let me place on record that their contribution in enriching and in enlivening the debate in the House, their initiative and participation in the Committees, will be missed very much. I particularly miss the contribution, the participation in debates and the cooperation with the Chair of the Members who are retiring. I miss that very much.

Sir, I take this opportunity to wish all of them good health, happiness, fulfilment in life and many more years of service to the nation.

SHRI PRASANTA CHATTERJEE (West Bengal): Sir, on behalf of CPI(M) Members in Rajya Sabha, I convey our good wishes to the retiring Members. Rajya Sabha never retires; a retiring Member goes and a new Member enters. It is a continuous process in Rajya Sabha.

We will be missing in this House and from the other parliamentary activities these honourable and valuable colleagues who have made sincere contribution here. But, surely, they will continue to contribute outside with their knowledge and experience for the welfare of the people and the whole country.

भी वृजभूषण तिवारी (उत्तर प्रदेश): समाजवादी पार्टी राज्य सभा की तरफ से रिटायर होने वाले सदस्यों के प्रति हार्दिक शुभकामनाएं व्यक्त करता हूं। वह सच्चाहूँ बहुत ही दुःखद क्षण है, जब हमारी श्रीमती से ये अनुभव और जानकारा सदर्या रिटायर हो रहे हैं। उन्होंने अपने अनुभव से, अपने ज्ञान और जानकारी से इस सदन की सुधार किया है। मैं ऐसा मानता हूँ कि वे रिटायर होने के बाद भी राष्ट्र सेवा और समाज सेवा में रत रहेंगे और सक्षम रहेंगे और उससे देश को ताकत मिलेगी, देश के लोकतंत्र को ताकत मिलेगी। मैं उनके स्वास्थ्य और दीप्ति की कामना करते हुए अपनी बात समाप्त करता हूं।
DR. V. MAITREYAN (Tamil Nadu): Mr. Chairman, Sir, some of our colleagues, after having completed their term, will be going back to their respective States and continue the job which they are familiar with. These thirteen of our colleagues have made significant contribution to the various debates which have taken place in the House. We will surely miss in the coming years some of these vociferous voices and the fighting voices, particularly like those of Shri Vijayaraghavan and Shri Matilal Sarkar. All the Members who are retiring will have immense satisfaction that they have voted for the Women’s Reservation Bill, the historic Bill of the decade. They are going back with that satisfaction.

I wish them all success in their lives.

SHRI N. K. SINGH (Bihar): Sir, I would like to associate my party, the Janata Dal (United), with the sentiments expressed by others that we will greatly miss the contribution and the presence of these 13 Members of the Rajya Sabha who will be retiring.

Sir, I have been in this House, and have been privileged to be here, for a much shorter period than that of the Members who are retiring. I have no doubt that they will, beyond the Rajya Sabha, continue to make important and valuable contribution in public life in various specialized spheres with the domain knowledge which they carry with themselves.

I would like to join the sentiments of the House in wishing them well, a lot of happiness and a lot of success in their constructive endeavours.

SHRI D. RAJA (Tamil Nadu): Sir, I and my party colleagues join you and all my other colleagues in wishing the retiring Members all the best in the days to come. Primarily, we are all activists committed to the service of the people and the nation. Serving in the capacity as Rajya Sabha Members is a period, and we have different periods and areas to continue this service to our people and the country. Some may come back; some may be in different forums in different areas.
continuing the service of our nation. Myself and my Party wish them all the best, wherever we are, in whatever capacity we can serve the nation, serve the people; let us continue to do. I wish them once again all the best in their future. Thank you, Sir.

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA (Tamil Nadu): Sir, parting is always painful. We meet, we know, we will have only to part. It is a quote from a poem in English. Sir, we are bidding a formal farewell to our colleagues from being the Members of this august House. But, we are sure that they will continue to contribute their expertise in other fields of public service. Sir, apart from conferring our wishes to them, at this moment, I could just sense in what feelings they will be having, Sir, because I have come across the same experience, when I retired last time, and was not re-nominated. But, I had an instinct I would come back here, and after five years, I returned to this House. So also, I hope, Sir, those who are not able to come to this House immediately, they will once again come here to contribute their valuable service in this august House. I hope, they would realize the best place they have been ever in life is this House. I would like to register this, Sir, because here, whether the industrialist Rahul Bajaj or the comrades Vijayaraghavan or Sarkar or the legal luminary like Arun Jaitley or the bureaucrat like Mr. N.K. Singh or senior leaders like Santosh Bagrodia or Janardhana Reddy, all were just Members, cutting across all differences, regional, political or any other background any person is having outside this House, Sir, it is a very proud period they have been here. Their contributions by way of deliberations were remarkable and their friendship with us is valuable. I wish them, with all other Members, all the best in their future endeavours. Thank you very much, Sir.

SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN (Kerala): Mr. Chairman, Sir, this is a great opportunity in our life to be parting from the august House. As an activist of a democratic movement which has certain different viewpoints about the Parliamentary democracy in our country, I got an opportunity to be a part of this House which expanded my views about the strength of democracy in our country. Sir, I am lucky in that sense. At the age of 32, I became a Member of the other House. After a short stint in that House, I got an opportunity to be here in this House, to be a part of this House for the past 12 years. The main experience for me from this House is the strength of pluralism which is prevailing in our country. I have certain views about those who are sitting on my right side and certain views about those who are sitting on my left side, of course. Some times, I was very much vociferous towards some of my colleagues. Sir, even then, I would say that there is a strength in our country though we have different political viewpoints, different ideologies. Whatever may it be, the strength of this
country is that this country is strong enough to face any problem and we are together on serious
issues related to this nation. That is important. That is the education I had from this august House.
This has strengthened me to fight for the cause of the toiling masses of this country.

Sir, I have had some difficult moments even with you and some times with some of my
colleagues on whatever it may be. I think, we are good friends. That friendship and bondage will
continue. I am very much thankful to you for giving me this opportunity. Thanks to all my friends who
showered all kind of love and affection towards me. That friendship and bondage would continue.
Thank you, Sir.

SHRI MATILAL SARKAR (Tripura): Sir, I am very thankful to you for giving me a chance to
speak in this parting moment. Sir, when I came here for the first time, I had some hesitation, fear and
doubt because I had heard so high of this House. It was a dream for me. Now, I think, the dreams
have mostly turned into a reality. I have been enriched by the working of this House. The system,
methods, subject-matters we deal with and issues we deal with, I shall not see them any more. I
shall not find them any more. I think, anyone who comes to this House develops in him a sense of
rationality as told by Rabindra Nath Tagore: naanaa bhaashaa, naanaa mata, naanaa paridhaana.
Unity in diversity is the significance, wrote Tagore. Sir, I am very much grateful. I express my
gratitude to my Party, the Communist Party of India (Marxist), my Politburo, the Central Committee,
and the State Committee for having selected me to come to this House. I will be missing my friends
here, the speeches I could have experienced being in the House from our Leader of the House.

He is a sounding personality. I could hear the sounding voices of the Leader of the Opposition,
my colleague, Brinda Karat and all my other colleagues here. I will be missing that but I am carrying
with me the experience with which you have enriched me by your deliberations. For this, I express my
gratitude to all of you. Sir, at the end I am just inviting the attention of hon. Dr. Manmohan Singh to
some issues. On the issue of the North East I tried my best. Dr. Manmohan Singh also comes from
the North East. Much more needs to be done for the North East. In these eight States, you cannot
even move from one State to the other because transport facility is very much lacking there. The
North Eastern States have a huge potential but we are not able to harvest even a fraction of it. It can
be a very adored area if we can nurture the beauty of this area.

Sir, another thing is that I have moved a Private Member’s Resolution regarding right to job as
a Fundamental Right. I introduced a Private Member’s Resolution but now this will lapse because I
am retiring. I would like to submit that the OBC people in Tripura are not getting reservation because
there is already 50 per cent reservation for the SCs and STs. The OBCs and minorities comprise 40 per cent of the population but not a single person can be provided reservation. I have tried to raise this issue many a time here, but this has remained unfulfilled. This august House can carry on this and find a way to complete these unfinished things. Outside the House I will be the happiest man if these tasks can be fulfilled. The House may not miss me but I will be missing you. But the House will miss me when discussing these issues and whenever these issues are raised here. If these issues can be fulfilled, I will be the happiest man. With this aspiration, I am parting with you and my heartiest thanks and gratitude to all of you. Thank you.

SHRI NARESH GUJRAL (Punjab): Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Sir. Sir, being here is an enriching experience and I have learnt a lot from my knowledgeable, experienced colleagues. Sir, have also learnt what not to say in this House which my friend, Mr. Rahul Bajaj has successfully so far resisted. But I am sure in the next term that he gets he will also learn that. ... (Interruptions)... Sir, I have only suggestion that we have such knowledgeable, experienced friends here especially those who are nominated by the President of India for their specialization. We have eminent people like Dr. Swaminathan. Every time he speaks on agriculture, it is pleasure to listen to him. But, unfortunately, people like them or people like us who come from very small parties are given very little time to speak on important issues. So, I hope the House will make some remedies so that it is able to share the knowledge and the wealth of experience that our knowledgeable friends possess here. Sir, fortunately, I am coming back to the House, but, I am sure those who are leaving us will continue with their social work that they have been doing, and, I wish them long years in the service of the nation.

SHRI DHRAM PAL SINGH (Punjab): धन्यवाद सभापित महोदय। आज िवदाई के अवसर पर मेरे साथियों ने अपने िवचार रखे। यह कोई ऐसी िवदाई नहीं है, जिसमें हम दोबारा मिल नहीं पाएंगे। हम हमेशा मिलते रहेंगे। इस सदन में मेरा इतना अनुभव हुआ है, वह मेरे जीवन में बहुत मुद्द्वार होगा। मुझे वह दिन, सीनिया गांधी जी के ये शब्द जीवन में हमेशा याद रहेंगे, जब 15 मार्च 2004 को मुझे उनका टेलीफोन मिला। टेलीफोन पर उन्होंने मुझे आदेश दिया कि आप शुभ राज्य सभा के लिए अपने काम कार्य राखें। मेरे पास शब्द नहीं थे कि मैं उनका धन्यवाद कर पाऊं। मैंने कहा कि मैंम, मैं किन शब्दों में आपका धन्यवाद करूं, तो मैंम ने कहा कि हमें मिल कर देश की सेवा करनी है। उनके ये बहुमुखी शब्द मेरे जीवन का एक भूमिका बन गए है।

इस सदन में मुझे गर्व है कि हमने डा. मनमोहन सिंह जी की रहनुमाई में जितने भी बिल लाए हैं, वे देश के हित के लिए लाए हैं, गरीबी को दूर करने के लिए लाए हैं, बच्चों की बढ़ोत्री के लिए लाए हैं और महिलाओं की
बहबूदी के लिए स्वागत हो। जितने भी बेचियों ने इस सदन में पारित किए हैं, वे देश का आगे बढ़ने के लिए पारित किए हैं। यह भी भुलता नहीं होगा कि मेरे tenure में इतने सारे बिल देश को आगे बढ़ाने के लिए पास हुए।

मैं अपने सहियों का बहुत ही आदर से मान करता हूं कि उन्होंने अपने-अपने विचार बढ़े ही तर्कपूर्ण दंग से और देश के हित में रखे। यह जो अंग्रेजी समान है, वह विचारधारा भी इस संकल्प का मजबूत करने स्वरूप है। इस तरह से हमने इस सदन में बहुत कुछ सीखा है। इसना आखिरी रचने, तक सीखता है। अगर कोई कहे कि मैं बहुत परिपूर्ण हूं, मैं बहुत कुछ जानता हूं, और मैं सोचता हूं कि वह भांत गलत है। हम हर संकेत में, हर मिनट के बाद रोजाना एक नई चीज़ सीखते हैं। इसना आखिरी रचने, तक सीखता है।

मैं आपका अन्तिम आमारी हूं और सदन का बहुत-बहुत आमारी हूं, जिन्होंने हमें बहुत प्यार दिया है। हम फिर जिले और मिलते रहेंगे। धन्यवाद।

THE PRIME MINISTER (DR. MANMOHAN SINGH): Mr. Chairman, Sir. I thank you, the hon. Leader of the Opposition, the leaders of other political parties and all other colleagues in recording our deep appreciation of the contribution made by the hon. retiring Members of this House. I have been now a Member of this House for nearly 19 years and it is my experience that this House has some unique characteristics which enrich the public life in our country. This House is a reflection of the pluralism and diversity of our great country. We come from various parts of our country. We bring our own perceptions of what is in the public good. And, it has been my experience that in the process of deliberations in this House, our polity and our nation has benefited enormously by the amount of wisdom, knowledge and experience represented in this august House.

Sir, I join all the Members of this House to bid farewell to our retiring colleagues. Many of them will come back. Some of them can hope also to migrate to the other House. But, even those who do not come back to this House immediately, I think, they will find that their experience of working as a Member of this House has been of enormous benefit to them. It creates an awareness of the complexity of managing a vast diversified polity that India is.

With these words, I once again join the hon. Leader of the Opposition, leaders of other political parties and all other colleagues in wishing our retiring Members, Godspeed, good health and happiness. Thank you.

MR. CHAIRMAN: We now resume our discussion on the Budget (General). On the 15th March, 2010, Shri Kumar Deepak Das had concluded his speech. I now request Mrs. Anusuiya Uikey to resume the discussion.
THE BUDGET (GENERAL) 2010-11

(भी उपसमाप्ति पीड़ासीन हुए)

सुधीर अनुवादय उद्धर (मध्य प्रदेश) : माननीय उपसमाप्ति महोदय, इस बजट भाषण में मैं संक्षिप्त में ही बोलना चाहूंगी। पैसे से हमारे प्रतिष्ठान के नेता समानान भी अरुण जेटी जी ने अपने बजट भाषण में बहुत ही जबरदस्त तौर पर इस देश की जनता के हित की बात रखी है। इस बात के लिए उनका समर्थन करते हुए मैं स्वास्थ्य बजट के संस्थां में अवश्य कुछ कहना चाहूंगी।

माननीय उपसमाप्ति महोदय, वित्त नंदी जी द्वारा 2010-11 के बजट में स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण जैसे विभाग के लिए 22,500 करोड़ रुपए का प्रावधान किया है। उसमें आर्थिक प्रगति के विकास के लिए 107 करोड़ रुपए, रोगीय व्यवस्था के विकास के लिए 56 करोड़ रुपए, सुन्नानी प्रदूषण के विकास के लिए 44 करोड़ रुपए, योग एवं प्राकृतिक चिकित्सा प्रणाली के विकास के लिए 22 करोड़ रुपए एवं राष्ट्रीय प्रामाण्य स्वास्थ्य सेवा विभाग के लिए 252 करोड़ रुपए का प्रावधान रखा है। इस प्रकार स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण विभाग के 22,500 करोड़ रुपए के कुल बजट में से आयुष के लिए मात्र 800 करोड़ रुपए तथा उसमें भी सबसे अधिक सरल, लाभदायक तथा जिंदगी के लिए दुष्कर्म की भारतीय चिकित्सा पद्धति एवं योग चिकित्सा पद्धति के लिए मात्र 22 करोड़ रुपए का ही प्रावधान किया गया है, जो कि उंट के मुंह में जीवा के समान है।

माननीय उपसमाप्ति महोदय, जो स्वास्थ्य विभाग का बजट है, वह बीमारी का बजट है। जब व्यक्ति बीमार होकर मरीज बन जाता है, तब उसके लिए इस राशि का उपयोग किया जाता है। अविकलकर राशि के उपयोग अस्पताल, डॉक्टर, मरीज और दवाइयों पर व्यय करने में होता है। इसलिए में वह कहना है कि राशि उस कार्य के लिए दी जाती है जो बीमार को पैसा ही न होने दे और वह है प्राकृतिक चिकित्सा एवं योग पद्धति, जिसके द्वारा व्यक्ति को स्वस्थ रहने के तरीके से सिखाये जाते हैं। हाँ, व्यक्ति उन्हें सीखकर स्वस्थ रहा है तो आपके इस लाखों-करोड़ों रुपए के बजट की आवश्यकता ही नहीं होगी। इसके साथ-साथ आम गरीब व्यक्तियों को भी इसकी सुविधा अपने पर एवं ग्राम में ही मिलेगी।

महोदय, मैं यहां पर इस बात का उल्लेख करना चाहूंगी कि हमारे देश में ग्रामीण अंचल एवं अदिकारी अंचलों में आज भी लोगों के लिए स्वास्थ्य की सुविधाएं पहचान नहीं हैं, जिसके कारण गरीब लोग बड़ी बीमारियों का इलाज नहीं कर पाते और उनकी हजारों लोगों की मौत हो जाती है। महोदय, ऐसी परिस्थिति में प्राकृतिक चिकित्सा और योग बहुत जरूरी हो जाता है, जिसके लिए मात्र 22 करोड़ रुपए का प्रावधान किया गया है। आप लोग यह जानते हैं कि इस बजेट में बाध्य सामग्री महाराष्ट्र जी ने योग के माध्यम से लाखों-करोड़ों लोगों को स्वास्थ्य की हिदी से निरोग करने का मौका प्राप्त किया है, जिसके लिए मात्र 22 करोड़ रुपए का प्रावधान किया गया है। यह बताता है कि हमारे देश में इसके लिए पूरे देश के लिए अन्य सारे राज्यों में लालाज करे एवं उन्हें निरोग बनाने की आवश्यकता है। इसके लिए उन्हें ज्ञान के संक्षेप में संचालन करने का मौका प्राप्त किया गया है। तो इसमें कम-से-कम 50 से 100 करोड़ रुपए का प्रावधान किया जाना चाहिए।

महोदय, मैं माननीय वित्त मंत्री जी का ध्यान अपनी दूसरी बात की ओर आकर्षित करना चाहूंगी...

(व्यवधान)...
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श्री उपसंभवति: अभी आपके पास दो मिनट बाकी हैं।

चुभी अनुसूचित उदाहरण: माननीय मंत्री जी, आपने विनिवेश दिनों 70 हजार करोड़ रुपये का ऋण भारी की जो घोषणा की थी, उसमें आज भी बेड में कई ऐसे चुनाव हैं, जहां पर किसी ने दूसरा ऋण नहीं माफ हो सका है। ये अभी भी इससे बेहद हैं। उसका एक उदाहरण देते हुए मैं यह कहना चाहती कि ज्ञानशास्त्र, जो कि काफी पिघड़ा और आदिवासी प्रदेश माना जाता है, वहाँ के जिला वित्त और संसाधन विभाग में, जो कि Grain Bank है, आज भी 70 हजार किसानों का कर्ज करीब 2 करोड़ 32 लाख रुपए बैंक की किसी गतिविधि के कारण माफ नहीं हो पा रहे हैं और उसका वादिममा APL और BPL के गरीब किसानों को भुगतान पड़ रहा है। ऐसी निर्देशक को देखने हुए मैं माननीय मंत्री जी से यह अनुरोध करना चाहती कि वह किसानों को इसका लाभ देने के लिए कम-से-कम उसका यह कर्ज माफ करने की कृपा करेंगे।

इसी तरह से आपने पिघड़ा वर्ग और आदिवासी वर्ग के लिए काफी कुछ बजट का आयोजन किया है, लेकिन उसी परिस्थिति में राज्य प्रदेश के लिए आपने बहुत कम बजट का आयोजन इसमें रखा है। मध्य प्रदेश में कुल जनसंख्या का आधा अथवा 51 लाख चिंता वर्ग के लोग निवास करते हैं। मध्य प्रदेश शासन इस वर्ग के छात्र-छात्राओं को तह योग्य में लोकल सरकार में अधिकारियों के लिए 4 लाख 25 हजार छात्र-छात्राओं को तह योग्य में लोकल सरकार में अधिकारियों के लिए 28 लाख छात्र-छात्राओं को कुल 232 करोड़ रुपये प्रतिवर्ष छात्रवृत्ति प्रदान की जाती है। इस वर्ग में केंद्र सरकार की ओर से मात्र 10 करोड़ रुपये ही प्राप्त होते हैं।

...(व्यवहार)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Hon. Members, there is no lunch hour today.

चुभी अनुसूचित उदाहरण: जोकि मात्र 8 प्रतिशत है तथा 232 करोड़ रुपये की छात्रवृत्ति प्रदान करना राज्य शासन के सीमित सार्वजनिक के वजह से सम्भव नहीं हो पाता है।

अन्य पिघड़े वर्ग के छात्र-छात्राओं को छात्रवृत्ति प्रदान करने से इस वर्ग के पिघड़े और दिलचस्प वर्ग के बच्चों का शारीरिक विकास हो सकते, इसलिए मैं माननीय वित्त मंत्री जी से निथिवित्त करना चाहती कि आपने जिन पिघड़े वर्ग मात्र 10 करोड़ रुपये प्रदान किये हैं, वह इस वर्ग कम से कम 50 प्रतिशत करें। इन्हें कहते हुए मैं अपनी बात समाप्त करती हूं।

श्रीमती मोहिसिना किवदेह (छत्तीसगढ़): मौलतर हिंदी चेयरमेंट साहब, मैं आपकी बुरी भावना हूँ कि आपने मुझे 2010-11 के बजट पर अपने व्यासकाल का इतिहास बताने का मौका दिया। मैं भी इस वर्ग के समर्थन के लिए बहुत हुई हूं और मैं मस्त हूँ कि किसी भी बेड का चेयर, जैसा फाउंडेशन मिनिस्टर साहब ने खुद अपने राज्य भाषण में कहा कि वह शुरू हमारा document नहीं है कि जिसकी हमें पेश कर दिया, बल्कि यह एक ऐसा document है जिसके जरिए प्रकाश, जो यह बजट पेश कर रही है, उसकी नीति स्वरूपित है, उसका एक पक्का ईराया झालकता है और उसका visionary सामने आता है। मुझे फार है, कि मैं यह कह सकती हूँ कि यह एक बहुत
पिछले दशक में, वे अनेक बातें लेते थे जो हमारे भविष्य के लिए जरूरी हैं। उन्होंने खुद के पूर्वार्थता के भविष्य की दृष्टि से चिंता की, जो हमारे भविष्य के लिए जरूरी हैं। वे यह सुझाव दे रहे हैं कि हम अपने भविष्य के लिए अपने भविष्य को ध्यान में रखना चाहिए।

इसके साथ ही हमें भी जाना चाहिए कि अगर हम अपने भविष्य को ध्यान में रखते हैं, तो हमें उसका उपयोग करना चाहिए। हमें उसका उपयोग करना चाहिए कि हम अपने भविष्य के लिए अपने भविष्य को ध्यान में रखते हैं।

इसलिए हमें अपने भविष्य को ध्यान में रखने के लिए उनकी बातें सुनने और समझने की जरूरत है।
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को, लोकल बॉर्ड को हम डायरेक्ट पैसा भेजें और वह डायरेक्ट पैसा पंचायत के जरिए खर्च होता है। मैं समझती हूं कि अपने के लोगों से अपना कोई नहीं जानता कि हमने अपने गांव को क्या बनाए। 20 वर्षों से जो पैसा जा रहा है, उसके संदर्भ में के लिए आज एक बहुत strong monitoring system की जरज दर है, इसलिए है कि यह पैसा गांव के लिए जी रहा है। अब छोटे-छोटे गांव हैं, वहां आपकी के हिसाब से पैसा दिया जाता है और मेरे ख्याल से अब तक तो हर गांव में सड़क हो गई होगी, उनकी जो भी छोटी-छोटी जरूरत थीं, वे पूरी हो गई होंगी। मैं समझती हूं कि आज Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme के जरिए पंचायतों को जो पैसा दिया जा रहा है, वह गांवों के लोगों के लिए, जिन्हें बच्चों की रोटी नहीं मिलती थी, एक निम्नांग है।

उपर्युक्त जी, मैं सभी मंत्रीलोचनों के बारे में एक ही बार में बात करना चाहती हूं। मेरी नजर में आज सबसे महत्वपूर्ण मिनिस्ट्री है, *Women & Child Development*, क्योंकि नल यहीं से जुड़ होती है, पीड़ित यहीं से शुरू होती है। है इसलिए मैं समझती हूं कि Ministry of Women & Child Development को इस निगाह से नहीं देखा बाधित कि हम क्या चाहते हैं, बल्कि हमें यह समझना बाहित कि Ministry of Women & Child Development, हिंदुस्तान की गई नस्ल को पैदा करने और उसको आगे बढ़ाने के लिए है। आज के संघ death rate and birth rate तकरीबन एक ही था, उस वक्त खुला पौधा नहीं होता था। आज मेरे ख्याल से life expectancy 27 to 30 percent है। इस आपने इसी facilities वी हैं कि life expectancy बढ़ गई है और यह कारण 65 साल हो गई है। इसके अन्य child mortality rate में भी कुछ कमी हुई है, लेकिन अफसोस की बात है कि इसी कमी नहीं हुई, जबकि ICDS के जरिए बहुत कम हुआ है और हो रहा है, लेकिन फिर भी United Nations की जो latest report है, उसके हिसाब से malnutrition की वजह से 5,000 बच्चे रोज़ मरते हैं और उससे 95 percent majority tribals की है, उससे कम 88 percent Scheduled Castes की है। अगर वह इस तरह से मरने, तो इन योजनाओं का क्या लाभ? हमारे family welfare programmes बच्चों से ही related हैं। जब तक हमारे living children की सेहत, उनकी पैदावार से लेकर उनके 3 वर्ष की उम्र का होने तक, उनके खाने-पीने और इसके अंतर उनकी देखरेख के काम को अगर सरकार की तरफ से बल नहीं मिलता, तो मैं समझती हूं कि हम जो छोटी फैमिली रखने का motivation बाहर है, वह उस हद तक नहीं हो सकता है।

उपर्युक्त जी, आज Women & Child Development के लिए आप जो जब्त हैं, वह 6,705 करोड़ रुपए हैं। बड़ी सुधी की बात है कि यह बड़ा है। मैं यह कहना चाहती हूं कि आपको जो इसे सारे प्रोग्राम हैं - malnutrition की दूर करने का प्रोग्राम है, mid-day meal है, pregnant women and lactating women की देखरेख की बात है, एक सर्वे के हिसाब से इन सारे प्रोग्राम के लिए 75,000 करोड़ रुपए बाहित है, लेकिन हमें केवल 6,705 करोड़ रुपए का जब्त माननीय मंत्री जी ने दिया है। मैं उनके constraints समझती हूं कि हरेक स्कीम के लिए इतना जब्त नहीं दिया जा सकता, लेकिन मैं फिर उनसे कहना चाहती हूं कि यह जो Women & Child development की बात है, बच्चों की पैदावार सही हो, इसके लिए जरूरी है कि हम pregnant women की देखभाल करें। मैं समझती हूं कि इस संबंध में हमारे यहां जो बुराइयां हैं, वे दूसरे मुल्कों में नहीं हैं, जैसे child
ज्यादा वे समझती लेकिन उनके पास रहे हैं और हमारी गर्भधारक और लactating women हैं, वे 15 परसेंट के करीब हैं। उन्होंने अपने वयस्क महिलाओं और लactating women के लिए facilities हैं एवं अपने घर में यह भी है कि इसमें व्यावसायिक अनुभव हैं। इसमें जो सबसे बड़ी बात कही गई है, यह वही है कि जो किसी को, बच्चे और मृतें रोजाना महत्वपूर्ण है। यह बड़ी चीज है कि इसमें उन्होंने की बात होती है कि involvement of the local people व्यवसाय से ज्यादा हो, ताकि वह अपने वयस्कों को अच्छी चिकित्सा देने के लिए तैयार हो। इसमें जो सबसे बड़ी बात कही गई है, वह यह वह है कि जो किसी को, बच्चे और मृतें रोजाना महत्वपूर्ण हैं। इसमें जो सबसे बड़ी बात कही गई है, यह वही है कि जो किसी को, बच्चे और मृतें रोजाना महत्वपूर्ण है।

महादेव, अब मैं एक्सप्रेस निष्क्रिय के बारे में कहना चाहूंगी। मैंने पहले भी कहा कि हमारा देश कृषि प्रचार देश है, इसलिए यह जरूरी है कि हम कृषि के क्षेत्र में जोर दें। कृषि के क्षेत्र में बहुत जोर दिया जा रहा है, लेकिन आज जब हमें global warming और climate change का सामना करना पड़ रहा है, तो जैसा मैंने कहा कि हम सबसे ज्यादा जोर एक्सप्रेस के रूप में यह कहा है कि strong infrastructure है, irrigation का महत्त्व हो और सबसे बड़ी बात रिसर्स का होना है, कि हमें कृषि के प्रमुख निर्देशक की सहायता हो, ताकि हमें उन्नत और जीवन संबंधित निर्देशक के लिए सहायता हो। कृषि के क्षेत्र में उन्नति की जिसमें हमारा अनुभव देना है। इसमें हमें बहुत जोर रखना है कि हम किस तरह से अपने रिसर्स को आगे बढ़ाएं। यह यह कहते हैं वह आज आपके हाल है।

marriage एक बजह है, ignorance भी एक बजह है, फिर समाज की कमियाँ हैं, यह भी एक बजह है। जो 15 वर्ष की बच्ची है, वह खुद एक बच्ची है, लेकिन फिर भी वह भी बन जाती है। इस तरह देश के सामने वे सारी दुनियाँ हैं। मुझे यह सुनावा है कि फाइनेंस मिनिस्ट्री साहब इस तमाम चीजों की तरफ देख रहे हैं और मैं उनके लिए कहना चाहूंगी कि वे इन चीजों की तरफ भी यज्ञका ध्यान दें और हमारी भारतीय स्वयं सेवा के लिए मदद करें।

महोदय, अब मैं एक्सप्रेस निष्क्रिय के बारे में कहना चाहूंगी। मैंने पहले भी कहा कि हमारा देश कृषि प्रचार देश है, इसलिए यह जरूरी है कि हम कृषि के क्षेत्र में जोर दें। कृषि के क्षेत्र में बहुत जोर दिया जा रहा है, लेकिन आज जब हमें global warming और climate change का सामना करना पड़ रहा है, तो जैसा मैंने कहा कि हम सबसे ज्यादा जोर एक्सप्रेस निष्क्रिय के रूप में यह कहा है कि strong infrastructure है, irrigation का महत्त्व हो और सबसे बड़ी बात रिसर्स का होना है, कि हमें कृषि के प्रमुख निर्देशक की सहायता हो, ताकि हम भी यह बात जान सकें।

महोदय, अब मैं एक्सप्रेस निष्क्रिय के बारे में कहना चाहूंगी। मैंने पहले भी कहा कि हमारा देश कृषि प्रचार देश है, इसलिए यह जरूरी है कि हम कृषि के क्षेत्र में जोर दें। कृषि के क्षेत्र में बहुत जोर दिया जा रहा है, लेकिन आज जब हमें global warming और climate change का सामना करना पड़ रहा है, तो जैसा मैंने कहा कि हम सबसे ज्यादा जोर एक्सप्रेस निष्क्रिय के रूप में यह कहा है कि strong infrastructure है, irrigation का महत्त्व हो और सबसे बड़ी बात रिसर्स का होना है, कि हमें कृषि के प्रमुख निर्देशक की सहायता हो, ताकि हम भी यह बात जान सकें।
मंत्रालय, जैसे Woman and Child Development का है, उसमें Health Ministry, HRD Ministry और Rural Development Ministry आते हैं, वहा इन तीनों को co-ordination है? इन तीनों का co-ordination केवल सत्र पर और रेटिंग सतर पर मजबूत होना चाहिए, क्योंकि implementation असल चीज है, जो नहीं हो पाता है। मैंने नजर में education का सबसे महत्वपूर्ण हिस्सा श्री-प्राइमरी और प्राइमरी एजुकेशन है, जिसके लिए qualitative change आना चाहिए यहां trained teacher नहीं है। अब आप trained teacher रख रहे हैं, residential school का इंतजार कर रहे हैं, उस तरह मैंने आपको इशारा किया कि एक बहुत संगठित मार्ग है कि हम एक ऐसी नस्ल पैदा करें, जो आप चल कर हिन्दुस्तान के कार्यक्रम का अछे से समाप्त सके। उसकी पूरी नजर उसके उपर है।

महोदय, मैं एक और बात के लिए मित्त मंत्री जी को मुबारकबाद देना चाहती हूं, वह यह है कि उन्होंने एक Finance Institutional Fund कार्य किया है। मैं समझती हूं कि वह एक बहुत जतात की चीज है। जितना में सुना है, वह यह है कि Finance Institutional Fund की तरफ से उनसे कई बैंक इक्विटी होकर, उनसे नवाबर्दी भी है, बैंक बैंक है, बैंक बैंक भी है, आपके सामने बैंक भी है... (समय की घंटी) ... मैं... को छद्म और लेना चाहूंगी।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): The Finance Minister has to reply at 4.00 p.m.

श्रीमती मोहसिना किवळुई: मैं एक बात और कहना चाहती हूं कि जो नेशनल पेंशन फंड (National Pension Fund) के लिए उपलब्ध है, तो वह पहला मौका है कि unorganised sector की तरफ आपने ध्यान दिया है। मैं समझती हूं कि इस स्तर की ज्यादा दे ज्यादा पहलिवारी करनी चाहिए, ताकि लोग उसकी तरफ आ सकें और मैं समझती हूँ कि unorganised sector के लिए यह बहुत अच्छा कदम है। इसके अलावा जो 60,000 villages आपने रखे हैं एवं उन्हें बुखार देने चाहिए जो वह हो गया है तो इसका खेती में होना चाहिए। यह एक दिन प्रति एक तीन में होगा। इसके अलावा जो 60,000 villages आपने रखे हैं एवं उन्हें बुखार देने चाहिए जो वह हो गया है तो इसका खेती में होना चाहिए।
محرّر محسن قوانی (چہنگر محمد): محرّر ثلثیٰٰ جنرل میاحب، مین آب کی بہت مشکور ہوں کہ آپ نے مجملہ 11-2010 کے بجٹ کی اور خیالات کی اظافہ کرنے کا موقع دیا۔ مین بھی اس بجٹ کے سمرپتن کی نظر کھڑی ہوئی ہوتی ہوں اور میں سمجھتی ہوں کہ کسی بھی دنیا کا بجٹ، جبھا فاؤنیئس مسٹر چھپا ہے تیرہ اور بجٹ بھی محسوس بھی ہے۔ اس کی بھی جہلکی ہے اور اس کی بھی دیکھنے کی فضا کے لیے بھی ایک تکنیکا نے اور اس کا ویژن سامنے آتا ہے۔ میھج انقلاب یہ کہ مین بھی کہ سکتی ہوں کہ یہ ایک اور بجٹ باصلاحیت بجٹ کے وار یہ پوری ہے اور بس کے نئے کے نچے کے سامنے رکھ کر بنایا گیا ہے۔ مین اپنے دیش کے وراثہ مسٹر، جو خود نہیں کے ایک جانے مانے فاؤنیئس مسٹر، جو کہ بہت بھی تحریر کار اور بس باصلاحیت بین، ان دونوں کو یہ ہد مبارک کہ دینا چاہتی ہوں۔ اس کے ساتھ، بہم الہامی سوئیں گاندھی جی کہ بھی مبارک کہ دینا چاہتی ہوں کہ بھی۔ لیکہ جیتن پرنس پین، کیون کہ مین ان کے بھی بین سے سمجھاو بھیش کے ان کے رہے بہت اور تبہی بجٹ بن کر تیار ہو اوں۔ مانگور، مین ایک بات آپ سے ہے جاتنا چاہوں گی کہ میھج کتنا وقت ابلوث کیا گیا ہے تاکہ مین اس حساب سے اپنی بات کہی مکون۔

شیر اپ سہا بیئی: آپ کو پوری سے آپ کو 15 ملین نئے گنی بیئی۔

محرّر محسن قوانی (چہنگر محمد): مین بھی عرض کر رہی تھی کہ جبہان بھی بھی، جس وقت دیش ازد میھج تھا، اس وقت بمارا خزانہ 80 بزار کروڑ روپے کا تھا اور اس کے بعد، مین تفصیل مین نہیں جانا چاہتی اب ایک بارہا کہڈی ہے کہ بزاروں
کروڑ کے بجٹ بنی بہت بہت اس کے حساب سے چِسھے بجٹ بمارے شروعاتی
انی، وہ بھی اور دوبارہ کروڑ سے زیادہ، جب
سے پلنے گیا ہو ہم۔ اس کے حساب
بھی بسنا بچھے جو دو تن بجٹ
سے بہار ایلان بجٹ آتا ہے۔ بمارے سامنے بچھے جو دو ہم بجٹ
ان میں بہ اپنے میں انی کے بھی بچھے
پر زور دینے تھے، کوئی کہ ہمراه کے
بعد نے سے ہنر اور بھی، ہنر، اسکول، کالج اور پاسپورٹ تھے۔ اس وقت
کی طرف زور دیگا ہی کہ کہ میں ہمیشہ گیا ہے، میں ہمیشہ زیادہ لوگوں کو
سپولنگاپنین۔ اب یہ جو بالا میں اسی اس بچھے ہے۔ اس وقت
quality
کی طرف بھی زور بے۔ میں سمجھتی ہوں کہ
یہ ایک بہت بہت تجربہ کہ انسان کا بچھے یہ ہے جہنے نے اس دنیا کو پوری ابادی
یہ طرف دہیان دیا۔ دنیا میں اپسے ملک کہ ہی پر گیا ہے جہان بزازور جاتا
برادری، دھرم اور منبج بور۔ ان میں سے بر ایک کی بہتی ضرورت کا خیال
رکھتے ہوتے ہی بہت بچھے گیا ہے۔ میں سے سب سے بہت خوشی اس بات کی
پر اب ہی کی طرف بھی زور دیگا گیا ہے۔ اس میں لوگوں کی صحت کی اور بہتی
چائی۔ اس میں لوگوں کی صحت کی اور بہتی دہیان دیا گیا ہے۔ اس کے علاوہ،
سمجھ کہ جو دیگر کمیتیاں اور پرائی باتیں بین، ان کی طرف بھی اس میں زور دیا
gیا ہے۔ بچھے یہ بچھے سے
social sector
کے اورر بمارے بارثیا کا جو ایک
نظریہ ہے، وہ کوئی نوراً بہت نین ہے بلکہ ایک نظریہ، بہت یہ کہ "عمر ادیمی کے
ساتھی بمارا باتی۔ اس لیے میں سمجھتی ہوں کہ اس کے
background
نبنیا گیا ہے اور میں سمجھتی ہوں کہ اس بجٹ کی جگہ بھی سرمایہ کا جانے، وہ
کہ بھی۔ میں بہ نین کہ سکتی ہو انسان کو جو ساری بینیاد ضرورتیں ہیں، وہ
پوری بو گنری با ہم نے سب کام کر لیئے، لیکن مین سمجھنی بونک کہ فانتنس منستری
صحبہ نے انہیں بہت بہاں سی جو اکائی کیا بین، وتی بینیادی اکائی بین۔ اپنہ
نے جب چھوٹا بہت پیش کیا تھا، اس وقت جو ہیں کے اور دنیا کے حالات نہیں،
بتہ زبردست uncertainty نے اور بماری اکائومی کے لئے بتہ روا جھنگ تھا،
لیکن ان تمام جیزور کو دکھتے بونک بماری نیتناں نے، بماری رہنما نے اس
مشکل گھڑی کو نکال دیدا۔ دنیا بہر مین جو recession ایا، اس کہ جنتا اثر دنیا کے
دوسرے ملکوں مین روا، اس کے مقابلے بماری ملک مین اس کہ اثر بیہ اثر ہوا
اور اس کی سب سے روا جو مین سمجھنی بونک کہ بماری اکائومی ایگروکلچر
بیش اکائومی نے اور اس ایگروکلچر بیش اکائومی کے ذریعہ بمن نے انہیں گاؤن
کہ لوگوں کے لئے، غرب طبقہ کے لئے خاص چوہڑ سوڈال سیکھر میر جو
اسکیم سلنیئر - مبتلا مین اپنے ایپنے اسکیم اسکیم اویس کی اور
بہی بیہ بیہ اسکیم پر، ان کی وجوہ سے recession کہ جنتا اثر دنیا کے
ملکوں مین روا، بماری ملک مین بیہ کہ روا۔

# Global Warning

# climate change

(شریعہ اب سبہ پتی (پرٹی پی جے) بہتی عائشہ بونک

سنینے ایگروکلچر پر زیادہ زور دین، کیون کہ سب سے زیادہ اپنے اپنے
ایگروکلچر میکرو جنریٹ کرتی۔ میرا خیال ہے کہ ملک مین لگ بھگ 58 سے
60 فیصد تک اپنے اپنے ایگروکلچر سے ملتا ہے۔ اس لئے بمن ایگروکلچر کی
The second challenge is to harness economic growth to consolidate the recent gains in making development more inclusive.

The third challenge related to the weakness in government systems, infrastructure and institutions at different levels of governance. Indeed, in the coming years, if there is one factor that can hold us back in realising our potential as a modern nation, it is the bottleneck of our public delivery mechanisms.

By the way, it seems we are on the right path.
ہے، جہنم میں سمجھتی ہوتی کہ ان کو لنے ایک مضبوط انفرادی کرکٹ ضروری
ہے، جس کی کمی ہے۔ اپنی کونی بھی اس کیمی نہیں، بلیکہ، ایگزپلائر یا
ایک اور، کونی بھی اس کیمی نہیں، اس کو لنے مضبوط انفرادی کرکٹ ضروری
ہے، جس کی مین سمجھتی ہون کا اہمیت بھی
ہے۔ اپنی کمی ہے۔ اپنی روز دیکھنے بیٹھی، تمام شگایاناتی اسی بیٹھی نریگا گئے
کتنی ہیں۔ 25 فیصد بھی ساڑھ ایہ بیٹھی، باقی سارا کریشک مین چلا ہے۔
مین اپنے ماسا کوکس میتی، مانند رولر سینٹماکہ مین سے کہنا چاہوں گی
c کہ 20 سال سے پنچائت راج کو ذاثریکہ یہ سے ہی۔ اگر ہے۔ یہ یہی ایک بڑی ایجی
سوج ہے۔ بدلی کہ دیکھنا تھا کہ گاون مین ترقی نہیں ہوئی۔ یہ یہی سب سے مزیدی راجک
گاؤں میں چیک، مین نے باتیا کہ پنچائت کو لوک باتنگ کو میں ذاثریکہ
پیسے، بھیجے اور وہ ذاثریکہ یہ سے پنچائت کے ذرائع خرچ ہوئے۔ مین سمجھتی
ہوئی جب پنچائت کے لوگوں سے زیادہ کوئی بھی نہیں ہوتا کہ ان کو اپنے گاون کو کب
پنچائی۔ 20 سالوں سے گاون جا رہا ہے اس کے سہبادگ کے لنے اگر بہت
گی ضرورت ہے اس لنے ہو پنچائی کی لنے ہی رہا
ہے۔ اپنی چھوٹی چھوٹی گاون بیہ، جب ان کا کوئی سپسہ سے پسند دیتا ہے
اور میرے خال سے اپنے کوکئی بھی کوچونہ مین سرکار بھی کسی بھی
چھوٹی موتی ضرورتی نہیں، وہ بوری ہو گی بھی کسی بھی مین سمجھتی ہون کا اح
کے ذرائع
Muhammad Ali National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme
dو وقت گی روٹی بھی نہیں ہیں ایک نہیں ہے۔
life expectancy 27 life expectancy

child mortality rate

mortality rate

malnutrition

United Nations latest report

mortality rate

majority tribals

Schedule Castes

family welfare programmes

living children

motivation
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Women & Child Development के लिए ऑब का
जोखिम, जो 2005 करोड़ रुपये बंटे थे। यौनी, शीतली बच्चे के बच्चे के कीमतों के बाद, जो
women

मिने दूरी में ब्रुटो का प्रोग्राम ने, तय की।

की दिखाई दिखाई की बिने, लिये सुरू की के बच्चे के हेल्थ युक्ति।

प्रोग्राम के के की मोटे 75 करोड़ रुपये चाहिए, लिये के 705 करोड़ समझने बुनकी के

रोबे का जोखिम मानें में ती जी ने दिया था। मिने दूरी के

के ब्रेक एक्सिजेंस के की लिये दूरी बच्चे बिने सकता, लिये मिने दूरी ने से केना

जाने ब्रेक के जो जो

सच्चाई बुन के के के प्रयोगी बुन के, प्रेग्नेंट women

मिन समझने बुन के, दूरी समझे। मिन बिने जो हेल्थ युक्ति, वो दूरी

एक विकास में ब्रेक बीजीए, एक हेल्थ एक विकास जो।

बी, बहू समाज के केना बीवी, बी, बहू एक विकास जो।

बी, बहू समाज के केना बीवी, बी, बहू एक विकास जो।

बी, बहू समाज के केना बीवी, बी, बहू एक विकास जो।

सतरी जिन्दुला बिने। मिने दूरी बीजीए, के, फांटल्स नस्तर मिट्टी हाल हेल्थ के खाने, मिने दूरी बीजीए, के, फांटल्स नस्तर मिट्टी हाल हेल्थ के
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की दूरी बीजीए, रेखा बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी बीवी
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بزارون بھی اور عوامین روز مرتبہ بیان میں سمجھتی ہوئے کہ اس میں دیکھنے کی بات ہے۔ بوھی ہے۔ اس میں سمجھتی ہوئے میں سمجھتی ہوئے زیدہ ہے زیدہ بون، ناگر، تھاکر اپنے اپنے کو اچھی گزیدے کیہا سکین اور انہا سکین۔ اس میں جو سب سے زبردست بات کیے گئے ہیں، وہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ اس میں سمجھتی ہوئے کہ اس میں سمجھتی ہوئے میں سمجھتی ہوئے جو جو بون ہے کہ بہت اسکین ہے۔ اس میں جو سب سے زبردست بات ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ اس میں جو سب سے زبردست بات ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ اس میں سمجھتی ہوئے میں سمجھتی ہوئے جو جو بون ہے کہ بہت اسکین ہے۔ اس میں جو سب سے زبردست بات ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ اس میں سمجھتی ہوئے میں سمجھتی ہوئے جو جو بون ہے کہ بہت اسکین ہے۔ اس میں جو سب سے زبردست بات ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ اس میں سمجھتی ہوئے میں سمجھتی ہوئے جو جو بون ہے کہ بہت اسکین ہے۔ اس میں جو سب سے زبردست بات ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ اس میں سمجھتی ہوئے میں سمجھتی ہوئے جو جو بون ہے کہ بہت اسکین ہے۔ اس میں جو سب سے زبردست بات ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ اس میں سمجھتی ہوئے میں سمجھتی ہوئے جو جو بون ہے کہ بہت اسکین ہے۔ اس میں جو سب سے زبردست بات ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔ کہ ہے۔
People are classical KVCs, and we have a great relationship. The key is to work together and understand each other. In science, we look at the evidence and make decisions based on that. In KVCs, we work on the ground and see what happens. The infrastructure, delivery system, child and women development, rural development ministry, health ministry, HRD ministry, and the government provide support. Is there any issue we need to work on together?
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کر رہے بہین، اس وقت میں نے اپنے کہ کہ ایک ہفتہ صحتمند راستہ بہ کے

ہم ایک ایسی نسل پیدا کریں جو اگئی جن کر بنوئے کی کاریب کو اچھے سے

سنہنال سکے اس کی پوری نظر میں کی اور بہیں۔

موہویہ، میں ایک اور بات کی لئے وزیر خزانہ کو مبارکباد دینا چاہتی ہوں،

وہ پیچھے کے اپنے نے ایک قائم کیا بہی مسیحی Finance Institutional Fund

پوری کے ایک بہت زبردست چیز بہی جن بھی میں نے سنا بہی وہ پیچھے

کی طرف سے اس میں ہمین کی بہنکا اکثریت بوکر اس میں نابہرنے

بہی بہی، ورلد بینک بہی بہی، پرائیویٹ بینک بہی بہی، اپ بہی گروپ میں بینک بہی

بہی۔

(وقت کی گھنیتی۔)

میں دوہی دیویکی اور لینا چاہوں گی تو اس کے لئے بہی بہی جو فنڈ قائم کیا بہی میں

ساری منتجات سے کبھی بہی ہے اس کا اذہین ہوگیا اس کا مقدار بہی ان لوگوی

کی بینک کی فیسٹیو سے جن بھی میں ہوگی کے کم 50 سے 60 کروڑ لوگ کی بہی

بیانگی بینک کی فیسٹیو نہیں بہی ان بہی بہی بیانگی بہی بہی اپ ہیں

بیانگی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی

بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی بہی
THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): The Finance Minister has to reply at 4.00 p.m.
THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Thank you very much. I would have given you more time but my hands are tied because of shortage of time. Now, Shri Vijayaraghavan. Your Party has no time. Therefore, take less than five minutes.

SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN (Kerala): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, I thank you for giving me the opportunity to participate in this discussion. Sir, my basic contradiction with the General Budget is with regard to its general approach. Sir, unfortunately, this Budget has come at a time when our country is facing spiraling prices of essential commodities and the inflation is accelerating. Sir, the increase in prices of essential commodities, especially, the food articles, for the poor man, the agricultural labourers and the rural people was nearly 25 per cent, but, unfortunately, we could not have a proper approach in this regard.

Sir, the word ‘aam aadmi’ was very much there in the Budget in different parts. But, unfortunately, the approach was against the interests of the ‘aam aadmi’. I do not know whether the approach has changed. Yesterday, I read in the newspaper that in the 2010 Forbes List of World’s Billionaires, India has nearly 50 billionaires, and, the elder one of the Ambani brothers tops the Indian list. I don’t know whether the Government considers them as ‘aam aadmi’. Why am I saying all this? Sir, in our country, of course, we have to give subsidy to the poorer section, but, unfortunately, the approach is slightly changed. There has been a decline in the total subsidy as proportion to the GDP since 2008-09. During 2008-09, the total subsidy as a proportion to GDP was 2.33 per cent, which declined to 1.68 per cent in 2010-11 Budget. Similarly, Sir, the total subsidy as a proportion to the Union Budget declined to 10.48 per cent in 2010-11 from 14.67 per cent in 2008-09. The major cut in this case has been in the fertilizer subsidy etc. Here, Sir, the approach is totally negative towards the rural masses, who are suffering because of the price rise, the failure of agriculture and other defects in the system. Sir, expenditure on rural economy in 2008-09 was 21.6 per cent of the total Budget. Now, Sir, there has been a declining trend since 2008-09. Here it is noticed that the share of expenditure on rural economy as a proportion of the total Union Budget expenditure has been on a decline, and in the Budget estimates of 2010-11, it reached to 16.18 per cent. This trend in the Union Budget towards the expenditure on rural economy has an adverse impact on the rural masses in our country.

With regard to the farming community, agriculture accounted for 55 per cent of the GDP during the early 50s. Then it declined to 36 per cent. In the last Budget, it declined to 17 per cent. And, in this Budget, it has now come down to 15.7 per cent. Sir, 60 per cent of the rural masses have to live
with this meager amount of 15.7 per cent of the GDP. It means the living conditions of the poor peasants in our villages have been showing a declining trend. It is declining year after year. We have to address this problem. It was reported that there would be a minus .2 growth in agriculture.

Sir, we all claim that this country is progressing. Unless we address the problems of the farming community, it would be very difficult for our country to progress. The Government has to enhance the expenditure on agriculture. Similarly, we have to think about the Public Distribution System. Unfortunately, I think the Government is going to wind it up. The poor man is in difficulty. My State Kerala is producing 15 per cent of the total foodgrains. So, naturally, we need special assistance. I would request the Central Government and the Finance Minister to strengthen the PDS and try to universalise it in the whole country.

Similarly, I have some problems relating to my State. One thing is regarding the Aligarh Muslim University off-campus centre. In the last Budget, there was an announcement of Rs.25 crore for it. This time our State Government took over land worth Rs.20 crore and handed it over to the Central Government. But, unfortunately, no fund was allocated for the Aligarh Muslim University off-campus centre in Kerala. I request the Government and the hon. Finance Minister to announce sufficient amount for this.

Sir, two small things are there. One is related to the NRIs from Kerala. They are contributing 52 billion dollars per annum. The Government has to provide a special package and a rehabilitation scheme for the NRIs in the State.

Sir, one thing is related to the Kochi Metro. It was announced during the 100-day flagship programmes of the Government. Unfortunately, that was not included in this Budget. I would request the hon. Finance Minister to give proper allocation for this scheme. One more point is left.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): How many points do you have?

SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN: Sir, only one more. It is related to strengthening the efficient scheme of the State Government of Kerala to help the poor man and middle class during the period of price rise. The Government has spent Rs.450 crore additionally towards strengthening the Public Distribution System. I would request the Central Government and the Finance Ministry to expand assistance towards these schemes, to those States who are helping the poor in this difficult time of price rise. If the State Governments are coming forward to help the poor, so that they are not impacted by the price rise, the Central Government should also extend its cooperation.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): All right.
SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN: Sir, a comprehensive pension scheme and legislation for agricultural workers has to be taken note of. Thank you, Sir.

SHRI A. ELAVARASAN (Tamil Nadu): Sir, I thank you for having given me this opportunity to speak in my mother tongue Tamil.

*Hon’ble Vice Chairman sir, On behalf of AIADMK, I express my sincere thanks for providing me an opportunity to participate in this discussion on General Budget. This is the August House which had passed the historic legislation of Women’s Reservation Bill. Once again, I thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to speak in this August House.

India is a big country. Many developed, nations of the world wonder at India’s growth and development. Budget 2010-2011 has been presented now. Our nation had been subjected to so many trials and tribulations, and had faced so many challenges in the past. But even after 62 years of independence, we are taking steps to eradicate hunger. Prices are always increasing. Unemployment problem is increasing. On the one hand, we face terrorism, on the other hand, we have to face Maoism. Naxalism attacks us from another side.

Hon’ble Vice Chairman sir, Even after 62 years of independence, crores of our people lack basic facilities such as insufficient food, clothing and shelter. They had to live in abject poverty. Their day to day life is filled with tears and tribulations. At this juncture, Budget has been presented by our Hon’ble Union Minister of Finance, who is an efficient administrator and an experienced politician.

I humbly request the Hon’ble Minister that the Government should not stop only with planning and allocation of money. Steps need to be taken to check whether the allocated fund reaches the targeted mass. The plans and its benefits had to benefit the poor people, farmers, women, children and senior citizens etc. Hon’ble Vice Chairman sir,

The prices of essential commodities have been skyrocketing. The Union Government has cited many reasons for this price rise. During the recent months, the price of some commodities has been increased to more than 200-300%. Hon’ble Vice Chairman sir, can you believe this. Certainly, you will not believe this.

Our household women are toiling everyday in their kitchens due to this price rise. They are in a better position to explain the effects of this price rise. Due to this price rise even basic food items like salt, tamarind, chilly, dal, sugar, edible oil, wheat, rice, ladies finger and brinjal have become a distant dream to the working class, the farm labourers, and for people living below poverty line. Therefore, Sir, I request the Government to reduce the prices of essential commodities in order to protect the common man.

* English transliteration of the original speech in Tamil
Next, I would like to mention about the plight of agriculturists. Majority of the population depends on agriculture. We can eat only because of the labour of farmers. I am one among the crores of farmers of our nation. They had to face so many problems ranging from seed, water, insecticides, fertilizers and finance. If they produce something overcoming these obstacles, they are affected by various factors such flood, drought, plunder, ground water depletion etc. If they sustain all these obstacles and cultivate, they could not get proper remuneration price for their agricultural produce. There is no proper storage facility for their yield.

They could not get proper loan facility. In order to get loans from nationalized banks, they had to cross so many official procedures. Otherwise the nationalized banks will not sanction loan to them. More than 45% of farmers get loan from private money lenders. They were not able to repay the loan on time due to various obstacles they face during cultivation. Unable to repay their loan, many farmers had to sell their land. Due to the tortures of private money lenders, crores of farmers had been forced to sell their land. After selling their land, they commit suicide.

Hon’ble Vice Chairman Sir,

The Union government has announced the agricultural loan waiver scheme. Though it is a welcoming scheme, it has not yielded proper result. In the year 2009, 16,196 farmers have committed suicide. What is the reasons for such suicides? Government have to take steps to identify the factors leading to the suicide of farmers. One of the prime reasons for farmers’ suicide is private money lending business.

Hon’ble Vice Chairman Sir,

In Tamil Nadu, during the regime of our esteemed leader Dr. Puratchithalaivi Amma Ms. Jayalalitha, steps were taken to abolish private money lending. As a result, farmers of Tamil Nadu are protected from private money lenders. Private money lending had been totally abolished in Tamil Nadu. This step can be considered by the Union Government also. The Union government may enact a legislation to abolish private money lending.

Next, I would like to point out the problem of providing water facility for agricultural purposes. If ground water level is depleted, agriculture can not flourish. Therefore, proper planning has to be done by the Government to increase the ground water level.

Sir, next I want to speak about the education sector. In this budget, only Rs.31,036 crore has been allocated for the education sector. It is really doubtful whether this amount is enough for our nation which has an enormous population. The outlay is certainly not enough for our nation. More than 380 million people did not get education in our nation. More than 30% of the students drop their
education at 8th standard level. Only 10% of the students go for higher education. More than 120 million students could not get higher education due to poverty. Only 3.6% of the National Income is allotted for education. This allocation has to be increased to 6%. Sir, this is not my recommendation, this is not your recommendation. This has already been recommended by the Kothari Committee 40 years ago. Sir, one more minute. I will conclude the speech.

If our nation has to become a developed nation, if our nation has to become a super power, terrorism and extremism had to be controlled. Internal security had to be strengthened. Prices of essential commodities had to be reduced. The problem of unemployment and hunger had to be abolished. More financial allocation is needed for education sector. A special long-term plan and also a short-term plan have to be formulated for the welfare of labourers. Thank you very much, sir.

SHRI N.K. SINGH (Bihar): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, at this stage of the debate when so much has been said and so much has been written about it, there can be little scope for new suggestions, much less, make any innovative observations. But since economic management is an ongoing process which transcends mere budget-making, it is in this spirit that I will venture to make a few observations. First and foremost, Sir, the Finance Minister usually get credit for what they have done. They rarely get credit for what they have abstained to do. For instance, he has abstained from rolling back the fiscal stimulus in one go, in jacking up the excise duty to 4 per cent, in a faster compression of expenditure, in compressing devolution to States which would have enabled better improvement and quicker improvement of the Central finances, or not tightening liquidity pressures unduly throttling new investments, but able to mitigate inflation, these, and others like aggressive revenue raising measures. Sir, these are options which the Finance Minister had also had. But he did not choose not to exercise his options. These indeed are equally creditable, as in addition to what he has done. What he has done, of course, is a very credible tight rope walking in combining the virtues of growth with fiscal consolidation along with giving some signals on agriculture, a green chapter in the Budget on fertilizer rationalization, opening up of banks, to mention only a few.

Having said all this, it is often said that For Finance Minister’s candour is a form of financial speculation, as indeed eschewing audacity, is a form of preventing misadventure first, Sir, on candour. On candour, Sir, let me say that the Finance Minister I am sure is clever enough to recognize that some of the assumptions contained in part B of the medium-term fiscal policy statement look problematic. For instance, the basic macro economic assumptions which are used
for 12.5 per cent nominal rate of growth of GDP is predicated on a continuation of inflation at just 4 per cent which looks somewhat optimistic. In case inflation turns out to be higher, nominal GDP growth will have to be higher, interest rates will begin to look northward, which apart from stifling investments, could also begin to increase the debt payment liability of the Government. It is equally audacious, for instance, to presume that the inflation throughout the adjustment period would continue to be just 4 per cent or so. Also, Sir, I think that some of the good results of the fiscal consolidation programme, which we have before us, are, partly, on account of one of the factors, like the fact that all areas of Sixth Pay Commission have been paid, that debt waiver will not be a recurring phenomenon. And these have been listed, Sir, in the Medium Term Fiscal Policy Statement. But notwithstanding the fact that the arbitrage will continue, nonetheless, given the present stance on the disinvestment policy, it is, somewhat, problematic if there would be appetite for a continued Rs.40,000 crore disinvestment programme every year. A huge borrowing programme of Central Government, also, Sir, is, somewhat, problematic, considering that there will not be that kind of liquidity expansion, that open market purchases of Government bonds will be limited and, no doubt, it will not have the benefit of synchronized operation with unwinding of the Market Stabilization Scheme (MSS). Therefore, Sir, the assumption that the Rs.3,45,000 crore market borrowing will have no crowding out effect on the private sector is a matter on which the Finance Minister may like to give some further thought.

Also, Sir, I am sure, he will, perhaps, realize that in this programme of fiscal consolidation, an excessive reliance has been placed on expenditure compression instead of additional revenue measures. The revenue to GDP which has reached 12.3 per cent, in 2007, will, in the entire period of fiscal consolidation, not creep up beyond or close to 10.9-11 per cent whereas, in the overall matrix of the fiscal consolidation path, a better management and a balance between expenditure compression and revenue realization measures is a matter on which he may wish to give an ongoing thought.

Going on, and apart from making these macro comments, I have five suggestions to make for his consideration, and I make these considerations not in suggesting audacity much less adventurism. First, let me refer to Chapter II of the Economic Survey, entitled Macro Fundamentals of the Economy", which has the "invisible hand" of the very distinguished Chief Economic Advisor, who has, recently, adorned the Ministry of Finance. A number of very important suggestions have been made in that Chapter, for instance, on rationalization of subsidies, on having a coupon system
of payment of subsidies, the manner in which they are conducting the open market operations for foodgrains, management of the food distribution system and the minimum support price for agriculture. And a number of such suggestions on subsidy realization are also made. That Chapter is realistic enough to also realize that on the energy security, this increase in the price of petroleum and diesel is inescapable, but, that is the more daunting agenda on managing Rs.40,000 crores, under recovery by all companies; since there are limits to which what he can do by way of cash transfers, and there are also limits to what upstream can crosssubsidise the downstream, sooner or later, the Government would have to bite the bullet. And that Chapter makes this recommendation on Kirit Parekh Report. I am sure, this is in the Finance Minister’s purview.

It goes on making other important suggestions on the management of anti-poverty scheme, on administrative reforms and changes, which can minimize the delays in project approvals and project processes, and also the bureaucratic delays. There is a very nice box there, which makes a cross international comparison. That is something which, I think, the Finance Minister may like to give further attention to.

There is one more important suggestion, Sir, before I move on to my second point, which the Economic Survey makes in that Chapter. For the first time, it has been audacious enough to say—on page 31, at 2.28 ”Labour Regulations and Wages”, of the Economic Survey goes on to say, and I quote:

"It is arguable that our labour laws, such as the Industrial Dispute Act of 1947, if appropriately reformed, can lead to a greater demand for labour, and through that, improve economic well-being of workers."

Sir, we need to move in that direction. And the Finance Minister should have, at least, given the States, for moving in that direction, a flexibility enough in line with the thinking contained in that particular segment of the Economic Survey.

There are many other suggestions which that Chapter makes on foodgrain distribution and administration of minimum support price, which I have mentioned too. My second point, Sir, is that in my last Budget intervention, the Finance Minister would, I am sure, recall, I had made a suggestion that the classification of Government accounts need a fundamental rethink. It needs a rethink because many items are wrongly being classified as revenue deficits and are wrongly being classified giving the impression that they are not capital creating. But, now the Government has come to realize this and, again, I would like to quote from paragraphs 38 and 39 of the Mid-Term Policy Statement where it goes on to say, and I quote:

"These revenue expenditures can’t be treated as unproductive in nature. On the contrary, they contribute to the growth of the economy because presently most of the capital-related
expenditure is not directly funded in the capital account of the Central Government. There are autonomous bodies who are executing and funding these projects."

Sir, there is, therefore, a case for re-looking at the Government of India’s classification of accounts in a more pragmatic way and more emphasis can be laid on the end outcomes than expenditures. Would the Finance Minister, therefore, in the light of the conclusions he has himself come to, consider constituting an Empowered group for reclassification of Government accounts?

My third point, Sir, is that the centre piece in the Budget strategy is the emphasis on agriculture, agricultural productivity and making sure that food security is ensured. But I plead with him that the provision of just Rs.400 crores for the entire eastern India is rather miniscule, considering the huge amount of money which would require for seed replication programme and other kinds of inputs, for reaching formal agricultural credit to the rural economy and, of course, not to speak of the costs on account of weather and crop insurance.

Fourthly, while complimenting the Finance Minister, for the first time, for having a Green Chapter in his Budget, let me say that it is worthwhile in future Budgets if this Green Chapter can have a more coherent relationship and linkages with the Prime Minister’s special initiatives and with the activities, allocations and outcomes.

Fifthly, let me compliment the Finance Minister that, for the first time, he has taken initiatives on public expenditure management. I draw his attention to paragraph 32 of the Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management Statement dealing with these initiatives. The Ministers are, for the first time, being made directly accountable that in each financial year they will, with the approval of the Minister, have a result-framework document and then assigns key performance indicators or key result areas. These moves are salutary and we hope that they will be implemented and we will be kept informed of the outcome or the progress.

While making suggestions on the evaluation programmes, let me make two other suggestions. First, the practice, which existed, of a biennial report to this House on outcome-outlay performance, needs to be revived and put back. Second, in the evaluation programme assigned under the Planning Commission, we need to make sure that the project initiators and project deciders do not become project judges also. We, therefore, need to have an independence of that evaluation process and putting it in the Planning Commission must really make special efforts to try and mitigate that danger.
Sir, I have some suggestions on other matters. On Budget making, there is some other occasion, when I will have other things to say. On the Direct Tax Code, let me put to the Finance Minister, having given this largesse of a huge break of tax slabs to the middle class community, when it comes to redoing the Direct Tax Code for the consideration of this House, I am sure, he will be conscious of the fact that when it comes to the corporate sector, the applied rate and the realized rate, there is a huge dichotomy. This has been mentioned in this House. The applied rate is 33.3 per cent and the realized rate is closer to 21 per cent, and the companies with a turnover of less than Rs.500 crores, the applied rate may be closer to the rate which is realized. Companies which have turnover of over Rs.500 crores the realized rate is even lower than the average of 21 per cent. These are matters, I am sure, which he would like to consider as he goes along.

The Finance Minister, Sir, I am sure, would like to keep the global parameters in view, the changes in the global economy, the fact that the global recovery is far from certain and the fact that there are many other unpredictabilities in the circumstances. These need to be constantly monitored. Therefore, it would be a good and sanguine practice if the Finance Minister could consider that at the end of each of the sessions of Parliament — we have three sessions; the Budget session, the monsoon session and the winter session — he would share with this House a statement on the State of the Economy, on how these important assumptions have been made in the Budget, the behavioural characters of these things and how the economy has performed during this period. It will enable a more meaningful interaction.

Finally, I wish the Finance Minister luck. I have great faith in the soundness of his judgement and I encourage him that he relies on his judgement than an excessive reliance on the species of people who like me are pursuers of, what is called, the science of dismal economics, the category called the Economists. John Kenneth Galbraith, the famous Economist, had once said, "There happen to be a species who will only discover tomorrow why the predictions that they made yesterday did not come true today". Mr. Finance Minister, you have my good wishes and luck for every success in these daunting challenges. Thank you.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Thank you, Mr. N.K. Singh. Let your prediction shouldn’t come true.

श्री तारीक अनवर (महाराष्ट्र): उपसमाध्यक महोदय, मैं सबसे पहले विकास मंत्री जी को बधाई देंगा और घरमाही के लिए कि उन्होंने देश की ओर विश्व की जो मौजूदा स्थिति है, उसको ध्यान में रखते हुए जिस तरह का बजट पेश किया जा सकता था, उसके अनुसार उन्होंने बजट पेश करने का प्रयास किया है। तमाम हालात के मुताबिक मैं समझता हूँ कि वह बजट अनुकूल है। वही किया गया है, जो आवश्यक था। अभी जो हालत है और जो
विरोधाभास हैं, उनको देखते हुए एक तरफ विकास को प्रोत्साहित करना था और दूसरी तरफ दंडी के दौर में हम लोगों ने जो खोया है, उनको भी हासिल करना था। वित्तीय हालत को सुधारने के लिए भी सोच समझ कर कदम उठाने की आवश्यकता थी और इस कार्य पर वित्त मंत्री जी ने ध्यान दिया है। देश की वित्तीय स्थिति सोवार के लिए जो भी दोस्त कदम उठाया जा सकता था, उसको उठाने की कोशिश इस बजट में की गई है।

उपसमाधान महोदय, सरकार ने इस बजट में अपनी प्राथमिकताएं भी तय की हैं। खास तौर पर सोशल सेक्टर, जिसकी बात हम हमेशा करते हैं कि जो हमारा ग्रामीण भारत है, उनकी तरफ ध्यान देने की आवश्यकता है, जो इस देश की लगभग 60-70 प्रतिशत आबादी है, उस पर विशेष ध्यान देने की जरूरत है, जिसमें सातिश रेजियन से लेकर, नरेशा से लेकर भारत निर्माण का जो हमारा लक्ष्य है, उसको पूरा करने की जरूरत है। उस दिशा में भी वित्त मंत्री जी ने उस पर पूरा ध्यान दिया है कि उस सेक्टर, यानी सोशल सेक्टर में किसी तरह की कोई कमी नहीं हो, बल्कि जहां तक हो सका, उसमें बढ़ोतरी करने के उन्होंने कोशिश की है।

सूखा, जो हमारे देश के लिए बहुत ही आवश्यक है, उसके खर्च को भी नजरअंदाज नहीं किया जा सकता था, उसका भी ध्यान वित्त मंत्री जी ने रखा है।

वित्त मंत्री जी ने सभी चीजों में समन्वय करने की कोशिश की है। इस समन्वय का नतीजा यह है कि हमारी दर के 8 फीसदी रहने का यह विश्वास है, वह 8 फीसदी रहेंगी। साथ-ही-साथ यह विश्वास भी है कि आने वाले समय में double digit की विकास दर की ओर भी हम बढ़ रहे हैं। इसमें समझौता हूँ कि इस बजट में पहले इकोनॉमिक सत्ता की जो में रिसर्ट्स आई थी, उसमें इस बात का ध्यान दिया गया था कि अगर urban और rural क्षेत्र में इसी प्रति infrastructure में improvement होता रहा और अगर हम उसके साथ-साथ जो reform in governance and administration है, वित्त मंत्री जी ने कहा था। तरह से करते रहे, तो हमारा growth double digit में होगा ही...। और शायद विश्व में जो हमारी फास्ट ग्रोवंग इकोनॉमी है, उसको स्थापित करने में हम कामयाब होंगे।

उपसमाधान महोदय, हम प्रगति की दिशा में चल पड़े हैं। बजट की एक बहुत महत्वपूर्ण बात है कि इसमें कृषि पर कठिन ध्यान दिया गया है, जो हमारे लिए आवश्यक भी है। पिछले दिनों हमने देखा है कि जो हमारे देश की मंग है, हम उसके अनुपात आवृत्ति को नहीं कर पाए, जिसकी वजह से हमको भूख कुछ होने पड़ा। उनको ध्यान में रखते हुए इस बार वित्त मंत्री जी ने उस कोशिश की है कि कृषि को प्राथमिकता दी जाए और उसे आगे बढ़ाने की कोशिश की जाए, जिससे किसानों को उनका अधिक लाभ मिल सके।

कुछ लोगों के द्वारा यह बात कही गई कि बजट पेश होने के बाद महंगाई बढ़ेगी, लेकिन मैं समझता हूँ कि यह आंकफाँ ठीक नहीं है। हम महंगाई पर लगाने लगाने की कोशिश कर रहे हैं और हम समझते हैं कि आने वाले समय में काफी हद तक महंगाई पर हम निर्भरता पा लेंगे। वित्तीय नीतियों और समापन की आपूर्ति कम होने की वजह से जो विकल्प सामने आई है, उनको ध्यान में रखने की कोशिश की गई है, इसलिए इस बजट में वित्तीय अनुसार्थ को अपनाने की कोशिश की गई है, साथ ही महंगाई को बढ़ाने से रोकने की कोशिश की जा रही है।
बेस में समाप्त करने जा रहा हूं।

संक्षेप में मैं इतना ही कहूंगा, बूढ़कि मैं जानता हूं कि समय का अभाव है और आप बार-बार घंटी बजा रहे हैं, मेरा अपना यह भावना है कि वर्तमान परिस्थिति में विश्व के सामने जिसी तरह से यह मंदी का दौर चल रहा है, भारत ने उसका सामना बहुत ही लाकर से चल करता है, हालांकि अगर इसके दृष्टिकोण के साथ व्याप्त था। इन परिस्थितियों में वित्त मंत्री जी ने एक अच्छा बजट पेश किया है। मैं समझता हूं कि आप देश के साथ आपका बहुत-बहुत धन्यवाद।
and in the case of non-processed food, it is 19.17. So, this hike in petroleum prices would have an all-round effect. I would like to ask a pointed question: If elections had been round-the-corner, would the Finance Minister or the Government have taken the risk of increasing the petroleum prices? I do not think so. The elections are far off and Government feels that with time, people would forget all and everything would be as they want it to be. The other point is, they could have taken certain concrete measures to bring down the prices. The total food stocks in FCI godowns is 52.5 MT and the norms of buffer stock Days that 26.9 MT are sufficient. If the Government could have released 1.5 MT out of these stocks to APL card holders, prices could have stabilized to a certain extent. Unfortunately, nothing has been done in this direction.

Sir, even though the Budget allocation for Muslim welfare has been increased from Rs. 1740 crores last year to Rs.2,600 crores this year, last year’s expenditure in respect of multi-sectoral development programmes in 90 Muslim-dominated districts shown that only five per cent of the Budget allocation has been spent. Then, if you look at the Indira Awas Yojana, only three per cent has been utilized. In the case of Anganwadis, it is shocking that only 0.5 per cent of the Budget has been utilized. The same is the case with several other Ministries. I do not know why. Is proper monitoring being done to see that the allocations are being spent properly? I have some figures pertaining to urban development. Only 42.27 per cent of the Non-Plan allocation has been spent. Then, take for example, the Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan. They could not spend Rs.4071 crores. In the case of Rural Health Scheme, they could not spend Rs.2000 crores out of the budgetary allocation of Rs.8,380 crores. Then, we are facing acute power shortage. We see here that the Power Ministry could not spend near about Rs.9,230 crores. So, all these shortfalls are there. Even though allocations could have been increased, there was lot of pressure, but in spite of the increased allocations, really it is a sorry state of affairs to see the several Ministries could not spend the allocations for the welfare or for achieving their own targets.

Sir, the Economic Survey reveals that the medium, small and micro enterprises were badly affected due to recession and other things. But, even these medium, small and micro enterprises could not spend a meager allocation of Rs. 1,794 crores. So, these are some of the things which the Ministries should take a serious view of.

Sir, employment generation is the basic requirement for inclusive growth. Near about 450 million unemployed persons are there in our country. Now, the Budget has offered additional employment to only 5 lakh persons. It means, only 7 per cent of the unemployed people are going to get employment.
Sir, textile industry is the... (Time-bell rings)... Sir, I have just two points. I will complete within two minutes. Sir, textile and clothing industry is the second biggest employment giver, next to agriculture, which is having near about 3 crores. So, there is a need to boost textile and clothing export. This will amount to anti-poverty programmes also and give more employment to the people. So, these are some of my suggestions, which, I think, the Finance Ministry can take up seriously.

Finally, Sir, I urge upon the hon. Finance Minister to withdraw the hike in petroleum products, which will give a big relief. If he is really interested to bring down the price hike, this is the one major step which he can take very easily. Sir, with these words, I conclude my speech. Thank you very much.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Now, Shri Arjun Kumar Sengupta, not present; Shri M.V. Mysura Reddy, not present; Shri Birendra Prasad Baishya, not present. Shri Rahul Bajaj...

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ (Maharashtra): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir thank you very much for having given me the opportunity to speak on the Budget. Sir, I refer to a survey after the Budget, of about 15000 people, which is a reasonable number of sample, which indicated that the overall reaction to the Budget was positive. However, to the steps proposed in the Finance Bill to promote growth, reduce fiscal deficit and contain inflation, in that survey, the response is, "They did not deem these very credible". Sir, in the limited time available to me, I would quickly refer to 8 points, with special reference to the three objectives of the Budget. The three important objectives of the Budget are, continue to promote growth, bring in fiscal discipline, that means, reduce fiscal deficit, and quite important to contain inflation. Sir, we know these are sometimes contradictory objectives. First of the 8 points, Sir the money to be raised through disinvestment and the auction of 3G Spectrum. The Leader of the Opposition has expressed some doubts about that that whether this amount of Rs.70,000 crores can be raised or not. All I would request, through you, to the Finance Minister is, it must be raised, it can be raised. The auction part of Rs.70,000 crores is not in their hands, not fully. But disinvestment, I am not suggesting privatization, when lots can be raised, but politically it is not acceptable Sir. But disinvestment may be more than ten per cent, certainly more, it may be in more companies, irrespective of the conditions of stock market which is not bad, if they do it properly, there is no reason why a total of Rs.70,000 crores cannot come through that, which will not only help in reducing fiscal deficit but will also help to contain inflation, because it will suck in liquidity from the market.
Second, Sir, the revenue figures, I believe, are achievable. In fact, with the next year’s growth of 8.5 per cent, may be higher, we could even have higher growth of higher revenues.

However, what is very important is not to let the Budgeted figures of expenditure rise. Capital expenditure, on capital account must be spent. That is required for infrastructure, physical infrastructure and social infrastructure etc. But the other expenditures, wherever possible, should be minimized because if the expenditure rise, fiscal deficit may not be controlled.

I now come to my third point. The partial removal of fiscal deficit by increasing duty to 2 per cent is understandable. But, through you, I appeal to the Finance Minister that the remaining 2 per cent should not be rolled back till before the next Budget, at the earliest. Otherwise, it could hurt the process of recovery.

Fourthly, I would like to mention that the index of industrial production and growth rate have been very good. In this connection, if I may refer — I hope, it is not improper — to the four companies of which I am chairman including Bajaj Auto. This year, 2009-10, all these four companies will produce their best results ever, the best top line, the best bottom line, and Bajaj Auto will show the highest ever exports in spite of a difficult year 2009-10, the best ever results from all these four companies. This is due not only to the efficiency of the management of these companies, but also to the fact that the Government took right steps from October, 2008 onwards to ensure that our recovery process is not adversely affected.

Fifthly, Sir, I would like to touch on the service tax. I am in favour of expanding the net of service tax. This is the easier way, better way to raise more taxes because it contributes 60 per cent of our GDP. Agriculture is not taxed. We cannot put all the burden on the manufacturing sector, otherwise it will become uncompetitive. So, I agree with that. But, I do feel, because of inflationary conditions this year, service tax on housing and health care, perhaps, could have been postponed by a year or so.

I now come to my sixth point. I am happy that the Finance Minister himself has come back. He considers MAT not a very in equitable tax, Sir, because he considers zero tax companies very unfair. Without getting into a debate, I would recall my friend, Shri N.K. Singh’s reference to over Rs. 500 crore turnover companies. One of my companies’ turnover is Rs.10,000 crores; we pay over 25 per cent by way of tax. But, the point is, there are companies that are not manufacturing, whose main
income is dividend income. You have exempted that from tax. That company receives dividend income which is paid by the payer company from the profit after tax, which also pays dividend distribution tax. Then this company fully pays MAT. It is not only unfair; through you, Sir, I would say to the Finance Minister that it is also most inequitable. Dividend income companies who are essentially receiving dividend, why should they pay MAT? I am not referring to the other companies.

Nextly, I refer to GST and DTC. These two, as the Finance Minister says, can come into effect from 1st April next year subject to Parliamentary Committee passing it. ITC is within his control. We are happy. But, GST is not in his control. I do hope, when all these States come on board, if these two direct and indirect tax codes can come simultaneously from April 2011, it will be a historical situation, Mr. Vice-Chairman. But, I would only like to point out that there are provisions, as the Finance Minister knows in the direct tax code, which cannot be accepted whether it is MAT, taxing dividend, etc. I would speak about those, Sir, when the new tax Bill comes to the House, if I continue to be a Member of the House. As far as GST is concerned, the aim has to be to lighten the burden on the taxpayer. I repeat taxpayer. But, include more people on the tax net those who are not paying tax today, who are in fact thieves! They will have to, because of the cascading situation, pay tax. So, the third object will be achieved that the day you reduce the burden on the existing taxpayers, the rates, let us say, single rate, hopefully, or at the most at dual rate, but converging on a single rate, regular neutral rates are around 12 per cent. ...(Time-bell rings)...

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Please conclude.

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ: The regular neutral rate is on today’s basis but because more people will come in the tax net and the Government will get higher tax, the Government will get more revenues. It will not be revenue neutral because more people will come.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Yes.

SHRI RAHUL BAJAJ: I would, with these words, Sir, and having referred to that survey, which has a very positive view on the Budget, support the Finance Bill, Vote On Account and both the Appropriation Bills. Thank you.

SHRI PRAKASH JAVADEKAR (Maharashtra): Sir, before I start I would like to know the time allotted.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Your Party has 22 minutes. You have two speakers. You may take ten or twelve minutes.
SHRI PRAKASH JAVADEKAR: It is okay, Sir. Sir, regarding this Budget, I will give my comments only on the points which have not been raised in the discussion. But at the outset, let me tell you that this Budget is notional, a routine exercise, inflationary, betrays promises, lacks sanctity and devoid of big ideas. I will go one by one. Why I am saying that this is notional, the provisions made for some schemes which are started afresh or the new schemes, I am referring to the agricultural sector. Sir, in which the Government has said that the Government will follow four-pronged strategies. And to increase production, there is one provision made of Rs.300 crores provided to organized 60,000 pulses and oilseeds producing villages which are in the rain-fed areas - good programme - through conservation farming which involves concurrent attention to soil health, intervention of water harvesting, watershed management soil management to enhance the productivity of dry land farming areas. A good programme, 60,000 villages! What is the provision? Rs.300 crores, which comes to Rs.50,000 per village. How will you do water harvesting with Rs.50,000? How will you do watershed development with Rs.50,000 in one village? It is not possible. That is why I say that it is a notional Budget. Secondly, it is a routine exercise. I was fortunate enough to be a part of Budget preparations for my State for four years as the Deputy Chairman of the Planning Commission and I have seen how Departments prepare the Budgets and estimates. No attention is paid to the actual performance of a scheme or a programme, no attention is really paid to the real needs of the sector or even no attention is paid to the competitive schemes available on the shelf. What is done is they take items, say, from No.1 to 1600; just add up certain amount, 10 per cent, 5 per cent, 7 per cent, and that is Budget. That is how the Departments think in terms of just adding few more and demanding much more and the Planning Commission prunes it up and, ultimately, it becomes an exercise of some little addition to the whole provisions and allocations. I am requesting the Finance Minister at least to start afresh. Let us study, as the Leader of the Opposition pointed out, the delays which are causing cost overruns and time overruns. But there are administrative issues involved. More than that, let us check the list of lapsed money, though allocations made in the Budget and not utilized by the Departments, not utilized for the purpose, not utilized with efficiency. But lapsed money is a big portion of any budgetary exercise. That is really the worrisome feature. If we cannot budget properly and if we can’t incur that much expenditure, then, we need a review of the whole Budget making procedure and that is why my first suggestion is, let us now start dynamic evaluations of various schemes, programmes and other Government allocations and then, come to a conclusion what is the need of the sector. So, these dynamic evaluations of the programmes on concurrent and on-going evaluation will help to really project and allocate the right
amount of money. Sir, as I said, the third point is, this Budget lacks sanctity. I said this because the
Budget says that the taxes will result in net earnings or net addition to the Budget revenues to the
tune of Rs. 43,000 crores. Actually, only petroleum duties which have been enhanced will mop up
more than Rs. 50,000 crores. So, this Rs. 40,000 crores, plus and minus put together, the net
amount is Rs. 43,000 crores and did not include Rs. 3000 crores which are expected from the service
tax.

Mr. Finance Minister, when the Leader of the Opposition raised the point that Railways will lose
Rs. 6000 crores, you immediately intervened, and to the best of your knowledge you said, ‘we have
calculated it and it is Rs. 800 crores only.’ But, no, Sir, I have seen it. It is the quote of the Railway
Minister herself and Mamataji is on record saying that the loss to the Railways is because of the
service tax levied, which will be Rs. 6000 crores. So, you have to clarify whether it is Rs. 800 or Rs.
6000. But, there will be more. I am coming to the basic point of ‘lacking in sanctity’. This is the
Budget which says that this will be an additional tax. These are the reliefs given in the Budget, but,
what has actually happened is, just 15 days before the Budget, there was a decision that the fertilizer
subsidy on urea was withdrawn to a great extent. Now, that has been said as a nutrient-based
subsidy regime. That means you have taken away Rs. 40,000 crores from the farmers. For two years,
you tom-tom for debt waiver of Rs. 70,000 crores. In one go, you have taken away Rs. 40,000 crores
from farmers in the form of withdrawing subsidy on urea. So, that is anti-farmer step. So, I said, that
this Budget lacks sanctity. I will give you another example. After the Budget, what is in store? Is it the
end of taxation this year? No, Sir. Kirit Parikh Committee recommendations will be decided by the
Petroleum Ministry. Any day, Murli Deoraji will announce that, ‘yes, now we are floating the prices
and as per the international market prices, things will go up.’ So, now they are saying that Budget is
not an annual exercise where people will know how much tax burden I will have throughout the year.
There will be many more decisions which will increase the burden on the common man after the
Budget, before the Budget and during the Budget. So, the whole year is a taxation period. So, what
is the sanctity left in the Budget? That is my third point. Sir, I need not dwell upon this, but, I must
mention that this is an inflationary Budget. Only, yesterday the new figures of WPI have come. It is
nine per cent. Next month it will be ten per cent. So, with ten per cent whole price index, inflation
rate of ten per cent is not a good sign. It is not a good sign and the inflation on food items is not
coming down. It is not going down beyond 17 per cent benchmark. It has come now for the last
seven to eight months. The whole inflation will eat away the growth which we are projecting.
There is fiscal deficit. You have to read inflation, not in terms of inflation alone. But you must also read it along with the fiscal deficit which is already stands at 6.8 per cent. Even though you have promised that you would bring it down to 5.5 per cent, it is not that easy. It is not that country can reassure itself into those figures. So, what ultimately happens is, fiscal deficit, with the States deficit stands at 10 per cent, with general inflation at 10 per cent and food price index at 20 per cent, it is not a good sign for economy and, at least, for the common man. That is why I am saying that it will eat way the prospective growth. That is my fourth point.

This Budget, as I said, Sir, betrayed the promises made. The UPA-II gave two solemn promises. The first one is that it will reduce the price and bring down inflation within 100 days. They have betrayed this. The second one is, they are not saying anything about black money. They have promised, 'We will bring back black money and will start our action within 100 days.' I read this Budget twice. There is no mention about black money.

Sir, you are calculating the proceeds of disinvestment which you have rephrased as a 'larger public ownership.' And, you are expecting to get Rs. 30,000 or Rs. 40,000 crores from the disinvestment. But, you are not calculating any single rupee from your efforts which you have promised to the nation that you will bring back black money which is stashed in foreign banks. The foreign countries are ready; you are not ready. Foreign countries are ready to co-operation. You are not really taking that co-operation and that is why you have broken that promise also.

The third promise is this. There are many more promises which are betrayed, but I will mention only three promises that you have betrayed. The third one is, one-rank-one-pension for armed forces. It is the worst betrayal of its kind to our security forces. Sir, security forces was promised that they would be given one-rank-one-pension. But, nothing has been mentioned beyond saying that their pensions are enhanced. ...(Time bell rings)... Sir, I will take one more minute. It is a very important point.

Sir, this Budget is devoid of any big idea. My question is: Are we ready to leverage our positive growth prospective which we are claiming at 7 per cent or 8 per cent or 9 per cent or 10 per cent and if we are sure of growing at 10 per cent, because the world acknowledges that you are an emerging economy and you are growing, why cannot we leverage our growth potential to mobilize resource globally? That is my question. Have we applied our mind in this direction? Why cannot we think of this? Just I will mention what China is doing. China, to maintain its export domination, is engaging in two-pronged strategy fighting protectionism among its State partners and holding down the value of
its currency. Sir, they are manipulating their fiscal policy, their monetary policy and are changing the global rules. Further, they are actually using the rules to their advantage. Why cannot we think? When China can think of such innovative ideas to under value, in a way, their currency, but, still, by that, can really gain in exports, why cannot India think? So, these are some of the ideas which you should really utilize. I am surprised why we are not talking about the resurgent India Bonds.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Please conclude.

SHRI PRAKASH JAVADEKAR: Sir, just last few sentences.

Why cannot we think of country shares? The hon. Finance Minister is aware of this idea. Sir, Singapore and Bulgaria have this. Sir, Bulgaria has more growth potential. When our 25 per cent liability or 25 per cent of our Budget taken away by interest, then, we must think of a big idea like country shares where shares are traded, where you are securitized, you lessen your interest burden and you can leverage this tool also.

Last but not the least, there is a very good idea given by Dr. Vijay Khelkar, Chairman of the Finance Commission. We are infusing thousands of crores into our education. But the quality of education is decreasing day by day. That is a worrisome feature, Sir. So, he suggests that when we are incurring Rs. 3,000 per student, why don’t you give coupons of that amount to the parents to choose a better school for their wards. Such big ideas need to be introduced in this Budget, only then CAN we can say that this Budget is a real ‘National Budget’.

MS. MABEL REBELLO (Jharkhand): Sir, I stand here just to flag off some issues. I am not going to give a speech here because, I think, the time allotted to me is hardly fifteen minutes.

The speakers before me, particularly my colleagues, Shri Tapan Kumar Sen and Shri Matilal Sarkar, have said that this Budget is anti-poor and anti-common-man. I would like to highlight as to how this Budget is really pro-poor; pro-farmer pro-Scheduled-Castes, pro-Scheduled-Tribes, and pro-women. I just want to argue this idea. Even Shri Brij Bhushan Tiwari was saying that this Budget was anti-poor. It is not at all so. This is a Budget of almost rupees eleven lakh crores. We are 110 crore population. It means, almost Rs. 10,000 per individual. It is almost this; you can earmark it. This Budget takes care of all the sections of the society, whether you name it the NREGA, the Mid-Day
Meal Scheme, Aanganwadis, Bharat Nirman, farm credit scheme, or giving assistance to under
developed districts of India. All this shows that this Budget really wants to develop the country as a
whole, and not just catering to some sections of the society.

I thank the hon. Finance Minister for increasing the gender budget by 100 per cent. We are not
only just saying, "Reservation, reservation", but, when we have an opportunity, we do things for the
women. Rural health, family welfare, training institutions and various other things are there. This
Budget is really catering to women. Then, under the Mahila Shashaktikaran Scheme, rupees one
hundred crores have been reserved for women farmers, which no Finance Minister has ever done.
So, all these things are going a long way to see that women are really empowered. It is not only the
political empowerment that we have done here, but we also want to empower them economically so
that women have socio-economic stature in the country. If you see the Budget and its strength · for
the last ten years, if you see, the trend has been power, subsidies and food, mid-day meal, ICDS,
health and education, whatever Budgetary allocation is there, for all these social sectors the Budget
has gone up · subsidy, when the NDA was in power, six years ago, was only Rs. 44,000 crores;
whereas, now, it is Rs. 1,08,666 crores. If you see Plan expenditure, when the NDA was in power,
the maximum amount that they had given was Rs. 1,32,000 crores to various States under the
Central projects; whereas, now, this year, we have made a provision of Rs. 3,73,092 crores. That
means the Central Government is giving more money to the States, to the people, to the rural India,
to the farmers, to the women, to the Scheduled Castes, to the Scheduled Tribes. So, this is the way,
this Budget is proving that money is not a problem. There is plenty of money and the Government of
India is willing to assist the States. But the problem is in the implementation, which is the job of the
States. The States are not implementing the schemes; that is why, a lot of States are suffering and
the people are suffering.

Now, I come to subsidies. I have already mentioned, Sir, and everybody is saying that fertilizer
subsidy has been brought down and Nutrient-Based Subsidy policy has been approved. But what
was happening when the fertilizer subsidy was very high. I am happy that fertilizer subsidy has come
down because most of the fertilizer subsidy was going to the corporate sector, that is, fertilizer
manufacturing companies. It was going to the big farmers. Also, a large amount of fertilizer is being
smuggled out to countries like Sri Lanka, Bangladesh and Nepal. That is our fertilizer; we are spending money on it and it is being smuggled out and the people are making money out of it. Eventually, we should, definitely, give coupons to the farmers so that farmers really benefit; otherwise, what is the use of talking big and helping the corporate sector. We are not here to help the corporate sector at all. Sir, I being a social sector person, I would like to confine myself to a few social sectors like NREGA. Of course, the Budget has been increased by Rs.1100 crores. But, then, Sir, under NREGA, we are giving only 100 days’ work to a family member; that is not sufficient. I would say that at least in tribal areas and especially LWE districts, we must make it at least 150 days. This is point number one.

Secondly, we still have Rs.100/- as minimum wage. For just Rs.100/- they have to do a lot of hard work like mud digging, etc. So, you must give them adequate compensation. The minimum wage should be increased so that people can lead a little better life.

Now I come to irrigation. What is the major problem in tribal areas? Sir, the major problem in tribal areas like Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh and Orissa is that they do not have proper irrigation facilities, they do not have power facilities and they do not have rural connectivity. That is why, these naxals are trying to defend them and wooing them away from the mainstream. The national average irrigation in the country is 40 per cent, whereas in Jharkhand it is hardly six to seven per cent. If it does not rain for one month during the rainy season, the entire crop fails. Because of that, they suffer. They have to remain hungry or they have to migrate. That is why, the Finance Minister has increased the amount meant for irrigation benefits from Rs.4,500 crores to Rs.9,700 crores. I would urge the Minister of Finance and the Minister of Water Resources that they should identify some of the poor districts. He should see that some projects or schemes are prepared, especially, for those districts. Those schemes should be implemented there. Give them a large chunk of money so that they could implement a large number of water resource schemes thereby ensuring that the poor people get benefited. Along with this, we must also give them power connectivity, not only to light their houses, but to lift water. To lift water, we must give them three-phase connection. Only when they are able to lift water and irrigate their fields, they will be able to earn enough to look after themselves and their children. This is what we have to do.

Sir, for Bharat Nirman, allocation this time is Rs.48,000 crores. As for drinking water, there was a question in the House and somebody said that in Tamil Nadu 100 per cent villages are getting clean drinking water whereas in Jharkhand, I am ashamed to tell you, Sir, that only 7.5 per cent
people are getting clean drinking water. For these States, a large chunk of money should be released so that the projects and schemes for drinking water, sanitation, etc. are implemented. Otherwise, what is happening there? Men and women, before they attain the age of 40, at least 15 per cent of them die. Similarly, very high infant mortality rate is there. Today, there was a news item on television that in a block, nearly 50 kilometres from Ranchi, in Khunti district, 200 children died because no medical facilities were available. ...(Time bell rings)... Sir, how many minutes were given to me?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You have been given 10 minutes. Now, you have already taken 9 minutes.

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Sir, I was told that I have 15 minutes.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No; no; it is there.

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Sir, similarly, I am glad that the Finance Minister has said that he is going to open bank branches in all those places wherever there is a habitation of 2000+ people. With that, all the corruption that is going on in NREGA will disappear. People will be able to open their accounts and they will be to transact their finances through their banks. Sir, in this country even today 65 per cent of the people take money from the private moneylenders who are exploiting our people; with this, all this exploitation will stop.

Similarly, under PMGSY, the bridges were allowed only of 50 metre length. The length of these bridges should be allowed as per the actual size of the bridges and we should not regulate it to 50 metre size. Similarly, Sir, the Finance Minister has increased the corpus of the Micro Finance Development and Equity Fund. The corpus has been doubled from Rs. 200 crore to Rs. 400 crore.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Kindly conclude.

MS. MABEL REBELLO: With this, a large number of SHGs which are working for the welfare of women will get the benefit; women will get the benefit.

Similarly, Sir, keeping the welfare of farmers in mind, he has brought down farmers’ interest rate to 5 per cent. I will urge him to bring it down to 4 per cent. Sir, for the first time in the history, he has made a provision of Rs. 400 crore for the farmers of the Eastern sectors, i.e., West Bengal, Bihar, Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh and Orissa to bring in Green Revolution. For the last 62 years, the farmers of these States have got nothing and they have been suffering. Punjab and Haryana got large packages and that is why Green Revolution came there. ...(Time bell rings)... and if our country has to...
MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude. ...(Interruptions)... Please cooperate. ...(Interruptions)... We have fixed the reply. Please cooperate. ...(Interruptions)... Please cooperate.

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Two minutes, Sir. The Mahila Kisan Sashaktikaran Pariyojana is for the women farmers and the Finance Minister has made a special provision of Rs. 100 crore which will help the women because a large number of women are in the farm sector and they will be getting this money. This will help them to empower themselves economically. Similarly, Rs. 300 crore has been allocated for 60,000 pluses villages but, Sir, Rs. 300 crore is quite inadequate for 60,000 villages. I would urge the Finance Minister to increase this amount to, at least, Rs. 500 crore.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I think, you have to conclude because there are still 15 hon. Members and we have fixed the reply at 4.30 p.m. ...(Interruptions)...

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Yes; Sir, I am concluding.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please cooperate. You can take some other opportunity. ...(Interruptions)...

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Sir, I want to highlight only one issue. Coming to Mid Day Meal, Sir, two years ago, the President of India in her speech said that Mid Day Meal will be made universal in the country, whereas, even today the Mid Day Meal excludes a large number of tribal children in Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Orissa, Madhya Pradesh, etc. Sir, I would request that Mid Day Meal should be made truly universal. If it is a national programme, it should be given to all; and excluding some of the institutions, which are existing for the last hundred years and looking after the children, is not at all good; and if today tribals are....

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Ganga Charan. ...(Interruptions)... I have called the next speaker. ...(Interruptions)... Please sit down. ...(Interruptions)...

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Sir, excluding them is not at all good. I request the Finance Minister to include all the children especially all those who are studying in tribal areas and that too the children of primitive tribes.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude.

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Primitive tribal children have been given protection by the Supreme Court, and, therefore, this Budget, at least, should take care of these primitive tribal children and see that they get, if not two square meals but, at least, one square meal. ...(Time-bell rings)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I have called the next speaker. ...(Interruptions)... You reserve it for some other time. You have to again participate. ...(Interruptions)... No. no, please.
...(Interruptions)... If Treasury Benches don’t cooperate, how can I complete it? ...(Interruptions)...

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Sir, I am always cooperating. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You have already taken three minutes more. ...(Interruptions)...
See, the time is allocated by the Party, not by me. ...(Interruptions)... You must cooperate. ...(Interruptions)...

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Sir, I come from a difficult State. Give me just one minute. I will just mention my State.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You are asking for one minute every time and taking more time. Ms. MABEL REBELLO: Sir, Jharkhand has 24 districts and all the 24 districts have been affected by Naxalism, but only 10 districts have been declared as LWA districts. I would request the hon. Finance Minister to declare all the 24 districts as LWA districts and give them special attention so that the people of Jharkhand benefit, get infrastructure development and get human resources development. With that, we can win them away from the Naxals and they can lead a normal life. Only when a State like Jharkhand develops well ...(Interruptions)... Otherwise, there would be problems.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Why do you repeat? You have already conveyed what you wanted to say. Now, Shri Ganga Charan.

श्री गंगा चरण : उपसभापति महोदय, आपने मुझे बोलने का मौका दिया, इसके लिए आपका बहुत-बहुत शुभकामना। कांग्रेस का बजट और कांग्रेस का नारा "कांग्रेस का हाथ, गरीब के साथ"”, लेकिन इस बजट में कहीं भी यह परिलक्षित नहीं हो रहा है कि कांग्रेस का हाथ गरीब के साथ है। बजट की पृष्ठकर तो ऐसा लगता है कि कांग्रेस का हाथ अमीर के साथ है। क्योंकि इस बजट में गरीबों के लिए कोई योजना नहीं बनाई गई है। में बहुत ज्यादा दूर नहीं जाना चाहता हूँ। में अपने कांग्रेसी बंधुओं की दिल्ली की बुर्गी-झोपड़ियों की ओर ध्यान आकर्षित करना चाहता हूँ। यदि कांग्रेस का हाथ गरीब के साथ है, तो दिल्ली में अकेले 50 लाख बुर्गी-झोपड़ियों में रहते हैं। यह वर्तमानी आंकड़ा है। में एक प्रमाण के लिए उसके लिए उसके पाया है कि अकेले 50 लाख लोग दिल्ली की बुर्गी-झोपड़ियों में नरकीय जीवन जी रहे हैं। में जानना चाहता हूँ कि इस बजट में उन 50 लाख लोगों के लिए क्या प्रावधान है। में "Slumdog Millionare" फिल्म देखी है। यह फिल्म ज्यादातर सदस्यों ने देखी होगी कि बुर्गी-झोपड़ियों में रहने वाले लोग अपने विश्वासी जीते हैं। जब हम लोग किसी बीचहारे या रेड लाइट पर अपनी गाड़ी रोक देते हैं, तो गरीबों मासूम बच्चों और बच्चियों मीरे खेले लिए अपना हाथ फैलाए, हमारे सामने आकर खड़े हो जाते हैं। मुझे लगता है कि जब बजट तैयार करते हैं, तो हम उन मासूम बच्चों की तरफी भूला देते हैं, उनके साथ कैसा सुख करते हैं। जो स्लम के माफिया और खुदे होते हैं, वे उनकी आंखें कोड देते हैं। उनको शारीरिक रूप से विकल्प न कर देते हैं और
उनका योग्योता लोकों की रोजी-रोटी की जीवनस्तर हम नहीं कर सकते हैं। हमारे यहाँ पर कॉफी-चाय या गेहूँ के जैसे दिल्ली में हो रहा है। जब हमें यह सब दिखाई नहीं देता है? क्या हमें 50 तलाश लोगों के लिए रोजी-रोटी की व्यवस्था हम नहीं कर सकते हैं? हमारे यहाँ पर कॉम्प्यूटर गेम्स हो रहे हैं, तो सरकार निर्धारित सरकार के बीजेपी विधेयक पर प्रतिवेदन लगाए रहते हैं। सरकार कह रही है कि वे निर्धारित सरकार से बाहर निर्धारित कर दिया जाए। निर्धारित बार भी आपकी सरकार थी और दिल्ली के सौन्दर्यकरण के नाम में भर गए दूध-दूध मूल तैयार किए, उसके रखने के लिए जोपादी बीना सस्ती नहीं है। ये है आपका "कांग्रेस का हाथ, गरीब के साम।"

उनकी जीविका पर बुधवार चला गए। बरस बीत जाते हैं, एक घर बनाने में, 'जूता नहीं खाते हों, बच्चियों उनके में।

सर, जो जुता बनाने वाला है, आज उसके लिए जुता नहीं है। कपड़ा बनाने वाले गांव के मुलाकात के तन पर कपड़ा नहीं है, उसकी बीवी व बच्चों के तन पर कपड़े नहीं है। जो मूं लेने के कारण, रोजगार की तलास में शहर में आकर बड़े-बड़े महल तैयार करता है, उसको रहने के लिए विट्ठल भर जोपादी नसीब नहीं होती है। जो किसान अनन्यात्मक कहलता है, 6 महीने बाद उसके घर में अपना दाना नहीं लेता है। जो गाय, मैस चराने वाले बच्चे हैं, उनको यही बुझा नसीब नहीं होता है। किसी गरीबों के मातृभाष में, जो मूं के कारण रात में जब अपनी मां से दूध और रोटी मिलते हैं और मां के पास जब दूध नहीं होता है, रोटी नहीं होती है, तो बच्चे मारकर जीवित करना का प्रयास करती है। एक तरफ गरीबों का वह भारत है, जहां करोड़ों माओं के पास मां का औषध खाकर लेते हैं और एक तरफ अमीरों का वह भारत है जो कांग्रेस का भारत है, जिनके कुले आसफमें या चौकियों खाकर लेते हैं।

(व्यवस्था)...

एक माननीय सदस्य : बजट पर बोलिए।

श्री गंगा वर्मन : बजट पर ही बोल रहा हूँ। देश में सात साल की आजादी के बाद आपने पचास साल राज किया। इस देश की गरीबी, मूं, बेगोंजरा, नक्सलवाद, माओवाद, आदि के जिम्मेदार आप हैं। (व्यवस्था)...

श्रीमती बिप्पा ठाकुर (हिंदुस्तान प्रदेश) : समापति जी (व्यवस्था)...

श्री उपसभापति : विराज जी (व्यवस्था)...

श्री गंगा वर्मन : हमने आपको सुना है (व्यवस्था)... आप आक्षेपित (व्यवस्था)... धर्म बोलने का अधिकार है (व्यवस्था)... अपने आप के बयान में बोलने का अधिकार है (व्यवस्था)... मैं ने अपने कांग्रेसी खिलाड़ियों से कहा कि देश का सात साल की आजादी में पचास साल अकेले आपने राज किया है, देश में जो गरीबी है, भूख है, ताकाहारी है, वेरोजगारी है, बीमारी है, नक्सलवाद है, माओवाद है, इसलिए वे कोई सबसे अच्छा जिम्मेदार ना रहें। हमारे यहां (व्यवस्था)... सर, जो गरीबी और भूख हमें हमारी बदकिस्मत से नहीं मिली है, यह गरीबी और भूख सरकार की गलत नीतियों का कारण मिली है। हमारे विराज मंजी पश्चिमी बंगाल के तलाकु रखते हैं, मंजी आपने ही पुरूष बाहर रखा कि गरीब को आप सात साल में रोटी-मुसलमान नहीं करा पाएँगे, एक जोपादी नसीब नहीं करा पाएँगे, तत्परता में पहले के लिए कपड़ा-नसीब नहीं करा पाएँगे - गरीब को और जीवन कुछ नहीं चाहिए, न बंगाल, न ए.सी., न माडी, न टेलीविजन, न क्रिकेट चाहिए, उसे तो केवल दो
जून की रोटी बाहिर और आपकी सरकार आजादी के इस सात सालों में गरीब की दो जून की रोटी भी नसीब नहीं करा पाई है, जिसके लिए आप जिम्मेदार हैं। इसीलिए आज देश में नक्सलवाद बढ़ा है, माओवाद बढ़ रहा है। गरीब आदमी किसी और कोई के लिए नहीं, बिखरी रोटी के लिए हथियार उठा रहा है। जो नक्सलवादी लोग हैं, माओवादी लोग हैं, वे सत्ता के लिए हथियार नहीं उठा रहे हैं, वे सिर्फ दो जून की रोटी के लिए हथियार उठा रहे हैं। माओवाद गृह, नक्सलवादी गृह उनको शिक्षा जाती के रोटी देते हैं, एक मोटा कपड़ा देते हैं और इसके लिए वे अपनी जान जोखिम में डालते हैं ज हथियार उठा लेते हैं। गरीबों का आक्रोश बढ़ा है। आगर इसी तरह गरीबों का आक्रोश बढ़ता रहा, गरीबों की समस्याओं की तरफ ध्यान नहीं दिया गया, गरीब की मूढ नहीं मिटाई गई, तो देश में नक्सलवाद और माओवाद को फूलिस, लेना या गोलियों के वम पर नहीं रोका जा सकेगा। (व्यवहार) कमेंटर को करने के लिए जरूरत नहीं है, इस पर समीक्षा से विचार करने की जरूरत है। इसका सबसे बड़ा कारण है कि आपके यहां पर गरीब के हाथ में नेतृत्व नहीं है। "आके पर न फटे विवाद, सो का जाने पीर पहाड़" आपके मज़दूर के, गरीब के, किसान के बेटे के हाथ में नेतृत्व नहीं है। हमारी नेता बलिन कुमारी मायावती गरीब की कोर्प्शन में पैदा हुई है। (व्यवहार) वे गरीब का दर्द जानती हैं। (व्यवहार) कई बार वे मूढ के कारण (व्यवहार) माजी का बयाद खाकर ठोंगी है (व्यवहार) सुनिए (व्यवहार), ये कई बार गरीबों और भूख के कारण उनके मां का बयाद खाकर ठोंगी हैं। (व्यवहार) इसीलिए उन्होंने उत्तर प्रदेश में गरीबों के उत्तर के लिए योजनाएं बनाई हैं। (व्यवहार)

श्री संतोष बागड़ोबिया (राजस्थान) : पांच करोड़ की माता पहन ली (व्यवहार), गरीबों की नेता (व्यवहार). पांच करोड़ की माता (व्यवहार) गरीब हैं (व्यवहार).

सुशी मंदिर रिखेलो : दो सौ करोड़ (व्यवहार).

श्री उपसभापति: पंजी, पंजी (व्यवहार).

श्री गंगा वर्मण: बलिन मायावती ने (व्यवहार).

श्री संतोष बागड़ोबिया: केश चल रहा है (व्यवहार).

श्री गंगा वर्मण: बलिन मायावती ने बनाई जातियों के गरीबों के लिए "महामाया गरीब पेंशन योजना" लागू की है। इसमें यह है कि तीन सौ रुपये, दान किसी भी जाति का गरीब हो, जो कृष्णपश्चिम पेंशन, विकल्प पेंशन, विद्या पेंशन से बिगाड़ हो, उनको तीन सौ रुपये पेंशन दी जाएगी। बलिन मायावती का मानना है कि गरीब सही जिज्ञासा में रहने के बाद भी रोड़वाली नहीं बना जाएगा। इसीलिए उन्होंने गरीबों के लिए किसी रम जोड़ने से रोका। राकेश नगराग्वल, नगर महापालिका, टाउन पार्क में जिले में खुफ़िया भी जोड़े गए, जिन्होंने इसकी जिज्ञासा को खोला। नगरपालिका, नगर महापालिका, टाउन पार्क में जिले में खुफ़िया भी जोड़े गए, जिन्होंने इसकी जिज्ञासा को खोला। नगर महापालिका, टाउन पार्क में जिले में खुफ़िया भी जोड़े गए, जिन्होंने इसकी जिज्ञासा को खोला। नगर महापालिका, टाउन पार्क में जिले में खुफ़िया भी जोड़े गए, जिन्होंने इसकी जिज्ञासा को खोला। नगर महापालिका, टाउन पार्क में जिले में खुफ़िया भी जोड़े गए, जिन्होंने इसकी जिज्ञासा को खोला। नगर महापालिका, टाउन पार्क में जिले में खुफ़िया भी जोड़े गए, जिन्होंने इसकी जिज्ञासा को खोला। नगर महापालिका, टाउन पार्क में
क्या दूसरे है तो रहा है, जब सिंह नहीं रहता ... (व्यवहार) ... दूसरे क्या हो रहा है। आप उत्तर प्रदेश को एक कुटी को ही में नहीं दे रहे हैं। ... (व्यवहार) ... उसके बावजूद बड़े मुख्य निदेशक, उत्तर प्रदेश के मुख्य मंत्री, गरीबों के लिए निर्माण काम करते हैं। आपने सरकारी जमीन पर रहने वाले, बुलडोज़ में रहने वाले लोगों पर बुलडोज़ काम किया। बड़े मुख्य मंत्री ने उत्तर प्रदेश में नाजुक की जमीन पर रहने वाले लोगों को उनका स्वामित्व दिया, मालिकाना हक दिया। यह है मुख्य की एक सरकार, यह है बड़े मुख्य मंत्री की सरकार ... (व्यवहार) ... आप यूप रहिए, नहीं तो, हम आपको भी नहीं बोलने देंगे। मायव, यह अच्छी बात नहीं है। जब कांग्रेस के लोग बोल रहे थे, तब हमने disturb नहीं किया।

श्री उपसभापति: नहीं, नहीं। आप बोलिए।

श्री गंगा बरण: आप जिस तरह टेबल पर लोग behave कर रहे हैं, ऐसा समझता हूं कि वे विषय में हो। आप सत्य पढ़ हैं, आप विषय का बात करने तो चुनौती से दे सकते हैं। अब आपको पीड़ा क्या हो रही है? आपको बोलने का मौका मिलेगा, तब आप हमारे सवालों का जवाब दीजिए गए। (व्यवहार) ...

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING (SHRI G.K. VASAN): Sir, the Member should address the Chair instead of Treasury Benches. ... (Interruptions) ...

श्री उपसभापति: आप बोलिए।

श्री गंगा बरण: उपसभापति महोदय, मैं कोई unparliamentary language नहीं बोल रहा हूं, मैं किसी के विरुद्ध नहीं बोल रहा हूं ... (व्यवहार) ... मैंने कोई धमकी नहीं दी है ... (व्यवहार) ... सर, मैंने कहा है कि इनको रोका जाए, यह व्यवस्था का सपाट है।

MS. MABEL REBELLO: Sir, he is not. ... (Interruptions) ...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please allow the Member to speak. If any unparliamentary word is used, I will expunge it. ... (Interruptions) ... Please, अब वे जो बोलते हैं, वहीं बोलेंगे न!

जा. प्रभा शहीद (राजस्थान): सर, वे बजट पर नहीं बोल रहे हैं। ... (व्यवहार) ...

श्री गंगा बरण: मैंने बजट पर बोल रहा हूं। ... (व्यवहार) ...

श्री उपसभापति: अब उन्हें क्या बोलना है या क्या नहीं बोलना है, मैं लिख कर नहीं दे सकता न!

श्री गंगा बरण: सर, इन्होंने बड़ी बहावाही, जिस किसानों का 72 हजार करोड़ रुपए का कर्ज माफ़ कर दिया। उसी पर वे दोबारा सरकार में आए हैं। मैं बताना चाहता हूं कि एक तरफ वे किसानों का * और दूसरी तरफ आपको चाहते हैं। इन्होंने 72 हजार करोड़ रुपए दिए और किसानों के साथ 78 हजार करोड़ रुपए वसूल कर दिए। इन्होंने 40 हजार करोड़ की खाड़ी सहित किस चीज़ की मूल्य बुखार करके, लगभग 70 करोड़ किसान बढ़ी हैं, अगर जोस्ट लगाया जाए, एक किसान 200 लीटर डीजल खपत करता है, डीजल का दाम 2 रुपए प्रति लीटर बढ़ा है, अगर इस तरह जोड़ा जाए, तो किसानों के ऊपर 28 हजार करोड़ रुपए का

* Expunged as ordered by Chair.
मायावती की विकास अितिर_article1, गरीबों को * मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि आपने (...व्यक्ति) अगर आप running commentary न करें, तो अच्छा रहेगा। सर, मैं तो बजट पर ही बोल रहा हूँ।

श्री उपसभापति : आप बोलिए। आपको कोई नहीं रोक रहा है।

बा. प्रभा ठाकुर : सर, इतने नहीं करोड़ रुपए खर्च करके कौन सा गरीबों का और किसानों का महा कर दिया। (...व्यक्ति)...

श्री उपसभापति : देखिए, आप इतने के बोलने दीजिए। जब आप बोलते, तो आप इनका जवाब दीजिए।

श्री गंगा चरण : जब आप बोलिएगा, तब हमारे खिलाफ बोलिएगा (...व्यक्ति) हम नहीं करते हैं क्या?

श्री रुद्रनारायण पाणित : इस बीएसपी का सरकार के प्रति समर्थन है?

श्री उपसभापति : पाणी जी, आप बैठिए (...व्यक्ति) See, there is no time. Please don’t disturb the speaker.

श्री गंगा चरण : इतने लुनाव के समय यह घोषणा की थी कि बुंदेलखंड प्रांत बनाएगे और जब बहन मायावती ने ज्ञापित किया कि हम बुंदेलखंड प्रांत के समर्थक हैं, तो इनकी पार्टी के नेता मुकुट गहक और कहा कि हम बुंदेलखंड प्रांत नहीं बनाएगे। उस समय किसे राहुल जी ने जो करके स्टेटमेंट दे दिया कि हम कभी बुंदेलखंड प्रांत के समर्थक रहे हैं नहीं। इन्होंने कहा कि बुंदेलखंड को स्पेशल पैकेज देगे, मैं आपसे यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि जब बहन मायावती जी ने उत्तर प्रदेश में जो अति छिप्पा क्षेत्र है, सबसे गरीब, मुकुट और बदहाल क्षेत्र है, उस क्षेत्र के विकास के लिए 80 हजार करोड़ रुपए मांगे थे, तब इतने घोषणा की कि हम 32 हजार करोड़ रुपए दें हैं, लेकिन बजट में उसके लिए बाहर 1200 करोड़ रुपए ही दिया गया। यह है इसकी बुंदेलखंड के प्रति विकास की नीति मैं कह सकता हूँ कि इनका जो बाद होता है, वह असल होता है और इनके जो नाम होते हैं, वे लूटा तो होते हैं, जनता को गुरुसाड़ करने वाले होते हैं। ये अपने बचन के पक्ष के नहीं है। यदि आप वचन के पक्ष के हैं तो बुंदेलखंड के विकास के लिए 80 हजार करोड़ रुपए दे दीजिए, यदि आप वचन के पक्ष के हैं तो बुंदेलखंड प्रांत का निर्माण करिए, तो भी आपने बचन दिया था।

सर, मैं एक बार और कहना चाहता हूँ कि बुंदेलखंड में पानी नहीं है, वहां पर लेंड होलिंड अधिक है। सरकार ने जो कर्ज माफ किया, यह केवल चार एकड़ वाले किसानों का किया है। बुंदेलखंड में जो ट्रेक्टर मिलता है, वह केवल दस और बारह एकड़ जमीन पर मिलता है, इसलिए यहां के किसानों का कर्ज माफ का कोई लाभ नहीं लिला है। जो कर्ज माफ किया भी गया है, आप जाकर देख लीजिए, वहां पर केवल सरकार के जो राजदूत कृषि बैंक हैं, उन्हें किसान बदायूं नहीं है। कर्ज माफी में भी कमिशन लिया गया और उन्हीं किसानों का कर्ज माफ किया गया, जिन्होंने अपने नाम सरकार। मैं इतने मंत्री जी से मंगल करता हूँ कि इसकी सीमीजै जा जाए। इन्हें बाहर धोना है। कर्ज माफी में बैंक के अधिकारियों ने कमिशन लिया गया है।

* Expunged as ordered by Chair.
मान्यता, किसानों को कृषि यंत्रों पर जो ऋण दिया जाता है, कृषि यंत्रों के जो ढीलर हैं, हमें बैंक मेंगेजर इंडायरेक्टी नेटवर्क के शासन लेने हैं, इस बात को रख जानने हैं और इस कारण किसानों को उसका पूरा लाभ नहीं मिल पाता है।

भी, इस क्षेत्र में सरकार ने कॉम्पाल्लों पर व्याज कम किया है, लेकिन मैं सरकार से मांग करता हूं कि कृषि यंत्रों की खरीदारी पर सी 4% व्याज पर लोन मिलना चाहिए तथा अन्य जगहों की तरह बुंदेलखंड में भी मात्र दो एक घंटे के अनुसार की तरीक़े में लोन मिलना चाहिए। अभी परसों वित्त मंत्री जी का बयान आया कि हम किसानों के ऋण के लिए 400 करोड़ रुपये दे रहे हैं। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि मन्त्री दिनों में 400 करोड़ रुपये का लोन दे किस तरह दे देगे? किसानों की फायदे को हम पढ़ी हैं। जब किसान बैंक में जाता है तो दलाल के माध्यम से ही बैंक मेंगजर उससे वात करता है और विद शिक्षान नहीं पहुँचता, तो उसकी फाइल रिजेक्ट कर दी जाती है। आप इस बात को गैंगरियों से लीजिए। यदि आप किसानों की हितों हैं तो बगे सुविधा शुकु ल दिए किसानों को ऋण मिलना चाहिए।

इस पर भी तब बैंक अधिकारियों के खिलाफ न हो विज्ञान के द्वारा कोई छप गया मारा गया है और न ही तीन बैंकों के द्वारा छपा मारा गया है। सारे मान्यता सदस्य यह जानते हैं कि किसानों के ऋण के समय उनके साथ नाइनसारी होती है। उनकी जीवन मिश्री रखते हैं, मकान मिश्री रखते हैं, किराए कहते हैं कि एक जमीनी वाला लाईए, उसके मात्र दो, पत्ता को भी मिश्री रखते हैं, दो साल लाख का ऋण लेने के लिए उसकी सारी सम्पत्ति यहां तक कि बीवी-बच्चे भी गरीब रख देते हैं।

सर, यदि आप किसानों की हितों हैं, तो यदि सरकार किसानों की हितों है तो जिस तरह इंडिस्ट्री में लोन मिलता है, उसी तरह सरकार को भी लोन मिलना चाहिए। कार के लिए आप 7% व्याज पर लोन देते हैं और किसानों को 12% पर लोन देते हैं। इसलिए मैं दावे के साथ यह कह सकता हूं कि इस बात और कंपनी की सरकार का हानि किसान और गरीबों के जरूर नहीं है, इसका हाथ देश के वंड मुद्दी वर अभी दो जमीन। यह सरकार संस्करण देखती है। संस्करण बड़ रहा है तो कहते हैं कि देश का विकास हो रहा है और दूसरी तरफ इस देश में 72,000 लोगों ने गरीबी और भूख के कारण आत्महत्या कर ली है, उसके लिए कोई फिल्मेंदर कर गई है, जमीनी वित्त मंत्री जी भी आ गई है, मैं उससे एक ही अनुशासन करना चाहता हूं कि देश में नक्सलवाद और मादोवास को रोकना है, तो सबको छोड़ दिखाई। एंट्रॉन टेक्नो नहीं चले, मतास ओपर नहीं बने, एनएचएआई की सड़कें नहीं बने, लेकिन गरीब को दो पत्ती की तरीकी की यथार्थता जरूर करें। उसके लिए झड़ीपड़ी और तन को उठके दिए की तरीकी की यथार्थता जरूर करें। इसलिए यदि हम नहीं किया गया तो देश में खुलेखुलेख होने से रोकना तो कोई नहीं है। आपको बाँट से अर्थिक असमानता की खाई और बढ़ेगी, पूंजीपतियों के पास और जूतल उठकड़ी होगी तथा गरीब और मी गरीब होता चला जाएगा। इससे आत्महत्याओं का सिलसिला रचने वाला नहीं है, लेकिन यह सिलसिला और बढ़ाएगा।

इसलिए मान्यता, मैं इस मंत्री जी से अनुरोध करता हूं कि वह बहुत ही विदित हैं, बहुत पुराने नेता हैं, उन्हें देश के आम आदमी की जो हलत है, ज़रा उसकी ओर ध्यान देना चाहिए।

**बैंकों का चयन**: गंगा चरण जी, आपको में 30 मिनट कार था। यह finish कर दीजिए।
SHRI NARESH GUJRAL (Punjab): Sir, the Union Budget was presented at a time when you saw an unprecedented rise in prices of essential commodities, especially of food. Sir, this Budget has been particularly disappointing for the agricultural sector and especially the small farmers. Urea prices were raised just a week or ten days before the Budget and now the burden of expensive diesel has also to be borne by the small farmers. Sir, we are told that the subsidy would be given directly to the farmers. We all know how rotten our delivery system is and it is almost impossible that the ultimate beneficiary will receive the so-called subsidy that the Government is proposing. This would result in the farmer using less urea. Yields will decline and as a result, the foodgrains production in the country will also decline.
Sir, the Finance Minister has kindly announced some interest subsidy and increase in credit facilities for the farmers. This is a welcome step. But, unfortunately, the small farmer again will not benefit because he is only dependent on the money-lender. Sir, the nation needs food security and for that, adequate investments have to be made for irrigation. Sir, Punjab, the nation’s granary with just one-and-a-half per cent landmass, provides more than 50 per cent of the foodgrains for this country. We have repeatedly been urging the Centre to grant us Rs. 2,200 crores to strengthen and renew our canal system. But unfortunately, it has been completely ignored. Yet, Rs. 22,000 crores are being wasted on holding the Commonwealth Games. Sir, the Finance Minister has announced grand plans for the Second Green Revolution and a paltry sum of Rs. 400 crores has been sanctioned for this purpose. On the other hand, what do we see? Rs. 400 crores will be spent on the opening and closing ceremonies of the Commonwealth Games. The fiscal policy should have been used as an instrument to direct private sector investment into agriculture, skill development and for the rapid industrialization of the backward districts.

Sir, coming to Punjab, I have seen how the FCI has stored more than 6.7 million tons of wheat and 7 million tons of rice in the open. Pests and rodents are attacking day-in-and-day-out, and now, the rabi crop is about to come, and I shudder to think where that will be stored. This would mean further loss and damage to the precious food that this country requires while the aam admi will remain under-nourished and hungry. Sir, it defies all logic as to why does the Government not flood the market with wheat and rice which is lying in plenty in the open all over the country. Sir, if agriculture income is tax-free, I do not understand why does the Government not make its storage also tax-free. If the Government were to extend tax-free status to companies and individuals who set up modern silos to store this precious food that we have, I am sure, a lot of private sector investment will flow into this sector and the country will save lots of food. Right now, 11 to 12 percent wastage is there in respect of storage of cereals and 30 to 35 per cent is a loss for fruits and vegetables, and these are Government figures. Sir, if IT industry for so many years could get tax concessions, why can’t the food processing industry also get the same concessions? We need to strengthen our food processing industry if we are serious about improving the plight of our farmers.

Sir, I would like to bring another disturbing thing to the notice of this House. Punjab faced more than 49 per cent rainfall deficiency last season. At that time, the Centre urged the State Government to provide all the necessary help to the farmers, and we were told that we would be compensated for whatever relief we provided to the farmer. Sir, as a result, we denied our industry electricity for 4 days a week; we bought expensive electricity from private traders, and we were able
to save the crops. We produced more than the previous year. We gave them a bill of Rs. 1400 crores, that is, what we spent. The Agriculture Minister kindly sanctioned Rs. 800 crores, but I am sorry to point out that not a penny has so far been given to Punjab despite the fact that the President of India in her Address said that Rs. 4,000 crores has been given as drought relief. This is unfair to a State that feeds this nation. ...(Time-bell rings)...

Sir, the Thirteenth Finance Commission has done great injustice to Punjab. We have been given only Rs. 700 crores more, whereas, the Sixth Pay Commission’s impact is Rs. 2700 crores on a State which bore the brunt of insurgency for over a decade. We are completely bankrupt because what we pay as salaries and what we pay as interest to the Government of India is more than our total income. We are in a debt trap, and it is the duty of the Centre to find some innovative way to get Punjab out of this debt trap.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude,

SHRI NARESH GUJRAL: Sir, give me two minutes more.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Whatever time was listed for you, I have given more than that.

SHRI NARESH GUJRAL: Sir, the Budget could have been more innovative. Millions of youths are unemployed in this country, and I wish, we could have done something to involve the private sector in this exercise. If we were to involve the private sector by giving them tax benefits. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Gujral, please conclude because there are a number of other speakers and we have to conclude by 4.30.

SHRI NARESH GUJRAL: Institutes could be set up by the private sector to provide skill development to those who are unemployed today. Some kind of a weightage deduction, Mr. Finance Minister, should be provided to private sector parties. ...(Interruptions)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Raghunandan Sharma.

SHRI NARESH GUJRAL: ....who wishes to invest in this.


SHRI NARESH GUJRAL: Okay, Sir. One minute more. I will cut it short; I will just say one word.

Finally, we are proud of our 7-8 per cent growth rate and I congratulate the Finance Minister for keeping up the growth momentum. I would suggest that just like the price index, a national
nutrition index should also be created, and every year, the Finance Minister, when he presents the Budget, should also give to the country the national nutrition figures so that the poor men who go hungry... there are more than 200 million people in the country - also share the success of the 'India Growth Story'. Thank you, Sir.

भी रघुनन्दन शर्मा (मंत्री प्रतिष्ठा) : माननीय उपसभापति जी, देश के लिए मंजी महोदय ने 26 फरवरी, 2010 को भारत जैसे विशाल देश, जिसमें विचार की आर्थिक महाशक्ति बनने की सीमा है, का बजट प्रस्तुत किया। यह रत्न रंगीन बजट देश के लाखों लोगों के साथ बना हुआ, जब इस बजट में आपने यह घोषणा की कि नीलाम ओर पेट्रोल के गुणों में वृद्धि की जाए। आधिकर इस मुख्य वृद्धि के पीछे आपकी धन्यत्व क्यों? अटल जी के समय में भी दीजीत और पेट्रोल पर एक रूपा का अधिकार लगाया गया था। लेकिन, उस अधिकार को लगाने के पीछे एक सुविधासित योग्यता थी, एक ही धन्यत्व की वित्तीय तळों को पुनर्विकास करने है, यदि सड़कों का जाल विकसित इस देश की सुरक्षित करना है, गांवों का गुंडा बनाना है, तो फिर सड़कों की सुनिश्चित करने, बिसाना और गिरजा तक पहुँचने होगी। यदि आपकी धन्यत्व भी वे इसके पीछे उसका प्रभाव की है, तो आपको उस लक्ष्य को पूरा किया जाए था। है, इस देश के यह प्रतिपादित करने सबके समुद्र प्रस्तुत करना पाए थे, लेकिन वह कहीं भी इस बजट में परिवर्तित नहीं होती है। अटल जी ने सड़कों के बारे में यह उद्देश्य की थी, पूर्व आप भी एक रूपा पेट्रोल और दीजीत पर बढ़ाकर यह घोषणा करते हुए इस बजट में यह एक वर्ष में या दो वर्ष में यह सारी की लोक रेल बजट में देने और सारे भारत में रेलों का मानवित्र बजट देने, तो देश के लोगों को समझ में आता कि आपने इसे बढ़ाने के पीछे देश हित के बारे में कोई न कोई मंदता प्रकट किया है। लेकिन, ऐसा कुछ उड़ो नहीं है, बल्कि आपने अपने का�玆्म के अनुसार पैरा में ही इस सब निकलताओं की भिंति का प्रयास करते हुए कहा है - "मे: 2009 में, जब इस महान सदन में फरवरी में अनासिम बजट और जुलाई में नियमित बजट प्रस्तुत किया था, तो भारतीय अर्थव्यवस्था भीरी अर्थव्यवस्थाओं के उदय शरीरी थी। वित्त की गति धीमी रहनी शुरू हो गई थी और कारोबारी महाभाग बन गया।" अब यह आरोप आप किस प्रकार लगाए रहें हैं? आप कह रहें हैं कि वित्त की गति धीमी हो गई और कारोबार का महाभाग बंद हो गया, तो यह आरोप आप अपने ऊपर लगा रहें हैं। यदि आप सबक आपने की आर्थिक कर रहे हैं, स्थीरता-स्थिरता कर रहे हैं इसके लिए मैं आपको सातवां देश बाहर हूँ, परन्तु इसके साथ ही मैं यह भी कहना चाहता हूँ कि इस स्थीरताओं के साथ-साथ इसमें हे कुछ ठीक करने के प्रयास करके आपके संकर्त्य को भी यदि आपने सामने रखा होता तो शायद देश का कुछ मला होता, परन्तु यह कहीं भी दिखाई नहीं देता है।

आपने बजट के साथ बहुत सारी पुस्तकें उपलब्ध कराई हैं, जिनमें से एक पुस्तक 2009-10 का जो बजट आपने प्रस्तुत किया था, उसके कार्यक्षम की रिपोर्ट है, कार्यक्षम का प्रतिबंध है और उस कार्यान्वयन के प्रतिबंध में 45 विन्यास हैं। मे: उन्हें बारे में देखा तो गुप्ते या आया कि जब लोग निर्माण विभाग हमारे क्षेत्र में सड़कों बनाना है, तो सड़कों की दारा यह है कि 8-8, 10-10 सालों तक महान वह लिखा होता है कि "कार्य प्रगति पर है, स्थिरता लिखा है" आपने उस कार्यान्वयन रिपोर्ट में भी लिखा है कि "कार्य प्रगति पर है" उन 45 विन्यासों में से 33 विन्यासों पर आपने लिखा है कि "कार्य प्रगति पर है", मैं कहता हूं कि इसके साथ-साथ भी जोड़ देते हैं कि "कार्य प्रगति पर है, देशवासियों के साथ संभल कर लिखा है"। क्या हम इसी गति से चलना चाहेंगे? तो यह स्थिरता आपने देश की कर रखी है।
उपसमाप्ति जी, इसके साथ-साथ मैं ग्रामीण परिवेश के बारे में कहना चाहता हूँ। इस वर्ष का बजट
11,08,749 करोड़ रुपए का है। आपकी राजस्व प्राप्तियां 8,11,458 करोड़ रुपए की है। आपके आंकड़े जो बोल रहे हैं, उनके अनुसार 5,62,664 करोड़ रुपए का कार्य का याज है। अब जो याज है, वह याज ही 2,51,664 करोड़ रुपए बनता है, जो कि राजस्व प्राप्ति के 31 प्रतिशत है। तो केवल याज ही राजस्व प्राप्ति के 31 प्रतिशत के बनकर है। यानी आपने “आर्थिक उद्देश्य”, में नीति अपना रखी है। इसके बारे में देख के लोग अपना सिर झुकने के अलावा कुछ नहीं कर सकते। आप आर्थिक लोग, जिन ने और भावी लोगों को महान कर्म के अंतिम गति में देखा दिया। यदि आप गैर-आयुक्त पर खर्च और आर्थिक के याज को मिला देते हैं, तो 9,87,321 करोड़ रुपए बनता है, जो कुल राजस्व प्राप्तियों से पैसे दो लाख करोड़ रुपए अधिक है। आपने योजनाओं पर 3,73,092 करोड़ रुपए के व्यावसाय का अनुमान किया है, इसमें पैसे दो लाख करोड़ रुपए मिला दिया जाए, तो इसका योग बनता है 5,48,955 करोड़ रुपए, इसने धन की आवश्यकता होगी। इसने धन की आवश्यकता है और आपके पास कुछ भी नहीं है।

श्री उपसमाप्ति: शर्मा जी, आपके पास 2 मिनट का समय बाकी है ... (यथाभाषा)...

श्री रघुवर्दन शर्मा: उपसमाप्ति जी, यदि आप कहें, तो मैं बंद कर देता हूं। मैं आपके कल्याण अनुशासन को जानता हूं। आपने मेरी मैदन स्कूल में एक मिनट का कोई अतिरिक्त समय नहीं दिया था। मैं अपना समय पूरा होते ही बेठ जाता हूँ।

आपके पास मोट मोटे अलग अलग अधिकार लेने के अलावा कोई भी कार्य नहीं करता है। मैं बजट भाषण के जिस समग्र अधिकार की भाषा में बात अपना कहना चाहता हूँ, उसका अंतिम प्रारंभ मे आपके लिए उपयुक्त है कि भाषा आम आदमी की है, यह जिसी और कृषि की है। तो यह नएशनल पाबंदियों की ही बात करने वाला हूँ। जब हम गैर-आयुक्त से योजनाएं बजट का प्रभाव अधिक भारी में समय रखते हैं, तो देश विकास की पटरी पर आ चुका होगा, यह हम गैर-आयुक्त से कहने की स्थिति में हो सकते हैं।

उपसमाप्ति जी, मंजूदार बात यह है कि हम लोगों को कृषि विभाग को कमी न कमी अंतर से बजट प्रस्तुत करने का अवसर देना पड़ेगा। जिस तरह से रेल निर्माण का बजट अंतर से प्रस्तुत होता है, उसी तरह से कृषि निर्माण का बजट अंतर से प्रस्तुत करने पर विचार करना पड़ेगा। आपके जो निर्माण भाषा हैं, यदि उनके रहते हुए, कृषि निर्माण का बजट अंतर से रखा गया, तो उसका योग होगा, क्योंकि जिस दिन वे कोई घोषणा करते हैं, उसके दूसरे दिन ही किसी बाद में बदल जाता है। और हम इस बात की कहानी की भाषा में जल्द जाते हैं।

उपसमाप्ति जी, कृषि उपदान के क्षेत्र में मिन की जीवन की आवश्यकता होती है, मैं उनका जिक्र करना चाहता हूँ। आपके व्यक्ति और, पुस्तक 21 पर, मंगल संख्या 7 में आपने उर्वरकों की स्थिति के बारे में कहा है। उर्वरक अनुदान का चित्र क्या है? 2009-10 में इसके लिए बजट प्राप्त था 34,252 करोड़ रुपए, 2010-11 में 10,500 करोड़ रुपए और इसी प्रकार स्थानीय उर्वरकों का बजट 14,080 करोड़ रुपए था, जिसे 15,980 करोड़ रुपए किया गया था। इसी तरह subsidy on imported fertilizer के लिए 2009-10 में 3,048 करोड़ रुपए का प्राप्त था। इसके इस वर्ष बजट 5,500 करोड़ रुपए किया गया है, अबों एक तरफ यांत्र उर्वरक हैं, उनका बजट घटाया
Mr. Deputy Chairman: Shri Arjun Kumar Sengupta. You have five minutes only. You were called earlier, but you were not there. Now you have five minutes only.

Shri Arjun Kumar Sengupta (West Bengal): Sir, I had gone away taking your permission.

Sir, the Finance Minister is getting kudos from all quarters because of his very well crafted Budget and his commitment to the aam aadmi, which he picked up from his period with Mrs. Indira Gandhi, when he was the Finance Minister of her Government. So, I don’t want to praise him any more. Everybody is praising him. I would only pick up a few holes in the Budget. I hope that this will be taken in good spirit. ...(Interjections)... Yes, small holes. The impression that has been created, particularly, by the Opposition, is that this Budget has not been able to tackle inflation. To a large extent, this charge is correct. But it is not because what Mr. Arun Jaitley in this House, or, Shri Yashwant Sinha in the Lok Sabha said that if there is a tax, there will be an increase in price because that will be an equilibrium level of movement. That does not mean inflation. Inflation comes when this price increase leads to further price increase. So, if their logic is taken, then, you can never have any taxes because all taxes would increase prices. On the other hand, it is our experience that two particular areas, namely, petroleum products and money wages, are the most sensitive variables which have a very large impact on inflation because once the price increase is there, it has a cascading effect which tackles all other activities. In the case of petroleum, especially, when there is an inflationary expectation, it is very dangerous to think of increasing petroleum prices, although...
believe that petroleum prices will have to be adjusted because prices have grown on a long-drawn basis, and there is no way we can avoid this truth except to adjust ourselves. But the timing has been ill-conceived. And the timing of it, at a time, when there is an inflationary expectation is a wrong way of approaching this because it immediately gets into an inflationary spiral. I am afraid, and the Finance Minister is also seeing what is happening in the manufacturing sector soon after this particular process. This is the whole story. The other story is that as a representative of the aam aadmi, he has done his job very well, which is to protect the aam aadmi from this inflationary pressure. I mentioned this last time in my speech on the President’s Address that there is no reason why the Left are so upset in terms of welfare of the common people, if there is a price increase in petroleum products. This does not affect these people. This does not affect most of the aam aadmi who earn Rs.20 or below. But that does not mean that you can ignore them. This is because price increase in one area would soon engulf price increase in other areas. We have several experiences of that. I have had a detailed reading on this thing, if one can talk about that. The number that has been floated, 0.41, would be the price increase is not quite correct. I request the Finance Minister not to give this kind of number because that is the direct inflation number which does not mean anything, especially when an intermediate product like petroleum is involved. The price has been increased because of indirect effects and you have to take care of the effect of that on the aam aadmi. The only way to do that is not to step the price increase but to choose the right time, the extent of price increase and, then, to protect the aam aadmi through some kind of a dual pricing system. I mention this because we have talked about it in many different ways that, particularly in products like diesel, LPG, kerosene, which have a large aam aadmi component, we should be able to have a dual pricing system. I think we have done that every time. There will be leakages. There will be difficulties but we should learn over time, and that is what we expected the Finance Minister this time would introduce in the system.

The next question is that of money wages, the one variable which has an immediate increase in inflation and money wages are very sensitive to food prices. Sir, food prices have a tremendous impact on the welfare of the common people. But from the point of view of reforms, from the point of view of economic growth, money wages increase if there is a food price increase. Whether the money wages actually increase or not, there is a pressure, an inflationary expectation, which immediately degenerates into inflation. So, you must do something to contain that. I expected the Finance Minister to take advantage of the proceedings he has already announced about the Food Security Bill. It will take some time, I understand. But the very fact that he declared that they are
going to introduce food security and 35 kg food would be given to all the sixty million BPL families, would have a tremendous impact. Now, this would mean, of course, increase in subsidies, increase in organizational arrangements, because the FCI today, as it is organized, is incapable of doing that. Nobody expects the Finance Minister to do it overnight. But the commitment to that, that he is willing to do that, will be sufficient to make the market feel that this particular area is being taken into account. Sir, these are the points on inflation.

Sir, I would like to touch upon two or three small points after this. There is a tremendous increase in social development expenditure. The Finance Minister has followed the trend that the UPA Government has been following over the last few years. The net expenditure on social services has been increasing more than the GDP. But what he has not done is to see to it that this net expenditure is actually delivered. Now, in the President’s Address last year and this year also, there has been a promise that there would be a monitoring system. A monitoring system in the last Address of the President was supposed to be outside the Government. But, unfortunately, in this Budget Speech, he has brought that into the Government under the Chairmanship of the Deputy Chairman. I have nothing against that. But this goes against the spirit of that particular proposition.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please, conclude.

SHRI ARJUN KUMAR SENGUPTA: Sir, I come to the final point, and that is quite important. When we are talking about the aam admi, we are talking about his employment. The most important depreciation the aam admi has is that they do not have any jobs. We have analysed that the only way to give them jobs is to increase the employability of people in the unorganized sector, for which we have detailed proposals of NAFUS and NABARD kind of an organization which would look after these poor people. It is expected that the Finance Minister would touch upon that to see to it that this actually happens, even if a beginning is made that there is a new NABARD kind of an organization that is being created.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please, conclude.

SHRI ARJUN KUMAR SENGUPTA: Sir, I have many more points to make. I don’t want to talk about that. But the only request that I would make of the Finance Minister is that he should not be embarrassed of his commitment to the aam admi, he should not be at all reluctant to parade that because this is something for which he would be again and again criticized. This is something which is the rationale of the existence of this Government and ’he’ is the leader of this Government.

SHRI SHARAD ANANTRAO JOSHI (Maharashtra): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, this is probably the last time I speak in this House as my mandate will be getting over. I am glad that I am using this occasion for commenting on the Budget. Sir, this Budget marks an important milestone. It has been
commended as the farmers’ Budget, as the entrepreneurs’ Budget as also the investors’ Budget. And the Finance Minister made it a point to declare that the rate of growth of 9 per cent is well insight. Sir, the Finance Minister, in our system, has a dual personality. He is in-charge of the public finances and is also in-charge of the economic growth, amongst others, I am including the Planning Commission. I am going to confine myself only to the growth aspect of it.

Sir, the Congress Government in this country in the days of Socialism kept India below the Hindu rate of growth, and now the aam aadmi economics is keeping India at a maximum of 9 per cent which is better than the 3 per cent, but, it is still a much lower rate than can be achieved, if we had rather than a aam aadmi engine growth, an agriculture engine growth. I have demonstrated and spoken here quite often to show that an agriculture engine growth can be, even today, as high as 14 per cent.

(The Vice-Chairman, PROF. P.J. KURIEN in the Chair)

Sir, the aam aadmi economics is resulting in distribution of endowments and largesse, which results in increase in demand and also increase in fiscal deficit, with the result that the prices rise, particularly the commodity prices, that was inevitable. Even the President of India, Sir, in her Address to the Joint Session admitted that there was a link between the aam aadmi economics and the inflationary trends that were prevailing. In spite of that, this Budget continues to be basically an aam aadmi Budget with its emphasis on endowment and largesse. The claim that it has something for the farmers, I would like to raise some points on that, Sir. Number one, it is said that the farmers who return the crop loans in time will get an additional discount of one per cent interest rate. I think, the Finance Minister certainly does not ignore the fact that in India the crop loans are never repaid. The crop loans are only renewed. And, if they are renewed, then the advantage of that one per cent will not go to the farmers. It might, if at all, go to the Secretary or it will just disappear. Secondly, there is an announcement that the other farmers under the debt relief and loan waiver scheme who have to pay 75 per cent of the amount due within a certain period, they will get further six months. Sir, the Finance Minister could also not be ignorant that starting from the end of February towards the end of June, there is no income that any farmer derives, which would permit him to repay the part of the accumulated loans during that period. So, these two concessions do not really mean anything.

Sir, here the Finance Minister has certainly announced a programme for agricultural development which includes replication of the Green Revolution in the North East and also certain arrangements for storage facilities and input supply. He has omitted to remember that during the
same period, the Minister for Environment and Forests has made an announcement which will
discourage the use of the biotechnology, the GM technology in case of the food crops. Therefore,
the use of biotechnology or GM technology as an important instrument for securing food security is
getting practically out of the question.

Sir, even about the food processing industry, even though he has tried to give a sop, he must
remember that the technologies of refrigeration and cold storage are now frowned upon by most of
the environmentalists and unless we familiarize ourselves with the technology of "high pressure", the
food processing industry is unlikely to flourish.

Sir, about the petroleum and the consequent increase in commodity prices, since we are
counting ourselves among the super powers, I wish the Finance Minister has drawn some lesson
from one of the BRIC countries, from Brazil which had given encouragement to the production of
ethanol and biodiesel. If we had done that, the farmers would have got additional income; if we had
done that, the petroleum prices would not have increased, and we would have delinked the domestic
market for petroleum crude from the international market, and thus obviating the need for increasing
the prices of the fuel.

Sir, under these circumstances, I would say that the Finance Minister had a possibility of giving
a definite tilt to agriculture in his Budget and not impose on himself this limit of 9 per cent. We
escaped the 3 per cent limit, of the Hindu rate of growth in 1991. Now, we are putting ourselves
unnecessarily in a limit of 9 per cent. We could certainly make it 14 per cent if we took the right
direction.

SHRI K.V.P. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Andhra Pradesh): Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, at the outset,
I thank you for giving me this opportunity to participate in the debate on the Union Budget 2010-11.
Incidentally, this is my maiden speech in this august House. This august House has got a high
tradition of not intruding into the time of new Member and I dedicate this to my dear friend, late Dr.
Y.S. Rajashekara Reddy who most unfortunately died in an air crash on 2nd September, 2009 in
less than four months of his taking over as the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh for the second time,
after leading the Congress party to a landslide victory in the elections to the State Assembly in May,
2009.

In his untimely death, I have personally lost a good friend with whom I had more than four
decades of close association. The country has lost one of the greatest champions of inclusive
growth. The poor people and farmers have lost the greatest benefactor. He was one of the greatest
mass leaders in the history of our country. Never in the history of this country in any five years period
in post-Independence era any State has ventured to implement so many developmental and welfare
programmes as was done in Andhra Pradesh giving the five years period 2004 to 2009 under the
leadership of Dr. Y.S., Rajashekhara Reddy.
Sir it was my good fortune to have associated with him during this most eventual period. In fact, it was he who proposed my name for the Rajya Sabha and I am truly grateful to Madam Sonia Gandhi, our leader, for giving me this extraordinary opportunity to be a Member of the Indian Parliament.

Sir, it is my privilege to be a part of the world’s largest democracy. For Indian Republic, universal adult franchise cutting across barriers of caste, creed and sex is an article of faith. Even in England, hailed as the mother of democracy, suffrage for women had taken about a hundred years of animated struggle before it became a reality and for the blacks in the United States, it took nearly 200 years of struggle to cast their votes in the polling booths for the first time. We have not only provided universal suffrage from the first day of our Independence, but have already provided, thanks to late Rajivji, reservation for women at all levels in the local bodies. Now, we have brought, thanks to the perseverance of Smt. Soniaji, the Bill for providing 33.3 per cent reservation for women in all legislative bodies including the Parliament in the country. This is a very progressive step, not thought of even by the most advanced countries like the U.S. and the U.K.

Sir, at the very outset, I would like to place on record my sincere gratitude and respects to Madam Sonia Gandhi, who though sacrificed the post of Prime Ministership of India, as Chairperson of the UPA along with the Prime Minister, Dr. Manmohan Singh, not only provided the much needed political stability to the country but also took the country during the last five years to highest levels of economic prosperity since Independence. We are also grateful to Madam Sonia Gandhi and Dr. Manmohan Singh for their very efficient and deft handling of the economy of the country during the last five years, more particularly in the immediate aftermath of the unprecedented global economic slowdown, considered by many as the worst since the great depression of 1930s. Sir, to appreciate this accomplishment, we will do well to remember that for three decades before Independence, our GDP growth rate was as low as 0.9 per cent per annum. We could increase this growth rate to 3.5 per cent annum during the first three decades after Independence and again beginning with 1980s, India entered a growth phase of 6 per cent per annum and persisted with this growth rate till the year 2004.

The country faced an unprecedented foreign exchange problem in the year 1991. It was our good fortune that Dr. Manmohan Singh who was the then Finance Minister of India helped the country to tide over the crisis. He implemented the economic reforms with human face very successfully. In fact, for the first time, the country clocked more than 7.3 per cent GDP growth rate for the last three years of the Eighth Five Year Plan, that is, from 1992 to 1997. Members are kindly
aware that the growth rate fell to 5.3 per cent for the Ninth Five Year Plan period. Thanks to the leadership of Madam Soniaji and Dr. Manmohan Singh, India has finally entered high economic growth phase.

Sir, we are all aware that due to the efforts of Madam Soniaji and Prime Minister Dr. Manmohan Singh, the Indian GDP growth rate surpassed 9 per cent per annum for the first four years’ period, 2004-08. Even taking into the low GDP growth rate of 6.5 per cent for the year 2008-09, the average annual GDP growth rate for the five years period, 2004-09, was as high as 8.5 per cent. Sir, this is incidentally the highest growth rate achieved for any five years period after Independence.

Thanks to Madam Soniaji’s initiatives, last five years have been the most glorious years in the history of our country, as our country has not only achieved the highest economic growth rate during this period but was also able to ensure that the fruits of economic development have reached the neediest of the society.

The Nation would for ever remember gratefully the contribution of Madam Sonia Gandhi not only for her supreme sacrifice but also for the plethora of developmental and welfare programmes initiated by her along with Dr. Manmohan Singh for the benefit of women, for the benefit of the poor people of the country and for the welfare of farmers. It is but for their commitment, the long awaited Women Reservation Bill would not have seen the light of day. Similarly, we owe a lot for their other initiatives like the JNNURM, the NREG programme, the Bharat Nirman Programme, the Aam Admi Bima Yojana, Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana, the BRGF, the Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojana to name only a few. We have all seen as to how they went ahead with the much delayed nuclear agreement.

Sir, for Madam Soniaji, keeping up the promises made is of utmost importance. She commands respect of the whole nation for her credibility. In this regard, I would like to draw the kind attention of this House to the targets set out in the National Common Minimum Programme in the year 2004 by the UPA Government formed under the chairmanship of Madam Sonia Gandhi. These are: (1) Maintaining a growth rate of 7 - 8 per cent per year for a sustained period; (2) providing universal access to quality basic education and health; (3) generating gainful employment in agriculture, manufacturing and services and promoting investment; (4) assuring 100 days’ employment to the breadwinner in each family at the minimum wage; (5) focusing on agriculture and infrastructure; (6) accelerating fiscal consolidation and reform; and (7) ensuring higher and more efficient Fiscal devolution.

Sir, we can look back with extraordinary satisfaction that, for the first time, thanks to the Leadership of Madam Sonia Gandhi, we have not only met all the above targets but also implemented many more programmes. Even the promise to provide an assured 100 days’
employment in a year to the breadwinner in each family at the minimum wage was implemented through MGNREG programme. This is the biggest social security initiative in the post-independence era. In respect of empowering our youth through better education, Shiksha Abhiyan, has also introduced the Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan besides other initiatives for skill development.

In keeping with its promise, the UPA Government has taken many initiatives to give boost to agriculture. The MSP for various agricultural crops has been doubled in the last five years; in fact, for wheat, the MSP was increased by Rs. 500 per quintal while for rice, the increase was Rs. 450 per quintal. The interest rate on crop loans has been brought down to 5 per cent per annum. The agricultural credit has been more than trebled. The Government implemented the Debt Relief Scheme for a sum of Rs. 70,000 crores benefiting about five crore farmers in the country. This is the largest write-off in the history of the country. The Government also implemented the Prime Minister’s package for distressed districts in 2007-08. The fertilizer prices have not been increased over the five years’ period from 2004-09, despite the global prices going up by 800-1000 per cent during the last year. In fact, the prices of some of the fertilizers were brought down. It is only in this Budget that the prices of fertilizers have been marginally increased.

Coming to this year’s Budget, I sincerely, compliment the hon. Union Finance Minister, Shri Pranab Mukherjee for his commendable Budget under most trying circumstances. The country is greatly relieved to learn that we are among the first countries in the world to have recovered from the impact of the global economic slowdown. We are happy to learn that the GDP is likely to grow at 7.2 per cent for the current fiscal and is projected to grow at nine per cent in the next year and that it may even cross the double digit growth barrier in the year next. This is really a great news. The Government needs to be complimented for very mature and deft handling of the most critical phase of economic slow down. The three fiscal stimulus packages have very greatly helped in revival of the economy. The country was greatly relieved to learn that the manufacturing growth more than doubled to 8.9 per cent in 2009-10 from 3.2 per cent in 2008-09 and that it actually clocked 18.5 per cent for the month of December, 2009.

I am happy that the Government has increased allocations on infrastructure development which is expected to constitute 46 per cent of the total Plan allocation. The Plan allocation for Power sector has more than doubled. Special focus has been given for skill development to make our youth globally competitive. The social sector spending will go up to 37 per cent of the total Plan outlay. I am also happy that the personal tax rates have been brought down and for various concessions given to boost the food processing industry and for farm mechanization. The allocations on important
programmes like JNNURAM, RKVY and AIBP have gone up by 80 per cent, 93 per cent and 29 per cent respectively over and above the RE 2009-10. It is respectfully suggested that in respect of RKVY, the allocations to State Governments should be based on the outcomes as targeted in the National Food Security Mission, rather than on the increases in the State Budget on Agriculture.

Sir, I would like to bring to the notice of this august House that the Andhra Pradesh Government has been very successfully implementing the Rajiv Arogyasri Health Insurance Programme covering about 80 per cent of the State’s population. As per this scheme, hospitalization expenses to the extent of Rs. 2 lakhs per annum per family are allowed. In the last two years, 27 lakh people have been screened in the health camps and more than 5 lakh people were operated upon in some of the best hospitals. This scheme has been hailed as one of the noblest schemes and has become a role model not only for other States but also for many other countries in the world. I request that the Central Government to kindly consider making this programme a Centrally-sponsored programme.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (PROF. P.J. KURIEN): Mr. Ramachandra Rao, I know it is your Maiden speech. I don’t want to control you. But, if you can voluntarily do it, I will be happy.

SHRI K.V.P. RAMACHANDRA RAO: Sir, I want exactly six more minutes.

The women empowerment programme being implemented through the Self-Help Groups in Andhra Pradesh is the biggest initiative for any State in the country. The women SHGs of Andhra Pradesh account for 50 per cent of the total bank lending for this sector in this country. This became possible because of the subsidized interest rate programme that the Government of Andhra Pradesh has been implementing during the last five years. The Government of Andhra Pradesh has already requested the Central Government for taking up this programme as a Centrally-sponsored programme. I request the Government to kindly consider this.

Similarly, despite the fact that India has now emerged as the second largest scientific pool in the world, we have to go a long-way in making many of our youth globally competitive. It is true that the Central Government and many State Governments have taken some initiatives recently but they are not sufficient. The Central Government should consider funding on 100 per cent grant basis all the States for connecting all engineering colleges, polytechnics, medical colleges and other degree colleges, both in private and Government sectors, with broadband educational network, with video conferencing facilities, with a view to raising the standards of education in rural colleges also. This will also include making available web cast of most popular lectures, lab experiments and surgeries across the State. Similar initiatives are also required urgently for improving the skills at the school level.
Considering the fact that utilizing every drop of water in the country as most important, the outlay on AIBP should have been increased very substantially. The grant component under AIBP should also have been doubled. The State Governments have not been able to mobilize resources for creating extra irrigation potential because of various limitations. As there has been no major breakthrough in agricultural technology in the last two decades, the only way we can increase our foodgrain production is by making more water available through better irrigation facilities. Given the fact that the Indian population is growing at the rate of 2 crores per annum and that the global tradable surplus in foodgrains is coming down, we have to spend more money on irrigation. The Government may kindly consider taking over some of the irrigation projects as National projects, as in the case of power sector, where the NTPC has stepped in to support the State Governments. While on this subject, I request the Government of India to revisit the issue of family welfare. Some of the States in the country have been recording high levels of growth in population, which the country can ill-afford.

In respect of devolution of taxes, the Government has accepted the recommendations of the 13th Finance Commission, whereby the devolution has been increased from 30.5 per cent to 32 per cent. It is respectfully submitted that this should have been increased to, at least, 40 per cent considering the fact that in the post-liberalization period, the States have been called upon to spend huge monies on creation of social and physical infrastructure.

Sir, I have always believed that we should do everything in our hands to give special emphasis for the development of backward regions. But, there must be a time-bound programme. It cannot be for an infinite period. Rewarding States with bad administration for too long a period will create unnecessary complications.

I expected that the Thirteenth Finance Commission would take note of this. I am sorry that they did not do this. With the result, the performing States continue to get punished.

In conclusion, we have every reason to be optimistic about the future of the Indian economy. The country is in the safe hands of highly experienced and committed leaders.

Jai Hind!
एंड एमरावरू, ये चार भिनाम आते हैं। इनके साथ ही साथ इंस्टर्न रोजन और सिकिम बाद रेस्टर्स में जो इकोनामिक हिंसरहिद्री और रीजनल इंस्ट्रेक्शन है एवं सोहदी का जो आम आदमी है, ये सभी इस सृजक, इस रीजन या इस डिपार्टमेंट में आती है। जो पूरा क्षेत्र 70% से भी ज्यादा पाँचवेंशत को कार करता है, उस डिपार्टमेंट के लिए सिक 10,000 करोड़ से कुछ ही अधिक धन वितरित किया जाया है और जिसके नाम-पानांड खर्च प्राप्त कर ज्यादा है। इन चीजों के कारण ही आजादी से लेकर आज तक इस क्षेत्र की, इस जैनवुल्ड एरिया की जो स्थिति थी, आज आजादी के 63 साल के बाद भी ठीक वही स्थिति है। आजादी के पहले जैनवुल्ड कार्य, जैनवुल्ड द्राघास, चिश्ना वर्ग और महानारिती अंतर्गत के लोग जहां थे, आज आजादी के 63 साल के बाद भी इकोनामिकली और साहित्य ठीक वही पर है।

हम लोगों को लिए भिन्न उच्च असाधारण स्थितियों हैं, उनके शायद हिचिकर मिलिस्टार का वर्तमान सबसे कश्चा है और यह हम जैसे के बारे में, जैनवुल्ड कार्य, जैनवुल्ड द्राघास, और डॉक्टरी और इंस्टान्ट रोजन के बारे में जब लोगों हम सोचते थे कि हिंदियार्ड के जगमन में और आजादी से पहले इस क्षेत्र की जो स्थिति थी, आज आजादी के इसलिए साल बाद इस एरिया या इस सौकर प्राप्त होगा। पर नुसे कुछ के साथ कहना पड़ रहा है, आज भी भिन्नुस्तान के सबसे ज्यादा गरीब आदी उड़ीसा, आसर्कंड और छत्तीसगढ़ में रहते हैं। इस क्षेत्र में, जो sub- planned area है, जहां गरीब आदीवासी रहते हैं, जहां कोलाहल, लोही, अधिक और मौली जीत जाता है, पूरे देश के लावंद्रा का कम से कम 90% उसी भूमि के नीचे है। जो भूमि सबसे धनवान भूमि है, उन्हीं तीन एस्ट्रेज में जो आदीवासी हैं, ये भिन्नुस्तान के और साहित्य दुनिया के सबसे गरीब आदी हैं, जिसके बारे में आज वहां पर असंतोष फैल रहा है। जो गरीब आदीवासी स्वपन किसी तरह आदीवासी को आयाम किसी इंस्ट्राक्ट गाड़ी को देने से दिक्कत थे, आज वह लोग सरकार के साथ लड़ने के लिए तैयार हैं। वे लोग आज सरकार के साथ लड़ने के लिए तैयार हैं। और सरकार उनसे कुछ नहीं पा रही है। इसलिए यह वो असंतोष है, इसको ज्यादा दिनों तक रहने देना देखा के लिए, समाज के लिए और सरकार के लिए ठीक नहीं है। आज करीब 63 साल तीत गए। कमसे-कम 63 सालों के बाद अब सरकार को इस गरीब आज जलना बान बाने में सोचना पड़ेगा। सरकार को उसके बारे में विचार करना पड़ेगा। उसे दिस्टर्स-उपलब्ध से लिए, गरीबी दूर करने के लिए कुछ-न-कुछ करना पड़ेगा। कमसे-कम इस sub-plan area के लिए good schooling, good health services, good connectivity और उनके जीवन भी अब तक मुहैया नहीं हैं। ... (समय की घंटी)...
Year day of Maharashtra. Sir, I know I have very limited time, but, I am, perhaps, the only speaker speaking from Mumbai having 100 million population. But I will be making only one suggestion and a few observations; I will not be making any comment. First, I will mention about the changes proposed in the service tax law. Sir, as you know, technical education is the buzzword in today’s world. The Government of India had introduced Skill Development Initiative Scheme, (SDIS) a couple of years ago. This is a highly laudable scheme and I believe that if it is implemented properly, it will benefit many people. But, in this year’s tax proposals, technical education; has been taken in the net of service tax. I do not want to talk a lot, but my only suggestion is that if you want to really help the young children in the rural, semi-rural and urban areas, if you want them to have technical education, then, my suggestion is that the vocational training institutes that are recognised by the vocational training providers with the Directorate General of Employment and Training, the Ministry of Labour and Employment, under the Skill Development Initiative Scheme, be included in the definition of ‘Vocational training institutes’ which have been exempted from the service tax. This should be extended to all the technical training institutes.

Now, Sir, I have half a dozen suggestions to make. I come from Mumbai; therefore, I will be confining myself to Mumbai only. Sir, I am happy that the Government has extended a special package for the development of Bundelkhand, an under-developed area. I am happy about it. Though the demand was more, about Rs.1200 crores have been allocated. My observation is that the Konkan region of Maharashtra is also under-developed or undeveloped. If the Government is giving this type of a package to Bundelkhand, why cannot it extend this type of a package to Konkan area also, which is also under-developed or undeveloped?

Sir, another thing is that according to the World Health Organization (WHO), in urban areas, there should be one Medical Practitioner, at least, one Doctor, after every 256 people. That is WHO’s norm — 256 people: one doctor. But, in Mumbai, today this ratio is, a doctor after 658 people; after 658 people, there is just only one doctor. If this is the situation in an urban area like Mumbai, one can imagine what would be the situation in rural, semi-rural and remote areas of Maharashtra?

Sir, the Institute of Oceanology has expressed fear that due to climate change, there would be repeat of 26th July flooding after every five years. The 26 July flooding happened in 2005. Now, we are in 2010. Five years have passed. There is a caution, there is a fear expressed by the Oceanology Institute. So, what are we doing to prevent this type of calamity in which so many people died, so many cattle have lost their lives and in which so much property got damaged?
Sir, another thing is, the Centre had promised an aid of Rs. 1260 crore for the clean-up operation of the Mithi River. As all of you know, the Mithi River had created a havoc in 2005. What has happened? The Centre had promised that Rs. 1260 crore would be given. But nothing has come. Why? I am only posing a question.

Sir, one more question, it is a world-wide accepted formula that in urban area, a population of 1000 people requires 4 acres of land. But in Mumbai, this ratio is .03 square acres; it is only .03 square acres. If we count that, it is equivalent to 21” TV set. It means, on 21” TV set, one Mumbaikar lives. Sir, what are you doing to decongest Mumbai? I want to put this question to you.

Sir, another thing is, Mumbai pays maximum tax to the Central treasury.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude.

SHRI BHARATKUMAR RAUT: Every year, Mumbai gives Rs. 1,31,000 crore by way of various taxes. But, in return, we get only Rs. 2,000 crores from the Centre.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bharat, you have to conclude.

SHRI BHARATKUMAR RAUT: Sir, only one point. It comes to only 2 per cent. So, only 2 per cent is returned to Mumbai. On the other hand, Delhi gets 25 per cent. Why is this anomaly? Why is this disparity?

So, I would like to ask only one point. Sir, we want to make Mumbai the world’s financial hub. Two years ago, the hon. Prime Minister had promised Rs. 1000 crores for the development of Mumbai. Your predecessor, Mr. Chidambaram, was there. He had promised it. ...(Time-bell rings)...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude.

SHRI BHARATKUMAR RAUT: Sir, only Rs. 16.16 crore have come so far. Out of Rs. 1000 crore, only Rs. 16.16 crore have come so far. What are you doing? I only have a question. If he doesn’t do it now, I hope he will include it in the next Budget proposals. Thank you.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Jesudasu Seelam; three minutes.

SHRI JESUDASU SEELAM (Andhra Pradesh): Sir, at the outset, I wish to convey the Greetings on behalf of all Telugu people whom I represent in this House on this Ugadi Day to the entire House.

I rise to support the Budget proposals of the hon. Finance Minister. Sir, with great regard, I can recall how as an young officer I used to operationalise and implement the revised 20 Point
Programme of Mrs. Indira Gandhi. Shri Pranab Mukherjeeji had been part of formulating that revised Plan. Because of time constraint, I am not going into various details. I am especially mentioning Point No. 7, an important aspect of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes 'Special Component Plan and Tribal Sub Plan'. Since none of the hon. Members has mentioned about it, I took this time to express some concerns. While I thank the hon. Finance Minister for enhancing the Budget allocation for the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment — he has increased it by more than 80 per cent — I would also like to draw the kind attention of the hon. Prime Minister and the hon. Finance Minister to some of the aspirations of the Dalits and Adivasis in this country.

Sir, the Special Component Plan and the Tribal Sub-plan were formulated by late Shrimati Indira Gandhi to have a comprehensive development in the wake of Naxalite Movement in the late '70s. Then, we have seen how the Centre has issued directions to various State Governments to earmark funds meant for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. But, at the same time, at the Central Government level, out of the 100 and odd Ministries, only 18 ministries are spending some money, and that too, a very notional percentage of allocation under the Special Component Plan and the Tribal Sub-Plan. Our request, Sir, is: please earmark the money before allocating them and keep at the disposal, initially, of the Planning Commission and, later, with an institution to monitor, execute and devise appropriate need-based programmes for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. I am saying 'need-based' because the country has undergone a lot of transformation and the transformation is still on. Sir, the great Telugu poet said, देश मनुष्य होता है, देश मनुष्य होता है। That is why, we the people want to be on par with other people. That is why, there is an urge, there is a transformation among the dalits and Scheduled Tribes also. That programme should be reflected in the aspirations of those dalits. I draw the kind attention of the House, without taking much time, to the fact that we need to appropriately earmark the funds before it is allotted to the Central Government. The second point is about banking credit. In 1980, when Madam Indira Gandhi devised the 20-point Programme - Pranabji is aware - point no. 7 was about the Special Component Plan, Tribal Sub-Plan, by banks. Sir, crores of rupees have been given in credit but there is no Special Component Plan or Tribal Sub-Plan in the bank credit sector. I urge that this is not a new thing. I want him to revise the old practice of reviewing it at the district, the State and the Central level. I am happy that the same is being done for the minorities of this country.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Seelam, please conclude.

SHRI JESUDASU SEELAM: Sir, there should be a national institute for social transformation. While we have innumerable number of new institutions and mechanisms in the financial and economic sector, for the social sector, especially covering the large majority comprising SCs and STs and minorities, ...
MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You have made your point; please conclude.

SHRI JESUDAS SEELAM: ...we need a system, or, an institute of social transformation to reflect upon these...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude.

SHRI JESUDAS SEELAM: ...and appropriately dovetail with planning so that there is a meaningful development of the vulnerable sections of the society.

SHRIMATI VASANTHI STANLEY (Tamil Nadu): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I thank you for

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shrimati Vasanthi Stanley, you have five minutes.

SHRIMATI VASANTHI STANLEY (Tamil Nadu): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I thank you for giving me this opportunity to express my views on the Budget (General) 2010-11. I was indeed very
proud to be in this august House when the UFA Government has passed the historic Women’s Reservation Bill. I thank hon. Prime Minister, Dr. Manmohan Singhji, and the UPA Chairperson, Shrimati Sonia Gandhi, and also my leader, Dr. Kalaighnar Karunanidhi, for having sent me here.

John Maynard Keynes, one of the greatest economists, said, "A Budget is not an instrument for raising revenue; it is an engine of social reforms."; a hundred per cent fit quote for our Finance Minister’s Budget of 2010-11. When the global economic crisis is catching up the country, when there is flood and drought in some parts of the country, when agriculture has not given proper yield, we need a Finance Minister like Shri Pranab Mukherjee to stand up with confidence and say that we have weathered this crisis and Indian economy is now in a far better position. Hats off to the hon. Finance Minister for the courage like Winston Churchill, who said, "London will take it."

The Budget is a pragmatic and realistic attempt to strike a balance between fiscal consolidation and the need to keep the growth momentum going. There is something for everyone in this Budget - individuals, companies, agriculturists, women and children, medical sector, the minorities, the film industry, gold and silver merchants and consumers, sports persons, renewable energy sector, and even for the small children playing with toy balloons. My full appreciation goes to the Government for giving special attention to the agriculture sector as per our Tamil poet, Thiruvalluvar’s, saying.

There has been an increase in credit facilities Rs.3,75,000 crores. The debt waiver and debt relief to farmers has been extended for six more months. There has been an increase in interest subvention to 2 per cent. All this, I am sure, will increase the production and ensure food security. I wish to reiterate here that this debt waiver scheme for farmers was first initiated by our Chief Minister, Dr. Kalaighnar Karunanidhi, in the year 2006 itself. I would like to applaud hon. Finance Minister for establishing the NCEF, that is, National Clean Energy Fund. I specially thank hon. Finance Minister on behalf of Tamil Nadu for one-time grant of Rs.200 crore for Tirupur knitwear industry for setting up the Zero Liquid Discharge System.

Indira Awas Yojana gets Rs.10,000 crore allotment. And, for the popular housing scheme for weaker sections, hon. Finance Minister has raised the unit cost from Rs.35,000 to Rs.45,000. I welcome this step. I also welcome allocation of Rs.1,73,552 crores to infrastructure development; Rs.1,37,679 crores to social welfare scheme; Rs.22,300 crores for health and family welfare; Rs.31,000 crore to school education; and Rs.41,000 crore to NREGA.

This year’s Budget has an unusual confluence of three forces powering it - the report of the 13th Finance Commission, the impending introduction of GST, and the making of Direct Tax Code. The Finance Minister has struck the balance effectively. The roadmap for fiscal consolidation has
been laid to bring the fiscal deficit to 4.1 per cent in the next three years. Lot of tax benefits have been given to the individuals. On the one hand, proposals on direct tax will result in a revenue loss of Rs.26,000 crore for the year, but, on the other, gain in indirect tax revenue will be Rs.46,500 crores. Hence, the overall gain is Rs.20,500 crores. Proposals relating to service tax are estimated to result in net revenue gain of Rs.3,000 crore for the year. In short, Sir, India will be one of the few countries with consumption led 8 per cent GDP growth, decreasing fiscal deficit and Government borrowing, making India march towards glory. This is the saying of our great poet, Thiruvalluvar, “A King, if not being pointed out of his mistake, he will perish even if no one tries to destroy him.

Sir, here, I would like to make a few points. First one is about the proposed hike in customs and excise duty on petrol and diesel, Sir, our Chief Minister has also mentioned about this. The Government cannot help adding new taxes to bridge the gap but Mahabharata says that a King should collect the taxes like a bee or a butterfly that sucks nectar from the flower without hurting it. I suggest that the hike can be imposed in a phased manner.

Sir, now, I would like to make a few points in respect of our State. Sir, the Kalaignar Insurance Scheme for Life Saving Treatments is only meant for people below the poverty line. The entire premium is borne by the Government, and, it aims at giving special treatment to the poor people. I request that the service tax should be exempted on this. ...(Time-bell rings)... Sir, I want the proper fund allocation should be made for the Sethusamudram Project removing all the hurdles. Sir, for the intra-linking projects, the AIBP projects, I request you to allocate more funds for our State.

Last but not the least, Sir, is the issue of Sri Lankan Tamils. I know you very much remember John Milton sonnet on his blindness, ”They also serve who only stand and wait.” Our Tamil people are still standing and only waiting. They are not even able to open up their mouth to cry. This is how we refer to our God. I want you to be like a Mother who does not even let the baby to cry when she has to feed the baby. I want you to act like a Mother towards Sri Lankan Tamils, and, do the needful for their rehabilitation. Sir, to conclude, Pranab ji, I would say that this is not your first Budget. You have given six Budgets in three decades. Whatever you have done, you have done your best, and, whatever you are doing, you are doing for our country. I wish to conclude my speech with two lines from a popular song:

"होगे कामयाब, होगे कामयाब, हम होगे कामयाब,
मन में है, विश्वास, पूरा है विश्वास।"

Thank you very much.
सरदार तरलोचन सिंह (हिरायणा) : माननीय उपसभापति महोदय, Prime Minister साहब यहां बैठे हैं और बहुत experienced Finance Minister साहब बैठे हैं। सर, मेरा एक सुझाव है कि हम कब से सुन रहे हो कि सरकार लेवलमेंट के लिए, there are about a dozen schemes by different names. कई रक्षितों के नाम ही याद नहीं होते, इतने नाम रखे हैं, बड़े लंबे-लंबे नाम हैं, इसमें क्या होता है, जितना भी पैसा आप इन रक्षितों में दे रहे हैं, अल्टीमेटली इसको गांव में जाना है, and village sarpanch is the one who is to implement it. यह अब ऐसा हो गया है, जैसे हवाई जहाज उड़ रहा है और एयरपोटर पर landing का time नहीं है। Same thing is happening actually in the villages. Sir, why can’t you amalgamate all the schemes? We have a federal system in our country. Call the concerned State Chief Minister and tell him, "You have so many hundred crores of rupees for your State." Leave it to the Chief Minister. He should decide as to where this money is to be spent, and, how this money is to be spent. You put a condition that fifty per cent of the money is to be given by the State. So, every State is dependent on you. Try it once, and, try it in one of your own Congress-ruled States, like, Maharashtra or Haryana. …(Interruptions)… Let me speak. …(Interruptions)…

सरदार तरलोचन सिंह: अब हालत क्या हो गई कि सारा कुछ करके the poverty is increasing, number of poor is increasing. वह कहते है न, कि “हिसाब जों का रखो, तो फिर कुनना दूबा क्यों”। We should give full responsibility to the Chief Minister concerned. He should come and tell you that you have allocated this much money for the State. Let him decide how to use that money, and, then, he should give you the report as to how he has implemented it. Try it once now.

Sir, my second point is about agriculture which has not been given due importance in this Budget. Sir, we have taken for granted that the farmer is going on producing, in spite of the fact that he is not getting the due which is required; the cost of land, labour, and, input. Sir, the cost of land in Haryana, around Gurgaon, is more than one crore of rupees per acre.

Do you keep in mind the cost of land when you determine the price of wheat? Appoint a new commission which will decide, once and for all, the cost of production of wheat in this way. You just give MSP in doses of Rs.10 like medicines are given to patients in doses. The farmer should feel that he is making profit. I am giving you a warning, because water level is already going down; landholdings are very small; and the farmer is in debt. How long do you expect him to continue as it is? You make a scheme for the farmer and let him make it profitable for himself. The farmer is the only person in India who cannot decide the price of what he produces. Anyone can decide the price
of what he wants to sell, but not the farmer. Come out and decide what the farmer should get and then you give him the subsidy whatever you want to give, but keep the farmer happy.

Last year Punjab and Haryana, both the States gave you about 80 per cent of wheat. And you have given them only Rs.200 crore. You are not aware that all canals are now breaching. Who will take care of the canals? Water level is going down and you are saying that Rs.200 crore is enough for these States. Sir, save the farmer. Save India by keeping him happy. You have a duty to invest more in those States which give you maximum. Don’t treat them as if they don’t need anything else from you. Rs.200 crore is nothing. You have given Rs. 1,000 crore to others but not to Punjab and Haryana farmers so that they can carry on with their work.

Sir, the policy of the Centre should be to adopt all canals in Punjab and Haryana and make sure that these canals don’t breach. There is already enough land going under seepage from those canals.

Sir, I put a question yesterday. And the reply was that in Punjab and Haryana today more than two crore tonnes of foodgrains are lying there. You have not lifted it and the new crop is coming in next ten days. In Punjab and Haryana, wheat and rice are lying for years and you have no capacity to lift them. I am happy that in your Budget you have made a provision for this and you are providing more storage facilities.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude.

SARDAR TARLOCHAN SINGH: You are giving money to private people.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please conclude.

SARDAR TARLOCHAN SINGH: That is good. But why cannot you shift wheat and rice from these States? It is rotting. Lot of wastage is taking place. We don’t want to go on wasting these.

Sir, in this Budget you have not taken care of malnutrition of the children. Their condition is worse in India. Our children are not well-fed. What will be the future of India? In your Budget, there is no mention of this as to what will be given to the children.

Similarly, Sir, 71 per cent according to your own report on housing in the villages of the houses are without bathroom and latrine facilities. Twenty-six per cent of the houses in urban areas are without bathroom and latrine facilities. What is our policy toward it? When will you come out and make sure that everybody is fully conscious of sanitation facilities? The Government should provide it to them?

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Tarlochanji, please conclude.

SARDAR TARLOCHAN SINGH: Sir, I will not take much time. You try my proposal of amalgamation of all the funds and give it to the Chief Minister. Thank you, Sir.
भी अहमद सईद मलिहाबादी (पश्चिमी बंगाल) : सर, हमारे कवीर-खजाना साहब ने जो बजट पेश किया है, उसको हम welcome करते हैं, इसलिए कि आम आदमी के लिए इसमें जो सुविधाएं रखी गई हैं, जो सहृदयतावादी रखी गई हैं, उसका फायदा कौन सा है इनके बारे में मुझे बहुत मुक्तांश सी तरह से एक बात यह अंत करनी है कि हमारे कवीर-खजाना साहब ने माइनीरिटेज के लिए नियुक्ति सात के मुकुटबले इस सात के बजट में जो इजाफा किया है, उसका हमने दोहरा 2600 करोड़ रुपए किया है, तो मैं समझता हूँ कि यह रकम उप बैंकलाग को देखते हुए माइनीरिटेज में जो backwardness है, जिसका इज्जाहर सबकर भूमिती और रंगनाथ कमिशन, दोनों ने हुआ है, उसके लिए यह यह समझ लीजिए कि ऐसा लगता है, जैसे समुद्र के अंधेरे पास के राज्य का रिफ कं एक कतार चढ़ेने के लिए निर्देशित। तो मेरी यह गुज़रिश है कि आपने आप इसके बारे में ज़रूर सोचा कि अगर आप माइनीरिटेज का महत्व करना चाहते हैं, तोक्यो 60-62 साल का जो वह विषाढ़पान है अब इसको पूरे करने के लिए अगर कुछ की रचना से हम बचेंगे तो जब तक हम इसका सुझाव करेंगे तो और नए लोग पेड़ा हो जाएगे जो और फिर जाएगे। तो इसमें इजाफा होना चाहिए। तो इसके लिए करना बहुत जरूरी है।

इसके एक बात का ध्यान रखना और भी जरूरी है। आपने माइनीरिटेज के लिए रखा है, हम उसके लिए बहुत सुझाव किया है। लेकिन एक बात का ध्यान रखना बहुत जरूरी है कि माइनीरिटेज में मुख्तियों का हिस्सा किसी नहीं होता है। इसलिए कि हम लोग यह महसूस कर रहे हैं कि उसको जो सेवा पुर्यक बाहिर कर दें अपर भी नाही भी पूर्ण रहा है और इसके पीछे सबसे बड़ी खबर बात जो हो रही है, वह यह हो रही है कि सेंटर का जो भी एकत्रिक्य है, अप आपने 2600 हजार करोड़ रुपए खारी है, वह वह जो रुपया रखा है वह रद गवर्नमेंट के बजट के तस्किर होता है। स्टेट गवर्नमेंट का जो हाल है वह आपके सामने भी है। आप एलीट तो कर रहे हैं लेकिन स्टेटस के कितना रुपया वापस आ रहा है और माइनीरिटेज पर जहां भी नहीं हो रहा है और मुख्तियों के ऊपर, मुख्तिय माइनीरिटेज के ऊपर कम के कम खर्च हो रहा है। उनके बैंकों को स्कालनपिंग कभी मिल रही है, उनके हाथसिंग पर भी यात्रा नहीं दिया गया है।

अभी हम लोगों ने जो यह देखा है कि आपने बैंकों के लिए जो क्वीट किया है 82 हजार करोड़ रुपया का हिसाब बनाकर दिया है कि हमने जो क्वीट दिया है माइनीरिटेज के लिए अगर 82 हजार करोड़ रुपया माइनीरिटेज में तिस्त्रिफूट हो गया होता तो तब वह हो गया है यह तो तब एक आध मुसलमान की हालत देख रहे हैं कि वह जैसा गधा था उसी तरह से परिवार पड़ा हुआ है। उनके मोहल्ले में पैसे ही अंधेरे पड़ा हुआ है, जैसा यह बिक्का हो रहा था, उसके यह बातकर सिर्फ़ कुछ भी नहीं, नालियाँ भी पैसे ही गई रही के, हमकी गलियों में अंधेरा, बड़े-बड़े अंधें हे गई विशेष विक्रेता में मारे-मारे फिर रहे हैं। जो 82 हजार करोड़ रुपया का क्वीट हो रहा है तो वह किसके पास जा रहा है? इस सब बातों का ध्यान रखना जरूरी है। लेकिन बजट आपने पेश किया है हम उसका स्वरूप मानना करते हैं इसलिए कि नेताओं वजह है और हम अपने जो कुछ भी किया है उसके लिए हम आप सब की सहानुभूति करेंगे हैं। आपने बहुत मेहनत से काम किया है। सिर्फ आसियर एक बात और अंत करना है। वह यह है कि आपके बजट में पेट्रोलिम पदयां में पेट्रोल और डीज़ल के रेट में जो इजाफा हुआ है उससे आप लोगों में बदली है वह अपनी जगह पर निष्ठुर है कि महागाई किसी तरह कम होनी चाहिए। अगर महागाई तो भी तरसे बढ़ती है तो उससे लोगों को ज़रब बेच्ची होती है। भविष्यत हाल यह सब बातें आपके सोचने के लिए है। हम आपका ध्यान अपने करते हैं और आपके बजट को समझते हैं।
جوہر عثمان احمد ملیح آبادی (مغروں پنگال): سر، بغیر وزیر خزانہ صاحب نے جو بحث پیش کی ہے، اس کو پہلے کرتے ہیں، اس لینے کے لیے امید کے لئے اس میں جو سوڈانیوں کے گھری گ nhi ہے، جو سوڈانیوں کے گھری گ nhi ہے، ان کا فائیڈ کامیابی کو پہچای گا، لیکن اس کے بارے میں متجہ دبی ملک بھر اپ کا فائیڈ کو عرض کرنا پے کہ بھاری وزیر خزانہ صاحب نے مانداریز کے لئے بچھی سال کے مقابلے اس سال کے بچھے میں نحو اضافہ ہے، اس کو انہوں نے 1740 سے بڑھ کر 2000 کروڑ روپے کیا ہے، تو میں سمجھنا بون کہ ہے پر ہم ان بارے گا لگا کو دیکھتے ہوئے مانداریز میں جو بچھے نے جس کا اظہار سحق کمیشلی اور رنگاہوں کمیشن، دونوں میں وہ بے باہر ہے، کیا ہے لاحقہ سے سمجھنا کہ اس کا انتباہ ہے، جیسے سمندر کے کنارے پہنچ کو شنیدن کا سروف ایک قطرہ پینے کے لئے ملے گا۔ تو میری ہے گریش پر ہے کہ اگے اپ اس کے بارے میں ضرورت سوچنے کو اگر آپ مانداریز کہ بھلا ہور بڑے ہے، کیونکہ سال کا مچھا بچھا ہے اپ اس کو دور کریں کے لئے اگر کچھوں کی رقابت سے ہی کچھ گا تو انتباہ ہے کہ ان کا سدر کریں گے یہ تو انتباہ نے لوگ پیدا کو جاتی گے جو اور بچھے جاتی گے۔ کو اس میں اضافہ ہونا چاہئے تو اس کے لئے کرنا بیٹ مانداریز کے لئی رکھا چاہئے بی، اس کے لئے بہت شکرگزار ہیں۔ لیکن ایک بات کہ ہیں رکھا چاہئے بی، اس کے لئے بہت شکرگزار ہیں۔ لیکن ایک بات کہ ہیں رکھا چاہئے بی، اس کے لئے بہت شکرگزار ہیں۔ لیکن ایک بات کہ ہیں رکھا چاہئے بی، کہ لوگ بہ پھسوس گر رہے ہیں کہ ان کو جو شیرن پینے جانے وہ شیرن اپنی بھی نہیں پینے رہے ہیں اور اس کے لئے بچھے سب سے بڑی خرابی ہو جو بہ بی نے، وہ بہ بی نے بی سے کہ سیدنیا کا جو بہی ایکہمین بی، اب آپ نے 2600 ہزار کروڑ روپے ہیں ہو، ایسے بچھے رہے بہو وہ استثاث گووتورنیئم کی ذریعے تعمیر بیٹا ہے۔ استثاث گووتورنیئم کا جو حال ہے وہ آپ کے سامنے بہی ہے۔ آپ انتباہ نو تک رہے بہی لیکن † [Transliteration in Urdu Script]
استشهدت في كتب الرومانية واليونانيين في كتابتهم حول الرومان وعملهم في الأرض. في الكتابة، كان الرومان يستخدمون الأسلحة والأسلحة القديمة التي كانوا يستخدمونها في الاستعمار. الأسلحة القديمة التي كانت مستخدمة في الكتابة كانت تتألف من السيف والسكين والصواريخ والمدافع. هذه الأسلحة كانت مصممة للقتال وتعتبر جزءًا من الهوية العسكرية والثقافية الرومانية. في الكتابة، يمكننا العثور على العديد من المراجع عن استخدام الأسلحة القديمة من قبل الرومان في القتال والخوض في المعارك. هذه المراجع تظهر مدى أهمية الأسلحة القديمة في الكتابة ودورها في تشكيل الهوية العسكرية والثقافية الرومانية. 

(ختم شدة)
THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, first of all, I would like to express my gratitude to all the hon. Members including the Leader of Opposition who initiated the discussion for making very valuable contributions, analysing the Budget proposals from different perspectives and making important suggestions.

Sir, the Annual Budget is neither an accountant’s scrapbook indicating the credit and debit nor it is, as per the Constitutional provision under Article 112, the estimated receipts and expenditure of the Union Government which are to be laid on the Table of the House and the President shall cause it to be laid as per the provisions of the Constitution; it is something more. Sir, the Budget speaks of the economic and political philosophy of the Government of the day. Formulation of the Budget is also being conditioned by the economic situation prevailing at that point of time, the broad outlines which are presented in various documents including the Five Year Plans which are operationalised through Annual Plan and the election manifesto of the political party, the ruling party, on which they sought the mandate of the electorate. Keeping those objectives in view, I had to formulate my Budgetary proposals and that too, I had to do in less than one year. Because in one year, I had to present 3 Budgets. The first Budget I presented in February 2009, that is, of the Interim Government. Naturally, it was described as a hasty Budget, not taking any measures or steps to address the financial crisis with which the entire world, including our country was confronted. I did so deliberately because I did not have the mandate. I mentioned year-wise, we had the mandate only for one-and-a-half-month, April and May. Therefore, I just carried on, but still, it is not correct to say that I did not address some of the basic issues. Three stimulus packages which we inducted before Budget and after Budget amounting to 1,86,000 crores of rupees, the major objective of it was to prevent the sliding down of the GDP growth to which I will come a little later.

Thereafter, in July, I had to present the full-fledged Budget, and after July, on 26th February, I had to present the 3rd Budget. Therefore, there was no scope of making any dramatic announcements or decisions which will be totally different or de-linked from the proposals presented earlier. When I formulated the proposals for the full Budget for the full year of 2010-11 in the context of 2009-10, I had the problem before me that whether the growth momentum which has been generated, for three consecutive years, the country witnessed 9 per cent GDP growth which is unprecedented. But when I found that in the last quarter of the preceding year 2008-09, GDP growth came down to 5.8 per cent as in the last year, this year also, I had the prime consideration how to maintain the tempo of the GDP growth. In the first quarter of the current year, that means, 2009-10,
we started building up the economy. The stimulus which was inducted, that started yielding results which got reflected in the first quarter of GDP at 6.1 per cent; second quarter it was robust, 7.9 per cent; third quarter, it was tampered because of the negative contribution of agriculture to the extent of minus 2.5 per cent, it came down to 6 per cent.

In between, the CSO made their advance estimates and projected that GDP growth would be around 7.2 per cent for the year 2009-10. Therefore, on the one hand, we found that the strategy which we adopted in the Interim Budget, full Budget, and before that, the Prime Minister’s initiative in December 2008, January 2009, by injecting the stimulus package that paid dividend, and perhaps, India is the second country which has maintained this level of growth out of 185 countries all over the world. Somebody may ridicule it by saying, what should I do with the GDP growth? Should I eat it? Surely, we are not going to eat the GDP growth. But GDP means more income, more wealth, GDP means the Government has the capacity to introduce a scheme like the NREGA, GDP means the Government develops the capacity to provide rights to the common people, aam admi, entitlements backed by legal rights. Most respectfully, Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I would like to submit that keeping in view the aam admi, we have not confined our objectives to providing mere words or slogans, but we have provided entitlements backed by legal rights. We have given ‘Right to Information’ by passing an Act in the Parliament; we have provided ‘Right to Job’ by passing an Act in the Parliament; we have provided ‘Right to Education’ by passing an Act in the Parliament, and we are going to provide ‘Right to Food’ by providing a legal enactment, by passing an Act in the Parliament. But, surely, we are not in a caring height. The commitment which we have made in the manifesto and the mandate which we have received is neither for nine months nor for 365 days. The mandate is for the full five years. Therefore, as I have mentioned, it will be put on the website, drafting is being made, and it will be possible for all of us to examine it, to put in our inputs and, then, as you know, it will come to this House and it will also come to the other House.

Therefore, the basic issue, before me, or before any Finance Minister placed in my conditions, was that we would have to ensure the momentum of growth. I remember, because I am not a new comer to this Office, quarter a century ago, I had the privilege of working as the Finance Minister of this great country, but I can candidly admit, I did not have the capacity to provide loan waiver to the farmers even to the extent of Rs. 1,000 crores because the economy did not have the capacity to bear it. Our tax-GDP ratio was extremely low. And today, it is because of the sustained nine per cent
growth rate that my colleague could declare that yes, I can afford, that I can take that risk of providing relief to four crores of farmers to the extent of 71,000 crores of rupees, i.e. from GDP. Therefore, growth is important. But, surely, growth itself is not an end. Growth must have an objective. And what is that objective? That objective is, here, we have provided an adjective to the growth; "inclusive growth". Benefits of growth will percolate to each and every section of the society.

Kindly look at the allocations. To ensure the growth, another approach which we had to take, both in the Budget up to 2009-2010 and 2010-2011, was that we must protect the plan. You could have noticed in the stimulus package that it was not merely the tax concessions, but, substantially, we have stepped up the developmental outlays also. The developmental outlays were stepped up from Rs.2,25,000 crores to Rs.2,80,000 crores, and, again, from Rs.2,80,000 crores to Rs.3,25,000 crores, and this year, we have provided Rs.3,73,000 crores! That is to ensure that development takes place. And analyze the component of it.

Somebody has said that 'social sector' has not been adequately provided the resources. Out of the Rs.3,73,000-crore Annual Plan Outlay, Rs. 1,37,000 crores have been provided to the social sector alone. Out of Rs. 1,74,000 crores provided in the Plan for infrastructure, nearly 25 per cent is meant for the rural areas. For whom are these allocations being made if not for the aam admi? It has been stated that the Budget was not for the aam admi. Then, for whom is it? For whom is the interest subvention? It is for stepping up the credit to the farmers from Rs. 2,80,000 crores to Rs.3,25,000 crores, and again from Rs.3,25,000 crores to Rs. 3,75,000 crores. For whom is it if it is not for the aam admi? For whom is this 48 per cent allocation in the Bharat Nirman Programme made? Who are the beneficiaries of the Bharat Nirman Programme if not the aam admi? Amirs are not the beneficiaries of the Bharat Nirman Programme. These programmes are mainly located in the rural areas. Therefore, this Plan was to be protected and, perhaps - I am using the word "perhaps" because one more year is there - if something untoward does not happen, it would be possible for us to reach the entire Rs. 14,00,000 crores at the price level of 2006-07. At the end of the Eleventh Five Year Plan, for the first time, we can achieve that. So far as the trend is concerned, we are achieving nearly 97 per cent of the Plan compared to the earlier Plans. We have the Tenth Five Year Plan in this country. I have been associated with several Plans, the Fourth Five Year Plan, the Sixth Five Year.
Plan and the Eighth Five Year Plan. Our track record from 1987-88 is 85 per cent of the total Plan outlay. But this year we are going to achieve that. That was the objective while formulating the Budget proposals, how to ensure growth, how to provide adequate resources to the Plan and how to earmark substantial resources for the common people.

The third element or objective which is important for the Finance Minister, and I do believe that it is so for any responsible Finance Minister in this situation, is to have fiscal consolidation. We have to borrow heavily to provide the stimulus. I gave tax concessions to the extent of Rs.58,000 crores, in three stimulus packages, on the Central excise alone. We have been criticized. "Why have you enhanced the excise duty? Why have you enhanced the customs duty on petroleum crude?" Most respectfully, I would like to submit that I have not introduced a single new tax. Is there any single new tax? All these taxes that were imposed were in vogue in 2008-09. What has happened is that when the petrol price reached as high as 112 dollars per barrel, the five per cent customs duty on petroleum crude was withdrawn. The one rupee excise duty per litre of petrol and diesel was withdrawn. I didn’t tamper with that for the full year, 2009-10. I didn’t tamper with the Budget of 2009-10. But when I find that the price has come down today – the Indian price of crude in Indian basket is around 73 or 74 or 75 dollars – perhaps, this is the time when we can absorb it. It has been criticized. Someone said that you could have done it at some other time. I don’t know.

I am not an astrologer, nor am I an oil expert. But I have seen the erratic behaviour or the volatility of petroleum prices in the international market for the last six years… how it has moved from 36 dollars to, at one point of time, in August, 2008, as high as 147 dollars per barrel. Keeping that in view, noticing the volatile behaviour of the petroleum prices, and it is a common knowledge — it does not require being an economic expert — that when the recovery in the industrialized countries, in Europe and North America, starts in full swing, then, there, will be higher demand of oil, and there is a propensity of the oil prices going up, would you advice me to withdraw this duty at that point of time? I know that there has been hardship; I have never said that it will not have an impact on the prices. I have calculated it; it will have an impact. But I do not find any carpet under which I can keep this. There is no basket where you can keep it. Therefore, this aspect is to be kept in view. In this connection, I would also like to point out, not to score any brownie debating point, but just stating the facts, what the behaviour of the petroleum prices in this country has been. Not just any one period, but petroleum prices have been adjusted during all periods, irrespective of any regime.
I am just making a comparison between 1998 and 2004, precisely, March, 1998, to May, 2004, when we came to power, and between May, 2004, till February, 2010, to show what the average prices of the basket of the Indian crude has been and what the stepping up of the prices has been. Take the case of petrol. Between 1998 and 2004, the Indian basket prices varied from 12 dollars to 36 dollars. And, what was the enhancement of the petrol prices per litre? It was 48 per cent. What was the diesel price increase? It was 112 per cent. Kerosene price increase was 258 per cent; the LPG price increase was 78 per cent. These were the figures when the average price varied from 12 dollars to 36 dollars. And, during 2004-10, – I had taken this charge during 2009-10 – the prices varied from 36 dollars to 118 dollars; at one point of time, it went up to 147 dollars; and now, the average is 83 dollars. What has been the increase? Petrol, 41 per cent as against 48 per cent; diesel, 63 per cent as against 42 per cent; kerosene, 2 per cent as against 258 per cent, and domestic LPG, 16 per cent as against 78 per cent. Still it is described as being against aam aadmi! I do know that when the prices go up, common people suffer; the poor people suffer. It is not an unknown fact. At the same time, you cannot ignore the fact that when you are to import certain commodities at high prices, it will have to get reflected somewhere. If a public sector unit, whether it is an upstream or a downstream company, of which you are the owner, becomes sick, whose interest will be served? You should not forget that it was not in the remote past — it was just less than 20 years ago — when the fiscal condition of this country had come to such a pass that to borrow a few hundred million dollars.

... (Interruptions) ... I am sorry. Are you saying anything, Surinder?

SHRI S. S. AHLUWALIA (Jharkhand): I am saying: why are you agitated?

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: I could not catch it. ... (Interruptions)...

Anyway, I am saying this because these things have been stated on the floor of this House. You might not have said it, but 31 speakers have made their observations. I am not responding to any point which has not been made by some hon. Member on the floor of this House. You might not have said it. I will come to the points made by the Leader of Opposition also. But I am also not saying that he has stated these things. You had this debate for ten hours and I am supposed to reply only in one hour; I shall have to cover as many points as possible. Therefore, these are the things which I thought will have to be done and we have done them.
Then, the question is about fiscal consolidation. I have myself stated this. I believe that country cannot live on borrowings, whether internal or external. Unlimited borrowing is simply not permissible. When we had to inject Rs.1,86,000 crores as a stimulus package by borrowing substantially from the market, more than Rs. 4 lakh crores, the fiscal deficit went up to 6.8 per cent of the GDP. That cannot be sustainable over a longer period of time. I have myself stated in my Budget proposals for 2009-2010, last July, that I shall have to come back to the path of fiscal consolidation. I have done exactly that. This year, I have kept it at the projected level and I am trying to keep it at the projected level of 5.5 per cent. I have accepted the roadmap laid down by the Thirteenth Finance Commission. I do believe that it will have to be done. Our fiscal credibility will, otherwise, be seriously challenged. If we indulge in financial profligacy, it is not possible. The question is whether the figures that I have quoted in my Budget Speech are credible or not and whether there is an iota of doubt about these figures and whether we will be able to maintain the fiscal deficit. Take the case of tax projection. First, let me take the case of tax projections which we have projected along with inflation. I will deal with inflation a little later, particularly the current inflation and why I have not taken it up in detail in the Budget as if it is not a matter of concern for me. My response to that is that it is very much a matter of concern. The day before I presented the Budget, I responded to a debate in this very House on 25th February. Prior to that, in the first week of February, the Prime Minister called the meeting of the State Chief Ministers. Various issues were identified. How the States and the Centre are to take steps to improve the situation, and particularly to ensure that the adverse impact of inflation on the more vulnerable sections of the society could be prevented. Those steps were discussed, identified, and a Core Group of the State Chief Ministers, along with Central Ministers, was constituted, which is going to meet shortly. The inflation is to be tackled, not merely by indulging in rhetoric or expressing views. For that, supply bottleneck is to be removed, which we are doing. We have put the essential commodities on short supply, we have provided putting them under OGL, reducing the duty, customs duty, so that the supply can be augmented. The nature of the inflation, if you analyse the basket, I know, it has reached more than 9 per cent, and I will not be surprised, if it reaches double digit in the month of March. It is because, apart from the other reasons, there is a base factor. Please remember and compare the wholesale price index during this period of the last year, in a large number of cases, it was minus. The base was low, and as the base was low, statistically, naturally, it will be higher, and this will continue till sometimes in May and June. That is the base effect. But, I am not going to have that base effect. I am primarily concerned with the inflationary impact of the food items, and for that, what we have
decided is this. Questions have been raised as to why you are not releasing the surplus, which you are having in the buffer stock. We have already released; four million tonnes have been released. A large number of the hon. Members, who are representing the States in this Council of States here, many of them are running the State Governments. Please ask your own Governments in the States whether you have received an offer from the Agriculture Ministry to lift three million tonnes of wheat and one million tonnes of rice. We have provided to be sold through the open market scheme, and we have requested the State Governments to take it. If you wanted more, we are ready to give you more. We cannot throw it on the street. We have to operate through the State Governments, and for that, the Prime Minister has called the meeting of the Core Group, consisting of the State Chief Ministers. Sometimes in the near future, these issues will be discussed there. Therefore, it is not correct to say that we are not providing it.

SHRI BHAGAT SINGH KOSHYARI (Uttarakhand): We are not getting the APL ...(Interruptions)...

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: Please, I have not interrupted anybody. If, of course, the Leader of the Opposition interrupts, I will sit down, but not to anybody. Therefore, my most respectful submission is that these steps we have already taken. But, when inflation starts, I have seen, I have lived with the rate of inflation in 16 per cent. When he took over as the Finance Minister, the present Prime Minister, what was the rate of inflation? In May-June, 1991, it was as high as 16 per cent. When Mrs. Indira Gandhi came back in January, 1980, the rate of inflation was as high as 21 per cent. Even before that, during our regime, we have lived with high rate of inflation. Therefore, it is not correct to say that this is unprecedented. Yes, it is affecting the people; it is hurting the people. It is a matter of concern, and the Government is to address these issues, and the Government is addressing it. We are removing the supply bottleneck. We are trying to ensure that the essential commodities are being made available. It has been described that agriculture has not been paid adequate attention. I have worked out the strategy to increase productivity, to prevent wastage, to provide agricultural credit and to encourage food processing from 10 mega food part to 15 mega food part, which are going to be established. You see the series of concessions which I have given. Unfortunately, when I was presenting my Budget proposals, after my decision of enhancing the price of fuel, at para 143, the hon. Members decided to walk out. Thereafter, the series of concessions particularly in agriculture sector — to improve agricultural productivity, to prevent wastage, to
enhance and expand storing capacities, pre-cooling arrangements, adoption of new technology — which are elaborated in four paragraphs, 146 to 149 of the Budget Speech, they did not have the patience to listen to it, but I spelt those out; in Part A of my Speech I have stated and I have stated in Part B that agriculture is the mainstay. That is why we have indicated.

Some points have been raised; doubts have been expressed that we are declaring 60,000 villages as pulse and edible oil villages and we are providing a paltry sum of Rs.300 crores. The point raised is by a knowledgeable hon. Member. He knows the economics of it. He knows that when the scheme starts, at the initial stage, the allocation is notional. The villages have to be identified, various programmes are to be dovetailed and as and when the programmes take off, the resources would be provided.

Look at the Budget allocation between the previous year and this year. In Rajiv Gandhi Awas Yojana, I made a token provision of Rs.100 crores in my last year’s Budget because it was just a new scheme which had been conceptualized. Thereafter, the State Governments were asked if they were in a position to provide a piece of land to a slum dweller, then the Government of India would provide assistance to the State Government to build houses. The scheme has picked up and we have stepped up the allocation from Rs.100 crores to Rs.1260 crores.

Take the UIAD. When the scheme was conceptualised, allocation made was just Rs.100 crores. This October we were told that now the scheme was in a position to take off. I have provided Rs. 1,900 crores. So, I can assure the hon. Member, it will not be a paltry Rs.300 crores for 60,000 oil and pulse villages; but, as soon as the work is developed, adequate resources would be made available to it.

The same story is about Rs.450 crores allocation for extending the Green Revolution to five States. Anybody will understand that a lot of schemes are in operation. Those are to be dovetailed, integrated and thereafter whatever additional resources would be required, that would be provided. What I am trying to achieve at through this Budgetary exercise is to enhance our agricultural productivity. We must prevent wastage. The very telling point I have made is known. That is why I have extended concessions to the private sector for construction of cold storage chains, for warehousing and for silos. I have also provided that the guarantee period will also be extended from
five years to seven years so that they find that the investment is profitable. All these things cannot be
done by the Government alone, these are to be done by the private sector and we will like to
increase. We have stepped up and I do feel it is quite inadequate. What is required, — our allocation
is much more — should be done. But there is always a gap between the aspirations and the actual
achievements. That is the characteristic of a developing economy. The third point which I was trying
to develop is about the fiscal deficit. Most respectfully I would like to submit, I would not like to make
comparisons, the figures are with you, you can make a comparison. Every year from 2004-05 to
2007-08 in our Budget what we projected as the fiscal deficit, we have achieved little less than that,
less than the budgetary estimates. Yes, the year 2007-08 was an exception because it was an
extremely difficult year and it was a very complex year. In the first half of the year, the inflation goes
as high as 13 per cent. We have to adopt contractionary policies and in the second half we had to
reverse the contractionary policies and to resort to expansionary policies by injecting in absolute
terms Rs. 1,86,000 crores. Sometimes it happens. When situation develops like that, the
Government of the day will have to respond and we responded, I do feel, adequately. Therefore, if
you look at the tax GDP ratio, yes, this year my revenue realization has been less. As I mentioned to
you, through the stimulus package and the indirect taxes alone, I have sacrificed nearly Rs.58,000
crores. On the Customs side, direct tax side and Central Excise side it is Rs.58,000 crores. On the
other side also I have had to make the sacrifices. Therefore, the revenue has come down. But you
have noticed the direct tax, it has improved a little bit, it has not come down. Indirect tax has come
down. Therefore, the projections which I have made of the tax revenue which we will have in the
direct taxes, in the indirect taxes, I do feel, it will be nearer and it would be possible for us unless
something extraordinary happens. I do not know ° God forbid ° if there is another drought, if there is
other tsunami like major accident. I cannot foretell that. But if situation remains normal, it would be
possible for us to ensure that the fiscal deficit which I had indicated, I have done. The Leader of the
Opposition raised a number of issues and to one of the most important issues I would like to
respond, but before that, I would like to respond to short questions very quickly. Shri Naresh Gujral
raised the issue about Punjab. For instance, about the drought I do compliment both the Punjab
Government and the Haryana Government, as the Prime Minister himself complimented those two
State Governments in the Chief Ministers’ Conference that they have provided yeoman service to the
nation by protecting the standing crop during the drought like situation in the Kharif season. As a result of that, we will have a bumper crop of wheat even this year even on the top of the previous year. For that I am committed to provide some financial assistance. The Supplementary Demand which has been passed by this House and the Appropriation Bills which you are going to pass after I complete my speech, there we have provided Rs. 800 crores to Punjab and Rs. 400 crores to Haryana.

In addition to that, I have accepted, as stated, the recommendations of the Thirteenth Finance Commission and the Thirteenth Finance Commission has provided Rs. 400 crores to Punjab as State specific grant for upgrading irrigation infrastructure and to address the problems in water logged areas. Additional grant of Rs. 320 crores for water sector management has also been provided to Punjab as the recommendation of the State specific grant in the Thirteenth Finance Commission. Therefore, I have accepted the recommendations of the Finance Commission in toto and got reflected in the various provisions of the Budget. Now, I would not like to be entitled to the Service Tax of Railways because Leader of the Opposition knows very well and he got the correct point. I am just adding to it that if we take into account of that 17 per cent of the circular which you have referred to and thereafter, even last year, in 2006 also it was mentioned that 70 per cent would be the abetment. So, whatever would be the notional charge, 70 per cent would be automatically exempted as it is abetted and on the remaining 30 per cent the major items of mass consumptions are also exempted and if you take that into account, then, taking together it will not come to the figure which you have stated in your observations. In respect of the service taxes on health sector, on constructions, on coaching classes and you have mentioned 3-4 other areas. I have one common response and that common response is related to the tax reforms. What is this ‘tax reforms’? What do I want to do? Again I am coming back to the fuel price increase. I could have opted for the softer option that instead of raising the customs duty I could have gone through the route of administered price mechanism at any point, without seeking the approval of the Parliament. But, to my mind, as Finance Minister of a federal country, I would have deprived the States. Today, if I get Rs. 26,000 crores by enhancing the custom’s duty of petrol, diesel and other petroleum products, nearly 32 per cent of it as per the recommendations of the Finance Commission will go to the States. This is not totally appropriated by me. Similarly, the services taxes I had to do. What is the objective of GST and to carry 28 States with me? It is not a very easy task. Each State Finance Minister knows where the shoe pinches and I am telling you three broad principles of the GST. One is, that the taxes should be reduced. It will not move vertically, it will move horizontally. Secondly, there will be limited
exceptions, very, very limited exceptions. Thirdly, there should be revenue neutral and I am trying to have a uniform rate both on goods and services and if I want to introduce the goods and services taxes from April 2011, should I not convey a message through the Budget immediately before that whether I am moving in the right direction to carry the States with me. Otherwise, where will be my credibility if I move in a tangent way, without ignoring their interests and what I advice them, I must practice. If I ask them that if you have VAT on the items which you are not still having, I shall have to do because these taxes will be shared with them. There will be common taxes and without their concurrence, without having the convergence of the view, it will not be possible for us to have the GST. Constitution does not permit us.

Therefore, on certain services, I have increased. But, I have taken care of it that it will not be affecting the interest of the consumers, because they will get duty concessions. For example, health check-up of employees of corporate sector. When they go, they will get the duty credit - CENVAT. We are not charging companies from their interest. Same is the story with insurance companies. They are not going to enhance the premium. They are taking the credit. So, these are, largely, the credit neutralization exercise. And, keeping that in view, we wanted to convey the message that we are moving towards the GST.

Coming to direct taxes, the hon. Leader of the Opposition mentioned some points. I can assure him that all the concerns expressed from different stakeholders will be taken into account, including the suggestions which he and his colleagues, while having interaction with me, made. We will take into account all these and after taking into account all suggestions given by various stakeholders, it will be possible for me and my colleagues to find out what should be acceptable. I have taken some time and I would like to utilize this time to the full satisfaction of all the stakeholders.

Sir, in respect of one-rank-one-pension, I would like to clarify one point. The Budget document says implementation of the Budget announcement of 2009-10 and shows that two of the recommendations are yet to be implemented. It is correct. And, those have been implemented by the Ministry of Defence through its letter dated 8th March, 2010. So, of the seven recommendations made by the Cabinet Secretary, five have already been implemented which we have mentioned in the Budget document and the remaining two which have not been implemented have also been implemented after that.

Sir, the last point which I would like to make is, somebody has suggested that the 13th Finance Commission has not made justice to the States. It is not correct.
THE LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION (SHRI ARUN JAITLEY): Sir, all the Jawans and JCOs who constitute more than 12 lakhs that you had mentioned in your last year’s Budget Speech, according to you, got the relief. But, the grievance of the Ex-Servicemen is that they had not got this relief. Your last year’s Budget speech says that more than 12 lakh will get that relief. You mentioned that figure.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: If that is the situation, I will check-up from my colleague in the Ministry of Defence. But, since I myself is the Chairman of the Group of Ministers and after that it was referred to the Cabinet Secretary’s Committee and when these recommendations came, we accepted them. We are not using the phrase one-rank-one-pension, because that has larger implications. If you want the details, I can also share the same with this House. It is to bring 10-10-1997 pre-revised pensioners at par with post-10-10-1997 pensioners. That was one recommendation. To reckon the enhanced rate of classification allowance w.e.f. 01-01-2006 on notional basis for the purpose of calculation of pension is another recommendation. To reckon pension of all pre-01-01-2006 PVOR pensioners with reference to notional maximum in the post-01-01-2006 revised pay structure corresponding to the maximum pre-Sixth Pay Commission Pay Scales as per fitment applicable to each rank with the enhanced weightage awarded by the GoM. To remove linkages of full pension with 33 years of qualifying service w.e.f. 01-01-2006 instead of 01-09-2008 in the case of the Commissioned Officers. The remaining two are for the Lieutenant General and to provide benefit of the broad-banding percentage of the disability and war injury pensions for pre-01-01-1996 disability war injury pensions and to remove the cap on war injury element of pension in the case of disabled pensions belonging to Category-E.

All these seven recommendations of the Committee, headed by the Cabinet Secretary, have been implemented — five you have got in the Budget document and two have been implemented on 8th March.

Sir, I do feel I have covered most of the points that the hon. Members referred to. I do not claim that all the proposals of the Budget can be satisfactory to everybody. It is simply not possible. There are grey areas, but what we shall have to do is, we shall have to move towards betterment, towards development. Nine to ten per cent growth is achievable. It is not a pipe dream. At the same time, within the framework, it is possible to control inflation to ensure that it does not eat up the benefits of growth. Benefit of growth is necessary; price control is necessary; fiscal consolidation is necessary, and, at the same time, expansion of the economy to reach to those who are still beyond
the pave of development is also absolutely necessary. In my Budget proposals, in the limited way, I have tried to achieve all these four objectives. With these words, I request the House to accept my proposal.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, the Finance Minister to move the Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 2010.

GOVERNMENT BILLS

The Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 2010

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): Sir, I beg to move:

"The Bill to provide for the withdrawal of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of a part of the financial year 2010-11, as passed by Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, we shall take up clause-by-clause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 to 4 and the Schedule were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: Sir, I move: That the Bill be returned.

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

The Appropriation Bill, 2010

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE): Sir, I beg to move:

"The Bill to authorize payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 2009-10, as passed by Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, we shall take up clause-by-clause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 to 3 and the Schedule were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI PRANAB MUKHERJEE: Sir, I move: That the Bill be returned.

The question was put and the motion was adopted.
MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, we shall take up the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation) Bill, 2010.

The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation) Bill, 2010

THE MINISTER OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI M. VEERAPPA MOILY): Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, with your permission, I beg to move:

"That the Bill further to amend the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1958, and to make provision for validation of certain actions taken by the Central Government under the said Act, as passed by Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

Sir, it is well accepted that the identity and the soul of a nation is expressed in its culture and heritage. It is also well accepted that the society has a collective responsibility to safeguard its cultural heritage. I may just quote our first Prime Minister, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, what he has depicted, reflected in his letter to his daughter, Indiraji. I quote: "The past brings us many gifts: indeed, all that we have today is culture, civilization, science or knowledge of some aspects of the truth. It is a gift of the distant or recent past to us. It is right that we acknowledge our obligation to the past." So, the Ordinance was brought about. I will explain the compelling reasons for promulgation of the Ordinance at the end of the debate. I commend this Bill for the consideration of this House.

The question was proposed.

SHRI M. RAMA JOIS (Karnataka): Sir, I rise to support the Bill moved by hon. Minister, Shri M. Veerappa Moily, with great pleasure but with a heavy heart. This is the fifth in the series. There can be no two opinions that ancient monuments which are innumerable in our country constitute our richest heritage. Even during the British Government, as early as in 1904, the Ancient Monuments Protection Act was passed and that continued till 1958. In the meanwhile, the Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Declaration of National Importance) Act, 1951 was passed. Thereafter, the previous laws were continued by virtue of section 126 of the States Reorganisation Act. Then, after the States Reorganisation, Act, the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites Act, 1958 was passed. Now more than 50 years are over. It is never too late to mend. It is a very famous statement. Similarly, it is never too late to amend also. But, unfortunately, just as Rip Van Winkle slept for 20 years, it is after two-and-a-half Rip Van Winkle’s turns of sleep that this Bill has come up. As far as this present Bill is concerned, they want to categorise these historical monuments and sites into two categories - prohibited and regulated.

The ancient and historical monuments constitute our richest heritage. Probably, their value is more than that of the entire Budget allocation made by the Minister of Finance. Their protection is of
utmost importance. As the hon. Minister said, it is not only the duty of the State but also of the people. But, unfortunately, vandalism is going on in almost all historical sites. As a result, in many places, these historical monuments are being exploited just for the sake of making money.

Now, just before going into that, I shall refer to the number of historical monuments in our country that have been mentioned in the Department-related Parliamentary Standing Committee Report on the National Commission of Heritage Bill, it has been stated in the Report that there are as many as 3675 monuments and sites under the purview of the Act and protection. However, these numbers constitute a very small fraction of the total number of ancient and heritage monuments in the country. State Governments have also got identical legislations and all the State Governments put together are not protecting more than 4000 monuments. So, both the Central and State Governments put together are only protecting about 8000 monuments and sites whereas the number of unprotected monuments and sites may be much more, about two lakhs. This is what is stated. This shows how inefficient we are as far as protection of our monuments is concerned.

Coming to the Capital, Delhi is stated to be having the highest number of historical and archaeological monuments. The National Capital’s glorious past is being slowly destroyed but no one seems to notice. The last 20 years have been the worst for Delhi’s heritage. About 276 monuments of historical importance have vanished from the city’s landscape in this period. The Capital has a total of 1256 — I do not know if this figure pertains to the period after the destruction of these 276 monuments or earlier — monuments. Experts believe that things have come to such a pass because there is lack of sensitivity among the people in the Government. Elaborating this, they said, “in the past eight years, about 12 heritage structures have been demolished in the city. It is a constant fight between conservationists and Government. We want these monuments to be declared protected sites but the Government is not responding”. This is written in an article that was published.

Now, coming to the historical and archaeological places and monuments, as I said, there are thousands and lakhs of monuments, but I shall refer to only very, very important of them. The first one among that is, of course, a very important one but that is unfortunately, not in India now, that is, the Harappa and Mohenjo Daro. The Harappa and Mohenjo Daro excavations have shown how town planning had developed centuries ago and how systematically towns were constructed. All that evidence is available, but, unfortunately, the God-made country has been divided into two by human beings; it is now in Pakistan. Now, I shall refer to some of the archaeological sites. I was fortunate to have been the Governor of Bihar; even otherwise, I know some places very well. At the Nalanda University, there were 10,000 inmates, teachers and students in those premises. Even now probably
such a big university is not there. It was existent in Nalanda. And Patliputra was regarded one of the
best organized cities in those times. I have got with me a report of Megasthenes as far as Patliputra is
concerned. Megasthenes described the city of Palibotra, that is Patliputra and its administration. He
says, "Six committees of five members each looked after the affairs of the city and were respectively
in-charge of industries, entertainment and care of foreigners, enquiries about births and deaths,
trade and commerce, weights and measures, manufactured article, collection of one-tenth price of
articles sold as tax. It is in the shape of a parallelogram; it was 80 stadia in length, 15 in width; it was
surrounded by a wooden wall with holes for discharging arrows and a ditch in front. In Fahiyan’s
time, the royal palace and harems in the midst of the city built of stone, still existed and they were so
grand they were then believed to have been the work of spirits, and not human beings. That was the
belief of the people as far as Patliputra was concerned.

And then, we come to Vijayanagar in Karnataka; it is now called Hampi. In the advanced
history it is described that the city of Vijaynagar was encompassed by massive fortification and was of
enormous size. The circumference of the city is sixty miles; its walls are carried up to the mountains
and enclose the valleys at their foot, so that its extent is thereby increased. In this city, there are
estimated to be ninety thousand men fit to bear arms. The King is more powerful than all the other
Kings of India. This is the best provided city in the world. In Advance History, it has been recorded.
Unfortunately, in that place, vandalism is going on, though it has been declared as a World Heritage
City. As it was going on, on 22.07.2009, I had made a Special Mention before this august House,
"Hampi in Karnataka State was the capital of Vijayanagar empire, which flourished for a little more
than two centuries during 1336 A.D. to 1565 A.D. It is recorded in Advance History of India at pages
366-367 that Hampi was the best and the largest city recorded in history. Notwithstanding the ending
of the Vijayanagar empire, Hampi continues to be a place of great historical and archaeological
treasure. It has been recognised as World heritage site by the UNESCO. It is one of the most
important tourist centres in Karnataka State. At the same time, it has also become a place for
exploitation by miscreants who indulge in unauthorisedly excavating this archaeological site for
committing theft of articles embedded in the earth. Despite warnings issued by the UNESCO against
vandalising this place, it is going on unabated according to a report published in English daily,
Deccan Herald, from Bangalore in its issue dated 10th July, 2009. This Special Mention is being made
with the object of inviting the attention of the Central Government to the happenings going on at
Hampi in order to activate it to take steps for protecting and preserving the most important
archaeological wealth of the Nation."
Now, in Bihar itself, probably, Bihar has got most important and valuable archaeological sites. Bodh Gaya is there. Then, there is Sitamarhi. Probably, nobody has heard about it. I had also not heard about it. Once, a Judge from Africa came to Bangalore and we invited him for tea in the High Court when I was a Judge. We asked him as to what made him visit India. He said, "About 200 years ago, our ancestors migrated to South Africa, but now, I came to India to see a sacred place." When we asked about that sacred place, he said that it was Sitamarhi. We asked, "What did you do there?". He had brought a loaf of mud from Sitamarhi for preserving and taking it to his country. Then, I came to know about Sitamarhi. Therefore, I also went and visited Sitamarhi, but, unfortunately, nobody knows it. It is such an important historical site, having reference to Sita, but nobody knows it.

About Kautilya, as far as Arthashastra is concerned, it is regarded as the fateful representation of rajadharma or the constitutional history of ancient India. Till one hundred years back, nobody knew what had happened to Kautilya. People knew that there was Kautilya's Arthashastra, but nobody knew as to where it was and what it was. But, the credit goes to Dr. Sham Shastri, who was the curator of Mysore Oriental Research Library. He somehow got a copy of it, translated it into English and once he did it, now it is re-published in almost all Universities, and it has become a subject of study. And, last month, there has been centenary celebration of Kautilya’s Arthashastra publication and in Osmania University, I was invited to deliver a keynote address and I had gone there. But, nobody knows it, as far as its importance is concerned that where was Kautilya’s Arthashastra written, the importance of Pataliputra. Megasthenes listed Pataliputra. If you go to Patna, there is one locality called Pataliputra, and nothing has been done to exhibit what was the speciality of Pataliputra.

There is a wooden palace near Travancore. It is a wonderful monument that is there and I have seen it. When we come to Delhi, there is Jantar Mantar. About 20-25 years back, I never knew what Jantar Mantar was. When I came and saw Jantar Mantar, some foreigner coming from Japan or some other country, asked what the time was. Then, the person, who was in charge of Jantar Mantar, put some stick and said that this was the time in your country.

The Jantar Mantar was constructed as a great important astronomical observatory. It is in Delhi; it is in Jaipur; and it is in Ujjain. Ujjain was regarded as a great centre as far as astronomy was concerned.

You see the architecture at Belur, Halebid, and Pattadakal in Karnataka. The Belur Temple is considered as the architectural wonder. Even today it attracts thousands of people. There is the Konark Sun Temple in Orissa.

If you come to historical regions, it is Haldighati near Udaipur. It is a place where Pratap Chetak memorial was built. There is a book on Maharana Pratap by an English author called E.F.
Turnbull. He says in the entire world history, he can’t get another patriot who fought for his country continuously for 32 years when he was in exile. Great compliments have been given by an English author to Maharana Pratap.

In Ajmer, we have got Prithviraj Chauhan’s Memorial. I went there. No literature is published on him. I asked for some literature on the memorial, but I was told that they had not printed it.

Then, there is the Taj Mahal. It is one of the Seven Wonders of the world. There is the Fatehpur Sikri, Agra, and the Red Fort at Delhi. Then there is the Ashok Stambh. People say that it is stainless steel. How did they manufacture this steel pillar centuries ago?

Then come to Ayodhya. I am not referring to Ram Janmabhoomi temple dispute. Even today there are 5,000 temples at Ayodhya. Mahatma Gandhi talked about "Ram Rajya." But if you go to Mahatma Gandhi’s Samadhi, ‘Hey Ram’ is written there. Why do we call "Ram Rajya?" Why was it regarded so? You may have listened to the Ramayana thousands of times, even then when you read it, you will find it afresh. The Ramayana and The Mahabharata are the richest epics of our country. In fact, once I had suggested that Ayodhya must be declared as a cultural capital or a union territory specially built just for showing the world that there was such an ideal kingdom under Shri Rama.

There are other historical places at Pune. One is the Agakhan Palace. Another is a jail where Mahatma Gandhi was imprisoned. Porbandar is the birthplace of Mahatma Gandhi. I have been to Porbandar. About ten years ago, Kiran Bedi, who was a police officer, after visiting Porbandar, filed a Public Interest Litigation. She said, "Porbandar is the birthplace of our father of the nation, but fish is being dried around that building, and people cannot enter it." She asked: 'Should we not declare it as a national monument?' I remember, J.S.Verma, the then Chief Justice of India, on the last day of his retirement, issued notices to both the Gujarat Government and the Central Government saying why it should not be declared as a national monument. I don’t know what happened subsequently. But Kiran Bedi had written a letter and that was treated as a Public Interest Litigation. In Karnataka, you have got the stone fort at Chitradurga.

And then Andaman and Nicobar Islands have one very important historical site. More than 400 patriots were jailed here. It is called Kari-neeru in Kannada which means black water. I have seen that. Savarkar was kept there for eleven years in one room, ft is called Cellular Jail, because each one is made up of individual cells. A portion of the Cellular Jail was demolished and a hospital was constructed. There was a furore over it. At that time Morarji Desai became Prime Minister and he declared it as a national monument. And, subsequently, further demolition of the Cellular Jail was stopped. Now it is being preserved as a national monument. Then, about Attara Kacheri. Mr. Deputy
Chairman is aware about it. There is a building Attara Kacheri, that is, 18 departments. Earlier, it used to house old Mysore Legislature, old Mysore Executive and the High Court. All the three functioned from that building. Subsequently, with the reorganisation of States, Attara Kacheri building was completely given to the Karnataka High Court. What happened was that something came to the head of the then Chief Justice and the then Chief Minister — I don’t want to name them — and they decided to demolish Attara Kacheri. You must be aware that the Urban Art Commission had opposed that. In spite of that, a decision was taken to demolish that building. Public interest litigation was filed by several people saying that under the Ancient Monuments Act, if it is more than 100-year old and has got historical importance, it cannot be demolished. Sir, Attara Kacheri was more than 100-year old. Then, top ranking citizens of Bangalore presented a writ petition before the Karnataka High Court. Unfortunately, the High Court did not interfere saying that it was an administrative decision. Then, the matter came up to the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court directed that this matter should be considered by the Full Bench. And, ultimately, the Attara Kacheri building...

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: How much time would you take?

SHRI M. RAMA JOIS: Sir, Ram Niwas Mirdha took up that issue. I had met him. He became the Chairman of INTACH, Indian National Trust for Art and Cultural Heritage. That was inspired by Charles Wallace who had left a will with huge amount for protection of architectural buildings in India. When Smt. Indira Gandhi had gone to England, The Queen pointed out that there was a will of Charles Wallace. Thereafter, that amount was received by INTACH. Then, Shri Rajiv Gandhi became its Chairman. Subsequently, Shri Ram Niwas Mirdha became its Chairman. And they intervened in the High Court and sought protection of that building. That is how, this Attara Kacheri had been protected. ...(Interjections)...

Sir, now, I come to Vigneshwara. The hon. Minister knows that. Sir, when I was writing the legal and constitutional history in 1970, I came to know that there is an inscription of 12th century in a village called Maratur near Gulbarga where it is recorded that the 6th century emperor Chalukya came and touched the feet of Vigneshwara. Sir, Vigneshwara is the author of Mitakshara which is the Hindu
law for the whole country from Kanyakumari to Kashmir. But, unfortunately, that inscribed stone was lying in a temple and nobody took care of it; neither the
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Archaeological Department nor anybody else protected it. Then, ultimately, a society was formed. A building has been constructed with public contribution called Vigneshwara Bhavan. It was inaugurated by Justice Lahoti, when he was the Chief Justice of India, on 31st December 2004 and today, there is a postgraduate course in Bharatiya Nyay Darshan and Raja Dharma. Because of the intervention of the society, that stone, which was lying in the temple has now been shifted and fixed in a wall in the Vigneshwara Bhavan. Such important materials have not been taken into account. Sir, these monuments inspire about the unity of our country. Every monument has got it. Though they are inanimate, yet, they give lot of inspiration. But, what has happened is, we have forgotten everything. In 1942, Mahatma Gandhi started the Quit India Movement. Now, the people, without realising this, have started split India movement. All these monuments inspire us that we are one country. Therefore, we have to give much more importance. At this stage, I remember one thing. Normally, I don’t go to cinema halls for watching movies. But, I remember Jagriti movie and its song ”आओ बच्चों तुम्हें दिखाएं इसकी हिंदु-तान की, इस दिनों से तिलक करो, यह धरती है बलिदान कि”, Whenever you hear that song, it gives so much inspiration.

Therefore, my submission is that all these historical monuments and sites must be fully protected because they constitute the very source of inspiration. As I said earlier, they constitute the richest and more valuable treasure than even the total amount of the Budget presented by our Finance Minister. Ultimately, I conclude my speech with a famous slogan in Hindi.

॥ तेरा भैया अपने रहे, हम दिन चार रहे न रहे ॥

सुश्री सुशीला तिरिया (उड़ीसा) : हिंदी चेयरमैन सर, मैं आपको धन्यवाद देना चाहूंगी और साथ ही साथ Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment & Validation Bill), 2010 का समर्थन करना चाहूंगी। सर, इस विल का समर्थन करते हुए मैं एक चीज़ कहना चाहूंगी, जैसा कि मेरे पूर्वजना भी कह रहे थे, यदि हम देखें तो वास्तव में Old is Gold. पुरानी चीज़ों को संभाल कर रखने की समझ में बहुत पहले से ही हमें होनी चाहिए थी, लेकिन आज इस विल में संशोधन ला कर मंज़ी जी ने बहुत उपेक्षा समय पर बहुत उचित निर्णय लिया है, जनता बजट पास होने के तुरंत बाद इसे लाया गया है। इस विल के सेक्शन III और सेक्शन IV में जो कुछ भी मांडलीके नहीं है, मैं उनका भी समर्थन करती हूं।
सर, मैं यह कहना चाहती हूँ कि भारत वर्ष में इतने मोहूर्मेंटस हैं, यदि हम उनका संरक्षण करते हो तो आज उनकी सथिति दुःसत्ता होती उड्डीसा राज्य एक महत्वपूर्ण राज्य कहलाता है, लेकिन उड्डीसा में इन मोहूर्मेंटस के संरक्षण के माध्यम से दूरी के क्षेत्र में संवरणाओं को बढ़ाने की जा सकती है। जब तक हम जमू-कश्मीर में दूरी के एक इंडस्ट्री बन सकते हैं, तो यह कहना आज उड्डीसा में भी दूरी को एक इंडस्ट्री बनाने का साधन है। आज हम उड्डीसा में मोहूर्मेंटस का संरक्षण करते हो, तो मेरे हिसाब से सबसे व्यापक दूरीस्वस्त उड्डीसा में पहुंच सकते हैं। आज भी वहां पर दूरीस्वस्त बहुत बड़ी संख्या में आते हैं। जिनके मोहूर्मेंटस उड्डीसा में हैं, उनके कहीं नहीं हैं।

मेरे पूर्ववत्ता में जैसा कहता, उड्डीसा में जो कोणाकर्म बनते हैं, उस कोणाकर्म मन्दिर को वन 2000 से भी अधिक वर्ष हो चुके हैं, लेकिन आज कोणाकर्म बनते हैं, जो कोणाकर्म मन्दिर को बदलकुए भी संरक्षण करना नहीं है। आज कोणाकर्म मन्दिर में भागवान सुध प्रसाद पुष्प भी पाते हैं, लेकिन यहुदी दुःख के साथ यह कहना पड़ता है कि पुराने जमाने में 1200 कारीगरों के माध्यम से नवाता गए उस मन्दिर को संरक्षण देने के लिए नौ उड़ीसा को सरकार और न ही केंद्र सरकार की तरफ से कोई अनुवाद दिया जा रहा है। सात आश्रयों में से एक आश्रय हमारा यह कोणाकर्म मन्दिर भी है।

सर, मैं जगन्नाथ जी के मन्दिर का भी उल्लेख करना चाहूंगी। चार धामों में से एक जगन्नाथ जी का मन्दिर भी है। जगन्नाथ जी के मन्दिर में आज कुछ पत्थर उपर से नीचे पिलते जा रहे हैं और उस मन्दिर को भी संरक्षण की बहुत सशक्त जरूरत है। इसके नीचे ही साथ में एक खेलिम मन्दिर है, जो 500 साल पुराना है। उड़ीसा में एक देवकुंड भी है, जहां पर एक छोटा सा इलाका है, उसके बाद नहीं है और उसके बाद एक मंदिर बनाया गया है, जिसे 1905 में आम जनता के हाथ खोला गया था। उसके इतने इतने संरक्षण के लिए मन्दिर भी आज है। सर, मैं जगन्नाथ जी के मन्दिर का भी उल्लेख करना चाहूंगी। यह भी आज है। उड़ीसा में यह मन्दिर के कारण भी, यह बहुत बड़ा अभाव है। लेकिन आजाद-आजिता वह मन्दिर भी बस्त होता है। वहां पर मबान गौरी-अमल जी के द्वार-कक्ष का एक मन्दिर है। पूरे विश्व में उस तरह का कोई मन्दिर नहीं है, जिस प्रकार का द्वार-कक्ष मन्दिर देवकुंड में है। हर साल कुछ दर्शक एवं प्रवासियों ने उस देवकुंड में कर्म करने के लिए जाते हैं। कई बार उस मन्दिर के कुंड में बच्चे मिले हैं और जिनको तरार नहीं आता, वे मर जाते हैं। पंच-सात दिन के बाद उनकी डेड-जेंडर को उसमें से निकालना संभव हो पाता है। सर, मैं यह चाहती हूँ कि उड़ीसा जैसे राज्य आज tourism की ताकत में preservation के लिए पिछले हुए हैं।

सर, मैं एक और नवेदन यह करना चाहूंगी कि यह एक बहुत अच्छा बिल है, लेकिन महत्वपूर्ण बिल में जैसे इतरा शक्ति थी, वैसी इतरा शक्ति इस बिल के अन्दर कितनी है, यह जानना बहुत जरूरी है। इसके तहत आप एक
१९८९ या १९९८ का एक्ट है, क्या आप उसी के तहत उसको evict करके उनका संरक्षण करेंगे? ...(समय की घंटी)...

सर, वह मेरी अंतिम बात है, मैं ज्ञादा समय नहीं चाहूंगी। मैं यह कहना चाहूंगी कि आप toilet आदि जैसी पाबंदित मुक्तिवाद के लिए Archive के इतिहास में जाना नहीं चाहते हैं और monument के इतिहास में वह रख नहीं करना चाहते हैं, लेकिन सर्वोत्तम राजनीतिक तत्कालीन जैसे क्षेत्र को हर साल और हमेशा संरक्षण की सस्ती जरूरत है। अमीरिया बाबा जब हम वहां यहां थे, हम वहां बुध परिमर्श नहीं, लेकिन हम जमीन नहीं देना चाहूँगी, इसीलिए इनके संरक्षण के लिए ऐसी construction, development and renovation के लिए उसका damage हो सकता है। हमें मेरे लिए आपके जो punishment का प्रावधान किया है, वह भी 3 महीने था और अब हमें 2 साल कर दिया गया है। इसी प्रकार, जुलूस के राशि पहले 5 हज़ार रुपए थी, जिसे अब 1 लाख रुपए कर दिया गया है। मैं केवल यह कहना चाहूँगी कि जो encroacher है वे जिसमे परिमर्श नहीं था उसे due time में नहीं किया गया है या वहां कोई ऐसी construction हुआ है, जो Archeological Survey के इतिहास से किसी monument को damage कर रहे हैं, इनके लिए तो punishment है, लेकिन जो चुपचाप में कर देखते हैं।

SHRI MOINUL HASSAN (West Bengal): Thank you, Sir. I rise to support this Bill. Actually, the
Ordinance was promulgated when the Rajya Sabha and the Lok Sabha were not in session. Hence it is constitutionally binding to pass the Bill. I support this Bill.

Sir, everybody knows that culture is the identity of a nation. It is a land of temples, mosques, gurdwaras, churches, etc. Some of them are century old. In every corner of this country there are monuments which are signs of our civilization and society.

Sir, before I go into the details of the Bill, within a short time, I would just mention a few things. According to the statement of the Minister, the Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) is protecting 3,675 monuments and the States are protecting around 4,000 monuments, and altogether they come to 8,000. But, as I have already mentioned, in every corner of our country there is a sign of a monument like tomb, mosque, temple, etc., which is a testimony of the civilization of India. They are more than two lakhs in number. So, the monuments which are protected by the Archaeological Survey of India come to only 2-3 per cent. What about the rest? My question to the Minister and the Government — the Minister is present here — is this: Who would protect these signs of our civilization, these monuments of our country? We are very much proud of them. We should protect the monuments of our past.

Sir, last year, if I remember it correctly, it appeared in different national newspapers and it was acknowledged by the Ministry of Culture that 34 or 35 special and very important monuments, including tombs, had disappeared. It points to the security of the monuments. Who would provide security and who would protect the national heritages? So, my suggestion to the Government is that we must do something comprehensively to protect our national heritages.

Sir, in 1972, there was a meeting organised by the UNESCO, which is called "the UNESCO Convention". We were a part of that Convention, in 1977, we had accepted it. It is related to the maintenance of national monuments. I am not quoting anybody. But one of the Directors of the ASI has admitted that most of the monuments which are under the ASI and many other monuments in the country are now unprotected. So, my request is that please protect the monuments which are signs of our civilization. What we have seen at different monuments which are protected by the ASI is this. There are two things which have already been mentioned by the previous speakers. One is no security and the other is encroachment. How do we remove these encroachments and provide place for the common visitors and the tourists? Nobody knows. We should do something to make our national monuments, which are our national pride, encroachment-free.
Sir, in this Bill there is a punitive measure. It should be made more stringent. What is the measure? There is a fine of Rs.1 lakh or two-years jail term or both. I find that it is not adequate. It should be made more stringent. Sir, there are two portions of a monument. One is a prohibited area and the other is regulated area. The prohibited area is within 100 metres and the regulated area is beyond 200 metres.

Now, why has this Ordinance come? It is a fact that it is not only in Delhi but also throughout the country there are development works, construction of metro stations, construction of infrastructure for Commonwealth Games, etc. We are facing problems. How can we protect our monuments and side-by-side continue our development works. There should be some symmetry. There should be a synchronized approach; it is one of my opinions. But what will be our attitude towards this monument which is our national pride?

"It is, again, no question of expediency or feeling whether we shall preserve the buildings of past time or not. We have no right whatever to touch them. They are not ours. They belong partly to those who built them and partly to all the generation of mankind who follow us."

It is no less important, what the great sociologist is asking. I would like, before concluding my speech, to say that this should be the attitude for maintaining, protecting and providing security to our national pride as far as these monuments are concerned.

With these words, I conclude my speech, Sir.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN : Thank you, Mr. Hassan. Now Shri Brij Bhushan Tiwari.
हिसाब से जो स्वतंत्र इतिहास लिखा जाना चाहिए था, वह नहीं लिखा गया। हवेनसांग से लेकर अलबेरूनी और इदनबदात्तु और अनेकों काल में विस्तृत सिव में लेकर युद्धपात सरकार तक यह सिद्धित चला। अब आप देखिए कि अंग्रेज में एक कथितम, यह कोई बड़ा भारी इतिहासकार या पुरातत्वज्ञ नहीं था, एक अधिकारी थी, मगर कथितम ने इसके ज्ञात व्यवहार हमारे द्वारा जीवन जीने वालों को उस्मान विभिन्न फाइल और इतिहासिद्धांतों में काफी बड़ी उन्हें किताब लिखी। हमारे यहां दुर्भाग्य यह है कि जिन्हें बड़ी अधिकारी है या जो भी हमारी सरकारें रही है, उन्होंने इसमें किसी प्रकार की कोई दिलचस्पी नहीं दिखाई, क्योंकि वे तो बसमती दुनिया में मगर नहीं और हर चीज़ को बिगाड़कर देखने की उन्होंने कोशिश की। हमारी महिला वक्ता ने अमी ठीक ही कहा कि सरकार के सामने आप इक्वातलिक नहीं होगी और ये जो अधिकारी हैं, वहां आप उनको नियोजन बना दीजिए या कोई आप कमिटी बनाएं, अगर इसमें कुछ भी राष्ट्र प्रेम, राष्ट्र भाव या जिसको आप स्वामित्व कहते हैं, वह स्वामित्व अगर नहीं है, खोदने की कोशिश नहीं करेंगे तो कोई मामला नहीं होगा। सरकार भी जो इसमें सर देंगी है, पैसा देंगी है, वह हर ही कम है, उससे कुछ कम हो नहीं सकता। अमी बताया गया कि हमारे देश में एक नहीं असंख्य ऐसे स्थल हैं, जहां आप हम खुदाई करें, वहां के स्थानों की जांच-पड़ताल करेंं तो बहुत ही दूरीं चीजें निकल सकतीं हैं और आप जानते हैं कि हमारा देश 5000 वर्ष पुराना है। अगर प्राचीनसमय का देश भी ले लिएं, तो हमारा देश बहुत पुराना है। भावना राम की कहानियां, भावना कृष्ण की कहानियां यहां कही जातीं हैं और वे कहानियां ऐसी हैं कि वे जगहों को जोड़कर जातीं हैं। अमी आप देखिए कि आपने सीतामही की चर्चा की। अपोजामा से लेकर सीतामही तक एक सड़क गई है, उसको हम लोग राम-जनकी के गांव करते हैं। उसके बाद में यह मशहूर है कि महान राम की बायां उसी सड़क से गई थी और वे सीतामी को वहीं से बायांकर लाए थे। बार आप उस सड़क की स्थिति देख लीजिए कि बड़ी दरबारी स्थिति है। उसी प्रकार से बौद्ध स्थान हैं। मैं रिदापूरने से आता हूँ और हमारे यहां कपिलवस्तु है। पहले लुम्बिनी था, लेकिन वह नेपाल की सीमा के अंदर चला गया, तो नेपाल की सरकार ने उसका विवाह किया और बहुत अच्छा विवाह किया, लेकिन जो गाणम बुद्ध की राजस्थानी थी, जहां उनका महल था, वह स्थान कपिलवस्तु है और वह मार्क्शेयर में है। हमारे यहां एक प्रो. भारतीय थे, वे रिटायर हो गए, शायद उनकी मृत्यु भी हो गई है, बूझकि वे इस जित के संबंध में थे, इसलिए उन्हें अपने प्रायोगिक थे, इसमें दिलचस्पी लेकर वहं पर खुदाई करवाई और खुदाई करने के बाद वहं बहुत से अवशेष मिले - बालक मिले, अन्य वस्तुएं मिली और तामाम बौद्ध देवों ने इसको मानता भी थी। बौद्ध सरकार की ओर से बहुत ती धीरणाएं हुईं, केन्द्र की सरकार में जो संस्कृति मंत्री, मैंने कई बार उनको पत्र भी लिखा। उन्होंने कहा कि केन्द्र की तरफ से कपिलवस्तु के विकास के बारे में किसी प्रकार की कोई परियोजना नहीं है। अब आप देखिए कि कपिलवस्तु ऐसा महानपुराण स्थान है, लेकिन यहां पर यह हाल है। भावनी उसी से सयाह हुआ है, वहां की भी यही हालत है।

उपभोक्ता जी, मैं यह कह रहा हूँ कि यह बात सही है कि दिल्ली में बहुत से मुगल राजा रहे, यहां कई प्रकार के राजा एवं, यहां बहुत ही अच्छे और प्राचीनसमय महल के स्थल हैं, लेकिन हम देख रहे हैं कि किसी तरह के इन्में encroachment हो रहा है। जब आप उन स्थानों का सुचरीकरण करें, उनके साथ ही साथ जब तक आप उन स्थानों को प्रावितित नहीं करेंगे, संस्थान नहीं बनाएगे, इस कारण नहीं बनाएगे कि पर्यटक आकर उनको देखे,
Dr. Janardhan Wagmare (Maharashtra): Sir, I rise to support the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation) Bill, 2010. The ancient monuments link us with our hoary past, with our history and heritage, with our forefathers and with our ancient culture and civilization. Through them, our past becomes an inseparable part of our present.

Sir, the ancient monuments help us in interpreting our history, our age-old traditions and beliefs and nuances of our ancient civilization and culture. They give us perceptions of our ancient art, architecture and sculpture. This is the reason why we should preserve and protect our ancient monuments and archaeological sites and remains. Sir, the ruins and remains of our ancient monuments reveal the things hidden behind the veil of time. India is one of the richest countries in the world having ancient monuments and archaeological sites and remains. Our history goes back to 5000 years, encompassing the Ramayana and Mahabharata. The ancient monuments in our country mark the high level of our culture and civilization. The remains of Mohenjo Daro and Harappa indicate the creative genius of ancient India in the pre-Aryan times. We have remains of pre-Aryan and post-Aryan times. We must preserve and protect them with utmost care and sensitivity of mind from the ravages of time.
Sir, the Lakshyagraha at Barnawa in the Baghpath district of UP and the remains around Hastinapur and Kurukshetra have been utterly neglected. They should be preserved. The Buddhist period in the social and cultural history of India is the zenith of Indian culture. There are many Buddhist caves around Aurangabad city in Maharashtra. There are a couple of Gurudwaras and other sites of the Sikh community around Nanded city. Many forts in Maharashtra are still neglected. Stones in the structures of these forts tell the saga of people who made history. We should preserve and protect the ancient monuments which reveal the creative genius of our people. The Authority which would be set up should conduct surveys for tracing the remains of the ancient monuments.

Sir, we should not look at ancient monuments with narrow, sectarian eyes. Egypt takes pride in the Sphinx and other ancient monuments; China takes pride in the Great Wall and other ancient monuments. Likewise, India should take pride in the Taj Mahal, Ajanta and Ellora Caves and other ancient monuments from mythological to modern times.

With these words, Sir, I support the Bill.

SHRI TIRUCHI SIVA (Tamil Nadu): Sir, I rise to support the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation) Bill, 2010, brought to replace the Ordinance promulgated on January 23rd, 2010.

Sir, this Bill amends the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1958, which seeks to preserve the ancient and historical monuments and archaeological sites of national importance. I would like to confine myself to only the objectives of the Bill. To achieve the object of the Bill, the Central Government could constitute a National Monument Authority. I do not wish to go into details of the Constitution, but the main functions of the Authority must be, to make recommendations to the Central Government for grading and classifying the historical protected monuments and protected areas declared as being of national importance. And to oversee the work of the Competent Authorities. To take measures for implementing this Act. And above all, foremost, Sir, considering the impact of the large scale projects, development projects or public projects, which may be proposed in the regulated areas and make recommendations to the Competent Authority for granting permission.

Sir, again, there is a very clear classification of three items. One is, protected monument and protected area, means, any ancient monument, archaeological site and remains which have been declared to be of national importance. Second is, prohibited area, means, area beginning at the limit of a protected area over a radius of 100 metres, and regulated area is the area which begins at the
limit of the prohibited area to 200 metres. Sir, there is another very clear classification. Sir, this Bill has been very meticulously drafted. Sir, any person who owns a structure in the prohibited area can carry on only repairs, not any new construction. Any person who possesses a land in the regulated area can have a construction or re-construction or repairs. But, this could be done only by way of approaching the competent authority. The person after having applied to the competent authority, the competent authority within 15 days, shall forward it to the authority. The authority, after receiving that, within two months, will give the results to the competent authority, and after receiving that, within one month, the competent authority will let know the person who has applied for it. Sir, again, a very important classification is given here. I would like to have only two queries from the hon. Minister. Sir, there are provisions for violations of this Act, not only for those who have violated, and those officers who have also violated. Before that, there is a provision, Sir, which says that any area, near any protected monument or its adjoining area declared, during the period beginning on or after the 16th day of June, 1992, but ending before the date on which the Ancient Monuments and the Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment & Validation) Bill, 2010 receives the assent of the President, and it goes on and says that be deemed to have been validly granted in accordance with the provisions of this Act, as if this section has been in force at all material times. Sir, my only query is, what is the rationale behind marking the day of 16th day of June, 1992? I just want a clarification from the hon. Minister. Then, going through the penalty that has been imposed on those who violate, Sir, first is for those who reside in the prohibited area, about that my colleague pointed out here, shall be punishable with imprisonment not exceeding two years or with fine which may extend to one lakh rupees or with both. Sir, any violation in the regulated area, again with the same punishment, Sir, shall be punishable with imprisonment not exceeding two years or with fine, which may extend to one lakh rupees or with both. Sir, when there is a clear classification that the prohibited area is much more of importance, and the regulated area is given with some concessions that they could have some constructions, the punishment should vary, either the punishment for the violation in the prohibited area should be more, or, the punishment for a construction or any violation in the regulated area should be less, whereas both are same here, Sir. So also the punishment for any officer of the Central Government enters into or acquiesces in any agreement to do so is punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to three years or with fine or with both. Sir, an abettor gets more punishment whereas the violator gets a lesser punishment. Sir, the Minister can look into this and do the needful.

Sir, my submission here is, earlier in the same House, I had made a proposal through a Special Mention that Kallarai a water reservoir or a dam in Thanjavur in Tamil Nadu, which was
constructed way back in the 2nd century by a Chola king, Karikalan, is still under use. That dam is said to be a model for many other dams which cropped up across the world, and many people, even from Rome have come down to India and Tamil Nadu to have an idea about that dam, Sir.

I was told that for any site like that, to be recognized as a world heritage site by the UNESCO, the first step is that it should be identified by our own country as a structure of national importance. Shri Narayanasamy, hon. Minister knows better about that. Hon. Prime Minister is also here. If that site is identified as of national importance, it could be taken to the UNESCO, because any structure of ancient heritage of 10th century had been accorded recognition. This one, which is constructed in the second century and hailed as a marvel of engineering technology should be identified. I appreciate and support this Bill, Sir, to achieve its objectives. Thank you.
SHRI B.S. GNANADESIKAN (Tamil Nadu): Sir, I am a disciplined soldier, and I would abide by the time-limit. Sir, I stand to support the Bill. There are two-three things I would like to focus on. An Ordinance is being replaced by this Bill. In between, there was a committee constituted under the able leadership of Shri Moily. On the basis of certain recommendations contained in that report, certain modifications have been incorporated in the Bill which is before the august House now. These include creation of National Monument Authority and other aspects. Sir, before going into the other aspects because I do not have time, I will confine myself to two or three things. We enact many laws, there is no problem. But the implementation part is very difficult. There is no power for the ASI to evict the encroachers, to demolish the unauthorized construction which is a problem. Even the Report has pointed out about the encroachments in the Jaisalmer Fort as well as the Golkonda Fort. Therefore, I urge upon the hon. Prime Minister to convene a meeting of all the Ministers, in-charge of the respective States, and find a way how to evict these encroachers because our leaders in the Opposition know that as far as encroachment is concerned, from State to State there are different laws. While the Supreme Court says encroacher is a trespasser, in Tamil Nadu there is an Act called the Encroachment Act which recognizes encroachment, BMOs are issued. There are several procedures for evicting the encroachers and there are several judgments on that aspect but the correct procedure is not followed. Unless there is a uniform policy for eviction of these encroachers from the monuments, there may be a difficulty in implementing this Bill. Sir, one more aspect is, what ASI needs is, more funding, more staff, preservation and security. The ex-servicemen can be used because instead of creating more jobs, which is also necessary, which may take more time, immediately, as contained in the Moily's Report, ex-servicemen can be absorbed for protecting these monuments because nearly 2500 monuments out of 3675 at the national level are unprotected. It is really a sorry state of affairs. There, was a reply in the Parliament that nearly 35 protected monuments disappeared. There is an antiquity trade, blessed by mafia gangs, is going on in this country. Many idols, very preservative idols are being stolen, are with the traders and sold. ... (Interruptions)... Thank you. Therefore, Sir, I request that proper concentration must be made on this aspect. It again
involves the State Governments, the Panchayat Unions, Panchayati Raj and there are several tiers in our system. Therefore, all must be taken together to see that these thefts and other things do not take place. Sir, the Culture Department is getting only 0.1 per cent of the Budget allocation and one-third of it is only the allocation for the ASI. I only request that this Budget allocation is increased and more funds should be given to this Department. Sir, there are several aspects to this 100-meter limit which has been prescribed here. I will only mention one aspect and I will sit down. In my State, Mahabalapuam, which is called Mahabalipuram, which is famous for five Rathas, Arjun’s penance etc., there was identification by UNESCO, five Rathas Temple, etc., etc. In 1991, there was another notification and this rock hill area which is not so important, which is densely populated area has been notified. Now, with regard to Mahabaiipuram, it is a peculiar situation. From the coast to the eastern side, there is a ban on constructing buildings. There is ban on any construction 4.9 kms on the south from Kalapakkam which has a nuclear plant. With the result all residences are now concentrated in the middle. This hill rock which has been notified by the ASI as a monument is situated exactly in the residential area. This monument has also been fenced. Now when this 100 meter rule is being implemented, there is already a fence there, the 100 meter is calculated from the fence, not from the monument side. That creates another problem. The renovation and other things may be there. But even for constructing a toilet in the residential area, the people are put to very, very great difficulty. Therefore, I urge upon the hon. Minister to kindly look into this aspect. Wherever there are no major UNESCO and important monuments, considering the people’s plight and taking it into consideration, whether it can be reduced to 50 meters and also that is from the monument site and not from the fencing. With this, I conclude, Sir. As a disciplined soldier I have taken three minutes.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You have taken five minutes.

SHRI B.S. GNANADESIKAN; Two minutes exceeded, Sir. Thank you very much.

SHRI M. VEERAPPA MOILY: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, I am really excited over the most enlightened, monumental, deliberations which have gone on replacing this ordinance with the Bill. It started from our learned friend, Justice Rama Jois and ended with hon. Member Shri Gnanadesikan. I would first like to deal with certain provisions which have been incorporated in this Bill. This reflects
the firm resolve on the part of the Government to deal with the subject. Firstly, the limits of protected
had not been codified in the 1958 Act. In fact, in this Bill, it has been codified and modified and 100
metres in all directions shall be the prohibited area in respect of such protected area or protected
monument. Secondly, the Central Government can increase this 100 metres. Many of the
monuments, sometimes require a larger extent in order to protect it and in future, from this State no
permission was required to include, in carrying out any public work or project essential to the public
or other constructions in the protected area. It was not there. It is a clear prohibition so that our
monuments could be saved forever. Repair or some of the renovation or some basic amenities like
drinking water, sanitation is allowed. Even in the name of public projects no encroachments, no
permission will be granted for any projects whatsoever in the prohibited area. So, absolute clarity has
been incorporated in this enactment. Another is: limits of regulated area. It was only under the rule of
1958, it was notified in 1992 and it was not part of the enactment. Now, we have made it very clear
about 200 metres in all directions shall be the regulated area in respect of such protected area and
Central Government can increase these 200 metres of regulated area. This power has been given. It
was not there. In fact, construction or re-construction or repair or renovation of the regulated area is
allowed after permission by a competent authority. They cannot just undertake it. Permission by
competent authority within the limited area has to be granted on the recommendation of authority. In
fact, we have taken out the domain of the bureaucracy itself from this. There is a National Heritage
Monument Authority which is purely of the experts, archaeologists and the heritage experts. It is even
headed by them and the competent authority in various areas may be officers but the ultimate domain
to permit or pass any orders lies with the National Monument Authority. This is one body which has
been created. All these years, there has been no proper pacification of the answered monuments.
There has been no gradation of answered monuments and there was no technical inventory to have
it. Of course, elders say that we sometimes live in a country by accident. I think, if at all these things
have been saved it is because of the generosity of the citizens of this great country. I will come back
to that and tell you why sometimes it was not possible to exercise that kind of an authority. I do not
want to deal with it in greater detail but before I get into that area, I would like to deal with some of
the questions and issues which have been raised by hon. Members.

In fact, the DDA has carried out a survey of Delhi’s built heritage. It has been referred by
Justice Rama Jois. It includes 1208 heritage buildings in the list. This includes 170 monuments which
are absolutely protected by the ASI. The remaining monuments have to be protected by the State
Government. There are, in fact, three types of monuments. The first one is, National Monuments,
which are the responsibility of the ASI. There are State Monuments which are the responsibility of the State Government. And, there are many, many other unprotected monuments on the surface, under the surface, to be excavated and already excavated. So, these are the three types of monuments that we have. In fact, there is also the Heritage Conservation Committee under the Ministry of Urban Development to ensure preservation and conservation of built heritage in some of the areas other than managed by the ASI.

Sir, so far as Hampi is concerned, there are three categories of monuments. The first one is protected by the ASI. There are 56 monuments which are protected by the State Government. And, third, are the unprotected monuments. An Integrated Management Plan for Hampi World Heritage Site is under implementation. Concerns expressed by the UNESCO were replied to adequately and Hampi was removed from the Endangered List. In one go, it was classified as the World Heritage and, for some time, it was included in the Endangered List. Now, it is removed. You may kindly recall that I have some contribution to make on Hampi. In fact, the notification was issued during my regime and we protected it. Even the Temple’s car path which you must have seen has been narrowed down only to one car pass. Now, it is just like a super highway from temple to car shed. Now, we are happy that Hampi World Heritage Site Management Authority has been established by the Government of Karnataka to undertake comprehensive management, preservation and conservation.

Sir, I am not going to deal with High Court buildings. Again, I had some role to play when Justice Jois was also there. We sustained that old building. Apart from that, we constructed a similar building in the same premises. Both of them were concerned and another building came up. There is no danger for the old building.

I would like to deal with Konark Temple. Sir, ASI has done a lot of conservation work in Konark for the last thirty years. Sir, Lord Jagannath Temple has been stabilized to a great extent. For a comprehensive conservation of the Jagannath Temple, a workshop has been organized, particularly with a focus on structural engineering. A project has been taken up under the National Culture Fund for the development of visitors facilities. The project will be carried out by the ASI and the IOC will provide the necessary funds.

Sir, the question came up for giving stringent punishment. In fact, as you all know, the existing fine of Rs. 5,000 has been increased to Rs. 1 lakh. As against the punishment of 3 months, it has been increased to 2 years. You would have liked it to make it as a cognizable offence. But, as I
already explained to you, when technical data is not available, when technical inventory is not available, area has not been properly notified as to what is prohibited and regulated and unless it is properly protected, demarcated, just imagine what would be the fate of innocent people around the monument when you give more punishment. We have taken into consideration all these. Straightaway giving punishment is not an issue. But, that could be done, once we are ready with all the things — having the technical data, inventory cost, gradation of monuments and demarcation of areas. Once these things are done, I think, we can graduate ourselves into the domain of greater punishment in this area. This is how these limitations have been considered. And, for all these fifty years, the punishment has been a penalty of Rs. 5,000 and three months’ imprisonment. Now, we have increased the penalty by 20 times and imprisonment by 8 times. I don’t say that this is an ideal situation; but, under the circumstances. I think, we need to address those issues of the respective monuments with concern.

Then, ancient Patliputra has been identified. As a modern city is there, it had been excavated at certain spots, while the city buildings and the population started concentrating. The remains of ancient Mauryan city have been noticed in the city at Kumhrar where 80 pillars, all of the Mauryan Assembly Hall, and a later Buddhist Monastery were found. At Bulandi Bagh, in Patna, the remains of the city fortification wall were found in excavation. Only a few spots, with ancient remains in the city, are protected by the ASI Guru Gobind Singh was born in Patna. It is a pilgrimage for Sikhs and others. So, a lot more needs to be done there. But, I will tell you the constraints and limitations to do that, at a later stage.

As far as encroachments are concerned, 249 monuments have been under encroachment for the last 40-50 years. We need to address this issue. It is a very serious concern. Efforts are being made, to a certain extent, to remove the encroachments. The orders have been issued, district administration has been involved and the police help has been sought for. In some of the cases, even rehabilitation schemes are under implementation.

Insofar as Kapilvastu is concerned, which was the capital of Shudhodhana, the father of Gautama Buddha, in the 6th Century B.C., the Stupas, at Pipraha, have yielded Buddhist relics. A number of seals and sealings of 1st and 2nd Century have been found, and excavations are on. In fact, the ASI had excavated the Siddharth Nagar of UP, in 1970s.
An issue was raised by the hon. Member, Shri Tiruchi Siva, if I am correct, as to why it was 16th June, 1992. It is very simple. I will pass over the notification to the hon. Member. The notification was issued on that day, as far as the Act of 1958 is concerned. It says, the declared areas up to 100 metres from the protected limited, further, beyond it, up to 200 metres, near or adjoining protected monuments will be prohibited and regulated area. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by rule 32 of the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites Remains Rule, 1959, the Central Government hereby declares the said areas to be prohibited and regulated areas. This is, in fact, under the rules, not under the Act. So, the definition of the prohibited area originated from the notification of 16th June, 1992. We have, now, graduated into the substantive provisions of the Act. That is the only significance, nothing else.

Then, there are many other issues, which have been raised here. I would like to bring some of the points for the consideration of the House. Yes, we need to address many, many issues. I think, it requires one or two days’ debate. It is worthwhile. But I can just tell you that there is, in fact, already a Bill before the Standing Committee. The National Heritage Commission has to be established. I think, at that time, we can discuss all these issues which have been raised by the hon. Members. I don’t want to delay you. Of course, the portfolio belongs to the hon. Prime Minister. On his behalf, I am piloting the Bill, because he happened to appoint me as the Chairman of the Committee to address some of the issues and concerns arising out of the Ordinance. Of course, we have firmed up everything. I think the day has now come when we have to get into the domain of preserving it, not only preserving it but also empowering and building the capacity within the ASI, both for the manpower and also the funding to protect it. Because tourism contributes, particularly, Rs.55,000 crores of foreign exchange. India’s tourism contributes 6.5 per cent to the GDP of this country. Keeping this in view, I think the hon. Prime Minister will, definitely, prevail upon the Planning Commission and also the Finance Minister to build appropriate capacity within the ASI. With these words, I conclude. I thank the entire House for giving unanimous support to this Bill.

SHRI RAJEEV SHUKLA (Maharashtra): Sir, I have to seek one small clarification from the Hon. Minister and the hon. Minister of State is also here. One can understand the construction activities which are going on for the Commonwealth Games and, of course, permission can be given. But 170 permissions have been given to private people and others in the last few years. I want to know whether you will go for a review of all those permissions. It is very important, Sir. About 170 permissions, have been given to private people.
SHRI M. VEERAPPA MOILY: Mr. Deputy Chairman, Sir, we have gone into all these aspects. In fact, this Bill does not permit or approve of the ex post facto permissions. So far as the rest of the permissions are concerned, we have legally and pragmatically examined them and, ultimately, we have come out with this Bill. So, this is fully fortified. Otherwise, if you meddle with some of the aspects then the whole thing can be quashed by the courts.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The question is: That the Bill further to amend the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1958, and to make provision for validation of certain actions taken by the Central Government under the said Act, as passed by Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration.

The motion was adopted.

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We shall now take up clause-by-clause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 to 13 were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the Enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI M. VEERAPPA MOILY: Sir, I move:

That the Bill be passed.

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

SPECIAL MENTIONS

MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, we shall take up Special Mentions. I will call the names, please lay the papers.

Demand to take effective measures to check extravagance

by the Government machinery in the country

भीमली माया सिंह (मध्य प्रदेश): महोदय, केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा सब ओर से कहा जा रहा है कि भारत बड़ी तेजी के साथ आर्थिक निवाशी वस्तु की दीवार तोड़ रहा है और हम बहुत जद्दी ही 7 से 10 प्रतिशत विकास दर से विकास करने वाले हैं। वे बातों और अंकों के तो सुनने और देखने में बहुत अर्थें लगते हैं, परंतु सभी हैं जो हर भारतवासी लगभग 9,968 रुपए के विदेशी कर्ज से दबा है। सरकारी क्षेत्र में व्यापा फिजुलखर्चियों को यदि हम धोंगा कम कर दें, तो इससे कुछ राहत मिल सकती है।

यह फिजुलखर्चियों नहीं तो समझने कि राष्ट्रमंडल खेलों के नाम पर दिल्ली की राजस्थान के बगल में लुफ्तापों को तोड़कर रख दिया गया है और सुंदरता के नाम पर करोड़ों रुपयों की बर्बादी उन्हें दोबारा बनाने में की जा रही है। यही कारण है कि सितंबर 2003 में खेलों हेतु पूर्वी जुमुमालित राष्ट्रीय 655 करोड़ से तकरीबन 15 गुना बढ़कर 10455 करोड़ रुपए हो गई है। अब फुल्फुलापों को तोड़कर, उन्हें बनाये, वाहे वे 2 महीने पहले ही कई न होने हों, उन्हें फिर बनाये और विल केत दो, पैसे तो राष्ट्रमंडल खेलों के नाम पर आ ही जाएंगे।
Demand to take steps to streamline the Dak services provided by post offices in the country

SHRI BHAGIRATHI MAJHI (Orissa): Sir I am very sorry to mention the facts through you in this august House about the lack of awareness of the Government concerning an important matter related to the Transfer of Immovable Property of Scheduled Tribes in the Orissa Scheduled Area Amendment Regulation Act, 2009. Since this Regulation Bill is pending, no tribes can take loan from the bank for house, business, education, medical, marriage, etc., and can’t mortgage the property with any commercial/nationalized banks and Government body for any business, which itself is acting against Tribal Community like an enemy. It is proving a big hurdle in the development of the millions of tribes in Orissa.
Sir, it is unfortunate for our tribal community because the UPA Government, due to lacking in communication about this Bill, returned it without the positive assent of Her Excellency, President of India, to the State Government of Orissa, which is painful.

I, therefore, request the Government to do the needful and assent the Bill as soon as possible.

Demand to take effective measures to check illegal mining of coal in the country

SHRI M.P. ACHUTHAN (Kerala): Sir, Kerala has been experiencing an unprecedented climate change recently. Rainfall was short by more than 18 per cent. The temperature has been rising in all parts of Kerala, particularly in Palakkad district, where it has soared to 42 degrees Celsius, nine degrees above normal. More than 20 people are undergoing treatment for heat stroke and burn injuries. Rivers and wells are getting dried up, resulting in drinking water shortage and power crisis. In many parts of the State, drought-like situation is prevailing. The State Government is taking all possible steps to mitigate the situation. But the situation is very serious.

I would, therefore, request the Government to rush a team of experts and disaster management teams to the affected districts to study the phenomenon and suggest remedial action.
अपने इस शासन में रुद्ध अथवा हंगामी लोगों की संख्या में कोई बढ़ोतरी नहीं की है। स्वतंत्रता प्राप्ति के बाद से विभिन्न सरकारों ने समस्तांतरता इस कमजोरी को भांग सियासा था। यह सरकार गरीबी हटाने का दावा करते हुए देश की सीता पर आती शातिबद्ध से भी अधिक समय से कार्यरत है। सरकार को यह तक पहुँचने का अहम तत्कालीन है कि वास्तव में पुरुष गरीबी रेखा से नीचे जीवन यापन करने की गतिविधि है।

उदयराज्य योजना आयोग के अनुसार 27.5 प्रति शतां, तेन्दुलकर समिति के अनुसार 37 प्रति शतां, विभाग व्यक्ति के अनुसार 42 प्रति शतां, एन.के. सरकार समिति के अनुसार 50 प्रति शतां, इकोनॉमिक सर्व 2009 के अनुसार 60 प्रति शतां, अनुरुप सुपत्र की मानवीय समीक्षा के अनुसार 77 प्रति शतां लोग गरीबी रेखा से नीचे जीवन यापन करते हैं।

वर्तमान महाभाषा का मार्ग के बाद नेता अनुभव है कि 80 प्रति शतां लोग गरीबी रेखा के आस-पास पहुँच गए हैं। वर्तमान सरकार उस बच्चे के पिता द्वारा समाप्त है जो अपने बच्चे के लिए वर्तमान में यह बात नहीं है, परन्तु उसके यह पता नहीं कि उसके बच्चे के लिए यह से व्यवस्था करता है। पालक ही मानी जा सकती है। अंततः अपने आकांक्षाओं के आमाने में यह बात है। कितना हास्यास्य है कि गरीबी रेखा के आकांक्षाओं पर केंद्र सरकार और राज्य सरकार एकमत नहीं हैं। गरीबी रेखा निर्धारण के मानदंड भी अब तक विवादास्पद हैं।

ऐसे में गरीबी उम्मीद के कार्यक्रम और उनके परिणाम दिशाकांक्ष से अधिक और कुछ नहीं हो सकते हैं।

उपरोक्त सामग्री में, अपने माध्यम से में सरकार को अनुचित है कि वह बहुसंख्यक गरीबों के हितों को सक्षम रखते हुए वहाँ विषयवस्तु में सर्वेक्षण करके गरीबों की सही संख्या निर्धारित करे। इसके लिए अनुसार गरीबी उम्मीद योजना बनाकर उन्हें योग्य अथवा योग्यान्वित से लागू करे। इसके लिए गरीबी उम्मीद या विकास की बाल्य बेबीयों की हंगामा नहीं है। जो गरीब हर चूराली पर नागरिक में खड़ा होकर भारतीय लोकतंत्र को जीवनदान देता है, उसका हित ही हमारा गरीब हंगामा है।

Demand to increase the number of BSNL mobile phone towers in Uttarakhand to improve the network connectivity in the State

श्री भगवति सिंह कौशल (उत्तराखंड): उत्तराखंड राज्य में BSNL के नेटवर्क की मंथन समस्या है, खैराती रूप से पहुँच की रूप में। उत्तराखंड राज्य पर्यावरण के इस्तेमाल से एक महाकुल पूर्व राज्य है और यहाँ कूटनाम अन्वेषण का एक उत्तम स्थल है। जब दूरबीन राज्य में आते हैं, तो उन्हें अपने सबसे व्यवस्थित से बाल भी करनी होती है, परन्तु दूरबीन के कारण नेटवर्क नहीं मिलता है और ने आवाज पेशेवर रहते हैं।

मैंने इस संबंध में माननीय दूर संचार मंत्री के साथ एक मुलाकात की तथा उन्हें योग्य थाने में BSNL के नेटवर्क की मंथन समस्या चर्चा की। उल्लेखनीय की रूप में 2009-10 के दौरान BSNL द्वारा लगाए जाने वाले लेबलों का लाभ 275 था, परन्तु 31 जनवरी, 2010 के माल 59 नए गए हैं अभी तक लाभ से कम की दूर है। इससे न केवल हमारे राज्यों के संघों को दिक्कतों का सामना करना पड़ रहा है, असलियों उत्तराखंड राज्य में आने वाले दूरबीनों पर भी असर पड़ रहा है।
Demand to enhance budget allocations for the health sector to set up more super-speciality hospitals in the country

SHRI GIREESH KUMAR SANGHI (Andhra Pradesh): Sir, through this Special Mention, I raise the matter pertaining to healthcare infrastructure in the country. Health expenditure constitutes nearly 5 per cent of our Gross Domestic Product and according to one survey, one million people, mostly women and children, die in India each year due to inadequate healthcare. Seven hundred million people have no access to specialists care and 80 per cent specialists live in urban areas. Besides, health expenditure in India is dominated by private spending. It is also a fact that private delivery of health infrastructure serves affluent class and the poor have no access to private health infrastructure.

Needless to say, all these facts underline the need for creating more health infrastructure to the poor of this country. Be it big or metropolitan cities, or rural areas, there are a few quality hospitals in Government’s domain to cater to health needs of the people. As a result, the scene of unmanageable crowd of patients thronged before these hospitals is common. Case in point is the All India Institute of Medical Sciences in New Delhi, which is visited by patients from all over India as well as the neighbouring countries in search of quality treatment. The Government has already initiated steps to set up AIIMS-like medical institutions in some States, yet we need many more such healthcare institutions.

I, therefore, request the Government to enhance allocation to health sector so that more super-speciality hospitals can be set up in various parts of the country, particularly in rural areas, and issue guidelines/instructions to private hospitals to treat economically weaker patients free of cost.

Demand to withdraw the prohibitory orders on construction and expansion activities around the world heritage monument zone in Mamallapuram

SHRI B.S. GNANADESIKAN (Tamil Nadu): Sir tourists from all over the world visit Mamallapuram, which is having status of World Heritage Monuments. There is a dispute between the Archaeological Survey of India and the people of Mamallapuram over the monument zone. The needs of the people have been neglected and this led to haphazard growth of this town. If the people, who are residing in this area for years, propose to build, in case of necessity, additional rooms, or, in case of any other necessity, for expansion of existing building, they are not allowed to do the same. In 1955, three main monuments were identified by the UNESCO as heritage monuments and were brought into the monument zone. The hill rock area which is now in the centre of the densely populated area was earlier allowed for any building construction.
But, in 1991, several areas of the hill rock were brought under the monument zone. Resultantly, the 100-meter rule was implemented prohibiting any construction in the monument zone. This created hardship as it is a densely populated residential area, and, fence was put up; calculating 100-meters from the fence. The hill rock area is not as important as three main monuments declared in 1955 and several representations have been made to reduce the limits of monument zone in hill rock area so that the people of this locality will not suffer.

Therefore, I urge upon the Government to consider this request of withdrawing of prohibition imposed by the Government here and help the residents who are living in that area.

**Demand to continue appointment of statutory auditors by the RBI instead of by the banks**

**SHRI AHMAD SAEED MALIHABADI (West Bengal):** Sir, banking institutions are the lifeline of any economy. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has performed a significant role in strengthening them. Also, various relevant Acts put the responsibility of final approval of appointment of auditors of banks on the RBI.

Till the year 2005-06, the RBI used to directly appoint Statutory Central Auditors (SCAs) (in consultation with Office of C & AG) and Branch Auditors which has stood the test of time in building up a credible reporting system.

However, in December, 2005, an alternative was provided to let public sector banks to appoint their own auditors. This led to a regime of appointment of auditors which is prone to bias and prejudice of those at the helm of affairs.

An argument has been put forward that banks need to be given more functional autonomy. This is in contrast with the practice followed for navaratnas which are given functional autonomy while the auditors are continued to be appointed by the Office of C & AG having regard to their sectoral experience, competence, seniority and peer review status. Needless to say that greater functional autonomy needs to be coupled with checks and balances of which independent auditors is an important part.

Moreover, under the Companies Act, 1956, an auditor is appointed by the shareholders and not by the Board. This provision assures the independence of auditors who, in turn, protect the interests of the shareholders in the company. The said autonomy, though technically does not violate the setter of the legislations, is clearly against the spirit of the provisions of the Companies Act, 1956.

I urge upon the Government to continue the practice of appointment of Statutory Auditors by the RBI instead of individual banks.

**MR. DEPUTY CHAIRMAN:** The House stands adjourned till 11.00 a.m. on Monday, the 12th April, 2010.

The House then adjourned at six minutes past seven of the clock till eleven of the clock on Monday, the 12th April, 2010.